Department: Mathematics

111

Percentage Responding:

65

59 %

Main Report for Term(Session): 2019 Fall

Faculty: Faculty of Science Campus: M

Students Enrolled: Course Description: INTRO CALCULUS Subject-Course-Section: MATH 1500 A07 Students Responding:

Instructor(s): (P)Qi, Fei

Angel:

Web:

Group #: 20 Term: 201990 CRN: 11873 Term Code: 1

STRONGLY STRONGLY NO MULTIPLE. NEUTRAL AGREE AGREE RESPONSE RESPONSE N/A DISAGREE DISAGREE LEARNING 0 0 2 3% 11 17% 25 38% 26 0% 1. I have found the course intellectually challenging and stimulating. 3% 0 08 2 28 15 23% 31 488 14 228 2 38 0 0% 2. I have learned something which I consider valuable. 1 20 34% 5% 5% 3. My interest in the subject has increased as a consequence of 0 0 % 6 98 10 15% 31% 22 3 3 1 2% this course. 0 0% 0 0% 3 5% 38 4. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this 0 5 21 32% 34 52% course. ENTHUSIASM 0 0왕 5. Instructor was enthusiastic about teaching the course. 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 12 18% 37 57% 15 23% 1 6. Instructor was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course. 0 0% 0 0% 4 68 13 20% 29 45% 17 26% 2 38 0 0% 12% 0 0% 1 2% 8 30 46% 16 25% 6 9% 4 68 Ω 08 7. Instructor enhanced presentations with the use of humour. 17 0 0% 4 26% 21 32% 20 31% 1 28 2 38 0 08 8. Instructor's style of presentation held my interest during class. ORGANIZATION 9. Instructor's explanations were clear. 13 20% 22 34% 25 2 10. Instructor's materials were well prepared and carefully 08 1 28 11% 20 31% 27 42% 10 15% 0 0% 0 0% explained. 0 % 0% 2% 3 35 26% 0 0 0% 11. Proposed objectives agreed with those actually taught so I knew 0 1 14% 54% 17 where course was going. 12. Instructor gave lectures that facilitated taking notes. 0% 11 17% 31 48% 15 23% 2% 0 0% GROUP INTERACTION 0% 13. Students were encouraged to participate in class discussions. 6 98 1 2% 5 88 18 28% 27 42% 8 12% 0 0 14. Students were invited to share their ideas and knowledge. 4 6% 1 2% 7 11% 19 298 27 42% 11% 0 0왕 0 0% 28 0 0% 2 3% 14 22% 30 46% 17 26% 2% 0 0% 15. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given 1 meaningful answers. 16. Students were encouraged to express their own ideas and/or 68 0 0% 17 26% 29 45% 11 17% 0 0% question the instructor. INDIVIDUAL RAPPORT 17. Instructor was friendly towards individual students. 28 88 34 528 23 35% 0% 18. Instructor made students feel welcome in seeking help/advice in 12% 29 45% 38% 0 1 2% or outside of class. 19. Instructor had a genuine interest in individual students. 2 3% 1 28 5 88 15 23% 32 49% 10 15% 0 0% 0 0% 0 % 20. Instructor was adequately accessible to students during office 2 38 0 2 3% 13 20% 29 45% 18 28% 1 28 0 0 % hours or after class. BREADTH 21. Instructor contrasted the implications of various theories. 0 0% 2 3% 3% 22 34% 27 42% 11 17% 0 08 1 28 2% 22. Instructor presented the background or origin of ideas/concepts 0 왕 1 4 68 15 23% 32 498 13 20% 0 0% 0 0% developed in class. 23. Instructor presented points of view other than his/her own when 12 18% 31% 27 appropriate. 24. Instructor adequately discussed current developments in the 7 11% 31% 27 0% 20 428 field.

11:27 Monday, January 27, 2020 38

OS020 - Student Evaluation of Educational Quality (SEEQ) Main Report for Term(Session): 2019 Fall

