In a process for the production of metal laminates we collected 100 sequential measurements of laminate width (time series 'A' "Statistical Control by monitoring and feedback adjustment" Box Luceño – J. Wiley) Identify and fit a model for the data. In a future class: Design a SCC control chart and a FVC control chart In [ ]: # Import the necessary libraries import numpy as np import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import pandas as pd from scipy import stats import seaborn as sns # Import the dataset data = pd.read\_csv('ESE4\_ex2.csv') # Inspect the dataset data.head() Out[]: EXE2 80 0 92 100 61 93 In [ ]: # Plot the data plt.plot(data['EXE2'], 'o-') plt.xlabel('Index') plt.ylabel('EXE2') plt.title('Time series plot of EXE2') plt.grid() plt.show() Time series plot of EXE2 140 130 120 110 EXE2 90 70 60 20 40 60 80 100 Index let's verify the time dependence assumption with runs test and ACF/PACF In [ ]: # Import the necessary libraries for the runs test from statsmodels.sandbox.stats.runs import runstest\_1samp \_, pval\_runs = runstest\_1samp(data['EXE2'], correction=False) print('Runs test p-value = {:.3f}'.format(pval\_runs)) # Plot the acf and pacf using the statsmodels library import statsmodels.graphics.tsaplots as sgt fig, ax = plt.subplots(2, 1)sgt.plot\_acf(data['EXE2'], lags = int(len(data)/3), zero=False, ax=ax[0]) fig.subplots\_adjust(hspace=0.5) sgt.plot\_pacf(data['EXE2'], lags = int(len(data)/3), zero=False, ax=ax[1], method = 'ywm') plt.show() Runs test p-value = 0.000 Autocorrelation 1.0 0.5 0.0 -0.5-1.0 · 10 15 20 25 30 5 35 Partial Autocorrelation 1.0 0.5 -0.0 -0.5-1.010 15 25 30 20 5 35 0 The process is NON-STATIONARY. Let's try to apply the difference operator. In [ ]: data['diff1'] = data['EXE2'].diff(1) plt.plot(data['diff1'], 'o-') plt.xlabel('Index') plt.ylabel('DIFF 1') plt.title('Time series plot of DIFF 1') plt.grid() plt.show() Time series plot of DIFF 1 30 20 10 DIFF 1 -10-20 -30-4060 20 40 80 100 Index Let's verify again the time dependence assumption with runs test and ACF/PACF on the DIFF1 data In [ ]: \_, pval\_runs = runstest\_1samp(data['diff1'][1:], correction=False) print('Runs test p-value = {:.3f}'.format(pval\_runs)) fig, ax = plt.subplots(2, 1)sgt.plot\_acf(data['diff1'][1:], lags = int(len(data)/3), zero=False, ax=ax[0]) fig.subplots\_adjust(hspace=0.5) sgt.plot\_pacf(data['diff1'][1:], lags = int(len(data)/3), zero=False, ax=ax[1], method = 'ywm') plt.show() Runs test p-value = 0.000 Autocorrelation 1.0 0.5 0.0 -0.5-1.010 15 20 25 30 5 35 Partial Autocorrelation 1.0 0.5 0.0 -0.5-1.025 10 15 20 5 30 35 After the differencing operation, the most suitable model seems to be an MA(1). Thus the investigated model is ARIMA(0,1,1) In [ ]: # calculate an ARIMA model: import the necessary library import qda The function qda.ARIMA() requires as inputs: 1. The dataframe with the data. 2. The order parameter, i.e., the (p,d,q) of the model: AR(p), I(d), MA(q). 