

# Thesis Proposal: Quantum Algorithms for Partial Differential Equations

**Student:** Anton N. Torgersen  
**Supervisor:** Franz Georg Fuchs  
**Internal Supervisor:** Morten Hjorth-Jensen

November 30, 2025

## Thesis Statement

This thesis investigates the computational complexity and practical implementation of high-precision quantum algorithms for solving linear partial differential equations (PDEs). Specifically, it aims to benchmark the exponential improvements in error dependence ( $\text{polylog}(1/\epsilon)$ ) proposed by modern spectral methods against standard classical solvers.

## Background & Motivation

Partial differential equations are ubiquitous in physics and engineering, but classical grid-based methods suffer from the "curse of dimensionality." While early quantum approaches (such as the HHL algorithm) offered potential speedups, they were limited by a polynomial dependence on the inverse error, denoted as  $O(\text{poly}(1/\epsilon))$ . This made high-precision solutions prohibitively expensive for near-term applications.

Recent literature, including the work of Childs et al. (2020) [1] and extensive analysis from the University of Waterloo [2], introduces a new class of algorithms utilizing spectral methods and Hamiltonian simulation. These methods theoretically allow for time evolution where the complexity scales logarithmically with the inverse error,  $O(\text{polylog}(1/\epsilon))$ . This project seeks to verify these bounds and explore the feasibility of these algorithms using current quantum simulation tools.

## Methodology

The project will be conducted through a combination of theoretical analysis and numerical simulation:

- **Literature Review:** A comparative study of classical finite difference methods versus quantum spectral methods, specifically focusing on the complexity proofs provided in [1].
- **Implementation:** Implementation of toy-model PDEs (e.g., heat or wave equation) using quantum SDKs such as Qiskit or PennyLane to simulate Hamiltonian evolution.
- **Benchmarking:** Assessing the gate depth and resource requirements of the quantum approach relative to the desired precision  $\epsilon$ .

## Progression Plan & Milestones

*Note: Weekly meetings between supervisor and student will be held from the start of Autumn 2026 until the thesis is finished. With some preliminary meetings in Spring 2026.*

<b>Spring 2026</b>	<b>Preparation Phase</b> Completion of coursework (MAT 4430 and FYS 4415). Initial meetings regarding the topic and discussion of relevant research papers.
<b>Autumn 2026 (Q3)</b>	<b>Theoretical Exploration</b> Theoretical exploration of PDEs and Quantum algorithms, specifically analyzing their strengths and weaknesses.
<b>Autumn 2026 (Q4)</b>	<b>Specialisation &amp; Computation</b> Specialisation of the research to a specific result or area within the theory. Execution of numerical computations and comparisons.
<b>Spring 2027 (Q1)</b>	<b>Deep Dive</b> Finishing the deep dive in the specific area and resolving theoretical loose ends.
<b>Spring 2027 (Q2)</b>	<b>Writing &amp; Compilation</b> Compiling and rewriting the results derived from the previous three quarters into a consistent whole.

## Relevant Curriculum

- **MAT 4430** – Quantum Information Theory
- **FYS 4415** – Quantum Computing and Quantum Information

## References

- [1] A. M. Childs, J. P. Liu, and A. Ostrander, “High-precision quantum algorithms for partial differential equations,” *Quantum*, vol. 5, p. 574, 2021. [Online]. Available: arXiv:2002.07868.
- [2] D. An, “Quantum algorithms for partial differential equations,” Ph.D. dissertation, Univ. Waterloo, Waterloo, ON, Canada, 2022. [Online]. Available: UWSpace.