

# Activity 3

Feelin' Peachy Rings

Alireza, Erika, Xufeng

# Summary from previous meeting

- Data should be in house
- Login page should not be a two-tier structure which means upon logging in, the system identifies the role of the user.
- Switching between each program on the IDA map is a critical feature.


# Product Demo




We took the feedback from the previous meetings and created a prototype as a team.

# Colour scheme?

- The green represents the Faculty of Engineering at the U of R.




Idea 1 (Current version)



Idea 2 (Previous version)

# Logo

Feedback?



# IDA Map & Submission Status

1. Is having the form submission status on the IDA map good/useful? Or should the IDA map be separate from the submission status?

Pros: Quick overview/visual of what is missing

Core		Other											
		GA1	GA2	GA3	GA4	GA5	GA6	GA7	GA8	GA9	GA10	GA11	GA12
! ENGG 100	I	I			I	I							
ENGG 123				I		I	I	I	I	I			I
ENGG 140	I	I											
! ENGG 141	I	D											
ENEL 280	I	I	I		I	I	I	I					I
ENEV 223	I	I	I					I	I	I	I		I

## Legend

I : Introduced  
D : Developed  
A : Advanced

Required  
! Data has not yet been submitted for this course

<b>Semester 1 through 5</b>
<b>Core Program Classes</b>
ENGG 100
ENGG 123
ENGG 140
ENGG 141
ENEL 280
ENEV 223
<b>Semester 6 through 9</b>
ENGG 303
ENGG 330
ENGG 401

2. Core / Other tab. In Excel, all of the courses were listed in one 'tab'. Here, we split it into two. In our opinion, it looks more organized as such, but is this practical?

Any additional  
questions/comments?

Thank you