

## 1 derivation

- HMJ is

$$0 = \rho\theta V_t \left( \frac{C_t^{(1-\gamma)\theta}}{((1-\gamma)V_t)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} - 1 \right) + \frac{E[dV_t]}{dt}$$

We know  $V_t$  is homogeneous in  $C_t$

$$V_t = \frac{C_t^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} G_t$$

Substituting in HMJ, we obtain

$$0 = \frac{\rho\theta}{1-\gamma} C_t^{1-\gamma} G_t (G_t^{-\frac{1}{\theta}} - 1) + \frac{C_t^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} E \frac{dG_t}{dt} + \frac{G_t}{1-\gamma} E \frac{dC_t^{1-\gamma}}{dt}$$

Using Ito,

$$E \left[ \frac{dC_t^{1-\gamma}}{C_t^{1-\gamma}} \right] = \left[ (1-\gamma)\mu_{Ct} - \frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma)\gamma\sigma_{Ct}^2 \right] dt$$

We obtain

$$0 = \rho\theta(G_t^{1-\frac{1}{\theta}} - G_t) + E \frac{dG_t}{dt} + G_t((1-\gamma)\mu_{Ct} - \frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma)\gamma\sigma_{Ct}^2)$$

## 2 Bansal Yaron

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dC_t}{C_t} &= \mu_t dt + \nu_D \sqrt{\sigma_t} dZ_t \\ d\mu_t &= \kappa_\mu (\bar{\mu} - \mu_t) dt + \nu_\mu \sqrt{\sigma_t} dZ_t^\mu \\ d\sigma_t &= \kappa_\sigma (1 - \sigma_t) dt + \nu_\sigma \sqrt{\sigma_t} dZ_t^\sigma \end{aligned}$$

Name	BY04	BY04	This paper	This paper	Link
mean growth rate	$\mu$	0.0015	$\bar{\mu}$	0.0015	$\mu = \bar{\mu}$
mean volatility	$\sigma^2$	0.00006084	$\nu_D$	0.0078	$\sqrt{\sigma^2} = \nu_D$
growth persistence	$\rho$	0.979	$\kappa_\mu$	0.0212	$-\log(\rho) = \kappa_\mu$
volatility persistence	$\nu_1$	0.987	$\kappa_\sigma$	0.0131	$-\log(\nu_1) = \kappa_\sigma$
growth rate volatility	$\varphi_e$	0.044	$\nu_\mu$	0.0003432	$\varphi_e \times \sqrt{\sigma^2} = \nu_\mu$
volatility volatility	$\sigma_w$	0.0000023	$\nu_\sigma$	0.0378	$\sigma_w / \sigma^2 = \nu_\sigma$
time discount	$\delta$	0.998	$\rho$	0.002	$-\log(\delta) = \rho$
RRA	$1 - \gamma(\text{RRA})$	7.5 or 10	$1 - \gamma$	-6.5 or -9	$1 - \text{RRA} = 1 - \gamma$
IES	$\psi$	1.5	$\psi$	1.5	$\psi = \psi$

This also means  $\theta = (1 - \gamma)/(1 - 1/\psi) = -19.50$  or  $-27$ .

We write  $G_t = G(\mu, \sigma)$  and we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \rho\theta[G^{1-\frac{1}{\theta}} - G] + G((1-\gamma)\mu - \frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma)\gamma\nu_D^2\sigma) \\ &\quad + \kappa_\mu(\bar{\mu} - \mu)\frac{\partial G}{\partial \mu} + \kappa_\sigma(1-\sigma)\frac{\partial G}{\partial \sigma} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\nu_\mu^2\sigma\frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial \mu^2} + \frac{1}{2}\nu_\sigma^2\sigma\frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial \sigma^2} \end{aligned}$$

Boundary condition = reflecting barrier

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu G(\underline{u}, \sigma) &= 0 \\ \partial_\mu G(\bar{u}, \sigma) &= 0 \\ \partial_\sigma G(u, \underline{\sigma}) &= 0 \\ \partial_\sigma G(u, \bar{\sigma}) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Finite difference

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{G_{i,j}^{n+1} - G_{i,j}^n}{\Delta} &= \rho\theta[(G_{i,j}^n)^{1-\frac{1}{\theta}} - G_{i,j}^{n+1}] + G_{i,j}^{n+1}((1-\gamma)\mu_i - \frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma)\gamma\nu_D^2\sigma_j) \\ &\quad + \kappa_{\mu_i}(\bar{\mu} - \mu_i)^+(G_{i+1,j}^{n+1} - G_{i,j}^{n+1}) + \kappa_{\mu_i}(\bar{\mu} - \mu_i)^-(G_{i,j}^{n+1} - G_{i-1,j}^{n+1}) \\ &\quad + \kappa_{\sigma_j}(1-\sigma_j)^+(G_{i,j+1}^{n+1} - G_{i,j}^{n+1}) + \kappa_{\sigma_j}(1-\sigma_j)^-(G_{i,j}^{n+1} - G_{i,j-1}^{n+1}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\nu_{\mu_i}^2\sigma_j(G_{i+1,j}^{n+1} - 2G_{i,j}^{n+1} + G_{i-1,j}^{n+1}) + \frac{1}{2}\nu_{\sigma_j}^2\sigma_j(G_{i,j+1}^{n+1} - 2G_{i,j}^{n+1} + G_{i,j-1}^{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

