

# Text Mining Homework 2

*Aimee Barciauskas, Felix Gutmann, Guglielmo Pelino, Thomas Vicente*

*30 aprile 2016*

## Exercise 2

(a) The parameters are:

- $\{\rho_k\}_{k=1,\dots,K}$  for the latent variables;
- $\{\beta_k^1\}_{k=1,\dots,K}$  for the first distribution, where each  $\beta_k^1$  is a  $V_1$  dimensional probability vector (i.e. belonging to the  $(V_1 - 1)$ -simplex);
- $\{\beta_k^2\}_{k=1,\dots,K}$  for the second distribution, where each  $\beta_k^2$  is a  $V_2$  dimensional probability vector.

The observed data are the two vector of counts matrices which we will denote by  $\mathbf{X}^1$  and  $\mathbf{X}^2$ ; finally, the latent variables are the  $z_i$ 's.

(b) Denoting the complete likelihood as  $L(\mathbf{X}^1, \mathbf{X}^2, \mathbf{z} | \boldsymbol{\rho}, \mathbf{B}^1, \mathbf{B}^2)$ , we observe that the joint distribution for a single observation with  $z_i = k$  (where  $x_i^1$  is the  $i$ -th row of  $\mathbf{X}^1$ ) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} P(x_i^1, x_i^2, z_i = k | \boldsymbol{\rho}, \mathbf{B}^1, \mathbf{B}^2) &= P(x_i^1, x_i^2 | \boldsymbol{\rho}, \mathbf{B}^1, \mathbf{B}^2, z_i = k) P(z_i = k | \boldsymbol{\rho}, \mathbf{B}^1, \mathbf{B}^2) = [\text{cond. independence of demands}] \\ &= P(x_i^1 | \boldsymbol{\rho}, \mathbf{B}^1, \mathbf{B}^2, z_i = k) P(x_i^2 | \boldsymbol{\rho}, \mathbf{B}^1, \mathbf{B}^2, z_i = k) P(z_i = k | \boldsymbol{\rho}, \mathbf{B}^1, \mathbf{B}^2) \\ &= \prod_{v_1=1}^{V_1} (\beta_{k,v_1}^1)^{x_{i,v_1}^1} \prod_{v_2=1}^{V_2} (\beta_{k,v_2}^2)^{x_{i,v_2}^2} \rho_k. \end{aligned}$$

Using this expression we can write in general,

$$P(x_i^1, x_i^2, z_i | \boldsymbol{\rho}, \mathbf{B}^1, \mathbf{B}^2) = \prod_k \left[ \rho_k \prod_{v_1} (\beta_{k,v_1}^1)^{x_{i,v_1}^1} \prod_{v_2} (\beta_{k,v_2}^2)^{x_{i,v_2}^2} \right]^{\mathbb{1}_{(z_i=k)}}.$$

Thus, for the independence between the different observations, the complete likelihood is:

$$L(\mathbf{X}^1, \mathbf{X}^2, \mathbf{z}) = \prod_i \prod_k \left[ \rho_k \prod_{v_1} (\beta_{k,v_1}^1)^{x_{i,v_1}^1} \prod_{v_2} (\beta_{k,v_2}^2)^{x_{i,v_2}^2} \right]^{\mathbb{1}_{(z_i=k)}}.$$

Taking the log we get the complete data log-likelihood:

$$l(\mathbf{X}^1, \mathbf{X}^2, \mathbf{z}) = \sum_i \sum_k \mathbb{1}_{(z_i=k)} \left[ \log(\rho_k) + \sum_{v_1} x_{i,v_1}^1 \log(\beta_{k,v_1}^1) + \sum_{v_2} x_{i,v_2}^2 \log(\beta_{k,v_2}^2) \right].$$

(c) In the  $n$ -th E-step of the algorithm we compute the expected value of the complete log-likelihood w.r.t. the conditional distribution of  $\mathbf{z} | \mathbf{X}^1, \mathbf{X}^2, \boldsymbol{\rho}^n, \mathbf{B}_n^1, \mathbf{B}_n^2$ , where  $\boldsymbol{\rho}^n, \mathbf{B}_n^1, \mathbf{B}_n^2$  are the parameter values in the current iteration.

Given this conditional distribution, all we have to compute is

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{1}_{(z_i=k)} | \boldsymbol{\rho}^n, \mathbf{B}_n^1, \mathbf{B}_n^2, \mathbf{X}^1, \mathbf{X}^2) = P(z_i = k | \boldsymbol{\rho}^n, \mathbf{B}_n^1, \mathbf{B}_n^2, \mathbf{X}^1, \mathbf{X}^2) \equiv \hat{z}_{i,k}^n,$$

because the other terms in  $l$  are not functions of  $\mathbf{z}$ .  
By Bayes formula we can compute

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{z}_{i,k}^n &= P(z_i = k \mid \boldsymbol{\rho}^n, \mathbf{B}_n^1, \mathbf{B}_n^2, x_i^1, x_i^2) \\ &\propto P(x_i^1, x_i^2 \mid \boldsymbol{\rho}^n, \mathbf{B}_n^1, \mathbf{B}_n^2, z_i = k) P(z_i = k \mid \boldsymbol{\rho}^n, \mathbf{B}_n^1, \mathbf{B}_n^2) = [\text{cond. independence of demands}] \\ &= \rho_k^n P(x_i^1 \mid \boldsymbol{\rho}^n, \mathbf{B}_n^1, \mathbf{B}_n^2, z_i = k) P(x_i^2 \mid \boldsymbol{\rho}^n, \mathbf{B}_n^1, \mathbf{B}_n^2, z_i = k) \\ &= \rho_k^n \prod_{v_1}^{V_1} (\beta_{k,v_1}^{(n,1)})^{x_{i,v_1}^1} \prod_{v_2}^{V_2} (\beta_{k,v_2}^{(n,2)})^{x_{i,v_2}^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can write the  $Q$  function as

$$Q(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \mathbf{B}^1, \mathbf{B}^2, \boldsymbol{\rho}^n, \mathbf{B}_n^1, \mathbf{B}_n^2) = \sum_i \sum_k \hat{z}_{i,k}^n [\log(\rho_k) + \sum_{v_1}^{V_1} x_{i,v_1}^1 \log(\beta_{k,v_1}^1) + \sum_{v_2}^{V_2} x_{i,v_2}^2 \log(\beta_{k,v_2}^2)].$$

