
Toward a Tabular Foundation Model

October 2024

Classic Supervised Learning

other examples:


- energy consumption prediction
- predictive maintenance




Ladder of Generalization

classic ML: feature engineering

deep learning: feature learning
(hierarchy of concepts learned from raw
data in deep graph with many layers)





Transfer Learning


idea:

- generic pre-training of foundation models on huge data sets
- subsequent finetuning for specific tasks on small(er) data sets (usually done by means of deep learning methods, thanks to its compositional nature)

very successful for:

- computer vision (e.g., object classification)
- language models (e.g., BERT, GPT)

CNN Finetuning



other examples:


- visual defect detection
- support chip design

Language Models: Contextual Semantics

- self-supervised learning: e.g., next/masked-word prediction
- tokenization: split text into chunks (e.g., words)
- semantics by means of vector embeddings: e.g., via bag-of-words (or end-to-end in transformer)
- positional encoding & embeddings: order of sequence

• contextual embeddings: (self-)attention (weighted averages: influence

from other tokens)




Encoder vs Decoder LLMs


encoder-only LLMs

- prime example: BERT
- self-supervised pre-training: masked-word prediction
- finetuning on downstream tasks (e.g., sequence classification)
- can't generate text
- can't be prompted

decoder-only LLMs

- prime example: GPT
- self-supervised pre-training: nextword prediction
- instruction tuning (e.g., RL from human feedback)
- generate text: chat bots
- prompt engineering (zero-/few-shot)





Structured/Tabular vs Unstructured Data

unstructured data: homogenous

- → deep learning rules
- → allows transfer learning (foundation models in CV and NLP)



ImageNet

The Lord of the Rings

Article Talk.

From Willegedia, the free encyclopedia
(Recirceded from Lord of the rings)

That and rich is about the book. For other uses, see The Lord of the Rings (disambiguation),

"Year of the Rings is energible highly for tastay rowelly by the English and road scholar. J.R. R.
Tolkier. Set in Middle-sears", the story regam as a sequel to Tolkier's 1937 children's book. T.R. R.
Tolkier. Set in Middle-sears", the story regam as a sequel to Tolkier's 1937 children's book. T.R. R.
Tolkier. Set in Middle-sears", the story regam as a sequel to Tolkier's 1937 children's book. T.R. R.
Tolkier. Set on the Rings is one of the best-letting books never written, with over 150 million
copies sods [1].


The title refers to the story's main antagonist, ^[1] Sauron, the Dark Lord who in an earlier age
created the One Rings to rule the other Rings of Power given to Men. Duranes, and Evres, in his
campliagin to cooper and it Middle-sears*, from homely beginnings in the Silver, a Robott land
reminiscent of the English countryside, the story ranges across Middle-earth, following the quest to
destry by the One Rings sent marks through the veyes of the hobbits Finds, Sauron, the Elf-Logdas, and the Dwarf
Gillin, show united is order to nally through the veyes of the hobbits Finds, Sauron's armites and
give Frodo a chance to destroy the One Rings in the fire of Mount Doon.

Although often midstatericy laded a trillogy, the work was intended by Tolkien to be one volume in a
two-volume set along with 7the Elf-markson 11 fings was first published over the course of a veryer from 20 set 1961 to 20 october 1961 for the order to nally was from 20 set 1961 to 20 october 1961 for the contract on the various of the contract of the veryer for 20 set 1961 to 20 october 1961 for the contract on the very care of the contract of the veryer for 20 set 1961 to 20 october 1961 for the contract of the contract on the veryer for 20 set 1961 for the contract on the contract on the veryer for 20 set 1961 for the contract on t


rather than one^(0,0,4) under the titles *The Fellowship of the Ring, The Two Towers, and The Retur*of the King, The Silmanillon appeared only after the author's death. The work is divided internally
into six books, two per volume, with several appendices of background material. ⁽⁴⁾ These three
volumes were later published as a boxed set, and even finally as a single volume, following the

Dooldoon DoolOC Energ MissEnsture MissVol MoSold VoSold SalaTune SalaSondition So

- structured data: heterogenous
- → feature engineering needed
- → deep learning loses its advantage over shallow methods
- → e.g., gradient boosting still used a lot



Idea of Tabular Foundation Models



pre-training across data sets and even different tasks

finetuning on small data sets

benefit from world knowledge in LLMs, for example in terms of data imputation

Existing works:

- one model, one dataset;
- not transferable across datasets
- if transferable, needs finetuning on each dataset

Overcome the Data Integration Challenge

generate vector embeddings for each entry (column name & row value)

	Id	MSSubClass	MSZoning	LotFrontage	LotArea	Street	Alley	LotShape L	andContour	Utilities	 PoolArea Po	oolQC	Fence M	NiscFeature M	NiscVal M	MoSold '	YrSold	SaleType	SaleCondition	SalePrice
9		60	RL	65.0	8450	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub		NaN	NaN	NaN	0		2008	WD	Normal	208500
1		20	RL	80.0	9600	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	0	NaN	NaN	NaN			2007	WD	Normal	181500
2		60	RL	68.0	11250	Pave	NaN	IR1	Lvl	AllPub		NaN	NaN	NaN	0		2008	WD	Normal	223500
3		70	RL	60.0	9550	Pave	NaN	IR1	Lv1	AllPub	0	NaN	NaN	NaN			2006	WD	Abnorml	140000
4		60	RL	84.0	14260	Pave	NaN	IR1	Lvl	AllPub		NaN	NaN	NaN	0	12	2008	WD	Normal	250000
1455	1456	60	RL	62.0	7917	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	0	NaN	NaN	NaN	0	8	2007	WD	Normal	175000
1456	1457	20	RL	85.0	13175	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lv1	AllPub	0	NaN	MnPrv	NaN	0		2010	WD	Normal	210000
1457	1458	70	RL	66.0	9042	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub		NaN	GdPrv	Shed	2500		2010	WD	Normal	266500
1458	1459	20	RL	68.0	9717	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	0	NaN	NaN	NaN	0	4	2010	WD	Normal	142125
1459	1460	20	RL	75.0	9937	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lv1	AllPub		NaN	NaN	NaN	0		2008	WD	Normal	147500
[1466]	[1460 rows x 81 columns]																			



convert to prompts for LLM calls




extract embeddings (average of last hidden state)


```
tensor([[[ 0.2406, -0.0340, -0.5141, ..., 0.0476, -0.1114, -0.0198],
            [ 0.9594, 0.9598, 0.4653, ..., 1.1557, 1.3493, 1.0192],
            [ 0.6332, 0.3364, 0.8191, ..., 0.7699, 1.2227, 0.7292],
            ...,
            [ 1.1688, 0.4768, 0.5724, ..., 0.7802, 0.9589, 1.1461],
            [ 0.8999, 0.5200, 0.7979, ..., 0.9777, 0.7836, 0.8079],
            [ 0.9854, 0.2225, 0.9218, ..., 0.9033, 0.9173, 0.8929]],
```

Transformer for Numerical Data

adaptions to GPT architecture:



Concept Model




Early Results and Outlook

feasibility checks: method works

- prediction accuracy of individual models competitive to prevalent methods
- can be trained across data sets and tasks without significantly losing accuracy
- pre-train and finetune approach can be applied

	house prices	store sales	spaceship Titanic
TABGPT	0.138	0.450	79.9%
Kaggle leaderboard	0.113	0.379	85.3%



next step: proper pre-training of a foundation model

> need to add many data sets and tasks (self-supervised with rotating target column)