1 LECTURE OUTLINE

```
1\ 00:00:16,960 -> 00:00:22,450 okay so let me welcome you to this fifth
  2\ 00:00:22,450 \longrightarrow 00:00:26,020 out of six lectures
  欢迎回来上第五次课
  3\ 00:00:26,020 -> 00:00:28,720 on approximate dynamic programming we spent the first
  4\ 00:00:28,720 -> 00:00:31,300 three lectures on exact dynamic
  5~00:00:31,300 -> 00:00:34,570 programming and also an overview of the
  6 00:00:34,570 -> 00:00:37,030 general issues in approximate dynamic programming
   关于近似动态规划,我们用前三次课讲了精确动态规划并且对近似动态规划的一般性主题进行
了综述
  7\ 00:00:37,030 -> 00:00:40,090 in this week starting with
  8\ 00:00:40.090 -> 00:00:42.699 the previous lecture we're going to
  9\ 00:00:42,699 -> 00:00:46,420 focus selectively somewhat deeper on
  10 00:00:46,420 -> 00:00:48,760 various aspects of approximate dynamic programming
  这周我们开始有选择性地讲一下之前概述中近似动态规划的内容
  11\ 00:00:48,760 -> 00:00:51,430 now we talked about
  12\ 00:00:51,430 -> 00:00:54,160 approximate policy duration based on
  13\ 00:00:54,160 \longrightarrow 00:00:56,520 projected bellman equations last time
  在这里我们要最后一次讲基于投影 bellman 方程的近似策略迭代
  14\ 00:00:56,520 -> 00:01:00,880 however policy duration involves two
  15\ 00:01:00,880 \rightarrow 00:01:02,530 aspects there are two parts to each iteration
  策略迭代每次迭代都包括两部分
  16\ 00:01:02,530 -> 00:01:05,590 one is evaluating the current
  17\ 00:01:05,590 -> 00:01:07,930 policy and that's what we talked about
  18\ 00:01:07,930 \longrightarrow 00:01:10,660 last time approximate evaluation of
  19\ 00:01:10,660 -> 00:01:12,340 policies based on projected bellman equations
  第一个部分是评价现在的策略,也就是之前我们讲的基干投影 bellman 方程的近似策略评价
  20\ 00:01:12,340 -> 00:01:14,860 and then there's a second part
  21\ 00:01:14,860 -> 00:01:16,570 of iteration that has to do with the
  22 00:01:16,570 -> 00:01:19,960 policy improvement process
  迭代的第二部分是策略改进
  23\ 00:01:19,960 -> 00:01:23,950 and this involves issues that are tricky and important
  这部分包括的内容比较有技巧也很重要
  24\ 00:01:23,950 -> 00:01:27,010 and we're going to discuss those first
  我要先讲这个内容(策略改进)
  25\ 00:01:27,010 -> 00:01:31,000 in particular we talked
  26\ 00:01:31,000 -> 00:01:33,250 about the issue of exploration which we
  27\ 00:01:33,250 -> 00:01:35,650 touched upon last time how do you
  28\ 00:01:35,650 -> 00:01:38,049 introduce exploration into the
  29 00:01:38,049 -> 00:01:42,280 approximate policy iteration process
  特别地,我要讲讲探索,我们上次讲的内容,如何在近似策略迭代中加入这个东西
  30\ 00:01:42,280 -> 00:01:43,510 and then talk about the issue of oscillations
  然后我们要讲讲震荡
  31\ 00:01:43,510 -> 00:01:45,700 approximate policy duration
  32\ 00:01:45,700 \longrightarrow 00:01:47,409 is not guaranteed to converge to a single policy
  近似策略迭代没法保证收敛到一个策略
  33\ 00:01:47,409 -> 00:01:50,740 typically or very often it
  34\ 00:01:50,740 -> 00:01:54,729 will just generate a cycle of policies
  35\ 00:01:54,729 \longrightarrow 00:01:57,400 many policies perhaps
  很多时候只能保证收敛到一个策略的循环,即一个策略的集合
  36\ 00:01:57,400 -> 00:01:59,680 and we want to look at the mechanisms by which these
  37\ 00:01:59,680 \rightarrow 00:02:02,470 oscillations occur and see what they can do to us
  我们想要看看这个现象的产生机制,震荡什么时候会产生和他们会对我们造成什么影响
  38\ 00:02:02,470 \rightarrow 00:02:07,390 after we do that we are going
  39 00:02:07,390 -> 00:02:10,119 to discuss an alternative to the project
  40\ 00:02:10,119 \rightarrow 00:02:12,730 development equations for approximate
```

 $41\ 00:02:12,730 -> 00:02:14,890$ policy evaluation and also for

```
42\ 00:02:14,890 -> 00:02:18,310 approximate value duration
```

 $43\ 00:02:18,310 \longrightarrow 00:02:19,360$ which is aggregation

讲过震荡之后,我们会讨论投影方程组进行近似策略评估与近似值迭代的替代方案-聚合

 $44\ 00:02:19,360 -> 00:02:21,579$ this is a very simple approach it dates

 $45\ 00:02:21,579 -> 00:02:22,960$ to way back

 $46\ 00:02:22.960 -> 00:02:28.120$ years and and it is related to the

 $47\ 00:02:28,120 -> 00:02:29,770$ projected equation approached and we

 $48\ 00:02:29,770 -> 00:02:32,370$ will discuss this this disconnection

 $49\ 00:02:32,370 -> 00:02:35,320$ after we describe it give some examples

 $50~00:02:35,\!320~-\!>~00:02:38,\!380$ and we say a few things about how we can

 $51\ 00:02:38,380 -> 00:02:43,720$ implement them by simulation

这是一个非常简单的方法,可以追溯到很多年以前,并且与投影方程方法相关,讲过聚合之后 我会给几个例子然后说一说该怎么用仿真方法来实现聚合

2 DISCOUNTED MDP

 $52\ 00:02:43,720 -> 00:02:47,070$ okay so we continue to look at finite state

 $53\ 00:02:47,070 \longrightarrow 00:02:49,750$ discounted infinite horizon Markov

 $54\ 00:02:49,750 -> 00:02:52,540$ decision problems

我们接着看一个有限状态折扣无限期马尔科夫决策问题

 $55\ 00:02:52,540 \rightarrow 00:02:53,890$ we have transition probabilities going from different

 $56~00{:}02{:}53{,}890~{-}{>}~00{:}02{:}57{,}430$ states which depend on the control we

 $57\ 00:02:57,430 -> 00:02:59,380$ want to pick controls so as to minimize

 $58\ 00:02:59,380 -> 00:03:03,610$ the cost of policies and for a given

 $59\ 00:03:03,610 \rightarrow 00:03:05,410$ policy a sequence of functions from state to control

我们有一个状态间跳转的依赖于控制的状态转移概率,我们想要根据状态选择合适的控制来最 小化给定策略的成本

 $60\ 00:03:05,410 \longrightarrow 00:03:08,050$ we have a cost per

 $61~00{:}03{:}08{,}050 -> 00{:}03{:}13{,}060$ stage and and a long-term cost that's

 $62\ 00:03:13,060 -> 00:03:16,870$ associated with it for any given

 $63\ 00:03:16,870 \longrightarrow 00:03:22,090$ starting state i by taking the

 $64\ 00:03:22,090 -> 00:03:23,530$ limit of the expected value of the

 $65\ 00:03:23,530 -> 00:03:25,900$ series gives you the cost of the policy

 $66\ 00:03:25,900 -> 00:03:29,620$ at state I and because alpha the

 $67\ 00:03:29,620 -> 00:03:32,500$ discount factor is between is less than

 $68\ 00:03:32,500 \longrightarrow 00:03:34,960$ strictly less than one we are guaranteed

