

SCHOOL OF COMPUTATION, INFORMATION AND TECHNOLOGY — INFORMATICS

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN

Master's Thesis in Informatics

Constructing Linear Types in Isabelle/HOL

Felix Krayer





SCHOOL OF COMPUTATION, INFORMATION AND TECHNOLOGY — **INFORMATICS**

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN

Master's Thesis in Informatics

Constructing Linear Types in Isabelle/HOL

Konstruktion linearer Typen in Isabelle/HOL

Author: Felix Krayer Examiner: Florian Bruse
Supervisor: Dmitriy Traytel, Tobias Nipkow

Submission Date: 13-11-2025



| I confirm that this master's thesis is and material used. | my own work ar | nd I have document | ed all sources |
|---|----------------|--------------------|----------------|
| | | | |
| Munich, 13-11-2025 | | | Felix Krayer |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |



Abstract

Contents

| A | cknov | wledgments | iv |
|----|-------|---------------------------------------|----|
| A۱ | bstra | ct | v |
| 1 | Intr | roduction | 1 |
| 2 | Bac | kground | 2 |
| 3 | Line | earizing MRBNFs | 3 |
| | 3.1 | Linearization of MRBNFs (In theory) | 3 |
| | | 3.1.1 Nonrepetitiveness | 3 |
| | 3.2 | Required properties | 4 |
| | 3.3 | Linearization of MRBNFs (In Isabelle) | 4 |
| A۱ | bbrev | viations | 5 |
| Li | st of | Figures | 6 |
| Li | st of | Tables | 7 |
| Bi | bliog | graphy | 8 |

1 Introduction

- Datatypes in general
- Datatypes in Isabelle/HOL are built on Bounded Natural Functors (BNFs) (defined in [TPB12])
 - Structure of the Thesis

2 Background

This Chapter serves to introduce BNFs and their generalization to Map-Restricted Bounded Natural Functors (MRBNFs). As described in Chapter 1, datatypes in Isabelle are implemented using BNFs, meaning that the type constructors of polymorphic types (types with type variables) can be described through the properties of a BNF.

Examples of one such types are α list and α β prod (infix notation $\alpha \times \beta$). These are unary and binary type constructors, meaning that they can be applied to other types to form a new type. Thus, type constructors are *functors*. Furthermore, they have a well-defined set and map function. The exact properties are listed below in Chapter 2. Thus they behave *natural*. Lastly, they are *bounded*, since the set of elements that the type can describe is bounded by a (possibly transfinite) cardinal. Furthermore they perserve weak pullbacks (WP)

- map id x = x
- map g (map f x) = map (g o f) x
- Explain all the BNF theorems
- cite [Bla+19]

3 Linearizing MRBNFs

3.1 Linearization of MRBNFs (In theory)

In this section we define the linearization of an MRBNF on a subset of it's *live* variables. The result of the linearization is a new MRBNF with the same variable types (*live*, dead, bound, free), except for the linearized variables that change their type from live to bound. This means that the map function is now restricted to only allow bijective and small-support functions on these variables. Apart from this change, it is ensured that the MRBNF is nonrepetitive with respect to the linearized variables. We give a definition nonrepetitiveness in the following Subsection 3.1.1. Intuitively it means that the atoms of that type cannot occur multiple times in an element of the type.

3.1.1 Nonrepetitiveness

At the core of linearization lies the notion of *nonrepetitiveness*. We think of an element x of a type α F as being nonrepetitive if all its α atoms are distinct from another. We give an exact definition nonrep of nonrepetitiveness in relation to all other elements of α F with the *same shape*:

same shape
$$x y = \operatorname{rel}_F top x y$$
 (3.1)

nonrep
$$x = \forall y$$
. same shape $x \ y \longrightarrow (\exists f. \ y = \mathsf{map}_F \ f \ x)$ (3.2)

We consider two α F elements x and y to have the *same shape*, if they are related with the *top* relation, i.e., the relation that relates all α atoms to all others. This is true, if the relator rel $_F$ can relate the elements. In the case of list, this is the case when two lists have the same length but possibly different content.

Based on this, x is a nonrepetitive element, if for all other elements y with the same shape, a function exists through which x can be mapped to y. In our example of list, this holds for all lists with distinct elements (given a second list, one can easily define a function mapping the distinct elements of x to that list). It does not hold for lists with repeating elements, because no f exists that could map two same elements at different positions in this list to distinct elements in an arbitrary second list.

For MRBNFs with more than one live variable, we can give a definitions of *nonrepet-itiveness* and having the *same shape* on a subset of the live variables. In that case, we consider x and y of type (α, β) G to have the same shape with respect to α , iff they are *equal* in their β atoms and are related with *top* in α as before. Consequently for the map in the nonrep definition, the *id* function is applied to the β atoms, since they are already required to be equal.

same shape
$$x y = \operatorname{rel}_G top (=) x y$$
 (3.3)

nonrep
$$x = \forall y$$
. same shape $x \ y \longrightarrow (\exists f. \ y = \mathsf{map}_G \ f \ id \ x)$ (3.4)

3.2 Required properties

A MRBNFs has to fulfill two properties to be linearized. First, to ensure that the resulting type constructor is non-empty, it is required, that there exists a nonrepetitive element (with respect to the linearized variables): $\exists x$. nonrep x

Furthermore, even though MRBNFs are already required to perserve weak pullbacks (WP) as stated in Chapter 2, for the linearization it is required that they perserve all pullbacks.

3.3 Linearization of MRBNFs (In Isabelle)

Abbreviations

BNF Bounded Natural Functor

MRBNF Map-Restricted Bounded Natural Functor

List of Figures

List of Tables

Bibliography

- [Bla+19] J. C. Blanchette, L. Gheri, A. Popescu, and D. Traytel. "Bindings as bounded natural functors." In: *Proceedings of the ACM on Programming Languages* 3.POPL (2019), pp. 1–34.
- [TPB12] D. Traytel, A. Popescu, and J. C. Blanchette. "Foundational, compositional (co) datatypes for higher-order logic: Category theory applied to theorem proving." In: 2012 27th Annual IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science. IEEE. 2012, pp. 596–605.