FEDERICO LUGLI

Case Objective

Nick Thomas, CEO of Auto Concepts, a new division focused on innovative automobile models aligned with the US Department of Energy's clean fuels initiative and IoT technology, is seeking direction for design decisions. To gauge consumer attitudes towards fuel prices, global warming, and design preferences, he's engaged CMG Research, led by Cory Rodgers, to conduct a survey using an online panel. The survey aims to understand if consumers prefer standard, hybrid, or radically different models promising higher fuel economy. With the survey data now collected, Cory assigns data analyst Celeste Brown to conduct descriptive analysis to identify patterns and variability. The dataset represents a cross-section of American households, including vehicle owners and non-owners, for market insights relevant for the next 3-5 years.

Question Description	Codes	Value Labels
Size of Hometown or city	1,2,3,4,5	Under 10k, 10k to 100k, 100k to 500k, 500k to 1 million, 1 million and more
Gender	0,1	Male, Female
Marital Status	0, 1	Unmarried, married
No. of people in family	Actual Number	No labels
Age Category	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	18 to 24, 25 to 34, 35 to 44, 45 to 54, 55 to 64, 65 and older
Education Category	1, 2,3 ,4, 5	Less than high school, high school diploma, some college, college degree, postgraduate degree
Income Category	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	Under \$25k, \$25k to 49k, \$50k to \$74k, \$75k to \$125k, \$125k and more
Dwelling type	1, 2, 3, 4	Single family, Multiple family, Condominium/ townhouse, Mobile home

I am worried about global	1, 2, 3, 4,	Very strongly disagree, strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither
warming	5, 6, 7	disagree nor agree, Agree, Strongly agree, Very strongly
Gasoline emission	3, 3, 7	agree
contributes to global		45,00
warming		
We need to do		
something to slow global		
warming		
We should be looking for		
gasoline substitutes		
gasoniic substitutes		
Desirability: 1-seat	1, 2, 3, 4,	Very undesirable, Undesirable, Somewhat desirable,
motorcycle electric	5, 6, 7	Neutral, Somewhat desirable, Desirable, Very desirable
Desirability: 2-seat		
runabout sport electric		
Desirability: 2-seat		
runabout hatchback		
gasoline hybrid		
Desirability: 4-seat		
economy diesel hybrid		
Desirability: 5-seat		
economy gasoline		
Lifoctular Navalist		
Lifestyle: Novelist	1 7	Doorn't docaribo mo at all Docaribos mo norfective
Lifestyle: Innovator	1,7	Doesn't describe me at all Describes me perfectly
Lifestyle: Trendsetter		
Lifestyle: Forerunner		
Lifestyle: Mainstreamer		
Lifestyle: Classic		
Favourite	1, 2, 3, 4,	Comedy, Drama, Movies/mini-series, News/ documentary,
television show type	5, 6, 7	Reality, Science fiction, Sports

Favorite radio genre	1, 2, 3, 4, 5,	Classic pop & rock, Country, Easy listening, Jazz & Blues, Pop & chart, Talk
Favorite magazine type	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8	Business & MOney, Music and Entertainment, Family and Parenting, Sports & Outdoors, Home & Garden, Cooking-Food & Wine, Trucks-cars & Motorcycle, News -Politics & Current Events
Favourite local Newspaper section	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	Editorial, Business, Local News, National News, Sports, Entertainment, Do not read
Use of online blogs Use of content communities Use of social network sites Use online games Use of virtual worlds	0, 1, 2, 3	Never, 1- 2 time per day, 3- 4 times per day, 5+ times per day

CMG Market Research hires a new intern

On your first day as a marketing intern at CMG Research, having impressed with your Excel skills and data analysis knowledge, you're tasked with a pressing project for Auto Concepts. Cory Rodgers, alongside Celeste Brown, needs further analysis for a survey in its final stages, focusing on model definitions for potential multi-million dollar developments. Despite it being your first day, expectations are high for you to deliver additional insights. With Cory and Celeste away for three days, they're available via call, text, or email for any queries. A meeting is set for Thursday at 9 AM to review your findings.