 $69\ 00:03:34,960 -> 00:03:37,630$ that this is well defined and we want to

 $70\ 00:03:37,630 -> 00:03:42,870$ find pi such that this is minimized

 $71\ 00:03:42,870 -> 00:03:45,700$ simultaneously for all states

我有一个每阶段成本和与 α 相关的长期成本,对于一个给定的初始状态 i_0 ,N 趋于 0 的极限的期望值就是这个成本在状态 i_0 时的成本。由于折扣系数 α 严格小于 1,我们可以保证这个成本不发散,我们想要做的就是找到一个策略 π 让这个策略对于所有状态都有最小成本

 $72\ 00:03:45,700 -> 00:03:47,260$ now we have discussed the special significance

 $73\ 00:03:47,260 -> 00:03:49,690$ of stationary policies

现在我们要讨论一个特殊情况, 平稳策略

 $74\ 00:03:49,690 \rightarrow 00:03:51,310$ stationary policies are the ones where the news do

 $75\ 00:03:51,310 -> 00:03:53,290$ not change from one stage to the next

平稳策略就是策略 μ 在每一个阶段都不发生变化

 $76\ 00:03:53,290 -> 00:03:55,480$ we have given various optimality

 $77\ 00:03:55.480 \rightarrow 00:03:59.350$ conditions and all that

这个问题有很多最优性条件

 $78\ 00:03:59,350 \rightarrow 00:04:00,550$ and let me remind you again of the shorthand

 $79\ 00:04:00,550 \rightarrow 00:04:02,710$ notation for the dynamic programming

 $80\ 00:04:02,710 -> 00:04:05,500$ mapping and also for the evaluation

 $81\ 00:04:05,500 -> 00:04:07,810$ mapping corresponding to a policy

 $82\ 00:04:07,810 -> 00:04:11,380$ whereby given any J we generate t news

83 00:04:11,380 \rightarrow 00:04:12,880 of J by means of this linear equation

 $84\ 00:04:12,880 -> 00:04:16,839$ or this nonlinear equation

我再提一次动态规划映射速记符号与某策略的评价映射速记符,给定一个 J,我们通过这个线性方程 (策略评估,最底下的方程) 或者这个非线性方程 (倒数第二个方程) 生成映射 T_uJ

 $85\ 00:04:16,839 \rightarrow 00:04:21,839$ we solve a fix point equation involving t in in in

 $86\ 00:04:21,839 \longrightarrow 00:04:25,500$ to obtain the optimal cost

求解 T 的不动点来找最优成本

 $87\ 00:04:25,500 \rightarrow 00:04:28,770$ and we solved the Optima be the bellman equation

 $88\ 00:04:28,770 -> 00:04:30,300$ involving tinu

 $89\ 00:04:30,300 -> 00:04:32,160$ to obtain an evaluation of the policy mu

求解这个 T_{μ} 的 bellman 方程的不动点对策略 μ 进行评价

3 APPROXIMATE PI

90 00:04:32,160 -> 00:04:37,949 okay so approximate policy

 $91\ 00:04:37,949 -> 00:04:40,260$ Direction it's the same thing as exact

 $92\ 00:04:40,260 -> 00:04:42,510$ policy direction except that we

93 00:04:42,510 $-\!>$ 00:04:45,690 evaluation instead of finding J nu we

 $94\ 00:04:45,690 -> 00:04:48,090$ find some approximation to that

95 00:04:48,090 -> 00:04:50,490 involving an approximation architecture

 $96\ 00:04:50,490 -> 00:04:53,370$ with r being the vector of parameters

 $97\ 00:04:53,370 -> 00:04:55,760$ in the approximation architecture

98 00:04:55,760 -> 00:04:58,889 evaluate approximately new generate and

 $99\ 00:04:58,889 -> 00:05:00,870$ improve policy by the prop policy

 $100\ 00:05:00,870 -> 00:05:03,930$ improvement equation and look around in the sweat

这就是策略迭代,除了评价策略计算 J_{μ} 不一样,剩下的内容与精确策略迭代是一样的。我们找到一种近似结构来近似 J_{μ} ,r 是近似结构的参数向量,近似评价策略 μ ,然后用这个评价与策略改进方程进行策略改进

 $101\ 00:05:03,930 -> 00:05:08,850$ now we focused on linear cost

102 00:05:08,850 -> 00:05:12,320 function approximation involving a

 $103\ 00:05:12,320 -> 00:05:17,729\ matrix\ phi\ ok\ dimension\ n\ the\ row$

10400:05:17,729 ->00:05:20,850 dimension and column dimension much

105 00:05:20,850 -> 00:05:23,850 smaller s the columns of this matrix

 $106\ 00:05:23,850 -> 00:05:28,310$ are our basis functions for a subspace

 $107\ 00:05:28,310 -> 00:05:33,780$ defined by by vectors like this

现在我们关注这个线性成本近似函数包括一个矩阵 ϕ , ϕ 的行维度是 n, 列维度 s 比行维度小得多,矩阵的每一列都是子空间中的基向量,被定义成 $\phi(i)'$

 $108\ 00:05:33,780 \rightarrow 00:05:36,090$ so we are looking to find an approximation of

 $109\ 00:05:36,090 \rightarrow 00:05:39,750\ J$ mu within the sub space of functions of this form

所以我们想要再子空间中找到一个 Φr 形式的函数对 J_{μ} 进行近似

 $110\ 00:05:39,750 -> 00:05:45,030$ if we call the I-th of

 $111\ 00:05:45,030 -> 00:05:47,400$ phi I prime which is a small vector like

 $112\ 00:05:47,400 -> 00:05:51,349$ that the policy improvement process

 $113\ 00:05:51,349 \rightarrow 00:05:54,900$ involves this equation so if I give you

114 00:05:54,900 -> 00:05:58,710 r a good r you can get a good mu ok

115 00:05:58,710 -> 00:06:01,740 if I give you a very good art then you

 $116\ 00:06:01,740 -> 00:06:04,410$ can get a policy that's as good as you

 $117\ 00:06:04,410 -> 00:06:09,510$ can get it with approximation

如果 $\phi(i)'$ 是一个很小的向量,那么策略改进过程包括这个表达式,如果我给你一个比较好的 r,你可以得到一个比较好的 μ ,如果我给你一个特别好的 r,你可以得到和这个 r 一样好的策略,也就是说,近似越好,策略越好

118 00:06:09,510 -> 00:06:13,500 so the issue is how do I get a good R 所以现在的问题就是如何能得到一个比较好的 r

4 EVALUATION BY PROJECTED EQUATIONS

 $119\ 00{:}06{:}13{,}500 -> 00{:}06{:}17{,}460$ and in the process we discuss we focus on the