Web: Angel: ods

> Campus: M Faculty: Faculty of Science

Department: Mathematics

Subject-Course-Section: MATH 1500 A07

Course Description: INTRO CALCULUS

Instructor(s): (P)Qi, Fei Term: 201990 CRN: 11873 Term Code: 1

Group #: 20

Students Enrolled: 111 Students Responding: Percentage Responding: 59 %

	STRONG N/A DISAG					NEUTRAL		AGREE		STRONGLY AGREE		NO RESPONSE		MULTIPLE RESPONSE		
EXAMINATIONS 25. Feedback on examinations/graded materials was valuable. 26. Methods of evaluating student work were fair and appropriate. 27. Examinations/graded materials tested course content as	3 4 0	5% 6% 0%	3 2 2	5% 3% 3%	10 5 4	15% 8% 6%	16 19 12	25% 29% 18%	25 26 31	38% 40% 48%	7 7 14	11% 11% 22%	1 1 1	28 28 28	0 1 1	0% 2% 2%
emphasized by the instructor. ASSIGNMENTS	Ŭ	0 0	-	30	•	0.8	12	100	51	40.0	14	223	1	23	1	25
28. Required readings/texts were valuable. 29. Readings, homework, laboratories contributed to appreciation and understanding of subject.	0	0% 0%	3 1	5% 2%	4 2	6% 3%	11 15	17% 23%	22 27	34% 42%	23 19	35% 29%	2 1	3% 2%	0	0% 0%
	N	/A	VERY POOR		POOR		AVERAGE		GOOD		VERY GOOD		NO RESPONSE		MULTIPLE RESPONSE	
OVERALL																
30. Compared with other courses I have had at U. of M., I would say this course is:	0	0%	2	3%	5	8%	22	34%	30	46%	3	5%	3	5%	0	0%
31. Compared with other instructors I have had at U. of M., I would say this instructor is:	0	0%	2	3%	5	8%	24	37%	23	35%	7	11%	4	6%	0	0%
32. As an overall rating, I would say this instructor is:	0	0%	1	2%	3	5%	22	34%	26	40%	10	15%	3	5%	0	0%
			TWO		THREE		FOUR		FIVE				NO RESPONSE			
	0	NE	Т	WO	TH	IREE	FO	UR	FI	VE					MULT:	
STUDENT AND COURSE CHARACTERISTICS (LEAVE BLANK IF NO RESPONSE APPLI		NE 	T	WO 	TH	IREE	FO	UR 	FI	VE 						
33. Course difficulty, relative to other courses, (1=Very easy, 2=Easy, 3=Average, 4=Difficult, 5=Very difficult)	ES) 0	 0%	2	3%	13	20%	FO 	UR 34%	FI 26	VE 40%	0	 0%				
 33. Course difficulty, relative to other courses, (1=Very easy, 2=Easy, 3=Average, 4=Difficult, 5=Very difficult) 34. Course workload, relative to other courses was: (1=Very light, 2=Light, 3=Average, 4=Heavy, 5=Very heavy) 	0 0	0% 0%	2 4	 3% 6%	13 29	20% 45%	22	34%	26 10	40% 15%	0	0%	2 2	3% 3%	0 0	ONSE 0% 0%
 33. Course difficulty, relative to other courses, (1=Very easy, 2=Easy, 3=Average, 4=Difficult, 5=Very difficult) 34. Course workload, relative to other courses was: (1=Very light, 2=Light, 3=Average, 4=Heavy, 5=Very heavy) 35. Course pace was: (1=Too slow, 2=Slow, 3=About right, 4=Fast, 5=Too fast) 	0 0	0% 0% 0%	2 4 1	3% 6% 2%	13 29 12	20% 45% 18%	22 20 33	34% 31% 51%	26 10 15	40% 15% 23%	0	0% 0%	2 2 2 3	3% 3% 5%	0 0 1	ONSE 0% 0% 2%
 33. Course difficulty, relative to other courses, (1=Very easy, 2=Easy, 3=Average, 4=Difficult, 5=Very difficult) 34. Course workload, relative to other courses was: (1=Very light, 2=Light, 3=Average, 4=Heavy, 5=Very heavy) 35. Course pace was: (1=Too slow, 2=Slow, 3=About right, 4=Fast, 5=Too fast) 36. Hours per week required outside of class: (1=0 to 2, 2=2 to 3, 3=5 to 7, 4=8 to 12, 5=Over 12) 	0 0 0 3	0% 0% 0% 0%	2 4 1	3% 6% 2%	13 29 12 22	20% 45% 18% 34%	22 20 33 14	34% 31% 51% 22%	26 10 15	40% 15% 23%	0 0	0% 0% 0%	2 2 2 3	ONSE 3% 3% 5% 6%	0 0 1	ONSE 0% 0% 2% 2%
