# REU in Random Walk

## Xiaoyu Liu

### November 6, 2022

## Contents

L	Con	ontinuity and Hölder continuity test			
	1.1	Definit	tions and Examples	1	
		1.2 Test for hölder continuity exponent			
		1.2.1	Compute $\alpha$ by finding a limit	2	
		1.2.2	Find $\alpha$ and $C$ by Curve Fitting	:	

## Note 4: Concepts of Continuity

Wed 12 Oct 2022 18:59

# 1 Continuity and Hölder continuity test

## 1.1 Definitions and Examples

The main reference in this note is Durrett, 2010.

**Definition 1** (Lipschitz continuous). f is said to be Lipschitz continuous if there is a constant C so that  $|f(x) - f(y)| \le C\rho(x, y)$ .

Lipschitz continuity is a stronger notion of continuity than classical continuity. Lipschitz continuity implies continuity.

Geometrically, Lipchitz condition puts a finite bound on the slope of any secant line one can get from the graph of the function.

**Definition 2.** A real or complex valued function f on d-dimensional Euclidean space satisfies a Hölder condition with exponent  $\alpha$ , or is  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous, when there are nonnegative real constants C, a > 0, such that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le C||x - y||^{\alpha}$$

for all x and y in the domain of f.

- When  $\alpha > 1$ , an  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous function is *constant*.
- When  $\alpha = 1$ , an  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous function is *Lipchitz continuous*.
- When  $\alpha > 0$ , an  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous function is uniformly continuous.

• Whenver  $0 < \alpha \le \alpha'$ ,  $\alpha'$ -Hölder continuity implies  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuity.

**Remark.** (from wikipedia) We have the following chain of strict inclusions for functions over a closed and bounded non-trivial interval of the real line:

Continuously differentiable  $\subset$  Lipschitz continuous  $\subset$   $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous  $\subset$  uniformly continuous  $\subset$  continuous

where  $\alpha \in (0,1]$ .

**Theorem 1.** 8.1.5. Brownian paths are Hölder continuous for any exponent  $\gamma < 1/2$ .

**Theorem 2.** 8.1.6. With probability one, Brownian paths are not Lipschitz continuous (and hence not differentiable) at any point.

### 1.2 Test for hölder continuity exponent

From the definition of hölder continuity:

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le C||x - y||^{\alpha}$$

Our goal is to find smallest possible  $\alpha$  such that the equation above holds true empirically.

We take logarithm on both sides (using the fact that log is monotone increasing):

$$\log|f(x) - f(y)| \le \log C + \alpha \log||x - y||$$

rearrange and assuming  $\log ||x - y|| < 0$  for small ||x - y||:

$$\alpha \le \frac{\log|f(x) - f(y)| - \log C}{\log|x - y|} \sim \frac{\log|f(x) - f(y)|}{\log|x - y|}.$$
 (1)

The smallest such  $\alpha$  is then

$$\alpha = \inf_{x,y} \frac{\log |f(x) - f(y)| - \log C}{\log ||x - y||}.$$
 (2)

The term involving  $\log C$  should fade away when ||x - y|| is sufficiently small.

### 1.2.1 Compute $\alpha$ by finding a limit

Let  $x_1, \ldots, x_i, \ldots, x_n$  be random points in the domain of f. Let  $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_j, \ldots, \varepsilon_m$  be small changes.

Denote

$$\hat{a}_i^{(j)} := \frac{\log |f(x_i) - f(x_i + \varepsilon_j)| - \log C}{\log \varepsilon_j}.$$

We know  $\hat{a}_i^{(j)}$  is always an **upper estimate** of  $\alpha$ . Thus a reasonable guess of  $\alpha$  would be:

$$\hat{\alpha} = \inf_{i,j} \hat{a}_i^{(j)}.$$

Since  $\hat{a}_i^{(j)} \sim a_i^{(j)}$  as  $j \to \infty$ , we can replace our estimate of  $\alpha$  by

$$\hat{\alpha} = \lim_{j \to \infty} \inf_{i} \hat{a}_{i}^{(j)}.$$

The limit, however, converges very slowly. As  $\varepsilon_j$  decreases exponentially,  $|\log \varepsilon_j|$  only increases linearly, so the error terms decreases very slowly.

#### 1.2.2 Find $\alpha$ and C by Curve Fitting

Rewrite equation (2) as

$$\alpha = \inf_{x,\varepsilon} \frac{\log |f(x) - f(x+\varepsilon)| - \log C}{\log \varepsilon}$$
 where  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Hence

$$\alpha = \inf_{\varepsilon} \left( \inf_{x} \frac{\log |f(x) - f(x + \varepsilon)|}{\log \varepsilon} - \frac{\log C}{\log \varepsilon} \right).$$

The next claim justifies us to take smaller and smaller  $\varepsilon$ , and implies that  $\alpha$  can be bounded from below arbitrarily closely in finitely many steps.

### Proposition 1.

$$\alpha = \lim_{\varepsilon' \to 0} \inf_{\varepsilon < \varepsilon'} \left( \inf_{x} \frac{\log |f(x) - f(x + \varepsilon)|}{\log \varepsilon} - \frac{\log C}{\log \varepsilon} \right).$$

*Proof.* Fix some  $\varepsilon'$  such that for some  $\delta > 0$  and all  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon'$ ,

$$\inf_{x} \frac{\log |f(x) - f(x + \varepsilon)|}{\log \varepsilon} - \frac{\log C}{\log \varepsilon} > \alpha + \delta.$$

We claim that f is actually  $(\alpha + \delta)$ -Hölder continuous, which results in a contradiction. It suffices to show that there exists some constant C such that for all  $\varepsilon$  where  $\varepsilon \geq \varepsilon'$ ,

$$|f(x) - f(x + \varepsilon)| \le C\varepsilon^{\alpha + \delta}.$$

But since f is  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous, there exists some C' > 0 such that

$$|f(x) - f(x + \varepsilon)| < C'\varepsilon^{\alpha}$$
.