1. Comment if segmenting the market based on "Marital Status" is important for Nick Thomas.

To ascertain the significance of "marital status" in influencing car choices, I opted to conduct a T-test. The selected alpha level for this analysis was 0.01. The null hypothesis posited that "marital status has no impact on the preference for the chosen car type."

Cartype: supercycle1seat

t-Test: Two-Sample Assuming Unequal Variances

	Marital_Status_0	Marital_Status_1
Mean	3.318181818	2.558426966
Variance	2.842785655	1.290733181
Observations	110	890
Hypothesized Mean Difference	0	
df	122	
t Stat	4.598770478	
P(T<=t) one-tail	0.00000523	
t Critical one-tail	2.35730207	
P(T<=t) two-tail	1.04652E-05	
t Critical two-tail	2.616729191	

null hyphothesis	maritual status has no effect
p < alfa	we REJECT the hyphothesis
result	maritual status has effect on the car type

Cartype: runaboutsport2seat

t-Test: Two-Sample Assuming Unequal Variances

	Variable 1	Variable 2
Mean	3.5	3.973033708
Variance	4.362385321	2.09376019
Observations	110	890
Hypothesized Mean Difference	0	
df	122	
t Stat	-2.307877268	
P(T<=t) one-tail	0.01135	
t Critical one-tail	2.35730207	
P(T<=t) two-tail	0.022690302	
t Critical two-tail	2.616729191	

null hyphothesis	maritual status has no effect
p < alfa	we ACCEPT the hyphothesis
result	maritual status has NO effect on the car type

Cartype: runaboutstowage2seat

t-Test: Two-Sample Assuming Unequal Variances

	Variable 1	Variable 2
Mean	3.154545455	4.065168539
Variance	3.563052544	3.57730059
Observations	110	890
Hypothesized Mean Difference	0	
df	137	
t Stat	-4.77225226	
P(T<=t) one-tail	0.0000023	
t Critical one-tail	2.353874767	
P(T<=t) two-tail	4.61122E-06	
t Critical two-tail	2.61219198	

null hyphothesis	maritual status has no effect
p < alfa	we REJECT the hyphothesis
result	maritual status has effect on the car type

Cartype: economyhybrid4seat

t-Test: Two-Sample Assuming Unequal Variances

	Variable 1	Variable 2
Mean	2.836363636	3.540449438
Variance	2.688573812	3.128283262
Observations	110	890
Hypothesized Mean Difference	0	
df	142	
t Stat	-4.210989392	
P(T<=t) one-tail	0.0000225	
t Critical one-tail	2.352894982	
P(T<=t) two-tail	4.49167E-05	
t Critical two-tail	2.610895295	

null hyphothesis	maritual status has no effect
p < alfa	we REJECT the hyphothesis
result	maritual status has effect on the car type

Cartype: economygas4seat

t-Test: Two-Sample Assuming Unequal Variances

	Variable 1	Variable 2
Mean	2.709090909	3.271910112
Variance	1.93294412	2.099210071
Observations	110	890
Hypothesized Mean Difference	0	
df	140	
t Stat	-3.986627379	
P(T<=t) one-tail	0.000054	
t Critical one-tail	2.353278406	
P(T<=t) two-tail	0.000107464	
t Critical two-tail	2.611402711	

null hyphothesis	maritual status has no effect
p < alfa	we REJECT the hyphothesis
result	maritual status has effect on the car type

2. Comment if "car type" has an influence on the desirability of each car model.

To assess the desirability of each car model, I conducted an Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) test. I set the alpha value at 0.01, and our chosen null hypothesis posited that "the type of car has no significant effect on the desirability of the car model."

Cartype: supercycle1seat

Anova: Single Factor

SU	M	M	٩RY

Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
Column 1	72	223	3.09722222	2.59604851
Column 2	207	553	2.67149758	1.63913512
Column 3	339	898	2.64896755	1.32315721
Column 4	382	968	2.53403141	1.37810392

ANOVA

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Between Groups	19.5699895	3	6.52332985	4.34811237	0.004710	3.80132484
Within Groups	1494.26601	996	1.50026708			
Total	1513.836	999				

P Value < Alfa Value
Reject the null hypotesis = "car type" has not an influence on the desirability of each car model.

