

## NUMERIC

- [Achdou, Han, Lasry, Lions, and Moll \(2016\)](#) (mainly the numerical appendix), Moll's website (more examples)
- [Forsyth and Vetzal \(2012\)](#) (good slides)

In general form, the HJB equation is an equation of the form

$$0 = F(\mathbf{x}, V, DV, D^2V) \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbf{x} := (x, \tau)$ .

**Finite difference methods:** replace derivatives by differences.

Suppose we define a grid  $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots\}$  and a set of timesteps  $\{i\Delta : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Let  $V_i^n \approx V(x_i, \tau_n)$  be the approximate value of the solution at node  $x_i$  time  $\tau^n := T - t$ . Then we can write a general discretization of the HJB equation at node  $(x_i, \tau^{n+1})$

$$0 = S_i^{n+1}((\Delta, \Delta x), V_i^{n+1}, \{V_j^m\}) \quad (2)$$

*Condition (Monotonicity)* .— The numerical scheme (2) is monotone if

$$S_i^{n+1}(\cdot, V_i^{n+1}, \{Y_j^m\}) \leq S_i^{n+1}(\cdot, V_i^{n+1}, \{Z_j^m\})$$

for all  $Y \geq Z$ .

*Condition (Stability)* .— The numerical scheme (2) is stable if for every  $\tilde{\Delta} > 0$  it has a solution which is uniformly bounded independently of  $\tilde{\Delta}$ .

*Condition (Consistency)* .— The numerical scheme (2) is consistent if for every smooth function  $\phi$  with bounded derivatives we have

$$S_i^{n+1}(\tilde{\Delta}, \phi(x_i^{n+1}), \{\phi(x_j^m)\}) \rightarrow F(x, \phi, D\phi, D^2\phi)$$

as  $\tilde{\Delta} \rightarrow 0$  and  $x_i^{n+1} \rightarrow x$ .

**Theorem** Barles and Souganidis (1990) . *If the numerical scheme (2) satisfies monotonicity, stability and consistency conditions, then its solution converges locally uniformly to the unique viscosity solution of (1).*

Recall that we can write our HJB equation as

$$\partial_{\tau} v_k(a, \tau) + \rho v_k(a, \tau) - \sup_{c \in \Gamma_k(a)} \left\{ u(c) + \mathcal{D}_{\tau-\tau}^c v_k(a, \tau) \right\} = 0 \quad (3)$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_t^c \phi_k(a) = \partial_a \phi_k(a) [r_t a + z_k - c] + \lambda_k [\phi_{-k}(a) - \phi_k(a)]$$

Define a grid  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_i, \dots\}$  and let  $v_k^n = \left( v_k(a_1, \tau^n), \dots, v_k(a_i, \tau^n), \dots \right)'$ . Discretizing this equation requires deciding upon

- forward/backward differencing

$$\partial_a v_k(a) \approx \frac{v_{k,i+1} - v_{k,i}}{a_{i+1} - a_i}, \quad \partial_a v_k(a) \approx \frac{v_{k,i} - v_{k,i-1}}{a_i - a_{i-1}},$$

- Implicit/explicit timestepping

# DISCRETIZATION

Back to our example

Let  $\mathcal{D}^c$  be the discrete form of the differential operator  $\mathcal{D}^c$ , so that

$$(\mathcal{D}^c v)_{k,i} = \alpha_{k,i}(c) v_{k,i-1} + \beta_{k,i}(c) v_{k,i+1} - (\alpha_{k,i}(c) + \beta_{k,i}(c) + \lambda_i) v_{k,i} + \lambda_i v_{-k,i}$$

and the discretization

$$\frac{v_{k,i}^{n+1} - v_{k,i}^n}{\Delta} - \sup_{c \in \Gamma_{k,i}} \left\{ u(c) + (\mathcal{D}^c v^{n(+1)})_{k,i} \right\} = 0 \quad (4)$$

where discretization can use forward, backward or central discretization. If  $\alpha_{k,i}^{n+1} \geq 0$ ,  $\beta_{k,i}^{n+1} \geq 0$  we say that (4) is *positive coefficient discretization*. *Why do we care?* We care because a *positive coefficient discretization* is also *monotone*. To see it check that

$$S_{k,i}^{n+1}(\tilde{\Delta}, v_{k,i}^{n+1}, v_{k,i+1}^{n(+1)}, v_{k,i-1}^{n(+1)}, v_{k,i}^n, v_{-k,i}^{n(+1)})$$

is a nonincreasing function of the neighbor nodes  $\{v_{\ell,j}^m\}$ . Check a example!