The monotonicity condition in  $G_{i+1,j}^{n+1}, G_{i-1,j}^{n+1}, G_{i,j+1}^{n+1}, G_{i,j-1}^{n+1}$  is always satisfied. The monotonicity condition in  $G_{i,j}^n$  is not necessarily satisfied when  $\theta < 1$  since then  $\rho\theta(G_{i,j}^n)^{1-1/\theta}$  is decreasing in  $G_{i,j}^n \Rightarrow$ . There are two solutions

- Substitute  $G_{i,j}^{n+1}$  by  $G_{i,j}^n$  in some terms increasing in  $G_{i,j}^n$ . This does not work for all possible parameter values. Scheme implemented by the type BansalYaronL.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{G_{i,j}^{n+1} - G_{i,j}^n}{\Delta} &= \rho\theta(G_{i,j}^n)^{1-\frac{1}{\theta}} \\ &\quad - (\rho\theta)^- G_{i,j}^n - (\rho\theta)^+ G_{i,j}^{n+1} \\ &\quad + ((1-\gamma)\mu_i - \frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma)\gamma\nu_D^2\sigma_j)G_{i,j}^n \\ &\quad + \kappa_{\mu_i}(\bar{\mu} - \mu_i)^+(G_{i+1,j}^{n+1} - G_{i,j}^{n+1}) + \kappa_{\mu_i}(\bar{\mu} - \mu_i)^-(G_{i,j}^{n+1} - G_{i-1,j}^{n+1}) \\ &\quad + \kappa_{\sigma_j}(1-\sigma_j)^+(G_{i,j+1}^{n+1} - G_{i,j}^{n+1}) + \kappa_{\sigma_j}(1-\sigma_j)^-(G_{i,j}^{n+1} - G_{i,j-1}^{n+1}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\nu_{\mu_i}^2\sigma_j(G_{i+1,j}^{n+1} - 2G_{i,j}^{n+1} + G_{i-1,j}^{n+1}) + \frac{1}{2}\nu_{\sigma_j}^2\sigma_j(G_{i,j+1}^{n+1} - 2G_{i,j}^{n+1} + G_{i,j-1}^{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Actually, one can always choose  $\Delta$  low enough that the scheme is increasing in  $G_{i,j}^n$  (since  $G$  is bounded on the state space). But then this introduces rounding errors.

- Substitute  $G_{ij}^n$  by  $G_{ij}^{n+1}$  in  $\rho\theta(G_{ij}^n)^{1-1/\theta}$ . Then one needs to solve a non-iterative non-linear equation instead of a iterative linear one. It's not really slower. Scheme implemented by the type BansalYaronNL.

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = & \rho\theta[(G_{ij}^{n+1})^{1-\frac{1}{\theta}} - G_{ij}^{n+1}] + G_{ij}^{n+1}((1-\gamma)\mu_i - \frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma)\gamma\nu_D^2\sigma_j) \\
& + \kappa_{\mu_i}(\bar{\mu} - \mu_i)^+(G_{i+1,j}^{n+1} - G_{i,j}^{n+1}) + \kappa_{\mu_i}(\bar{\mu} - \mu_i)^-(G_{i,j}^{n+1} - G_{i-1,j}^{n+1}) \\
& + \kappa_{\sigma_j}(1-\sigma_j)^+(G_{i,j+1}^{n+1} - G_{i,j}^{n+1}) + \kappa_{\sigma_j}(1-\sigma_j)^-(G_{i,j}^{n+1} - G_{i,j-1}^{n+1}) \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\nu_{\mu_i}^2\sigma_j(G_{i+1,j}^{n+1} - 2G_{i,j}^{n+1} + G_{i-1,j}^{n+1}) + \frac{1}{2}\nu_{\sigma_j}^2\sigma_j(G_{i,j+1}^{n+1} - 2G_{i,j}^{n+1} + G_{i,j-1}^{n+1})
\end{aligned}$$

### 3 Comparaison

Denote  $k_t$  the consumption to wealth ratio

$$V = G_t k_t^{1-\gamma} \frac{W^{1-\gamma}}{(1-\gamma)}$$

FOC for consumption

$$k_t = \rho^\psi k_t^{1-\psi} G_t^{\frac{1-\psi}{1-\gamma}}$$

therefore

$$k_t = \rho G_t^{-1/\theta}$$

Bansal Yaron find

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\theta} \log G_t - \log \rho & \approx A_1 \mu_t + A_2 \nu_D^2 \sigma_t \\
A_1 & = \frac{1 - \frac{1}{\psi}}{1 - 0.997e^{-\kappa_\mu}} \\
A_2 & = 0.5\theta \frac{(1 - \frac{1}{\psi})^2 + (A_1 \kappa_1 \frac{\nu_\mu}{\nu_D^2})^2}{1 - 0.997e^{-\kappa_\sigma}}
\end{aligned}$$