Example State-Dependent Pricing 2

1 Intoduction

• Some comment

2 Model

• Production function

$$y_t(h) = Z_t a_t(h) \ell_t(h) \tag{2.1}$$

• Each firm h chooses prices $\{p_t\}_t$ in order to maximize its market value

$$\mathbb{E}_t \sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} D_{t,t+\tau} \Pi_{t+\tau}(h) \tag{2.2}$$

where $D_{t,t+\tau}$ is the nominal stochastic discount factor of the agent and $\Pi_{t+\tau}(h)$ are the nominal profits in period t given by

$$\Pi_t(h) = p_t(h)y_t(h) - W_t\ell_t(h) - \xi_t(h)\mathbb{1}\{p_t(h) \neq p_{t-1}(h)\}$$
(2.3)

• Value function for the firm

$$V_{t}\left(a_{t}(h), \frac{p_{t-1}(h)}{P_{t}}, \xi_{t}; \cdot\right) = \max_{p} \left\{ \Pi^{R}\left(a_{t}(h), \frac{p_{t-1}(h)}{P_{t}}, \cdot\right) - \mathbb{1}\{p \neq p_{t-1}(h)\}\xi + \mathbb{E}_{t}\left[D_{t,t+1}^{R}V_{t+1}\left(a_{t+1}(h), \frac{p}{P_{t+1}}, \xi_{t+1}, \cdot\right)\right]\right\}$$
(2.4)

• Rewriting the problem

$$v(a, \tilde{p}_{-1}; \cdot) = \int_{\xi} \max \left\{ V^{A}(a, \tilde{p}_{-1}; \cdot) - \xi w(\cdot), \ V^{N}(a, \tilde{p}_{-1}; \cdot) \right\} dH(\xi)$$

where

$$\begin{split} V^{A}(a,\tilde{p}_{-1};\;\cdot\;) &= \max_{\tilde{p}} \left\{ \Pi^{R}\left(a,\tilde{p},\cdot\right) + \mathbb{E}\bigg[D^{R}(\cdot,\cdot)v\Big(a,\tilde{p}\pi_{t+1}^{-1};\;\cdot\;\Big)\bigg]\right\} \\ V^{N}(a,\tilde{p}_{-1};\;\cdot\;) &= \Pi^{R}\left(a,\tilde{p}_{-1},\cdot\right) + \mathbb{E}\bigg[D^{R}(\cdot,\cdot)v\Big(a',\tilde{p}_{-1}\pi_{t+1}^{-1};\;\cdot\;\Big)\bigg] \end{split} \tag{2.5}$$

The firm will choose to pay the fixed cost iff $V^A - \xi \ge V^N$. Hence, for each individual state a, \tilde{p}_{-1} there is a unique threshold which makes the firm indifferent between these two options

$$\tilde{\xi}(a,\tilde{p};) = \frac{V^A(a,\tilde{p}) - V^N(a,\tilde{p})}{w}$$

 \bullet The firm value function V is therefore given by

$$v(a, \tilde{p}_{-1}; \cdot) = \int_{0}^{\xi(a, \tilde{p}_{-1})} \left[V^{A}(a, \tilde{p}_{-1}; \cdot) - \xi w(\cdot) \right] d\xi + \left[1 - H\left(\tilde{\xi}(a, \tilde{p}_{-1}; \cdot)\right) \right] V^{N}(a, \tilde{p}_{-1}; \cdot)$$
(2.6)

2.1 Household

2.2 Equilibrium

Equilibrium. A recursive competitive equilibrium is a set of value functions $\{v, V^A, V^N\}$, policies $\{\tilde{p}, \xi\}$ for the firm and household $\{C(), N()\}$, and wage $w(\cdot)$ such that

- 1. Firm optimization Taking w(), Y() as given the value function solves the Bellman equation and the $\{\tilde{p}, \xi\}$ are the associated policies
- 2. Household optimization

$$R_t \mathbb{E} \left\{ \beta \frac{u_c(C_{t+1})}{u_c(C_t)} \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} \right\} = 1, \qquad N^{1/\varphi} = \frac{1}{\chi} C^{-\sigma} w(\cdot)$$

- 3. Market clearing
 - Labor market

$$\left(\frac{1}{\chi}C^{-\sigma}w\right)^{\varphi} = \int \left[\frac{\tilde{p}(a,\tilde{p}_{-1})^{-\epsilon}Y}{a} + \left(\int^{\xi()}\zeta dH(\zeta)\right)w\right]d\mu \tag{2.7}$$

• Goods market

$$C_{t} = Y_{t} = \left(\int_{0}^{1} y(h)^{\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}} dh\right)^{\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon-1}}$$

$$= \left(\int_{0}^{1} y(a, \tilde{p}_{-1}) d\mu\right)^{\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon-1}}$$
(2.8)

where $y(a, \tilde{p}_{-1}) = \left(\tilde{p}(a, \tilde{p}_{-1})\right)^{-\epsilon} Y$

4. Law of motion Distribution

2.3 Computation

Compute Steady State

- 1. Guess a value for the wage w^*
- 2. Given w^* compute the firm's value function by iterating on Bellman equation. Note that Y can be suppressed from the stationary Bellman because it is a multiplicative constant.
- 3. Using firm's decision rules, compute the invariant distribution
- 4. Compute aggregate supply using the invariant distribution

$$\frac{C}{Y} = \left(\int \tilde{p}(a, \tilde{p}_{-1})^{1-\epsilon} d\mu\right)^{\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon-1}}$$

If < 1 increase w otherwise decrease w (Check on code)

2.4 How to do the perturbation of policy

Policy outside steady state must satisfy

$$x'(z, x; X_t) = \underset{x'}{\operatorname{argmax}} \left\{ U(z, x, x'; X_t) + \beta \operatorname{itp} \left[V_{E,t}, V_E^* \right] (x') \right\}$$
 (2.9)

where

$$\operatorname{itp}\left[V_{E,t}^*, V_E^*\right](\tilde{x}) = V_E^*(\tilde{x}) + \frac{\tilde{x} - \bar{x}_i}{\bar{x}_{i+1} - \bar{x}_i} \left(V_{E,t}[i+1] - V_{E,t}^*(\bar{x}_{i+1})\right) + \frac{\bar{x}_{i+1} - \tilde{x}}{\bar{x}_{i+1} - \bar{x}_i} \left(V_{E,t}[i] - V_{E,t}^*(\bar{x}_i)\right)$$
(2.10)

so that in steady state the whole expression reduces to simply $V^*(\tilde{x})$.

Taking foc

$$0 = U_{x'}\left(z, x, x'(z, x; X_t); X_t\right) + \beta \left[\frac{dV_E^*(x'(z, x; X_t))}{dx} + \frac{dV_{E,t}[i+1] - dV_{E,t}[i]}{\bar{x}_{i+1} - \bar{x}_i}\right]$$
(dvdc)

which at steady-state satisfy

$$0 = U_{x'}(z, x, x^*(z, x); X^*) + \beta \left[\frac{dV_E^*(x^*(z, x))}{dx} \right]$$

while the soc at steady state values

$$U_{x'x'}(z, x, x^*(z, x); X^*) + \beta \frac{d^2V^*(x^*(z, x))}{dx^2}$$
 (d2vdc2)

Hence

$$\frac{dx'(z, x; X_t)}{dX_t} = -\frac{1}{d2\text{vdc}2} \left(d2\text{vdc}2.\text{der} \right)$$
(2.11)