 33. Course difficulty, relative to other courses, (1=Very easy, 2=Easy, 3=Average, 4=Difficult, 5=Very difficult) 34. Course workload, relative to other courses was: (1=Very light, 2=Light, 3=Average, 4=Heavy, 5=Very heavy) 35. Course pace was: (1=Too slow, 2=Slow, 3=About right, 4=Fast, 5=Too fast) 36. Hours per week required outside of class: (1=0 to 2, 2=2 to 3, 3=5 to 7, 4=8 to 12, 5=Over 12) 37. Level of interest in the subject prior to this course: (1=Very low, 2=Low, 3=Medium, 4=High, 5=Very high) 	0 0 0 3	0% 0% 0% 0% 5% 9%	2 4 1 10	3% 6% 2% 15%	13 29 12 22 20	20% 45% 18% 34% 31%	22 20 33 14 20	34% 31% 51% 22% 31%	26 10 15 11	40% 15% 23% 17%	0 0 0	0% 0% 0% 0%	2 2 2 3 4 6	3% 3% 3% 5% 6%	0 0 1 1	ONSE 0% 0% 2% 2% 2%
 33. Course difficulty, relative to other courses, (1=Very easy, 2=Easy, 3=Average, 4=Difficult, 5=Very difficult) 34. Course workload, relative to other courses was: (1=Very light, 2=Light, 3=Average, 4=Heavy, 5=Very heavy) 35. Course pace was: (1=Too slow, 2=Slow, 3=About right, 4=Fast, 5=Too fast) 36. Hours per week required outside of class: (1=0 to 2, 2=2 to 3, 3=5 to 7, 4=8 to 12, 5=Over 12) 37. Level of interest in the subject prior to this course: (1=Very 	0 0 0 3	0% 0% 0% 0%	2 4 1	3% 6% 2%	13 29 12 22	20% 45% 18% 34%	22 20 33 14	34% 31% 51% 22%	26 10 15	40% 15% 23%	0 0	0% 0% 0%	2 2 2 3	ONSE 3% 3% 5% 6%	0 0 1	ONSE 0% 0% 2% 2%
 33. Course difficulty, relative to other courses, (1=Very easy, 2=Easy, 3=Average, 4=Difficult, 5=Very difficult) 34. Course workload, relative to other courses was: (1=Very light, 2=Light, 3=Average, 4=Heavy, 5=Very heavy) 35. Course pace was: (1=Too slow, 2=Slow, 3=About right, 4=Fast, 5=Too fast) 36. Hours per week required outside of class: (1=0 to 2, 2=2 to 3, 3=5 to 7, 4=8 to 12, 5=Over 12) 37. Level of interest in the subject prior to this course: (1=Very low, 2=Low, 3=Medium, 4=High, 5=Very high) 38. Overall GPA at U. of M. Leave blank if not yet established: (1=Below 2.5, 2=2.5 to 3.0, 3=3.0 to 3.4, 4=3.4 to 3.7, 5=Above 3.7) 39. Expected grade in the course: (1=F, 2=D, 3=C or C+, 4=B or B+, 5=A or A+) 	0 0 0 3	0% 0% 0% 0% 5% 9%	2 4 1 10	3% 6% 2% 15% 18% 9%	13 29 12 22 20 5	20% 45% 18% 34% 31% 8%	22 20 33 14 20	34% 31% 51% 22% 31% 14%	26 10 15 11	40% 15% 23% 17%	0 0 0	0% 0% 0% 0%	2 2 2 3 4 6	3% 3% 3% 5% 6%	0 0 1 1	ONSE 0% 0% 2% 2% 2%
 33. Course difficulty, relative to other courses, (1=Very easy, 2=Easy, 3=Average, 4=Difficult, 5=Very difficult) 34. Course workload, relative to other courses was: (1=Very light, 2=Light, 3=Average, 4=Heavy, 5=Very heavy) 35. Course pace was: (1=Too slow, 2=Slow, 3=About right, 4=Fast, 5=Too fast) 36. Hours per week required outside of class: (1=0 to 2, 2=2 to 3, 3=5 to 7, 4=8 to 12, 5=Over 12) 37. Level of interest in the subject prior to this course: (1=Very low, 2=Low, 3=Medium, 4=High, 5=Very high) 38. Overall GPA at U. of M. Leave blank if not yet established: (1=Below 2.5, 2=2.5 to 3.0, 3=3.0 to 3.4, 4=3.4 to 3.7, 5=Above 3.7) 39. Expected grade in the course: (1=F, 2=D, 3=C or C+, 4=B or B+, 	0 0 0 3 6 2	0% 0% 0% 0% 5% 9%	2 4 1 10 12 6	3% 6% 2% 15% 18%	13 29 12 22 20 5	20% 45% 18% 34% 31% 8%	22 20 33 14 20 9	34% 31% 51% 22% 31% 14%	26 10 15 11 0 5	40% 15% 23% 17% 0%	0 0 0 0	0% 0% 0% 0%	2 2 2 3 4 6	ONSE 3% 3% 5% 6% 9%	0 0 1 1 1	ONSE 0% 0% 2% 2% 2% 0%