 $120\ 00:06:17,460 -> 00:06:19,470$ right on the evaluation part of the

 $121\ 00:06:19,470 -> 00:06:23,729$ policy iteration procedure

```
这里我们主要讨论策略迭代的策略评价部分
   122\ 00:06:23,729 -> 00:06:27,630 instead of finding a fixed point of T mu we find a
   123\ 00:06:27,630 -> 00:06:30,719 fixed point of PI T mu we solve this
   124\ 00{:}06{:}30{,}719 -> 00{:}06{:}33{,}940 equation which is the projected bellman
   125\ 00:06:33,940 -> 00:06:36,340 equation involving a projection
   126 00:06:36,340 -> 00:06:40,420 operation Pi which is Euclidean sum of
   127\ 00:06:40,420 -> 00:06:43,570 squares but also weighted by
   128\ 00:06:43,570 -> 00:06:46,240 positive numbers the xi and we can
   129\ 00:06:46,240 -> 00:06:48,340 normalize the size so that they form a
   130 00:06:48,340 -> 00:06:50,890 probability distribution
   为了取代求 T_{\mu} 的不动点,我们找 \Pi T_{\mu} 的不动点,我们求解这个包括以 \xi 为权重的欧几里得范
数投影算子 \Pi 的投影 bellman 方程 (\xi 的值都是正数,可以理解为概率分布)
   131\ 00:06:50,890 \longrightarrow 00:06:53,680 and in this context we mentioned that given the
   132 00:06:53,680 -> 00:06:56,770 policy and assuming this policy gives
   133\ 00:06:56,770 -> 00:06:59,410 you an ergodic Markov chain with a
   134 00:06:59,410 -> 00:07:01,180 positive steady state probability
   135\ 00:07:01,180 \rightarrow 00:07:04,090 distribution vector then the steady
   136\ 00:07:04,090 -> 00:07:06,940 state distribution weighting the xi
   137\ 00{:}07{:}06{,}940 -> 00{:}07{:}10{,}960 vector corresponding to quick which is
   138\ 00:07:10,960 -> 00:07:12,760 the state the state the the vector of
   139\ 00:07:12,760 -> 00:07:15,220 steady state probabilities
   现在你有一个策略,假设这个策略能够以正的平稳状态概率分布遍历马尔科夫链,然后这个平
稳状态概率分布作为 Ξ 的权重
   140\ 00:07:15,220 -> 00:07:17,740 when you do projection with that then PI T nu has a
   141 00:07:17.740 -> 00:07:21,100 unique solution and is pi T mu is a
   142\ 00:07:21,100 -> 00:07:23,620 contraction has a unique solution and a
   143\ 00:07:23,620 -> 00:07:26,320 lot of other nice things occur
   当你对 \Xi r 做投影时,映射 \Pi T_u 收缩并有唯一解,有很多好事会发生
   144\ 00:07:26,320 \rightarrow 00:07:29,170 such as for example various algorithms become
   145\ 00:07:29,170 \longrightarrow 00:07:31,210 valid including approximate value iteration
   比如很多算法在这个近似值迭代下变得有效
   146\ 00:07:31,210 -> 00:07:37,240 and then the methods of LSPE
   147\ 00:07:37,240 -> 00:07:43,650\ LSTD and so on become possible
   LSTD 和 LSPE 和其他算法
   148\ 00:07:43,650 \rightarrow 00:07:45,610 and the implementation of the solution of this
   149\ 00:07:45,610 -> 00:07:50,110 equation we know that the possibility of
   150\ 00:07:50,110 -> 00:07:52,930 doing it by generating a single long
   151 00:07:52,930 -> 00:07:55,960 trajectory using the current policy this
   152\ 00:07:55,960 \rightarrow 00:07:59,140 implicitly generates weights that are
   153\ 00:07:59,140 \longrightarrow 00:08:02,380 the steady state distribution weights and
   154\ 00:08:02,380 -> 00:08:08,130 make the PI T new mapping a contraction
   在实现这个算法的时候,跟据当前策略与隐式平稳状态分布权重生成一个很长的轨迹能够保证
\Pi T_{\mu} 是一个压缩映射
   155\ 00:08:08,130 -> 00:08:09,310\ okay
   156\ 00:08:09,310 \rightarrow 00:08:12,220 so that was the gist of last most of
   157\ 00:08:12,220 -> 00:08:14,620 most of what we discussed in the last in the last time
   所以上次我们讲的一个很重要的内容是
   158\ 00:08:14,620 -> 00:08:18,640 how do you why the steady
   159\ 00:08:18,640 -> 00:08:21,669 state distribution is important and how
   160\ 00{:}08{:}21{,}669 -> 00{:}08{:}24{,}820 do you do a simulation based solution of
   161\ 00:08:24,820 -> 00:08:28,360 this equation using a single long
   162\ 00:08:28,360 \rightarrow 00:08:31,210\ trajectory\ that\ involves\ the\ steady
   163\ 00:08:31,210 \rightarrow 00:08:35,669 state probabilities in an average sense
   为什么平稳状态分布这么重要,和如何使用仿真从平均意义上在平稳状态概率下获得一个很长
的轨迹
   164\ 00:08:35,899 -> 00:08:39,229 there were a number of issues that were
   165\ 00:08:39,229 \rightarrow 00:08:44,068 related to this and we're going to focus
```

 $166\ 00:08:44,068 -> 00:08:46,740$ on some of them in this lecture

```
168 00:08:49,170 -> 00:08:52,279 multi-step option in projected equations
   这是一个多步操作的投影方程
   169\ 00:08:52,279 \rightarrow 00:08:55,560 whereby instead of solving this equation
   170\ 00:08:55,560 -> 00:08:58,220 you solve an equation involving a
   171\ 00:08:58,220 -> 00:09:03,569 weighted version of T mu
   求解这个加权 T_{\mu} 的方程而不是上面那个 \Phi r 的方程
   172\ 00:09:03,569 -> 00:09:07,230 whereby T mu lambda is the sum of powers of T mu with
   173 00:09:07,230 -> 00:09:09,509 lambda between 0 and 1
   这个方程里 T_\mu^{(\lambda)} 是 T_\mu 的若干次幂的和,\lambda 大于 0 小于 1 174 00:09:09,509 -> 00:09:13,769 so it's Geometrically weighted sum of powers of
   175\ 00:09:13,769 -> 00:09:19,319\ T mu and this mapping has exactly the
   176 00:09:19,319 -> 00:09:22,759 same fixed points as T mu okay and
   177\ 00:09:22,759 \rightarrow 00:09:27,180 also is a contraction because TMU is a contraction
所以这是一个 T_\mu 的几何加权次幂累加,这个映射能够获得和 T_\mu 一样的不动点,而且也是收缩的,因为 T_\mu 是收缩的
   178\ 00:09:27,180 -> 00:09:32,120 however has lambda changes
   179\ 00:09:32,120 -> 00:09:36,079 then the projection of this mapping
   180\ 00:09:36,079 -> 00:09:38,370 becomes different of course depends on
   181\ 00:09:38,370 -> 00:09:40,920 lambda its fixed point is different and
   182\ 00:09:40,920 -> 00:09:43,259 also its modulus of contraction is
   183\ 00:09:43,259 -> 00:09:45,720 different as lambda goes to always 1
   184\ 00:09:45.720 -> 00:09:48.800 this becomes a perfect contraction and
   185\ 00:09:48,800 -> 00:09:52,800 this allows you to change this pi from
   186\ 00:09:52,800 \rightarrow 00:09:55,529 the steady state distribution and still
   187\ 00:09:55,529 -> 00:09:57,930 have a contraction okay
   在\lambda改变的时候,由于投影依赖于\lambda,所以投影、不动点和收缩模量都会变化,当\lambda的值趋于
1 的时候,T_{\mu}^{(\lambda)} 具有很强的收缩性,你通过改变平稳状态分布来改变 \Pi 的时候,它仍然具有收缩
   188\ 00:09:57,930 \longrightarrow 00:09:59,100 so that will come into the process of exploration
   189\ 00:09:59,100 \rightarrow 00:10:02,819 exploration becomes better behaved when
   190\ 00:10:02.819 -> 00:10:04.380 you have a lambda greater than zero
   191\ 00:10:04,380 \longrightarrow 00:10:06,329 because you have better contraction properties
   接下来我们会开始讲一点探索, 当\lambda的值大于0的时候,探索会有更好的表现,因为这时映射
具有更好的收缩性
   192\ 00:10:06,329 \rightarrow 00:10:10,439 however another major aspect
   193\ 00:10:10,439 \rightarrow 00:10:14,279 of the weighted bellman equation lambda
   194\ 00:10:14,279 -> 00:10:18,779 weighted is that its solution depends on
   195\ 00:10:18,779 -> 00:10:21,449\ lambda and for lambda equals zero that
   196\ 00:10:21,449 \longrightarrow 00:10:22,949 case over there
   197\ 00:10:22,949 -> 00:10:25,050 it's something that involves an error
   198\ 00:10:25,050 -> 00:10:29,639 that you see here as lambda changes
   199\ 00:10:29,639 \rightarrow 00:10:33,269 towards 1 then this error becomes
   200\ 00:10:33,269 -> 00:10:36,720 smaller in for lambda exactly equal to 1
   201\ 00:10:36,720 -> 00:10:38,610 you get the best possible approximation
   202 00:10:38,610 -> 00:10:42,329 error just the direct projection onto
   203\ 00:10:42,329 \rightarrow 00:10:43,430 the
   204 00:10:43,430 -> 00:10:47,840 approximation subspace
   另一个很重要的内容是, \lambda 权重的 bellman 方程的解依赖于 \lambda, 当 \lambda 等于 0 时, 就是这一页上
面的那种情况,这之后被近似值与近似值之间有误差,当 \lambda 向 1 改变时,误差在变小,当 \lambda 等于
1时,误差最小,这就是直接向近似子空间投影的结果
   205 00:10:47,840 -> 00:10:50,090 however to solve this projected equation involves more noise 然而在求解这个投影方程 (\Phi r=\Pi T_{\mu}^{(\lambda)}\left(\Phi r\right)) 的时候会产生更多噪声
   206\ 00:10:50,090 -> 00:10:53,510 because yes you make simulation
   207\ 00:10:53,510 \rightarrow 00:10:55,970 based evaluations of this way that sums
   208\ 00:10:55,970 -> 00:10:58,820 each one of those involves more noise
   209 00:10:58,820 -> 00:11:00,980 because it's a mapping that relates far
```