3. The add\_constant parameter, i.e. the presence of a constant term in the model: • False , for no constant term. • True , for a constant term. In [ ]: # fit model ARIMA with constant term x = data['EXE2']model = qda.ARIMA(x, order=(0,1,1), add\_constant = True) qda.ARIMAsummary(model) \_\_\_\_\_ ARIMA MODEL RESULTS -----ARIMA model order: p=0, d=1, q=1 FINAL ESTIMATES OF PARAMETERS \_\_\_\_\_ Term Coef SE Coef T-Value P-Value const 0.3111 0.226 1.3765 1.6867e-01 ma.L1 -0.8143 0.064 -12.7215 4.4928e-37 RESIDUAL SUM OF SQUARES  $\mathsf{DF}$ SS 97.0 12318.5893 126.9958 Ljung-Box Chi-Square Statistics \_\_\_\_\_ Lag Chi-Square P-Value 12 8.0673 0.7799 14.9539 0.9221 36 27.3625 0.8491 39.2028 0.8133 The calculated ARIMA model is in the form:  $Y_t - Y_{t-1} = 
abla Y_t = \mu - heta_1 \epsilon_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$ The constant term has a p-value of 0.169. Let's remove the constant value by omitting the trend parameter. In [ ]: # fit model ARIMA with constant term x = data['EXE2'] $model = qda.ARIMA(x, order=(0,1,1), add\_constant=False) # ARIMA(p,d,q), no constant term$ qda.ARIMAsummary(model) -----ARIMA MODEL RESULTS -----ARIMA model order: p=0, d=1, q=1 FINAL ESTIMATES OF PARAMETERS \_\_\_\_\_ Term Coef SE Coef T-Value ma.L1 -0.7854 0.0626 -12.5422 4.3837e-36 RESIDUAL SUM OF SQUARES DF SS MS98.0 12528.408 127.8409 Ljung-Box Chi-Square Statistics Lag Chi-Square P-Value 12 8.5337 0.7422 15.6625 0.8999 36 27.8377 0.8329 39.5483 0.8023 The calculated ARIMA model is in the form:  $Y_t - Y_{t-1} = 
abla Y_t = heta_1 \epsilon_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$ Let's check the assumptions on the residuals In [ ]: #extract the residuals residuals = model.resid[1:] # Perform the Shapiro-Wilk test \_, pval\_SW = stats.shapiro(residuals) print('Shapiro-Wilk test p-value = %.3f' % pval\_SW) # Plot the qqplot stats.probplot(residuals, dist="norm", plot=plt) plt.show() Shapiro-Wilk test p-value = 0.643 **Probability Plot** 30 20 10 Ordered Values 0 -10 -20 -2 -10 2 Theoretical quantiles In [ ]: fig, ax = plt.subplots(2, 1) sgt.plot\_acf(residuals, lags = int(len(data)/3), zero=False, ax=ax[0]) fig.subplots\_adjust(hspace=0.5) sgt.plot\_pacf(residuals, lags = int(len(data)/3), zero=False, ax=ax[1], method = 'ywm') plt.show() Autocorrelation 1.0 0.5 0.0 -0.5-1.015 0 5 10 20 25 30 35 Partial Autocorrelation 1.0 0.5 0.0 -0.5-1.010 15 20 25 30 35 5 0 Try at home: Bartlett test and LBQ test on ARIMA model residuals In [ ]: fig, axs = plt.subplots(2, 2) fig.suptitle('Residual Plots') stats.probplot(residuals, dist="norm", plot=axs[0,0]) axs[0,0].set\_title('Normal probability plot') axs[0,1].scatter(model.fittedvalues[1:], residuals) axs[0,1].set\_title('Versus Fits') fig.subplots\_adjust(hspace=0.5) axs[1,0].hist(residuals) axs[1,0].set\_title('Histogram') axs[1,1].plot(np.arange(1, len(residuals)+1), residuals, 'o-') plt.show() **Residual Plots** Normal probability plot Versus Fits 20 Ordered Values 20 0 -20 20 -20 -1010 -2 2 0 Theoretical quantiles Histogram 20 15 10 -20 -20 20 25 50 0 75 100 0 The model is adequate.

Exercise 2