

missalpha: An R package for computing bounds of Cronbach's alpha with missing data

Feng Ji¹ and Biying Zhou¹

¹ Department of Applied Psychology & Human Development, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada
Corresponding author

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#)
- [Repository](#)
- [Archive](#)

Editor: [Open Journals](#)

Reviewers:

- [@openjournals](#)

Submitted: 01 January 1970

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).

Summary

Cronbach's alpha is a widely used index of internal consistency and scale reliability in psychological and educational measurement. Despite its popularity, standard implementations often fail to account for missing data appropriately, leading researchers to either use ad-hoc methods or rely on listwise deletion. In practice, this can result in biased reliability estimates.

To address this, we developed missalpha, an R package that estimates the upper and lower bounds of Cronbach's alpha under arbitrary missingness mechanisms. Our approach is inspired by the concept of *Manski bounds* ([Manski, 2003](#)), offering researchers a robust, agnostic summary of reliability when the missing data mechanism is unknown or not easily modeled. missalpha implements both exact enumeration (for small problems) and optimization-based algorithms (for larger datasets), enabling principled worst-case scenario analysis for reliability.

Statement of Need

In applied research, Cronbach's alpha is often reported as a point estimate and compared against conventional thresholds (e.g., 0.7 or 0.8) to judge scale adequacy ([Nunnally, 1978](#)). However, in the presence of missing data, particularly when the missingness mechanism is unclear, standard point estimation may over- or under-estimate the true internal consistency of a scale.

Existing packages like psych ([Revelle, 2017](#)) and ltm ([Rizopoulos, 2007](#)) compute alpha but assume complete data or impute missing entries without evaluating uncertainty in reliability caused by missingness. To our knowledge, no current package offers a general framework to compute bounds on Cronbach's alpha that remain valid under arbitrary missing data patterns.

The missalpha package fills this gap by providing tools to: - Compute sharp lower and upper bounds of Cronbach's alpha under any missing data mechanism; - Perform sensitivity analysis via enumeration, Monte Carlo approximation, and global optimization; - Support both discrete (Likert-type) and continuous response formats.

The package is useful when researchers seek to evaluate how missing data may affect conclusions about scale reliability, and when no strong assumptions about the missingness mechanism can be made.

Package Features

missalpha provides the following main functionalities:

- `cronbachs_alpha()`: Unified wrapper function for computing alpha bounds via different methods.

- 38 ▪ `compute_alpha_min()` / `compute_alpha_max()`: Core functions using binary search with
- 39 optimization (e.g., GA, DEoptim, nloptr) to solve for alpha bounds.
- 40 ▪ `cronbach_alpha_enum()`: Exhaustive enumeration of all missing value configurations for
- 41 exact bound computation.
- 42 ▪ `cronbach_alpha_rough()`: Monte Carlo approximation of alpha bounds for large-scale
- 43 problems.
- 44 ▪ `display_all()`: Function to compare and visualize results across all methods.

45 Internally, all methods formulate the alpha bound problem as a constrained nonlinear program
 46 and apply black-box solvers from GA (Scrucca, 2013), DEoptim (Mullen et al., 2011), and
 47 nloptr (Ypma et al., 2018). These solvers identify imputations of missing entries that minimize
 48 or maximize the alpha value, thus constructing the global worst-case bounds.

49 Examples

50 To illustrate the usage of `missalpha`, we provide several examples demonstrating different
 51 methods to compute bounds on Cronbach's alpha under missing data:

```
scores_df <- missalpha::sample
scores_mat <- as.matrix(scores_df)
result <- cronbachs_alpha(scores_mat, 4, enum_all = FALSE)
summary(result)
```

52 The results are shown below:

```
53 > head(scores_df)
54   V1 V2 V3 V4
55 1 NA  1  0  0
56 2  0  0  0  0
57 3 NA  0  0  0
58 4  2  0  0  1
59 5 NA  0  0  0
60 6  0  0  0  0
61
62 > summary(result)
63 Summary of Cronbach's Alpha Bounds Calculation:
64
65 Optimization Method: GA
66 Alpha Min (Optimized): 0.000488
67 Alpha Max (Optimized): 0.403809
68
69 Runtime Information:
70 Total Runtime: 17.165619 seconds
```

71 In this example, we use a sample dataset (`missalpha::sample`) containing 50 individuals and
 72 4 items with missing values. The item scores range from 0 to 4. The optimization-based
 73 method (`cronbachs_alpha()`) was applied using the default genetic algorithm (GA) with a
 74 score maximum of 4.