Cartype: runaboutsport2seat

Anova: Single Factor

SUMMARY

Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
Column 1	72	322	4.4722222	3.6893584
Column 2	207	853	4.1207729	2.931945
Column 3	339	1310	3.8643068	2.1235447
Column 4	382	1436	3.7591623	1.9260969

ANOVA

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Between Groups	41.232835	3	13.744278	5.9068594	0.000539	3.8013248
Within Groups	2317.5262	996	2.3268335			
Total	2358.759	999				

P Value < Alfa Value

Reject the null hypotesis - "car type" has not an influence on the desirability of each car model

Cartype: runaboutstowage2seat

Anova: Single Factor

SUMMARY

Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
Column 1	72	229	3.18055556	2.54440532
Column 2	207	846	4.08695652	4.18657661
Column 3	339	1368	4.03539823	3.60229355
Column 4	382	1522	3.98429319	3.51681302

ANOVA

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Between Groups	49.2064592	3	16.4021531	4.53721246	0.00362637	3.80132484
Within Groups	3600.56854	996	3.61502866			
Total	3649.775	999				

P Value < Alfa Value

Reject the null hypotesis - "car type" has not an influence on the desirability of each car model

Cartype: economyhybrid4seat

Anova: Single Factor

SUMMARY

Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
Column 1	72	191	2.6527778	1.1030908
Column 2	207	601	2.9033816	1.9809108
Column 3	339	1165	3.4365782	2.9567646
Column 4	382	1506	3.9424084	3.7709527

ANOVA

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Between Groups	200.12451	3	66.708169	22.734367	0.0000000000000306	3.8013248
Within Groups	2922.5065	996	2.9342435			
Total	3122.631	999				

P Value < Alfa Value Reject the null hypotesis - "car type" has not an influence on the desirability of each car model

Cartype: economygas4seat

Anova: Single Factor

SUMMARY

Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
Column 1	72	219	3.04166667	2.91373239
Column 2	207	655	3.16425121	1.89522067
Column 3	339	1082	3.19174041	2.21460613
Column 4	382	1254	3.28272251	1.98809966

ANOVA

Source of Variati	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Between Gro	4.60669932	3	1.53556644	0.72715687	0.53586	3.801324837
Within Group	2103.2933	996	2.11174026			
Total	2107.9	999				

P Value > Alfa Value Fail to reject the null hypotesis - "car type" has an influence on the desirability of each car model

3. List the statistically significant independent variables (use 95% level of confidence).

To understand the statistically significant independent variables, I have been doing a regression analysis.

Cartype: supercycle1seat

SUMMARY OUTPUT								
Regression S	tatistics							
Multiple R	0.818521186							
R Square	0.669976932							
Adjusted R Square	0.666302586							
Standard Error	0.71110395							
Observations	1000							
ANOVA								
	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F			
Regression	11	1014.235199	92.2031999	182.339102	6.221E-229			
Residual	988	499.6008011	0.505668827					
Total	999	1513.836						
	Coefficients	Standard Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	Lower 95.0%	Upper 95.0%
Intercept	0.664830793	0.174086142	3.818975963	0.00014	0.323209725	1.006451861	0.323209725	1.006451861
townsize	0.000321718	6.37492E-05	5.046622523	0.00000	0.000196619	0.000446818	0.000196619	0.000446818
edcation	-0.14524676	0.025141242	-5.77723096	0.00000	-0.19458313	-0.09591039	-0.19458313	-0.09591039
gasemit	0.081336364	0.025930869	3.136661674	0.00176	0.030450457	0.132222271	0.030450457	0.132222271
lifestyle1	0.541742796	0.017720504	30.5715237	0.00000	0.506968647	0.576516944	0.506968647	0.576516944
lifestyle2	-0.08839546	0.018078834	-4.88944463	0.00000	-0.12387278	-0.05291813	-0.12387278	-0.05291813
lifestyle3	0.057848334	0.014647536	3.949356009	0.00008	0.029104479	0.086592189	0.029104479	0.086592189
lifestyle4	0.102459253	0.015421439	6.643948959	0.00000	0.072196715	0.132721791	0.072196715	0.132721791
YouTube	0.081851623	0.030995317	2.640773828	0.00840	0.021027405	0.142675841	0.021027405	0.142675841
Facebook	0.08854694	0.03192681	2.773435217	0.00565	0.02589479	0.15119909	0.02589479	0.15119909
Virtworld	0.077175833	0.030286968	2.548153123	0.01098	0.017741657	0.136610009	0.017741657	0.136610009
gender	-0.47070942	0.051219345	-9.19007111	0.00000	-0.57122062	-0.37019822	-0.57122062	-0.37019822