In order to ensure a *positive coefficient discretization* our choice of central/forward/backward differencing will depend, in general, on the control  $c$ . A useful rule for this problem is to use the so-called *upwind scheme*.

IDEA: Use forward difference whenever drift is positive, and use backward whenever it is negative.

Suppose that we have the value of consumption  $c_{k,i}$  at a particular node. Let  $s_{k,i} = ra_i + z_k - c_{k,i}$ . In this case, the derivatives are approximated

$$\dots \frac{v_{k,i+1} - v_{k,i}}{a_{i+1} - a_i} s_{k,i}^+ + \frac{v_{k,i} - v_{k,i-1}}{a_i - a_{i-1}} s_{k,i}^- + \dots$$

which in terms of our  $\alpha, \beta$

$$\alpha_{k,i}^{up} = -\frac{s_{k,i}^-}{a_i - a_{i-1}} \geq 0, \quad \beta_{k,i}^{up} = \frac{s_{k,i}^+}{a_{i+1} - a_i} \geq 0$$

But we don't know  $c_{k,i}$ ! Need an iterative method due to max operator.

# IMPLICIT TIMESTEPPING

Start with a vector  $v^n$  and update  $v^{n+1}$  according to

$$\frac{v_{k,i}^{n+1} - v_{k,i}^n}{\Delta} + \rho v_{k,i}^{n+1} = u(c_{k,i}^n) + (v_{k,i}^{n+1})^F [s_{k,i}^{F,n}]^+ + (v_{k,i}^{n+1})^B [s_{k,i}^{B,n}]^- + \lambda_k [v_{-k,i}^{n+1} - v_{k,i}^{n+1}] \quad (5)$$

- Compute the policy from the foc  $(u'(c_{k,i}^n) = \partial_a v_{k,i}^n)$  for the backward AND forward derivative of the value function.
- Define  $s_{k,i}^{B,n} = ra_i + z_k - c_{k,i}^{B,n}$ ,  $s_{k,i}^{F,n} = ra_i + z_k - c_{k,i}^{F,n}$ . Set

$$c_{k,i}^n = \mathbb{1}_{\{s_{k,i}^{B,n} \leq 0\}} c_{k,i}^{B,n} + \mathbb{1}_{\{s_{k,i}^{F,n} \geq 0\}} c_{k,i}^{F,n} + \mathbb{1}_{\{s_{k,i}^{F,n} \leq 0 \leq s_{k,i}^{B,n}\}} (ra_i + z_k)$$

- Collecting terms with the same subscripts on the right-hand slide a

$$\frac{v_{k,i}^{n+1} - v_{k,i}^n}{\Delta} + \rho v_{k,i}^{n+1} = u(c_{k,i}^n) + \alpha_{k,i} v_{k,i-1}^{n+1} + \beta_{k,i} v_{k,i+1}^{n+1} - (\alpha_{k,i} + \beta_{k,i} + \lambda_k) v_{k,i}^{n+1} + \lambda_i v_{-k,i}^{n+1} \quad (6)$$

where

$$\alpha_{k,i}^{up} = -\frac{[s_{k,i}^{B,n}]^-}{a_i - a_{i-1}} \geq 0, \quad \beta_{k,i}^{up} = \frac{[s_{k,i}^{F,n}]^+}{a_{i+1} - a_i} \geq 0$$

- Equation (6) is just a system of linear equations!!



Here is some content on the slide

$$f(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$$

Some more content

## REFERENCES

---

ACHDOU, Y., J. HAN, J.-M. LASRY, P.-L. LIONS, AND B. MOLL (2016): “Heterogeneous Agent Models in Continuous Time,” .

BARLES, G., AND P. SOUGANIDIS (1990): *Convergence of Approximation Schemes for Fully Nonlinear Second Order Equations*, Lefschetz Center for Dynamical Systems and Center for Control Science: Lefschetz Center for Dynamical Systems and Center for Control Sciences. Division of Applied Mathematics. +Brown University+. Lefschetz Center for Dynamical Systems and Center for Control Sciences, Division of Applied Mathematics, Brown University.

FORSYTH, P. A., AND K. R. VETZAL (2012): *Numerical Methods for Nonlinear PDEs in Finance*pp. 503–528. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg.