

## 18.303 Problem Set 6 Solutions

### Problem 1: (10+10 points)

- (a)  $\hat{A}^{-1}$  is Hermitian, i.e.,  $\langle u, \hat{A}^{-1}v \rangle = \langle \hat{A}^{-1}u, v \rangle$ . Substituting  $\hat{A}^{-1}u(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\Omega} G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')u(\mathbf{x}')d^n\mathbf{x}'$ , we must therefore have:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle u, \hat{A}^{-1}v \rangle &= \iint_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \in \Omega} \overline{u(\mathbf{x})} G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') v(\mathbf{x}') \\ &= \langle \hat{A}^{-1}u, v \rangle \\ &= \iint_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \in \Omega} \overline{G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')u(\mathbf{x}')} v(\mathbf{x}) = \iint_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \in \Omega} \overline{u(\mathbf{x})} \overline{G(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x})} v(\mathbf{x}'),\end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we have interchanged/relabelled  $\mathbf{x} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}'$ . Since this must be true for all  $u$  and  $v$ , it follows that

$$\boxed{G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \overline{G(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x})}}.$$

- (b) A (time-independent) source term at  $\mathbf{x}'$  corresponds to  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')$  or some multiple thereof, in which case the steady-state solution ( $\partial u / \partial t = 0$ ) is  $u(\mathbf{x}) = G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$ , where  $G$  is the Green's function of  $-\nabla \cdot D \nabla$ . If there is diffusion from  $\mathbf{x}'$  to  $\mathbf{x}$ , that means that  $u(\mathbf{x}) = G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') \neq 0$  (i.e. a source at  $\mathbf{x}'$  eventually produces a nonzero concentration at  $\mathbf{x}$ ). By reciprocity, however, this means that  $G(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}) = G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') \neq 0$  ( $G$  is real since the operator is real), and this is precisely the concentration at  $\mathbf{x}$  from a source at  $\mathbf{x}'$ . That is, reciprocity means that diffusion from  $\mathbf{x}'$  to  $\mathbf{x}$  is exactly the same (produces the same concentration) as diffusion from  $\mathbf{x}$  to  $\mathbf{x}'$ , so one-way diffusion (or even *slightly* asymmetrical diffusion) is not possible.

### Problem 2: (5+5+10 points)

Recall that the displacement  $u(x, t)$  of a stretched string [with fixed ends:  $u(0, t) = u(L, t) = 0$ ] satisfies the wave equation  $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + f(x, t) = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}$ , where  $f(x, t)$  is an external force density (pressure) on the string.

- (a) Suppose that  $\tilde{u}$  solves  $\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}}{\partial x^2} + \tilde{f}(x, t) = \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}}{\partial t^2}$  and satisfies  $\tilde{u}(0, t) = \tilde{u}(L, t) = 0$ . Now, consider  $u = \text{Re } \tilde{u} = \frac{\tilde{u} + \bar{\tilde{u}}}{2}$ . Clearly,  $u(0, t) = u(L, t) = 0$ , so  $u$  satisfies the same boundary conditions. It also satisfies the PDE:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}}{\partial t^2} + \overline{\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}}{\partial t^2}} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}}{\partial x^2} + \tilde{f} + \overline{\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}}{\partial x^2} + \tilde{f}} \right] \\ &= \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + f,\end{aligned}$$

since  $f = \frac{\tilde{f} + \bar{\tilde{f}}}{2} = \text{Re } \tilde{f}$ . The key factors that allowed us to do this are (i) *linearity*, and (ii) the *real-ness* of the PDE (the PDE itself contains no  $i$  factors or other complex coefficients).

- (b) Plugging  $u(x, t) = v(x)e^{-i\omega t}$  and  $f(x, t) = g(x)e^{-i\omega t}$  into the PDE, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} e^{-i\omega t} + g e^{-i\omega t} = -\omega^2 v e^{-i\omega t},$$

and hence

$$\left( -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \omega^2 \right) v = g$$

and  $\boxed{\hat{A} = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \omega^2}$ . The boundary conditions are  $v(0) = v(L) = 0$ , from the boundary conditions on  $u$ .

Since  $\omega^2$  is real, this is in the general Sturm-Liouville form that we showed in class is **self-adjoint**.

Subtracting a constant from an operator just shifts all of the eigenvalues by that constant, keeping the eigenfunctions the same. Thus  $\hat{A}$  is still positive-definite if  $\omega^2$  is  $<$  the smallest eigenvalue of  $-\partial^2 / \partial x^2$ , and positive

semidefinite if  $\omega^2 =$  the smallest eigenvalue. In this case, we know analytically that the eigenvalues of  $-\partial^2/\partial x^2$  with these boundary conditions are  $(n\pi/L)^2$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . So  $\hat{A}$  is positive-definite if  $\omega^2 < (\pi/L)^2$ , it is positive-semidefinite if  $\omega^2 = (\pi/L)^2$ , and it is indefinite otherwise.

- (c) We know that  $\hat{A}G(x, x') = 0$  for  $x \neq x'$ . Also, just as in class and as in the notes, the fact that  $\hat{A}G(x, x') = \delta(x - x')$  means that  $G$  must be continuous (otherwise there would be a  $\delta'$  factor) and  $\partial G/\partial x$  must have a jump discontinuity:

$$\left. \frac{\partial G}{\partial x} \right|_{x=x'+} - \left. \frac{\partial G}{\partial x} \right|_{x=x'-} = -1$$

for  $-\partial^2 G/\partial x^2$  to give  $\delta(x - x')$ . We could also show this more explicitly by integrating:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x'-0+}^{x'+0+} \hat{A}G \, dx &= \int_{x'-0+}^{x'+0+} \delta(x - x') \, dx = 1 \\ &= -\left. \frac{\partial G}{\partial x} \right|_{x'-0+}^{x'+0+} - \cancel{\int_{x'-0+}^{x'+0+} \omega^2 G \, dx}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives the same result.

Now, similar to class, we will solve it separately for  $x < x'$  and for  $x > x'$ , and then impose the continuity requirements at  $x = x'$  to find the unknown coefficients.

For  $x < x'$ ,  $\hat{A}G = 0$  means that  $\frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x^2} = -\omega^2 G$ , hence  $G(x, x')$  is some sine or cosine of  $\omega x$ . But since  $G(0, x') = 0$ , we must therefore have  $G(x, x') = \alpha \sin(\omega x)$  for some coefficient  $\alpha$ .

Similarly, for  $x > x'$ , we also have a sine or cosine of  $\omega x$ . To get  $G(L, x') = 0$ , the simplest way to do this is to use a sine with a phase shift:  $G(x, x') = \beta \sin(\omega[L - x])$  for some coefficient  $\beta$ .

Continuity now gives two equations in the two unknowns  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \sin(\omega x') &= \beta \sin(\omega[L - x']) \\ \alpha \omega \cos(\omega x') &= -\beta \omega \cos(\omega[L - x']) + 1, \end{aligned}$$

which has the solution  $\alpha = \frac{1}{\omega} \frac{\sin(\omega[L - x'])}{\cos(\omega x') \sin(\omega[L - x']) + \sin(\omega x') \cos(\omega[L - x'])}$ ,  $\beta = \frac{1}{\omega} \frac{\sin(\omega x')}{\cos(\omega x') \sin(\omega[L - x']) + \sin(\omega x') \cos(\omega[L - x'])}$ . This simplifies a bit from the identity  $\sin A \cos B + \cos B \sin A = \sin(A + B)$ , and hence

$$G(x, x') = \frac{1}{\omega \sin(\omega L)} \begin{cases} \sin(\omega x) \sin(\omega[L - x']) & x < x' \\ \sin(\omega x') \sin(\omega[L - x]) & x \geq x' \end{cases},$$

which obviously obeys reciprocity.

Note that if  $\omega$  is an eigenfrequency, i.e.  $\omega = n\pi/L$  for some  $n$ , then this  $G$  blows up. The reason is that  $\hat{A}$  in that case is singular (the  $n$ -th eigenvalue was shifted to zero), and defining  $\hat{A}^{-1}$  is more problematical. (Physically, this corresponds to driving the oscillating string at a resonance frequency, which generally leads to a diverging solution unless there is dissipation in the system.)