Introduction and Overview

Traditional Algorithms vs ML

Understanding Machine Learning

goals of this course

- understanding of foundational ML concepts and commonalities between different methods
- ability to properly use ML for scientific or business problems

schedule of lectures

1. introduction and overview
2. statistical learning
3. non-linear models
4. generalization
5. deep learning
6. transformers
7. generative models
8. causality
9. reinforcement learning

AI/ML Overview

Main Areas of Artificial Intelligence

from wikipedia

- **computer vision**

  (spatial structures, state-of-the-art: Convolutional Neural Networks)
- **natural language processing**

  (sequential structures, state-of-the-art: transformers)
- **automated decision making, robotics**

  (reinforcement learning)

agency:
perception – thought – action

All of these are enabled by one key ingredient:

- *learning from experience* (**Machine Learning**)

- knowledge representation, automated reasoning

from *Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach* (Russell, Norvig)

Buzz Words …



Deep Learning:

special kind of ML algorithms using (deep) neural networks

Data Science:

extract knowledge from data (by means of ML, among other things)

Traditional Algorithms and GOFAI

from wikipedia

traditional algorithms:

explicit (handcrafted) instructions for each situation

symbolic AI (aka GOFAI):

use knowledge by means of symbols (as representations), logic, search (e.g., expert systems like Deep Blue)

Public perception is changing over time: A modern chess program, nowadays disparaged as brute computing, would have been considered intelligent in the 50s.

ML: Learning from Experience/Data

mainly exploiting statistical dependencies with the aim of **generalization** to new (e.g., future) data

training (usually offline optimization):

ML algorithm + data = explicit algorithm (to be used at inference time)

→ reduction of complexity and much better generalizability compared to handcrafted algorithms

analogy: Humans do not hit the ground running, but have learning capabilities. → develop ML algorithm and let it learn from data

Hot Debate: Connectionism vs Symbolic AI

connectionists:
learn from (big) data without prior knowledge

symbolists:
use knowledge with only modest input data

famous move 37
from Max Tegmark

(crude) analogy: learning and evolution
philosophical: empiricist and rationalist schools of mind

hybrid approaches often most successful:

- e.g., AlphaGo with Deep Reinforcement Learning and Monte Carlo Tree Search
- feature engineering for ML models also kind of symbolic knowledge representation

Hybrid Approach for Language Models?



source

tool usage:
LangChain

Supercharging the Scientific Method

use ML and data to replace or enhance explicit methods relying on detailed domain knowledge (Software 2.0)

→ overcome our evolutionary limitations in math with clever learning algorithms and collecting data

→ immediate impact on many aspects of industry, business, and science, formulated as narrow tasks with strictly defined inputs (aka weak AI)

more imminent than (still philosophical) long-term quest for human-level AI (aka strong AI, AGI), i.e., general-purpose intelligence

(although recent language models show multi-purpose capabilities)

When to apply ML?

complexity

- decisions under uncertainty, many influencing factors
- e.g., demand forecasting, DNA sequencing
- difficult for humans, direct model inexpressible

automation

- e.g., face and speech recognition, autonomous driving
- goal to reach human-level performance

… and of course you need data to learn from

and more recently: generative tasks

- rather than predictive (or discriminative) ones
- e.g., image generation, conversational AI, new proteins or materials

Learning Paradigms

Supervised Learning

learning by teacher → usually rather narrow tasks

Target Quantity

- **known in training**: labeled samples or observations from past
- to be **predicted** for unknown cases (e.g., future values)

Features

input information that is
- correlated to target quantity
- known at prediction time



Example: Spam Filtering

Classify emails as spam or no spam

*use accordingly **labeled emails as training set***

use information like **occurrence of specific words** or **email length** as **features**

features x1 and x2
spam, no spam

Reinforcement Learning

learning by trial-and-error

goal-based approach → more generic than supervised learning (but sparse reward signals)



- receiving feedback from the environment
- no supervision
- sequential decision making (delayed rewards)
- exploration and exploitation

ML needs lots of training data

Unsupervised Learning

learning by observation

no target information → kind of “vague” pattern recognition (but plenty of data)

self-supervised:

- input-output mapping like supervised learning
- but generating labels itself from input information
- learning of semantic feature representations
- e.g., word2vec, BERT, GPT





