# DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATICS

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN

Bachelor's Thesis in Informatics

# Combatting the Precision Loss of Partial Contexts in Abstract Interpretation

Felix Sebastian Krayer

## DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATICS

#### TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN

Bachelor's Thesis in Informatics

# Combatting the Precision Loss of Partial Contexts in Abstract Interpretation

# Bekämpfung des Präzisionsverlust durch partielle Kontexte in Abstrakter Interpretation

Author: Felix Sebastian Krayer

Supervisor: Supervisor Advisor: Advisor

Submission Date: 15th of February 2023

I confirm that this bachelor's thesis in informmented all sources and material used.	natics is my own work and I have docu-
Munich, 15th of February 2023	Felix Sebastian Krayer



# **Abstract**

# **Contents**

Acknowledgments  Abstract									iii
									iv
1	Introduction								
2	Bacl	kgroun	nd						3
	2.1	Relate	ed Work						3
	2.2	Static	Analysis						3
		2.2.1	Flow sensitive analysis						3
		2.2.2	Constraint systems						4
3	Mai	n Cont	tributions						5
	3.1	Taint a	analysis						5
		3.1.1	Formal description						5
		3.1.2	Implementation						5
	3.2	Benefi	fiting other Analyses						5
4	Eval	luation	n						7
	4.1	Testin	ng						7
	4.2	Bench	hmarking						7
5	Conclusion								8
Li	st of	Figures	es						9
Li	st of	Tables	3						10
Bi	bliog	raphy							11

## 1 Introduction

```
int function (int a) {
     //a = [0, 12]; y = [1, 2]
 3
     a = a * 2;
 4
     return a; //x_f = [0, 24]; y = [1, 2]
 5
   }
 6
7
   int y; //global
8
9
   int main() {
10
     int x; //local
11
     x = 0;
12
13
     x = function(0); // a = x = [0, 0]; y = [1, 1]
14
15
     // x = [0, 24], y = [1, 1]
16
17
     //...
18
19
20
     x = function(12); // a = x = [12, 12]; y = [2, 2]
     // x = [0, 24], y = [2, 2]
21
22 }
```

**Structure:** First we will introduce the basics of static analysis. This will go by introducing constraint systems and how these are used to gain information about the program statically. It will be accompanied by an example of a value-of-variables analysis acting on a toy language we will use for examples in this thesis. This will be extended to an interprocedural approach where partial context sensitivity will be introduced. Here the source of the precision loss will be pointed out. We then will propose an approach to combat this precision loss. The approach will first be introduced theoretically, after which we also present the challenges and results of implementing it in the GOBLINT analyzer. To give an evaluation to the proposed approach, a benchmark of the imple-

mentation will be performed and inspected. Our conclusions are presented in the last chapter.

# 2 Background

#### 2.1 Related Work

#### 2.2 Static Analysis

Static analysis is defined by Rival [RY20] as "[...]an automatic technique that approximates in a conservative manner semantic properties of programs before their execution". This means that the program is analyzed just by the given source code without execution. The goal is to prove certain properties about the program in a "sound" manner i.e. any property that is proven to hold actually does hold. However, from failing to prove a property one cannot conclude that the given property does not hold.

In order to prove properties, e.g. finding that a program does not contain races or identifying dead code, we need to gain information about the program. This is done by performing various kinds of analyses. We will focus on flow sensitive analyses from now on i.e. analyses which find properties of the program dependent on the location within it. The semantic of these will be introduced in the following chapters.

#### 2.2.1 Flow sensitive analysis

As noted above flow sensitive analyses find properties of the program dependent on the point within the program. Expressed differently this means a flow sensitive analysis will find an overapproximation of states the program may be in for any given point within the program or "program point". This state can describe many things dependent on the analysis performed.

Concretely let N be the set of all program points or locations within the program. Furthermore, let  $\mathbb D$  be a Domain containing abstract states describing concrete states of the program. This means that some  $d \in \mathbb D$  can describe many states the program can be in.

Then an analysis is expected to find a mapping  $\eta: N \to \mathbb{D}$  which maps program points to abstract states describing that location within the program i.e. for  $[n] \in N$ ,  $\eta[n]$  should be an abstract state describing all possible states (and possibly more) the program can be in at program point [n].