有很多话题与这它相关, 我们会在这次课程中关注其中的几个

 $167\ 00:08:46,740 -> 00:08:49,170$ but let me also remind you that there's a

 $210\ 00:11:00,980 -> 00:11:02,000$ into the future

因为在使用仿真来进行估值的时候 (multistep option 那一项下面的公式), 累加的每一项都会带有噪声, 因为他们都与未来的值有关

- $211\ 00:11:02,000 -> 00:11:06,380$ so more noisy terms more noise here you
- $212\ 00:11:06,380 -> 00:11:08,870$ need more samples to counteract the
- 213 00:11:08,870 -> 00:11:11,750 effects of this noise

所以累加项有噪声,累加结果 (等号左边的项) 会有更大的噪声,所以你需要进行更多次采样来 抵消噪声的影响

- $214\ 00:11:11,750 \longrightarrow 00:11:14,650$ so that's the typical behavior the typical trade off
- 这就是典型的权衡
- $215\ 00:11:14,650 \rightarrow 00:11:18,530$ great large lambda smaller approximation
- $216\ 00:11:18,530 -> 00:11:21,530$ error but we need more samples to
- $217\ 00:11:21,530 -> 00:11:23,390$ generate an accurate solution so
- 218 00:11:23,390 -> 00:11:26,420 so-called bias-variance tradeoff okay
- 为了得到尽量精确的解, λ 越大,近似误差越小,需要的样本就越多,这就是被叫做"bias-variance trade-off"(偏差-方差权衡)
 - $219\ 00:11:26,420 \rightarrow 00:11:27,590$ we're not going to come back to this
 - $220\ 00:11:27,590 -> 00:11:30,970$ other than the fact that as lambda
 - $221\ 00:11:30,970 \longrightarrow 00:11:34,940$ approaches 1 this contraction property is improved
 - 我不会再回来讲了,事实上, λ 的值接近 1, 收缩性就会被增强
 - $222\ 00:11:34,940 -> 00:11:43,310$ ok so that's all we did last
 - 223 00:11:43,310 -> 00:11:47,030 time the policy evaluation part and how
 - $224\ 00:11:47,030 -> 00:11:47,780$ to solve it
 - 这就是我们刚刚讲的内容, 策略评价和如何实现它

5 EXPLORATION

 $225\ 00:11:47,780 -> 00:11:50,780$ ok now let's call let's talk about

 $226\ 00:11:50,780 -> 00:11:52,990$ policy improvement

下面我们来谈一谈策略改进

 $227\ 00:11:52,990 \rightarrow 00:11:55,880$ the first major issue is the issue of exploration

第一个话题是探索

- $228\ 00:11:55,880 -> 00:11:58,880$ in order to evaluate a
- $229\ 00:11:58,880 -> 00:12:01,700$ policy mu we need to generate core
- $230\ 00:12:01,700 \longrightarrow 00:12:04,040$ samples using that policy there's no way around that
- 为了评价一个策略, 我们需要使用这个策略产生很多样本,
- $231\ 00:12:04,040 -> 00:12:07,880$ however then if you use a
- $232\ 00:12:07,880 -> 00:12:10,790$ single long trajectory then this long
- 233 00:12:10,790 -> 00:12:13,460 trajectory using the policy will tend to
- $234\ 00:12:13,460 -> 00:12:15,920$ go through states that are preferred
- 235 00:12:15,920 -> 00:12:18,650 from this policy
- 如果你使用被评价策略生成长期轨迹,这个轨迹就会趋向于访问它喜欢的状态
- $236\ 00:12:18,650 \rightarrow 00:12:20,510$ you may have for example a part of the big state space
- $237\ 00:12:20,510 \rightarrow 00:12:26,000$ that that that this policy
- 238 00:12:26,000 -> 00:12:29,210 naturally tends to and very infrequently
- 239 00:12:29,210 $-\!>$ 00:12:34,010 goes off to other parts of the space
- 你可以举出很多例子,策略趋向于非常频繁地访问大状态空间中的一部分,而其他状态很少访 问
- $240\ 00:12:34,010 -> 00:12:36,410$ so the steady state distribution the xi
 - $241\ 00:12:36.410 \rightarrow 00:12:40.040$ of this other state is almost zero if
 - $242\ 00:12:40,040 -> 00:12:42,080$ the Markov chain is not even ergodic
 - 243 00:12:42,080 -> 00:12:44,720 then some xi are going to be exactly zero
- 所以这些不访问的状态对应的平稳状态分布 xi 中的元素几乎是 0, 如果马尔科夫链不是可遍历的, xi 的一部分元素就真的是 0 了
 - $244\ 00:12:44,720 -> 00:12:48,590$ so when you do a least squares fit
 - $245\ 00:12:48,590 -> 00:12:51,350$ or a projected equation fit with this
 - $246\ 00{:}12{:}51{,}350 -> 00{:}12{:}52{,}310\ \mathrm{weight}$
 - $247\ 00:12:52,310 \rightarrow 00:12:54,860$ the states that never are never or very

```
248 00:12:54,860 -> 00:12:58,010 seldom visited by this policy are going
  249\ 00:12:58,010 -> 00:13:02,600 to be underrepresented and the error
   250\ 00:13:02,600 -> 00:13:06,680 that you will get for those states from
  251\ 00:13:06,680 -> 00:13:08,390 the projected equation is going to be
  252\ 00:13:08,390 -> 00:13:09,320 very large
  253 00:13:09,320 -> 00:13:11,720 however these states may be important
  254 00:13:11,720 -> 00:13:13,490 perhaps not important for the current
  255 00:13:13,490 -> 00:13:15,830 policy but important for other policies
  256\ 00:13:15,830 -> 00:13:18,080 and you would like to include them in
  257\ 00:13:18,080 \rightarrow 00:13:22,700 the approximation fairly and so there
  258\ 00:13:22,700 -> 00:13:25,070 may be a serious problem the improved
  259\ 00:13:25,070 -> 00:13:27,320 policy may be much different
  260\ 00:13:27,320 -> 00:13:31,810 this is huge errors because because
   261 00:13:31,810 -> 00:13:35,030 policies that mu regards as unimportant
  262 00:13:35,030 -> 00:13:39,880 mu bar may make regard as very important
   如果你在这个权重下使用最小二乘拟合或者投影方程拟合,从来都不被访问的状态对于这个策
略来说就是没有代表性的状态,这些状态导致的投影方程拟合的误差会非常大,这些状态对当前
策略不重要,但是对其他策略有可能很重要,所以你希望在做近似的时候同时包括对这些状态的
近似,这就会导致对策略改进时会产生非常大的误差,因为对策略 \mu 不重要的状态可能对策略 \bar{\mu}
非常重要
   263\ 00:13:39,880 \rightarrow 00:13:42,470 so that's the problem of exploration we discussed
  这就是我们讨论的关于探索的问题
  264\ 00:13:42,470 \longrightarrow 00:13:47,530 it and it's a very serious
  265\ 00:13:47,530 -> 00:13:49,520 particularly when the randomness
  266\ 00:13:49.520 \rightarrow 00:13:51.680 embodied in the transition probabilities
  267\ 00:13:51,680 -> 00:13:53,930 is relatively small if you have for
  268\ 00:13:53,930 -> 00:13:56,210 example a deterministic system then
  269\ 00:13:56,210 -> 00:13:58,220 there is no natural noise in the system
  270\ 00:13:58,220 -> 00:14:00,440 that makes you wander around and explore
  271\ 00:14:00,440 -> 00:14:02,360 the state expect the state the state
  272\ 00:14:02,360 -> 00:14:06,620 space in order to deal with a problem we
  273\ 00:14:06,620 -> 00:14:08,660 need to change the sampling mechanism
  274\ 00:14:08,660 -> 00:14:11,270 and at the same time modify the
  275\ 00:14:11,270 -> 00:14:15,350 simulation formulas
   这是一个非常严重的问题,特别是状态转移的随机性非常小的时候,举个例子,一个没有噪声
的确定性系统让你想要在状态附近采样,为了解决这个问题,我们需要一边改变采样机制一边修
改仿真函数
   276\ 00:14:15,350 -> 00:14:19,640 in other words we need to solve a different projected
  277\ 00:14:19,640 -> 00:14:24,050 equation that involves projection by bar
  278\ 00:14:24,050 \rightarrow 00:14:27,830 with respect to an exploration enhanced normal
   换句话说就是我需要解决一个探索增强范数的投影 П 的投影方程
  279\ 00:14:27,830 -> 00:14:30,550 in other words change the weights
  280\ 00:14:30,550 \rightarrow 00:14:33,950 instead of sigh the natural weights of
  281\ 00:14:33,950 -> 00:14:37,070 the policy use a different weight
   282\ 00:14:37,070 -> 00:14:40,790 distribution Zeta that weighs other
  283\ 00:14:40,790 -> 00:14:45,500 states more fairly
   也就是说换一个权重,使用另一个权重分布 \zeta 代替原来的权重 \xi, 让状态出现的更频繁
  284\ 00:14:45,500 -> 00:14:49,010 so Zeta is more balanced than sigh which is the natural
   285 00:14:49,010 -> 00:14:51,140 steady state distribution a Markov chain
  286 00:14:51,140 -> 00:14:55,260 of mu
   所以\zeta比平稳状态分布\xi的马尔科夫链更具有平衡性,
  287\ 00:14:55,260 \rightarrow 00:14:58,220 so what how can we generate this
  288\ 00:14:58,220 \longrightarrow 00:15:02,010 exploration enhanced weights and how do
  289\ 00:15:02,010 \rightarrow 00:15:04,650 we solve this equation now okay that's the issue
   所以我们该如何产生这个探索的增强权重和如何求解这个方程 (\Phi r = \Pi T_{\mu}(\Phi r)) 是一个很重要
  290\ 00:15:04,650 \rightarrow 00:15:10,670 and by the way one more thing
  291\ 00:15:10,670 \rightarrow 00:15:14,040 what happens if new is not ergodic then
```

```
292 00:15:14,040 -> 00:15:16,830 some states will never be visited so if 293 00:15:16,830 -> 00:15:18,120 we change the steady state distribution 294 00:15:18,120 -> 00:15:20,810 of mu likes xi and use another 295 00:15:20,810 -> 00:15:24,180 distribution that goes across her body 296 00:15:24,180 -> 00:15:27,810 classes recurrent classes then that 297 00:15:27,810 -> 00:15:36,630 addresses this problem as well 顺便说一个事情,如果 mu 不是一个便利性的策略,一些状态永远不会被访问,如果我们改变了策略 \mu 的平稳状态分布 \xi,使用其他分布来进行采样,还是会产生同样的问题
```

6 EXPLORATION MECHANISMS

```
298\ 00:15:36,630 -> 00:15:40,140 okay now I'm going to talk about briefly about to
   299 00:15:40,140 -> 00:15:44,690 exploration mechanisms
   我要简单地讲一讲探索机制
   300\ 00:15:44,690 -> 00:15:47,700 one possibility instead of using a single long
   301 00:15:47,700 -> 00:15:51,030 trajectory using the policy that starts
   302\ 00:15:51,030 \rightarrow 00:15:54,390 at some selected state and theoretically
   303\ 00:15:54,390 -> 00:15:57,150 visits all other states through the
   304 00:15:57,150 -> 00:15:59,790 ergodicity property of the chain instead
   305\ 00:15:59,790 -> 00:16:02,550 of using a single trajectory use
   306\ 00:16:02,550 \rightarrow 00:16:05,430 multiple trajectories shorter okay
   307\ 00:16:05,430 \rightarrow 00:16:07,500 like ten transitions long five
   308\ 00:16:07,500 -> 00:16:09,840 transitions long one transition long and
   309~00{:}16{:}09{,}840~{-}{>}~00{:}16{:}12{,}960 we pick the states the initial States of
   310~00{:}16{:}12{,}960~{-}{>}~00{:}16{:}15{,}180 these trajectories from a rich and a
   311 00:16:15,180 -\!> 00:16:18,540 representative sample so we cover the
   312\ 00:16:18,540 -> 00:16:21,300 states by covering the initial States in
   313\ 00:16:21,300 -> 00:16:23,370 this of these long trajectories and we
   314\ 00:16:23,370 \rightarrow 00:16:25,920 use that policy for each one of the short trajectories
   一种情况是选择初始状态和能够有理论保证遍历性的马尔科夫链用很多短轨迹代替一条长轨
迹。比如十次转移的轨迹,五次转移的轨迹和一次转移的轨迹,这些轨迹都由被评估的策略产生我
们选择的初始状态要足够丰富并且具有代表性,这样我们才可以通过选择初始状态来覆盖状态集
   315\ 00:16:25,920 \rightarrow 00:16:29,940 okay there are names
   316\ 00:16:29,940 \rightarrow 00:16:31,590 associated with this I'm not going to
   317\ 00:16:31,590 -> 00:16:33,480 get into the details of that but your
   318\ 00:16:33,480 -> 00:16:35,510 textbook has a fairly detailed
   319 00:16:35,510 \rightarrow 00:16:38,540 discussion also gives references
   它们的名字就是这个,我不会讲细节了,但是你的课件有很多细节的讨论,还给出了引用
   320\ 00:16:38,540 -> 00:16:41,550 geometric sampling relates to short
   321 00:16:41,550 -\!> 00:16:43,890 simulation trajectories generated
   322\ 00:16:43,890 \rightarrow 00:16:45,830 according to a geometric distribution
   短期仿真轨迹根据几何采样产生
   323\ 00:16:45,830 -> 00:16:49,290 where by the end of the trajectory is
   324\ 00:16:49,290 -> 00:16:50,860 determined by a lambda
   325\ 00:16:50,860 -> 00:16:52,660 the parameter there is a positive
   326\ 00:16:52,660 -> 00:16:56,380 probability lambda that that any one
   327~00:16:56,380 -> 00:16:58,300 transition will be the end and you have
   328\ 00:16:58,300 -> 00:17:01,290 to restart from another trajectory
   轨迹的终点被一个参数 \lambda 决定,这是一个正数,表示终止概率,每一条轨迹都跟据它终止,然
后再开始一条新的轨迹
   329\ 00:17:01,290 -> 00:17:04,810 freeform sampling is a very very
   330 00:17:04,810 -> 00:17:06,790 flexible and very very general sort of
   331 00:17:06,790 -> 00:17:11,440 sampling which has basically you don't
   332\ 00:17:11,440 \rightarrow 00:17:14,230 need to it's much it generalizes geometric sampling
   freeform sampling 是一种非常灵活的而且非常一般的采样方法,它比几何采样还要一般
   333\ 00:17:14,230 \longrightarrow 00:17:17,109 and just about any
   334\ 00:17:17,109 -> 00:17:19,540 kind of sampling mechanism comes under
```

```
335\ 00:17:19,540 -> 00:17:22,119 freeform sampling
   任何形式的采样机制都来源于 freeform sampling
   336\ 00:17:22,119 -> 00:17:24,339 and still you can solve a certain meaningful bellman equation
   你可以使用它来求解一个有意义的 bellman 方程
   337\ 00:17:24,339 \rightarrow 00:17:29,440 anyway with short trajectories
   338\ 00:17:29.440 \rightarrow 00:17:31,720 we can choose the starting stage and
   339\ 00:17:31,720 -> 00:17:34,290 will enhance exploration this way
   无论如何,短轨迹采样我们都可以选择初始阶段并且通过这种方式进行探索
   340\ 00:17:34,290 -> 00:17:38,500 however the simulation formulas to solve
   341\ 00:17:38,500 \rightarrow 00:17:42,580 this equation with PI bar not Pi the
   342\ 00:17:42,580 \longrightarrow 00:17:44,320 simulation formulas become a little different okay
   然而仿真来求解这个方程 (\Phi r = \bar{\Pi} T_{\mu}^{(\lambda)} (\Phi r)) 的时候会有一点不同
   343 00:17:44,320 -> 00:17:48,280 naturally otherwise yeah
   344\ 00:17:48,280 -> 00:17:51,040 it's natural that this would be so and I
   345\ 00:17:51,040 \rightarrow 00:17:53,290 don't want to get into the into the
   346\ 00:17:53,290 \rightarrow 00:17:56,950 details but the formulas are not
   347\ 00:17:56,950 -> 00:17:59,530 difficult they're just different and the
   348\ 00:17:59,530 -> 00:18:01,510 amount of computation involved in
   349\ 00:18:01,510 -> 00:18:03,340 solving this equation is about the same
   350\ 00:18:03,340 -> 00:18:09,070 as for the regular bellman equation that
   351\ 00:18:09,070 -> 00:18:12,280 does not involve exploration
   我不想讲细节了,这个公式算起来不难,只是与正常的动态规划不一样而已,计算量也与
bellman 方程相同,只是常规的 bellman 方程不包括探索
   352\ 00:18:12,280 -> 00:18:15,190 so that's one way balance the weights of the
   353\ 00:18:15,190 -> 00:18:17,350 states by restarting and many different points in space
   这是第一种方法,通过多次使用不同的初始状态来平衡状态的权重