We note that  $Q$  depends on both the current iteration values  $\boldsymbol{\rho}^n, \mathbf{B}_n^1, \mathbf{B}_n^2$  because of  $\hat{z}_{i,k}^n$  and on  $\boldsymbol{\rho}, \mathbf{B}^1, \mathbf{B}^2$  because of the second part of the expression.

(d) For the M step we have to maximize  $Q$  w.r.t. the parameter values, with the constraints on the probability vectors  $\boldsymbol{\rho}, \beta^1, \beta^2$ .

The associated Lagrangian is the following:

$$Q(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \mathbf{B}^1, \mathbf{B}^2, \boldsymbol{\rho}^n, \mathbf{B}_n^1, \mathbf{B}_n^2) + \nu(1 - \sum_k \rho_k) + \sum_k \lambda_{k,1}(1 - \sum_{v_1} \beta_{k,v_1}^1) + \sum_k \lambda_{k,2}(1 - \sum_{v_2} \beta_{k,v_2}^2).$$

Taking the derivative w.r.t.  $\rho_j$  and setting it to 0 we find

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_j} = \sum_i \hat{z}_{i,j}^n \frac{1}{\rho_j} - \nu = 0,$$

and thus

$$\rho_j = \sum_i \hat{z}_{i,j}^n \frac{1}{\nu}.$$

By summing over  $j$  in the last expression, and recalling our constraint on  $\boldsymbol{\rho}$  which is a probability vector, we obtain:

$$1 = \sum_{i,j} \hat{z}_{i,j}^n \frac{1}{\nu},$$

which implies  $\nu = \sum_{i,j} \hat{z}_{i,j}^n$ .

This finally gives us the expression for the updated parameter for  $\rho_k^{n+1}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, K$  in the  $n+1$ -th iteration of the algorithm:

$$\rho_k^{n+1} = \frac{\sum_i \hat{z}_{i,k}^n}{\sum_{i,k} \hat{z}_{i,k}^n}.$$

Moreover, maximizing over  $\beta$ 's we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_{j,v_1}^1} = \sum_i \left[ \hat{z}_{i,j}^n x_{i,v_1}^1 \frac{1}{\beta_{j,v_1}^1} \right] - \lambda_{j,1} = 0$$

which in turns can be rewritten as

$$\sum_i \hat{z}_{i,j}^n x_{i,v_1}^1 - \beta_{j,v_1}^1 \lambda_{j,1} = 0.$$

Summing over  $v_1$  and recalling that by definition  $\sum_{v_1} \beta_{j,v_1}^1 = 1$  for all  $j$ 's, we find

$$\sum_{v_1} \sum_i \hat{z}_{i,j}^n x_{i,v_1}^1 = \lambda_{j,1},$$

which finally gives for each  $k = 1, \dots, K$

$$\beta_{k,v_1}^{1,(n+1)} = \frac{\sum_i \hat{z}_{i,k}^n x_{i,v_1}^1}{\sum_i \hat{z}_{i,k}^n \sum_{v_1} x_{i,v_1}^1},$$

and repeating the same steps for  $\beta^2$  we find

$$\beta_{k,v_2}^{2,(n+1)} = \frac{\sum_i \hat{z}_{i,k}^n x_{i,v_2}^2}{\sum_i \hat{z}_{i,k}^n \sum_{v_2} x_{i,v_2}^2}.$$

(e) Noting that in all updates formulae  $\hat{z}_{i,k}^n$  is present both in the numerator and denominator, and given that we know its value up to a normalization constant (see above when we first computed it), we can actually use the un-normalized version, which we denote by  $\xi_{i,k}^n$ , to compute the updated parameters in each iteration. The result is the following algorithm:

---

**Algorithm 1** EM algorithm pseudo-code

---

Initialize parameters  $\boldsymbol{\rho}^0, \mathbf{B}_1^0, \mathbf{B}_2^0$

**FOR**  $n > 0$ , while a stopping condition is not met, **DO**

Compute  $\xi_{i,k}^n = \rho_k^n \prod_{v_1} (\beta_{k,v_1}^{n,1})^{x_{i,v_1}} \prod_{v_2} (\beta_{k,v_2}^{n,2})^{x_{i,v_2}}$

Update:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_k^{n+1} &= \frac{\sum_i \xi_{i,k}^n}{\sum_i \sum_k \xi_{i,k}^n} \\ \beta_{k,v_1}^{(n+1,1)} &= \frac{\sum_i \xi_{i,k}^n x_{i,v_1}^1}{\sum_i \xi_{i,k}^n \sum_{v_1} x_{i,v_1}^1} \\ \beta_{k,v_2}^{(n+1,2)} &= \frac{\sum_i \xi_{i,k}^n x_{i,v_2}^2}{\sum_i \xi_{i,k}^n \sum_{v_2} x_{i,v_2}^2} \end{aligned}$$


---

As a stopping condition, one can typically fix a threshold and stop as soon as the difference between the updated parameter and the previous value is smaller than that threshold.