<u>Cartype: runaboutsport2seat</u>

SUMMARY OUTPUT								
Regression S	tatistics							
Multiple R	0.863911268							
R Square	0.746342678							
Adjusted R Square	0.743518558							
Standard Error	0.778192452							
Observations	1000							
ANOVA								
	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F			
Regression	11	1760.442509	160.0402281	264.274423	3.4797E-285			
Residual	988	598.3164909	0.605583493					
Total	999	2358.759						
	Coefficients	Standard Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	Lower 95.0%	Upper 95.0%
Intercept	-0.41698704	0.16065342	-2.59556901	0.00958	-0.73224816	-0.10172591	-0.73224816	-0.10172591
townsize	0.000721651	7.03069E-05	10.26429839	0.00000	0.000583683	0.000859619	0.000583683	0.000859619
warming	0.158395355	0.035653828	4.44259038	0.00001	0.088429424	0.228361285	0.088429424	0.228361285
gasemit	-0.16009571	0.032113552	-4.98530038	0.00000	-0.22311431	-0.0970771	-0.22311431	-0.0970771
lifestyle2	0.57006943	0.019619287	29.05658223	0.00000	0.53156917	0.608569691	0.53156917	0.608569691
lifestyle3	-0.04632167	0.015808639	-2.93014929	0.00347	-0.07734404	-0.01529931	-0.07734404	-0.01529931
YouTube	0.18705052	0.034315367	5.450925793	0.00000	0.119711143	0.254389897	0.119711143	0.254389897
Facebook	0.291428297	0.034438522	8.462276667	0.00000	0.223847246	0.359009349	0.223847246	0.359009349
Virtworld	0.126446948	0.032998755	3.831870239	0.00014	0.061691248	0.191202648	0.061691248	0.191202648
gender	-0.2853474	0.0526024	-5.42460796	0.00000	-0.38857266	-0.18212213	-0.38857266	-0.18212213
marital	0.909440453	0.08745699	10.39871665	0.00000	0.737817659	1.081063248	0.737817659	1.081063248
cartype2	-0.14217179	0.061911695	-2.29636397	0.02186	-0.26366531	-0.02067826	-0.26366531	-0.02067826

Cartype: runaboutstowage2seat

SUMMARY OUTPUT

Regression Statistics					
Multiple R	0.83993937				
R Square	0.705498145				
Adjusted R Squa	0.701917575				
Standard Error	0.965263256				
Observations	1000				

ANALISI VARIANZA

	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F
Regression	12	2203.010378	183.5841982	197.0351678	4.3618E-252
Residual	987	919.620622	0.931733153		
Total	999	3122.631			

	Coefficients	Standard Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	Lower 95.0%	Upper 95.0%
Intercept	-4.631684859	0.340010043	-13.62220015	0.0000000	-5.298910503	-3.964459214	-5.298910503	-3.964459214
townsize	0.000875871	9.18739E-05	9.533408082	0.0000000	0.00069558	0.001056162	0.00069558	0.001056162
familysize	0.142638453	0.040661851	3.507918339	0.0004720	0.06284484	0.222432067	0.06284484	0.222432067
age	0.042588431	0.005611547	7.589427925	0.0000000	0.031576498	0.053600365	0.031576498	0.053600365
income	0.0144735	0.001319678	10.96744849	0.0000000	0.011883803	0.017063197	0.011883803	0.017063197
gasemit	0.206538891	0.025607788	8.065471837	0.0000000	0.156286926	0.256790856	0.156286926	0.256790856
lifestyle1	0.165658528	0.023881383	6.936722435	0.0000000	0.118794408	0.212522648	0.118794408	0.212522648
lifestyle3	-0.06659562	0.020889448	-3.188002923	0.0014777	-0.107588454	-0.025602786	-0.107588454	-0.025602786
lifestyle4	0.487867767	0.021684968	22.49797073	0.0000000	0.445313829	0.530421706	0.445313829	0.530421706
lifestyle6	0.092118993	0.02180589	4.224500381	0.0000262	0.049327759	0.134910227	0.049327759	0.134910227
gender	0.403188469	0.093973945	4.290428282	0.0000196	0.218776782	0.587600157	0.218776782	0.587600157
marital	-0.317420208	0.124534687	-2.548849773	0.0109583	-0.561803391	-0.073037025	-0.561803391	-0.073037025
cartype1	0.432598163	0.126614723	3.416649754	0.0006598	0.184133178	0.681063149	0.184133178	0.681063149