   354\ 00:18:17,350 \rightarrow 00:18:20,770 the second possibility
   355\ 00:18:20,770 -> 00:18:24,610 is to use a single long trajectory which
   356\ 00:18:24,610 -> 00:18:27,310 however is generated with a different
   357~00:18:27,310 \longrightarrow 00:18:29,920 policy slightly different policy so that
   358\ 00:18:29,920 \rightarrow 00:18:32,560 state foil following the policy mu at
   359\ 00:18:32,560 -> 00:18:36,730 every step we occasionally deviate we
   360\ 00:18:36,730 -> 00:18:38,610 deviate perhaps with some probability
   361\ 00:18:38,610 \rightarrow 00:18:42,130 using another policy that has broader
   362\ 00:18:42,130 \rightarrow 00:18:45,460 exploration properties
   第二种方法是使用另一个策略生成一个长轨迹,状态不与策略 μ 相同,我们使用其他策略以某
种概率让轨迹偏离当前轨迹来进行更广泛的探索
   363\ 00:18:45,460 \rightarrow 00:18:51,600 so that will tend to visit explore more fully the space
   这样就可以更完全地探索空间
   364\ 00:18:51,600 -> 00:18:54,610 and this you find the name in the
   365\ 00:18:54,610 -> 00:18:56,740 literature this is the off policy method
   在课件中我把它叫做 off-policy 方法
   36600:18:56,740 ->00:19:00,040 basically here you have two policies one
   367\ 00:19:00,040 -> 00:19:03,399 is the target policy which is the new
   368 00:19:03,399 -> 00:19:05,950 that you are currently evaluating and
   369\ 00:19:05.950 -> 00:19:10.089 the other one is the exploration policy
   370\ 00:19:10,089 -> 00:19:13,570 or off policy that tends to take you of
   371\ 00:19:13,570 -> 00:19:16,139 course to visit other parts of the state
   你有两个策略,一个是目标策略,也就是你想要评价的策略,另一个是探索策略或者叫 off 策
略,你可以用这个策略对状态空间进行探索
   372\ 00:19:16,139 -> 00:19:19,659 and here the modified policy is a
   373\ 00:19:19,659 \rightarrow 00:19:22,359 mixture of the target policy in the exploration policy
   这里的修正策略是目标策略扩展成探索策略
   374\ 00:19:22,359 \longrightarrow 00:19:24,549 in this copy off
   375 00:19:24,549 -> 00:19:26,710 policy approach on policy for the target
   376\ 00:19:26,710 -> 00:19:28,989 off policy for non tank
```

```
377\ 00:19:28,989 \rightarrow 00:19:33,249 very old method goes back to the early days what of the field
   378\ 00:19:33,249 -> 00:19:37,029 however it's important to note
   379\ 00:19:37,029 -> 00:19:39,219 that the simulation formulas for the
   380\ 00:19:39,219 -> 00:19:41,739 basic methods have to be modified so
   381\ 00:19:41.739 -> 00:19:44.950 that you solve this equation rather than
   382 00:19:44,950 -> 00:19:48,429 equation involving the modified policy
   383\ 00:19:48,429 \rightarrow 00:19:50,710 we still want to evaluate the original policy
   有一个很重要的事情要说,基本方法的仿真求解必须修改,以便于求解这个方程(Φr =
ar{\Pi}T_u^{(\lambda)}\left(\Phi r
ight)) 而不是包含修正策略的方程组,实际上我们想要评价的一直是原始策略而不是修正策
   384\ 00:19:50,710 -> 00:19:54,849 now this involves ideas from the
   385~00{:}19{:}54{,}849 -> 00{:}19{:}57{,}580 theory of importance sampling so that
   386\ 00:19:57,580 -> 00:19:59,619 all the simulation formulas are what
   387 00:19:59,619 -> 00:20:04,089 important sampling modified
   这个想法涉及到重要性采样的理论、所有的仿真函数都是重要性采样的基础上修改得到的
   388\ 00:20:04,089 -> 00:20:05,889 this is something that you will see only in
   389\ 00:20:05,889 -> 00:20:07,809 recent writings because in the early
   390\ 00:20:07,809 -> 00:20:10,539 days of the field the idea that the
   391\ 00:20:10,539 -> 00:20:15,190 formulas of LSTD and LSPE methods had
   392\ 00:20:15,190 -> 00:20:18,129 to be modified this had not been fully
   393\ 00:20:18,129 -> 00:20:20,999 appreciated
   有一些事情提醒请你们,你们只需要看最近的文章就可以了,因为早期的方法,比如 LSTD 和
LSPE 的修改没有被充分认识到
   394\ 00:20:24,470 -> 00:20:28,490 okay now here's another issue
   我们来谈另一个话题
   395\ 00:20:28,490 -> 00:20:31,250 suppose we change from PI to PI bar that will
   396\ 00:20:31,250 -> 00:20:33,140 change the contraction properties of
   397\ 00:20:33,140 -> 00:20:37,060 this mapping here however if lambda is
   398\ 00:20:37,060 -> 00:20:41,120 is positive and close to one then the
   399 00:20:41,120 -> 00:20:43,370 contraction property of pipe in lambda
   400\ 00:20:43,370 -> 00:20:47,090 is restored and the methods that need
   401\ 00:20:47,090 -> 00:20:51,410 contraction cabott
   假设我们把 \Pi 改成 \Pi, 这个映射的压缩性就会改变,但是如果 \lambda 是接近 1 的正数,收缩性仍
然能够保证
   402\ 00:20:51,410 -> 00:20:53,600 LSTD does not need the contraction of this mapping it's a
   403\ 00:20:53,600 -> 00:20:56,870 matrix inversion method that that has a
   404 00:20:56.870 -> 00:20:58.460 solution give you a solution no matter
   405\ 00:20:58,460 \rightarrow 00:21:00,740 whether you have a contraction in this mapping or not
   LSTD 就不需要映射的收缩性,因为这是一种矩阵求逆的方法,不在乎这个映射有没有收缩性
   406\ 00:21:00,740 \longrightarrow 00:21:03,440 however the other methods
   407 00:21:03,440 -> 00:21:07,340 LSPE lambda and TD lambda require that
   408\ 00:21:07,340 \rightarrow 00:21:09,260 this is a contraction in by getting
   409 00:21:09,260 -> 00:21:11,120 lambda sufficiently large you have this
   410 00:21:11,120 -> 00:21:13,510 property
   但是其他方法,比如 LSPE(\lambda) 和 TD(\lambda) 就需要这个给定的 \lambda 足够大保证映射是一个压缩映射
```

7 POLICY ITERATION ISSUES: OSCILLATIONS

411 00:21:23,020 -> 00:21:26,679 okay so now we want to look at two 412 00:21:26,679 -> 00:21:28,960 issues of policy improvement one is the 413 00:21:28,960 -> 00:21:31,929 exploration issue and that's all I have 414 00:21:31,929 -> 00:21:33,070 to say about that 我们看看策略改进的两个话题,一个是探索,也就是我刚刚讲完的 415 00:21:33,070 -> 00:21:35,740 and now we're going to get into issues 416 00:21:35,740 -> 00:21:38,710 of policy duration that have to do with 417 00:21:38,710 -> 00:21:41,740 the sequence of policies that we generate 现在我要讲一下策略迭代过程中生成的策略

```
418\ 00:21:41,740 -> 00:21:45,370 we mentioned that approximants
  419\ 00:21:45,370 \rightarrow 00:21:47,110 policy iteration does not terminate but rather
  420\ 00:21:47,110 -> 00:21:50,520 generates in the end a cycle of policies
  421 00:21:50,520 -> 00:21:53,620 perhaps many policies
  我现在要说的是近似策略迭代不是确定收敛的,而是生成几个策略互相循环
   422\ 00:21:53.620 -> 00:21:58.390 how can we understand this phenomena
  我们该如何理解这种现象呢
  423\ 00:21:58,390 \rightarrow 00:21:59,770 okay so the certain figure that's a little hard to
  424 00:21:59,770 -> 00:22:01,420 understand at first but after you
  425 00:22:01,420 -> 00:22:03,130 understand it you get a lot of insight from it
  这个图有一点不好理解,但是理解之后就可以知道很多东西
  426\ 00:22:03,130 -> 00:22:07,090 we consider the space of weights okay
  427\ 00:22:07,090 -> 00:22:09,700 so this is a small dimensional
  428\ 00:22:09,700 \rightarrow 00:22:14,740 space of the vectors r okay
  我们看到的是权重空间,也就是低维度向量 r 的空间
  429\ 00:22:14,740 \rightarrow 00:22:17,710 and we form a partition of that space which we call the greedy
   我们把这个空间分开,叫他们贪婪划分 (greedy partition)
  430 00:22:17,710 -> 00:22:21,460 every policy
   431\ 00:22:21,460 \longrightarrow 00:22:24,210 has a subset in this partition
   每一个策略都对应一个划分的子集合
  432\ 00:22:24,210 -> 00:22:27,160 and r of mu is the set of parameter vectors
  R_m u 是参数向量的集合
  433 00:22:27,160 -> 00:22:31,260 for which mu is greedy with respect to
   434\ 00:22:31,260 \rightarrow 00:22:36,100 the cost corresponding to r
  对于与 r 相关的成本来说,这个策略 \mu 是一个贪心策略
  435\ 00:22:36,100 -> 00:22:41,050 each r gives you a certain J tilde cost
   每一个 r 都对应一个成本 J
   436\ 00:22:41,050 \rightarrow 00:22:43,840 and if you minimize in bellman equation you get a policy mu
   如果你最小化这个 bellman 方程,就可以得到一个策略 μ
  437\ 00:22:43,840 \longrightarrow 00:22:47,530 the set of all R for which
  438\ 00:22:47,530 -> 00:22:52,960 you get mu is called R's of mu
   给定一个策略 \mu, 所有的 r 都可以被记为 R_{\mu}
  439 00:22:52,960 -> 00:22:56,890 okay so in the gist of this is that R_{\mu} is
  440\ 00:22:56,890 -> 00:22:59,470 the set of all r such as if we use an
  441\ 00:22:59,470 -> 00:23:02,740\ R in it then the next improved policy is
  442\ 00:23:02,740 \rightarrow 00:23:08,290 going to be new
   如果我们集合 R_{\mu} 中选一个 r,那么经过策略改进获得的策略就是 \mu
   443\ 00:23:08,290 -> 00:23:10,600 okay so we have the space R and there is a partition
   所以我们有一个空间 R 与 R 的划分
  444\ 00:23:10,600 -> 00:23:13,480 each policy has a set associated with it
   每一个策略都对应划分中的一个集合
  445~00{:}23{:}13{,}480 -> 00{:}23{:}17{,}290 if I pick my r within that set then my next
   446\ 00:23:17,290 -> 00:23:22,210 policy will be the one corresponding to
   447\ 00:23:22,210 -> 00:23:24,630 the set
   如果我在某一个划分中选择一个 r, 那么我能得到的策略就是这个 r 对应的那个策略
   448\ 00:23:25,759 -> 00:23:30,200 okay now notice something else also
   来看一些其他东西
  449 00:23:30,200 -> 00:23:33,419 suppose that policy evaluation is exact
  450\ 00{:}23{:}33{,}419 -> 00{:}23{:}36{,}720 so for every policy that you evaluate
  451\ 00:23:36,720 -> 00:23:39,749 you obtain a certain weight vector r_u
   452\ 00:23:39,749 \longrightarrow 00:23:41,820 for every mu design r of
  453\ 00:23:41,820 -> 00:23:46,440\ \mathrm{mu} so I can plot these sets R of mu
  454\ 00:23:46,440 -> 00:23:48,899 and I can plot also the point r of mu in here
   假设策略评价是准确的,所以对于每一个策略评价你都能获得一个确定的权重向量 r_{\mu},所以我
可以这么画集合 R_{\mu} 和权重向量 r_{\mu}
  455\ 00:23:48,899 \rightarrow 00:23:52,950 now here's how approximate
```