Take  $C = \frac{C'}{(\varepsilon')^{-\delta}}$ , and we have

$$|f(x) - f(x + \varepsilon)| \le C(\varepsilon')^{\delta} \varepsilon^{\alpha} \le C\varepsilon^{\delta} \varepsilon^{\alpha} = C\varepsilon^{\alpha + \delta}$$

To get a even faster approximation, we want to fix some particular  $\varepsilon$  and take infimum only over x. We want to be assured that dropping the infimum over  $\varepsilon$  when calculating  $\alpha$  gives a good enough approximation. This motivates the next proposition:

**Proposition 2.** If f is a random process with independent increment, and is scale invariant in the sense that for any increments  $\varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon'$ , the scaled increments are equal in distribution, i.e.  $\frac{f(x+\varepsilon)-f(x)}{\varepsilon} \stackrel{d}{=} \frac{f(x'+\varepsilon')-f(x')}{\varepsilon'}, \text{ then for all } \delta > 0, \text{ we have the bound}$ 

$$\Pr\left[\left|\inf_{x} \frac{\log |f(x) - f(x + \varepsilon)|}{\log \varepsilon} - \frac{\log C}{\log \varepsilon} - \alpha\right| > \delta\right] < O(\varepsilon)$$

*Proof.* We assume  $\alpha + \delta < 1$ , and  $\varepsilon < 1$ .

$$\begin{split} &\Pr\left[\inf_{x}\frac{\log|f(x)-f(x+\varepsilon)|}{\log\varepsilon}-\frac{\log C}{\log\varepsilon}-\alpha<\delta\right] \\ &\geq \Pr\left[\frac{\log|f(x)-f(x+\varepsilon)|}{\log\varepsilon}-\frac{\log C}{\log\varepsilon}-\alpha<\delta\right] \\ &=\Pr\left[|f(x)-f(x+\varepsilon)|>C\varepsilon^{\alpha+\delta}\right] \end{split}$$

Now we choose some  $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$ , and note that this implies  $C\varepsilon'^{\alpha+\delta} \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon'} > C\varepsilon^{\alpha+\delta}$ , so

$$\begin{split} & \geq \Pr\left[|f(x) - f(x + \varepsilon)| > C\varepsilon'^{\alpha + \delta} \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon'}\right] \\ & = \Pr\left[|f(x') - f(x' + \varepsilon')| > C\varepsilon'^{\alpha + \delta}\right] \qquad \text{using scale invariance} \\ & \geq \Pr\left[\frac{\log|f(x') - f(x' + \varepsilon')|}{\log \varepsilon'} - \frac{\log C}{\log \varepsilon'} - \alpha < \delta\right]. \end{split}$$

Using the previous proposition, we can choose small enough  $\varepsilon'$  to make this probability arbitrarily close to 1. Thus for all  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\Pr\left[\left|\inf_{x} \frac{\log |f(x) - f(x + \varepsilon)|}{\log \varepsilon} - \frac{\log C}{\log \varepsilon} - \alpha\right| > \delta\right] = 0$$

Using the approximation above, for some specific  $\varepsilon$ , we can approximate  $\alpha$  by

$$\alpha = \inf_{x} \frac{\log |f(x) - f(x + \varepsilon)|}{\log \varepsilon} - \frac{\log C}{\log \varepsilon} + O(\varepsilon).$$

We then use  $\inf_i a_i^{(j)}$  as an upper estimation:

**Theorem 3.** Assume (some condition). Then for any specific  $\varepsilon_i$ ,

$$\inf\nolimits_{i \in [n]} a_i^{(j)} < \inf\nolimits_x \frac{\log |f(x) - f(x + \varepsilon_j)|}{\log \varepsilon_j} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right).$$

*Proof.* To be done. Need to work out what assumptions I need.

Put all these together, we have a curve to fit, with error terms bounded and going to zero:

$$\alpha + \frac{\log C}{\log \varepsilon_j} = \inf_i a_i^{(j)} + O(\varepsilon) + O\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right).$$
 (3)

More work needed to turn this into an error bound for  $\alpha$ , by considering the curve regression error.

Thus our testing strategy is:

- 1. Pick some small  $\varepsilon_1$  and randomly pick  $x_1^{(1)}, \dots, x_n^{(1)}$  in the domain of interested function.
- 2. Calculate

$$a_i^{(j)} := \frac{\log \left| f(x_i^{(j)}) - f(x_i^{(j)} + \varepsilon_j) \right|}{\log \varepsilon_j}.$$

- 3. Calculate  $\inf_i a_i^{(j)}$
- 4. Do the same for successively smaller  $\varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_m, \ldots$  that approach 0.
- 5. Fit the curve (3). Then read the  $\alpha$  and C.

## References

Durrett, Richard (2010). *Probability: Theory and Examples.* 4th ed. Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics. Cambridge; New York: Cambridge University Press. 428 pp. ISBN: 978-0-521-76539-8.