Cartype: economyhybrid4seat

CULANA AND CULTOUT								
SUMMARY OUTPUT								
Regression S	tatistics							
Multiple R	0.857156075							
R Square	0.734716536							
Adjusted R Square	0.73094601							
Standard Error	0.991448181							
Observations	1000							
ANOVA								
	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F			
Regression	14	2681.550046	191.539289	194.8578157	4.914E-272			
Residual	985	968.224954	0.982969496					
Total	999	3649.775						
	Coefficients	Standard Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	Lower 95.0%	Upper 95.0%
Intercept	5.191651582	0.414927337	12.51219459	0.00000	4.377408427	6.005894737	4.377408427	6.00589473
age	-0.109271475	0.00615909	-17.74149538	0.00000	-0.121357922	-0.097185028	-0.121357922	-0.09718502
edcation	0.382736999	0.04089682	9.358600534	0.00000	0.30248209	0.462991908	0.30248209	0.46299190
income	-0.009815718	0.001391478	-7.054169346	0.00000	-0.012546319	-0.007085117	-0.012546319	-0.00708511
warming	-0.198396699	0.050421362	-3.934774655	0.00009	-0.297342335	-0.099451063	-0.297342335	-0.09945106
gasemit	0.243576984	0.043358994	5.617680666	0.00000	0.158490365	0.328663603	0.158490365	0.32866360
lifestyle1	0.055286259	0.024666264	2.241371419	0.02522	0.006881792	0.103690726	0.006881792	0.10369072
lifestyle2	-0.05543359	0.024592623	-2.254073959	0.02441	-0.103693545	-0.007173635	-0.103693545	-0.00717363
lifestyle3	0.489832562	0.021736754	22.53476148	0.00000	0.447176893	0.53248823	0.447176893	0.5324882
lifestyle4	-0.075819137	0.022110026	-3.429174486	0.00063	-0.119207305	-0.032430968	-0.119207305	-0.03243096
lifestyle6	0.164339971	0.021896753	7.505220933	0.00000	0.121370324	0.207309619	0.121370324	0.20730961
YouTube	-0.26417947	0.045458741	-5.811411952	0.00000	-0.353386581	-0.17497236	-0.353386581	-0.1749723
Facebook	-0.310169513	0.046852254	-6.620162067	0.00000	-0.402111218	-0.218227808	-0.402111218	-0.21822780
gender	-1.123296037	0.100885409	-11.13437563	0.00000	-1.32127107	-0.925321003	-1.32127107	-0.92532100
hometype2	0.189479882	0.06728828		0.00496	0.057435025	0.321524739	0.057435025	0.32152473

Cartype: economygas4seat

	-							
SUMMARY OUTPUT								
Regression S	tatistics							
Multiple R	0.718965619							
R Square	0.516911562							
Adjusted R Square	0.513502671							
Standard Error	1.013170387							
Observations	1000							
ANOVA								
	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F			
Regression	7	1089.597881	155.6568401	151.6363193	6.0778E-152			
Residual	992	1018.302119	1.026514233					
Total	999	2107.9						
	Coefficients	Standard Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	Lower 95.0%	Upper 95.0%
Intercept	-0.757716881	0.244424971	-3.099997829	0.00199	-1.237366242	-0.27806752	-1.237366242	-0.2780675
townsize	-0.00024895	9.04945E-05	-2.750993671	0.00605	-0.000426533	-7.13672E-05	-0.000426533	-7.13672E-0
age	0.042118226	0.003827281	11.00473712	0.00000	0.034607729	0.049628723	0.034607729	0.04962872
lifestyle4	0.094712189	0.02028316	4.669498697	0.00000	0.054909363	0.134515016	0.054909363	0.13451501
lifestyle6	0.519940929	0.022503865	23.10451696	0.00000	0.475780284	0.564101574	0.475780284	0.56410157
meseyies					0.757076445	-0.179316126	-0.757976115	-0.17931612
hometype1	-0.46864612	0.147439945	-3.178555981	0.00153	-0.757976115	-0.179310120	-0.757976115	-0.17931612
	-0.46864612 -0.610839955	0.147439945 0.1474549	-3.178555981 -4.142554473	0.00153 0.00004	-0.757976115	-0.321480615	-0.757976115	-0.3214806