As an example we will introduce a values-of-variables analysis for integers. This

analysis finds a mapping from a set of variables X to abstractions of their possible values at any given program point. In the scope of this thesis we will focus on abstracting integer values by sets of integers. Thereby the goal of our values-of-variables analysis is to find a mapping  $X \to 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  for each program point.

Combining this with the semantic of flow sensitive analysis from before, we get that the Domain  $\mathbb{D}_v$  for the values-of-variables analysis should be  $\mathbb{D}=X\to 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Finally, the resulting  $\eta_v:N\to\mathbb{D}_v$  for this analysis describes a mapping  $\eta_v[n]$  for some program point  $[n]\in N$ , where  $\eta_v[n]$  x is a set containing all values,  $x\in X$  may possibly hold at [n]. From this we can conclude that x cannot hold any value outside  $\eta_v[n]$  x at program point [n].

#### 2.2.2 Constraint systems

To find a mapping of unknowns to abstractions of possible values the following approach is used: First a control flow graph ("CFG") is created, where program points are connected by edges of actions (u, A, v). This example denotes an action A (e.g. an assignment of A = (x = 7;)) where u is the point immediately before this action and v the one immediately after. Using this CFG, a system of constraints for each program point is created. We can then compute a fix point of the system of constraints to get a solution there of (since everything is monotonic).

## 3 Main Contributions

#### 3.1 Taint analysis

#### 3.1.1 Formal description

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{D} &= 2^{\{\text{Ival}\}} \\ [u] &\in \mathbb{D} \\ \text{Edge } e &= (u,A,u') \text{ introduces the constraint } [u'] \sqsupseteq [\![A]\!]^\#(\text{get } [u]) \end{split}$$

$$[x = y]^{\#} \text{ Iv} = \text{Iv} \cup \{x\}$$

$$[^*x = y]^{\#} \text{ Iv} = \text{Iv} \cup \text{MayPointTo}(x)$$

$$\text{enter}^{\#} \text{ Iv} = \emptyset$$

$$\text{combine}^{\#} \text{ Iv}_{\text{cr}} \text{ Iv}_{\text{ce}} = \text{Iv}_{\text{cr}} \cup \text{Iv}_{\text{ce}}$$

#### 3.1.2 Implementation

#### 3.2 Benefiting other Analyses

In this section we will use the new taint analysis to improve a context insensitive analysis. For this let's choose an analysis that maps Lvalues to Rvalues. When combining the contexts of the caller before the call with the one returned by the callee there a few aspects to keep in mind:

- All mappings of Lvalues, which are not tracked in the caller (i.e. map to top), but have a concrete value within the callee need to be added to the combined context. This is for Lvalues which are newly initialized inside the caller.
- (All mappings which are not in the callee context but have been in the caller context need to be removed. This can happen in multithreaded programs, if in the caller a mutex was held, that then was unlocked by the callee, deleting the information protected by the mutex)

• for all other Lvalues present in both contexts, the Rvalues mapped to by Lvalues not in the tainted set can be kept. We are sure that these variables are unchanged, even if they have a less precise record in the callee's context. For Lvalues present in the tainted set, it is necessary to take the Rvalue from the callee context, as the old Rvalue mapped to by the caller is incorrect.

Formal:

combine<sup>#</sup>
$$\eta_{cr} \eta_{ce} = \text{let } \eta'_{cr} = \eta_{cr} \setminus \text{Iv}_{ce} \text{ in}$$
 (3.1)

let 
$$\eta'_{ce} = \eta_{ce} \cap lv_{ce}$$
 in (3.2)

$$\eta_{\mathsf{cr}}' \cup \eta_{\mathsf{ce}}'$$
 (3.3)

# 4 Evaluation

- 4.1 Testing
- 4.2 Benchmarking

# 5 Conclusion

# **List of Figures**

# **List of Tables**

# **Bibliography**

[RY20] X. Rival and K. Yi. *Introduction to static analysis: an abstract interpretation perspective*. Mit Press, 2020.