75 The estimated bounds for Cronbach's alpha were $[0.000, 0.404]$, indicating a wide range of
 76 uncertainty in the internal consistency of the scale.

77 The total runtime of approximately 17 seconds reflects the computational cost of performing
 78 constrained optimization over all plausible missing value completions.

79 While the first example demonstrates how to compute alpha bounds using a single optimization
 80 method on a small-scale dataset, researchers may often be interested in comparing the behavior
 81 of different estimation strategies. The next example showcases how `missalpha` supports such

82 comparisons through the `display_all()` function, which runs multiple methods—including
83 rough approximation and different optimization solvers—on the same input matrix. This allows
84 users to evaluate the trade-offs between computational efficiency and estimation precision.

```
all_result = display_all(scores_mat = scores_mat, score_max = 2)
summary(all_result)
```

85 The results are shown below:

```
86 > summary(all_result)
87 Rough_Integer_Method:
88 Alpha Min: 0.201523
89 Alpha Max: 0.392180
90 Runtime: 0.084263 seconds
91
92 Rough_Float_Method:
93 Alpha Min: 0.217747
94 Alpha Max: 0.392180
95 Runtime: 0.086584 seconds
96
97 Optimization_Method_GA:
98 Alpha Min: 0.194824
99 Alpha Max: 0.404785
100 Runtime: 16.930677 seconds
101
102 Optimization_Method_DEoptim:
103 Alpha Min: 0.192871
104 Alpha Max: 0.404785
105 Runtime: 1.099646 seconds
106
107 Optimization_Method_nloptr:
108 Alpha Min: 0.191895
109 Alpha Max: 0.404785
110 Runtime: 0.029727 seconds
```

111 This example demonstrates how `display_all()` can be used to compare multiple estimation
112 strategies for Cronbach's alpha bounds on the same dataset. Using a response matrix with
113 scores ranging from 0 to 2, we evaluated five methods:

- 114 ■ **Rough Integer Sampling:** fast, coarse approximation using integer imputations; result:
115 [0.202, 0.392].
- 116 ■ **Rough Float Sampling:** uses continuous sampling over [0, 2]; result: [0.218, 0.392].
- 117 ■ **Optimization (GA):** more accurate but slowest; result: [0.195, 0.405], runtime ~17
118 seconds.
- 119 ■ **Optimization (DEoptim):** faster than GA, similar result; runtime ~1.1 seconds.
- 120 ■ **Optimization (nloptr):** fastest among optimization solvers; result: [0.192, 0.405], runtime
121 < 0.03 seconds.

122 All methods produced similar upper bounds (~0.405), while lower bounds varied slightly
123 depending on method and optimization strategy. Notably, the three optimization methods—GA,
124 DEoptim, and nloptr—all produced nearly identical alpha bounds, with lower bounds ranging
125 from 0.192 to 0.195 and a shared upper bound of 0.405. This consistency across solvers
126 highlights the robustness and stability of the underlying optimization formulation in `missalpa`,
127 ensuring that results do not depend heavily on the specific numerical algorithm chosen.

128 **Availability**

129 The R package missalpha is publicly available on [Github](#) (latest development version):

130 **Github**

```
devtools::install_github("Feng-Ji-Lab/missalpha")  
library(missalpha)
```

131 **References**

- 132 Manski, C. F. (2003). *Partial identification of probability distributions*. Springer.
- 133 Mullen, K. M., Ardia, D., Gil, D. L., Windover, D., & Cline, J. (2011). DEoptim: An r package
134 for global optimization by differential evolution. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 40, 1–26.
- 135 Nunnally, J. C. (1978). *Psychometric theory* (2nd ed.). McGraw-Hill.
- 136 Revelle, W. R. (2017). *Psych: Procedures for personality and psychological research*.
- 137 Rizopoulos, D. (2007). Ltm: An r package for latent variable modeling and item response
138 analysis. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 17, 1–25.
- 139 Scrucca, L. (2013). GA: A package for genetic algorithms in r. *Journal of Statistical Software*,
140 53, 1–37.
- 141 Ypma, J., Borchers, H. W., Eddelbuettel, D., & Ypma, M. J. (2018). Package "nloptr." *R*
142 *Package Version*, 1(1).