4. Interprets the directional of the relationship of each statistically significant independent variable with respect to the preference for the hybrid model concerned.

The below chart show the final significant independent variables for the hybrid model. By examining the Beta values or Coefficient values presented in the table, a distinct pattern emerges. Notably, variables such as "Lifestyle3" and "Marital," highlighted in red, exhibit a negative impact on preference. Conversely, the variables highlighted in green showcase a positive influence on preference.

	Coefficients	Standard Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	Lower 95.0%	Upper 95.0%
Intercept	-4.631684859	0.340010043	-13.62220015	0.0000000	-5.298910503	-3.964459214	-5.298910503	-3.964459214
townsize	0.000875871	9.18739E-05	9.533408082	0.0000000	0.00069558	0.001056162	0.00069558	0.001056162
familysize	0.142638453	0.040661851	3.507918339	0.0004720	0.06284484	0.222432067	0.06284484	0.222432067
age	0.042588431	0.005611547	7.589427925	0.0000000	0.031576498	0.053600365	0.031576498	0.053500365
income	0.0144735	0.001319678	10.96744849	0.0000000	0.011883803	0.017063197	0.011883803	0.017063197
gasemit	0.206538891	0.025607788	8.065471837	0.0000000	0.156286926	0.256790856	0.156286926	0.256790856
lifestyle1	0.165658528	0.023881383	6.936722435	0.0000000	0.118794408	0.212522648	0.118794408	0.212522648
lifestyle3	-0.06659562	0.020889448	-3.188002923	0.0014777	-0.107588454	-0.025602786	-0.107588454	-0.025602786
lifestyle4	0.487867767	0.021684968	22.49797073	0.0000000	0.445313829	0.530421706	0.445313829	0.530421706
lifestyle6	0.092118993	0.02180589	4.224500381	0.0000262	0.049327759	0.134910227	0.049327759	0.134910227
gender	0.403188469	0.093973945	4.290428282	0.0000196	0.218776782	0.587600157	0.218776782	0.587600157
marital	-0.317420208	0.124534687	-2.548849773	0.0109583	-0.561803391	-0.073037025	-0.561803391	-0.073037025
cartype1	0.432598163	0.126614723	3.416649754	0.0006598	0.184133178	0.681063149	0.184133178	0.681063149

5. Identifies or distinguishes the relative importance of each of the statistically significant independent variables.

Cartype: Supercycle1seat

Variables	STD COEF
lifestyle1	0.6260
edcation	0.2080
gender	0.1899
lifestyle4	0.1377
gasemit	0.1121
lifestyle2	0.1060
townsize	0.1020
lifestyle3	0.0797
Facebook	0.0723
YouTube	0.0620
Virtworld	0.0515

Cartype: Runaboutsport2seat

Variables	STD COEF
lifestyle2	0.5478
Facebook	0.1906
marital	0.1853
townsize	0.1834
gasemit	0.1768
warming	0.1370
YouTube	0.1136
gender	0.0922
Virtworld	0.0676
lifestyle3	0.0511
cartype2	0.0375

Cartype: Runaboutstowage2seat

Variables	STD COEF
age	0.5244615
lifestyle3	0.4345757
edcation	0.3529395
gender	0.2918647
gasemit	0.2162326
income	0.1711314
Facebook	0.1630679
warming	0.13799
lifestyle6	0.1299061
YouTube	0.1289625
lifestyle4	0.0656405
hometype2	0.0480667
lifestyle2	0.0428259
lifestyle1	0.0411464