Example for Unsupervised Learning

dimensionality reduction by principal component analysis (PCA)

using only first few principal components (eigenvectors of data's covariance matrix)

intuition: fitting p-dimensional ellipsoid to data

- axes representing principal components
- large axis → high variance, small axis → low variance
- successively choose directions of maximum variance

→ account for as much variability (uniqueness) of data set as possible



from wikipedia

often used as lower-dimensional features in other (supervised) methods

Fitting / Statistical Learning

Notation

- random variable: X
- vector of p random variables X_j (features): $\boldsymbol{X}$

- observation of random variable X: x
- matrix of n obervations of p features x_{ij}: $\mathbf{X}$
- vector of observations: $\boldsymbol{x}$
  - vector of n obervations x_i: $\boldsymbol{x}$
  - vector of observation of p features x_j: $\boldsymbol{x}$

- column vector: $\boldsymbol{x}$
- row vector: $\boldsymbol{x}^{\mathrm{T}}$

- parameter: β
- vector of parameters β_k: $\boldsymbol{\beta}$

- probability that X takes on value x_0: $P(X = x_0)$
- probability distribution: $p(x) = P(X = x)$

design matrix

Supervised Learning Scenario



… **ML** …

map inputs to output: $y = f(\boldsymbol{x})$ (estimated: $\hat{f}(\boldsymbol{x})$)
random variables Y and $\boldsymbol{X} = (X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_p)$

ML domain:
no deterministic dependencies between input and output

classification

- categorical target (e.g., image of cat or not → $y = 0$ or $y = 1$)
- predict probability to belong to specific class

regression

- real-valued target
- $Y \in [0, \infty)$ (e.g., demand forecasting) or $Y \in (-\infty, \infty)$

Curve Fitting / Parameter Estimation

fit train data set of $(y_i, \boldsymbol{x}_i)$ pairs

→minimization of cost function

- e.g., least squares method, maximium likelihood estimation
- usually many dimensions (features X_j)

d: degree of fitted polynomial → number of parameters



from scikit-learn documentation

apply learned statistical dependencies from training to new test data set

different $(y_i, \boldsymbol{x}_i)$ pairs considered as random samples of underlying data-generating process (i.i.d. assumption), for both train and test data sets

Generalization

core of ML:

empirical risk minimization (training error) as proxy for minimizing unknown population risk (test error, aka generalization error or out-of-sample error)

generalization gap: difference between test and training error

- **interpolation**: to unencountered samples from training environment
- **extrapolation**: to testing conditions differing from training environment

curse of dimensionality: *"learning in high dimensions always amounts to extrapolation"* → need for appropriate inductive bias

Generalized Linear Models

Linear Regression

$$y_i = \alpha + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_j \, x_{ij} + \varepsilon_i \qquad \text{(model)}$$



from wikipedia

y: dependent variable / target
$\boldsymbol{x}$: p independent variables / features
$\alpha, \boldsymbol{\beta}$: $p + 1$ parameters
ε: error term / statistical noise

} vector ($\boldsymbol{y}$) or matrix ($\mathbf{X}$) of given data

→ to be fitted

reflects assumed data distribution (here: Gaussian with same variance σ^2 for all samples)

- $\boldsymbol{X}$ and Y jointly distributed random variables
- $\hat{f}(\boldsymbol{x})$: predict, e.g., conditional mean of conditional density function $p(y|\boldsymbol{x})$

depending on used loss function
(conditional mean for squared loss of least squares method)

Linear Regression

fit: $\hat{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_i)$

$$y_i = \hat{\alpha} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \hat{\beta}_j \, x_{ij} + \varepsilon_i$$

Gaussian

predict:

$$\hat{y}_i = E[Y|\boldsymbol{X} = \boldsymbol{x}_i] = \hat{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_i) = \hat{\alpha} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \hat{\beta}_j \, x_{ij}$$

$$p(y|\boldsymbol{x}_i) = \mathcal{N}(y; \hat{y}_i, \hat{\sigma}^2)$$

Gaussian mean variance

to be estimated:

- $\hat{\alpha}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$

$\rightarrow \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_i - \hat{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_i)\right)^2$

(approximating assumed true $\alpha, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma$)

