

## QUANTITATIVE ECONOMICS - SPRING 2018

Answer any FIVE questions.

1. Suppose you have the nonlinear regression

$$y_i = \alpha(1 + \beta)^{(2x_i - 1)} + u_i, \quad E[u_i|x_i] = 0$$

where  $u_i$  are zero mean IID error term and IID data  $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ . Describe in detail how you could estimate  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ?

2. Prove that  $R^2$  associated with a restricted least squares estimator is never larger than that associated with the the unrestricted least squares estimator.
3. Consider the following data generating process

$$Y_t = c + \phi_1 Y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t + \theta_1 \varepsilon_{t-1}$$

where  $\varepsilon_t$  is a white noise process. Assuming  $0 < \phi_1 < 1$  and  $0 < \theta_1 < 1$  answer the following:

- (a) Derive the expected value of this process.
  - (b) Derive the variance of this process.
  - (c) Derive the covariance of this process for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ .
  - (d) Derive the autocorrelation function of this process for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ .
4. Consider two processes  $y_t$  and  $x_t$  where we have  $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$  observations on each. Suppose that  $y_t$  represents a 10 year interest rate and  $x_t$  represents a three month interest rate. Suppose we are interested in eventually forecasting  $y_t$  with the help of both past values of  $y$  as well as past values of  $x$ . With this information, answer the following questions:
- (a) Write down an ARMA(1,1) which also includes a lagged value for  $x$ .
  - (b) How do you know how many lags to include for  $y$ ? How do you know how many lags to include for  $\varepsilon$  (the error term)?
  - (c) How do you know how many lags to include for  $x$ ?
  - (d) Write down the  $h$  - step ahead value for  $y$  ( $y_{t+h}$ ) that you put down in part (a).
  - (e) For  $h = 1$ , construct the forecast value of  $y$  ( $\hat{y}_{t+h|t}$ ).
5. A researcher investigating the incidence of teenage knife crime has the following data for each of 35 cities for 2008:

$K$  = number of knife crimes per 1,000 population in 2008

$N$  = number of teenagers per 1,000 population living in social deprivation in 2008

The researcher hypothesises that the relationship between  $K$  and  $N$  is given by

$$K = \beta_1 + \beta_2 N + u$$

where  $u$  is a disturbance term that satisfies the usual regression model assumptions. However, knife crime tends to be under-reported, with the degree of under-reporting worst in the most heavily afflicted boroughs, so that

$$R = K + w$$

where  $R$  = number of reported knife crimes per 1,000 population in 2008 and  $w$  is a random variable with  $E(w) < 0$  and  $cov(w, K) < 0$ .  $w$  may be assumed to be distributed independently of  $u$ . Note that  $cov(w, K) < 0$  implies  $cov(w, N) < 0$ . Derive analytically the sign of the bias in the estimator of  $\beta_2$  if the researcher regresses  $R$  on  $N$  using ordinary least squares.

6. You are given the regression model

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \beta_2 X_{2i} + \beta_3 X_{3i} + u_i$$

You want to test the null hypothesis

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &: \beta_1 \beta_3^2 = \beta_2 \\ H_A &: \beta_1 \beta_3^2 \neq \beta_2 \end{aligned}$$

Show how you would construct the test statistic.

7. Consider the regression  $Y = X\beta + u$  where  $X$  is  $n \times k$  matrix and  $\beta$  is a  $k \times 1$  vector.  $E(u_i) = 0$ ;  $E(u_i^2) = \sigma^2$  and  $E(u_i u_j) = 0$  if  $i \neq j$ . Let  $\hat{\beta}$  denotes the least squares estimator of  $\beta$ .
- (a) Show that  $\hat{\beta}$  is conditionally unbiased.
  - (b) Derive the conditional variance of  $\hat{\beta}$ .
  - (c) Show that  $\hat{\beta}$  is a consistent estimator. (Hint: Show that  $Var(\hat{\beta}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ )
  - (d) Prove that  $\hat{\beta}$  is **BLUE**.

8. Consider observations  $(Y_{it}, X_{it})$  from the linear panel data model

$$Y_{it} = \alpha_i + \beta_1 X_{it} + u_{it}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, t = 1, \dots, T.$$

where  $\alpha_i$  is an unobserved individual-specific fixed effects,  $X_{it}$  is a vector of exogenous regressors and  $u_{it}$  are zero mean *i.i.d* innovations. Describe how you would estimate  $\beta_1$ ?