Cartype: Economyhybrid4seat

Variables	STD COEF
lifestyle4	0.4566338
income	0.2728059
age	0.2209893
gasemit	0.1982255
townsize	0.1934311
lifestyle1	0.1332911
gender	0.1132578
lifestyle6	0.0787242
familysize	0.0772956
lifestyle3	0.0638758
cartype1	0.0632797
marital	0.0562038

Cartype: Economygas4seat

Variables	STD COEF	
lifestyle6	0.5408144	
age	0.266002	
hometype2	0.2039	
hometype1	0.1538591	
hometype3	0.1226076	
lifestyle4	0.1078965	
townsize	0.0669165	

6. Assesses the strength of the statistically significant independent variables as they join to predict the preferences for the hybrid model concerned.

 $Desirability = -4.6317 + 0.00088 \times townsize + 0.1426 \times familysize + 0.0426 \times age + 0.0145 \times income + 0.2065 \times gasemit + 0.1657 \times lifestyle 1 - 0.0666 \times lifestyle 3 + 0.4879 \times lifestyle 4 + 0.0921 \times lifestyle 6 + 0.4082 \times gender - 0.3174 \times marital + 0.4326 \times cartype 1$

Town Size (townsize):

Assessment: There is a statistically significant positive relationship between town size and desirability. However, the effect is very small.

Family Size (familysize):

Assessment: There is a statistically significant positive relationship between family size and desirability. A larger family size is associated with higher desirability.

Age:

Assessment: There is a statistically significant positive relationship between age and desirability. As age increases, desirability tends to increase.

Income:

Assessment: There is a statistically significant positive relationship between income and desirability. Higher income is associated with higher desirability.

Gas Emission (gasemit):

Assessment: There is a statistically significant positive relationship between gas emission and desirability. Higher gas emission is associated with higher desirability.

Lifestyle Factors (lifestyle1, lifestyle3, lifestyle4, lifestyle6):

Assessment: These lifestyle factors show statistically significant relationships with desirability. The specific impact depends on the factor, and coefficients indicate the direction and magnitude of the effect.

Gender:

Assessment: There is a statistically significant positive relationship between gender and desirability. Being of a certain gender is associated with higher desirability.

Marital Status (marital):

Assessment: There is a statistically significant negative relationship between marital status and desirability. Being married is associated with lower desirability.

Car Type 1 (cartype1):

Assessment: There is a statistically significant positive relationship between having car type 1 and desirability.

7. Comment on the target audience for each car model.

Criteria	Cartype 1	Cartype 2	Cartype 3	Cartype 4	Cartype 5
Location	Residents of larger towns or cities	From larger towns or cities	Younger individuals	Individuals from larger towns or cities	Individuals from smaller towns
Education	Individuals with lower levels of education	More concerned about global warming	Those with higher education levels	Those with larger family sizes	Middle-aged to older adults
Environmental Concerns	Those who are concerned about gas emissions	Less concerned about gas emissions	Less concerned with global warming but more concerned with gas emissions	Environmentally conscious about gas emissions	-
Lifestyles	Identify with "lifestyle1," "lifestyle3," and "lifestyle4," but not "lifestyle2"	identity with "ifestyle2" but not "ifestyle3"	Identify with "lifestyle1" and "lifestyle6," but not with "lifestyle2," "lifestyle3," or "lifestyle4"	Identify with "lifestyle1," "lifestyle4," and "lifestyle6"	Identify with "lifestyle4" and "lifestyle6"
Social Media Use	Users of social media platforms like YouTube and Facebook, and those who engage with virtual	Less frequent users of YouTube, more frequent users of Facebook	Less frequent users of YouTube and Facebook	-	Less active on Facebook
Gender	Predominantly male	Predominantly male	Predominantly male (based on the assumption of coding)	One of the genders significantly more than the other	-
Marital Status	-	Married	- !	Unmarried individuals	-
Car Preference	-	Prefer a different type of car over "cartype1"	-	Particularly those who have a preference for "cartype0"	-
Dwelling Type	-	-	Those living in the type of dwelling represented by "hometype1	-	Not living in dwelling types represented by "hometype0," "hometype1," and "hometype2"