Multiplicative Model

- count data: $Y \in [0, \infty)$
- Y follows Poisson (or negative binomial / Poisson-gamma) distribution

log-linear model (Gaussian errors in fit, Poisson with mean $\hat{y}_i$ predicted):

$$\log(\underbrace{E[Y|\boldsymbol{X} = \boldsymbol{x}_i]}_{\hat{y}_i}) = \hat{\alpha} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \hat{\beta}_j \, x_{ij}$$

single parameter

- further advantage: usually multiplicative effects for count data, i.e., proportional (small effects for small counts, large effects for large counts)

Scheme of Generalized Linear Models

$$g(E[Y|\boldsymbol{X} = \boldsymbol{x}_i]) = \hat{\alpha} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \hat{\beta}_j \, x_{ij}$$

link function g:

- linking range of Y to linear predictor
- canonical forms for different Y distributions (e.g., $\log$ for Poisson, identity for Gaussian → linear regression)

Y following probability distribution from exponential family (e.g., Poisson or Gaussian)

Classification: Logistic Regression

- predict probability p_i for $y = 1$ respectively $y = 0$ for each sample
- link function: logit (log-odds)
- Y following Bernoulli distribution

$$\text{logit}(E[Y|\boldsymbol{X} = \boldsymbol{x}_i]) = \ln\left(\frac{p_i}{1 - p_i}\right)$$

$$= \hat{\alpha} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \hat{\beta}_j \, x_{ij}$$

Toward Non-Linear Models

Generalized Additive Models

blending of Generalized Linear Models and additive models

$$g(E[Y|\boldsymbol{X} = \boldsymbol{x}_i]) = \hat{\alpha} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \hat{h}_j(x_{ij})$$

smooth functions

- potentially non-parametric form
- describe non-linear effects
- estimated, e.g., via backfitting algorithm

extension: add interaction terms between different features, e.g., $\boldsymbol{X}_3$ and $\boldsymbol{X}_4$

https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.03425

Algorithmic Families and Linear Building Blocks

linear (parametric) models

- linear regression
- Generalized Linear Models
- Generalized Additive Models

neural networks: non-linear just by means of activation functions





from wikipedia

nearest neighbors (local methods, instance-based learning) – non-parametric models



with k = 3,
with k = 5,

kernel/support-vector machines: linear model (maximum-margin hyperplane) with kernel trick

decision trees



often used in ensemble methods

- bagging: random forests
- boosting: gradient boosting

At its heart, all the diverse statistical learning methods are reflections of the **same underlying concept**, and just differ in their applicability for different use cases.

(need to find method with best inductive bias for the task at hand → generalization capability)

ML Workflow

Modeling

extract features

- help the ML algorithm to better understand the data
- impose assumptions hard to discover in the raw data

choose ML algorithm

- from open-source libraries like scikit-learn or pytorch, rarely write an own one
- many different algorithms available, differently suited for given task

execute hyperparameter tuning

- variety of different forms
- model settings not all automatically adjusted by the machine

Evaluation

test structure

cross-validation



decide on acceptance of model changes by means of A/B tests: improved model vs baseline (current best)

measure accuracy of predictions

regression

- point estimate: absolute (MAD, MSE, …) or relative (MAPE, …) metrics
- full probability distribution: tricky ;)

classification

ROC curve (true and false positve rates)



from wikipedia

MACHINE LEARNING

training target available (labeled or past data)

SUPERVISED

CLASSIFICATION



REGRESSION



data not labeled in any way

UNSUPERVISED

CLUSTERING

ASSOCIATION

DIMENSIONALITY REDUCTION



no supervision, but goal-based interaction with environment

REINFORCEMENT LEARNING

LEARN STATE OR ACTION VALUES

LEARN POLICY DIRECTLY

learning by teacher
(high-dimensional curve fitting)

learning by observation
(pattern recognition)

learning by trial-and-error
(sequential decision making)

Literature

nice book on the foundations of ML (relevant for the whole course):



Hastie

other general overviews:



Bishop



Mitchell

https://arxiv.org/abs/1105.5995

https://arxiv.org/abs/1207.0825

Scientific Application: ML in Particle Physics

example: classification of decay signatures in particle colliders

Tevatron accelerator at Fermilab

CDF detector at Tevatron



charmed baryon signals filtered out of background