 $456\ 00:23:52,950 -> 00:23:55,730$ policy iteration is going to work

```
这就是近似策略迭代工作的方式
   457\ 00:23:55,730 -> 00:24:00,989 suppose that I have the current policy
   458 00:24:00,989 -> 00:24:05,149 mu K and I evaluate this policy
   459\ 00:24:05,149 \rightarrow 00:24:07,440 according to a projected equation
   460\ 00:24:07,440 -> 00:24:09,210 there's a unique fixed point there's a
   461\ 00:24:09.210 \rightarrow 00:24:13.499 unique r okay now now this r use of
   462\ 00:24:13,499 -> 00:24:16,700\ K is going to fall into subset r mu
   463\ 00:24:16,700 -> 00:24:20,639 and let's say and the set within it
   464\ 00:24:20,639 -> 00:24:22,980 false is going to correspond to the next
   465 00:24:22,980 -> 00:24:28,049 policy if I have argue here the next
   466\ 00:24:28,049 -> 00:24:30,450 policy is going to correspond to the set
   467\ 00:24:30,450 -> 00:24:34,200 within which it falls so mu K plus 1 is
   468\ 00:24:34,200 -> 00:24:36,869 going to be the next policy but new K
   469 00:24:36,869 -> 00:24:41,759 plus 1 is evaluated using some weight
   470\ 00:24:41,759 -> 00:24:45,419 vector and the next point in the policy
   471\ 00:24:45,419 \rightarrow 00:24:46,859 direction process is going to be this
   472\ 00:24:46,859 \rightarrow 00:24:50,669 one this vector falls within some set
   473\ 00:24:50,669 -> 00:24:52,200 and it's going to be the set
   474\ 00:24:52,200 \longrightarrow 00:24:55,559 corresponding to the next policy and now
   475~00{:}24{:}55{,}559~{-}{>}~00{:}24{:}58{,}619 we are going to move here to the set R K
   476\ 00:24:58,619 \longrightarrow 00:25:00,539\ \text{nu plus 1} is going to fall into a set
   477 00:25:00,539 -> 00:25:03,149 correspond to still another policy which
   478~00{:}25{:}03{,}149~{-}{>}~00{:}25{:}06{,}119 I'm going to go back and at some point
   479\ 00:25:06,119 -> 00:25:10,139 because these sets are finite there is a
   480\ 00:25:10.139 -> 00:25:12.539 finite number of them because there's
   481\ 00:25:12.539 \rightarrow 00:25:14.659 only a finite number of policies
   482\ 00:25:14,659 -> 00:25:17,279 eventually there is no alternative that
   483\ 00:25:17,279 -> 00:25:20,399 you will close a cycle and this is the
   484\ 00:25:20,399 -> 00:25:23,519 cycle generated by the approximate
   485\ 00:25:23,519 -> 00:25:26,039 policy duration with the exact policy
   486\ 00:25:26,039 -> 00:25:28,999 evaluation
   假设现在有一个策略 \mu_k,然后我通过求解一个投影方程获得的不动点,也就是 r_k,现在这个
r_k 落在了子集 R_{\mu}^{k+1} 中,我们说下一个策略是 \mu_{k+1},我们再对策略 \mu_{k+1} 进行评估可以得到新的 参数向量 r_{\mu^{k+1}},可以看到 r_{\mu^{k+1}} 落在了子集 R_{\mu}^{k+2} 中,即新策略是 \mu^{k+2},对 \mu^{k+2} 进行评价,得
到 r_{\mu^{k+2}} 落在了子集 R_{\mu}^{k+3} 中,对 \mu^{k+3} 进行评价,得到 r_{\mu^{k+3}},此时 r 落在了子集 R_{\mu}^{k} 中,策略又
回来了,不停地进行策略迭代,就一直这么循环下去。由于参数集合是有限的(策略是有限个的),
近似策略迭代迭代就会一直在这些集合中循环下去。
   487\ 00:25:30,579 \rightarrow 00:25:36,529 okay so genetically assuming that you do
   488\ 00:25:36,529 -> 00:25:39,559 the policy evaluation exactly so for a
   489\ 00:25:39,559 -> 00:25:41,869 given new there's a unique weight vector
   490\ 00:25:41,869 -> 00:25:44,419 associated with it there is going to be
   491\ 00:25:44,419 \rightarrow 00:25:46,159 a cycle like this that's going to be closed
    一般地说, 假设策略评估是精确的, 对于一个给定的策略 mu, 得到的权重向量 r 会在这个闭
环中一直循环下去
   492\ 00:25:46,159 -> 00:25:49,099 the algorithm ends up repeating
   493\ 00:25:49,099 -> 00:25:52,639 some cycle of policies with r mu k
   494 00:25:52,639 ->00:25:56,029 belonging to R capital R mu k plus 1 nu
   495\ 00:25:56,029 -> 00:25:59,059\ K\ R\ mu\ k\ plus\ 1 belonging to the set of
   496\ 00:25:59,059 -> 00:26:01,820\ Nu\ K\ plus\ 2 and so on
   497\ 00:26:01,820 \longrightarrow 00:26:05,329 and somewhere a cycling is going to be closed
   算法在这种循环中执行几次之后就会停止了
   498\ 00:26:05,329 -> 00:26:09,799 now you might ask is it necessary
   499\ 00:26:09,799 \longrightarrow 00:26:12,289 that we have a cycle can this process terminate
   你可能会问我们一定要这样循环迭代吗,这个过程能不能终止呢
   500 00:26:12,289 -> 00:26:16,339 sure if r of mu falls
   501\ 00:26:16.339 \rightarrow 00:26:18.829 within the set R of mu then you have
   502 00:26:18,829 -> 00:26:23,899 convergence in one step
   如果 r_{\mu} 在集合 R_{\mu} 中,则迭代一步就收敛了
```

```
503\ 00:26:23,899 \rightarrow 00:26:28,719 okay it's only when you have this movement around the
  504\ 00:26:29,289 \rightarrow 00:26:33,559 query a little R does not correspond to
  50500:26:33,559 ->00:26:37,969 to look to to capital R that you get an
  506\ 00{:}26{:}37{,}969 -> 00{:}26{:}40{,}299\ oscillation
   只有得到的 r 和集合 R 不一样的时候才会循环, 也就是产生震荡
  507\ 00:26:47,910 -> 00:26:51,270 so in terms of this figure the typical
  508 00:26:51,270 -> 00:26:53,280 trajectory of approximate policy duration
  这个图介绍了典型的策略迭代过程
  509\ 00:26:53,280 \rightarrow 00:26:55,549 is you start with some policy
  510 00:26:55,549 -> 00:26:59,039 you move into some new set corresponding
  511\ 00:26:59,039 \longrightarrow 00:27:01,590 to the policy then you go to a new
  512\ 00:27:01,590 -> 00:27:05,309 weight vector a new set corresponding to
  513\ 00:27:05,309 -> 00:27:07,669 that weight back to that weight vector
  514\ 00:27:07,669 -> 00:27:10,110 involving a new policy and so on
   你从某些策略开始,获得了一个权重向量,然后得到了这个向量对应的策略,继续计算权重向
量,策略,权重向量,这么持续下去
  515\ 00:27:10,110 -> 00:27:12,360 so you meet between policies like that and then
  516\ 00:27:12,360 -> 00:27:14,820 you come to some point where you just go on a cycle
  所以你在这些策略之间不停地跳转,构成了一个循环
  517 00:27:14,820 -> 00:27:19,770 now you hope that this cycle
  518\ 00:27:19,770 \rightarrow 00:27:23,039 is a good cycle that all the policies
  519\ 00:27:23,039 -> 00:27:26,190 involved in this cycle are reasonably good policies
  现在你希望最终得到的策略是一个好策略,循环中所有的策略都有理由认为是一个好策略
  520\ 00:27:26,190 \rightarrow 00:27:30,030 practice with many
  521\ 00:27:30.030 \rightarrow 00:27:30.690 problems
  522\ 00:27:30,690 -> 00:27:33,510 indeed indicates that that the cycles
  523\ 00:27:33,510 \rightarrow 00:27:38,220 occur in good parts of the space rather
  524\ 00:27:38,220 -> 00:27:40,590 than bad parts of the space
   实际解决了很多问题显示循环确实在好策略之间进行而不是在坏策略之间进行
  525\ 00:27:40,590 -> 00:27:44,100 however that's ambitious examples where you get
  526\ 00:27:44,100 -> 00:27:47,600 oscillations within very bad cycles
   但是这里有一个例子说明你可以在一些非常坏的策略之间循环
  527\ 00:27:47,600 -> 00:27:49,799 particularly when the problem is of small dimension
  特别是这个问题的维度还很低
  528\ 00:27:49,799 \longrightarrow 00:27:52,140 there are very simple
  529\ 00:27:52,140 \rightarrow 00:27:54,000 examples to move in two states three
  530 00:27:54,000 -> 00:27:58,169 states okay very unusual kind of but
  531 00:27:58,169 -> 00:28:01,380 very very very very revealing kinds of
  532\ 00:28:01,380 \rightarrow 00:28:03,740 examples
  这是一个非常简单只有三个状态,但是很不寻常能够给人启示的例子
   (someone asking questions
  这个循环中的策略都离最优策略比较远
  533\ 00:28:07,690 -> 00:28:12,080 now suppose that are there questions
  534 00:28:12,080 -> 00:28:14,480 about this figure I know it's a hard
  535~00:28:14,480 -> 00:28:16,460 figure to understand I always have to
  536 00:28:16,460 -> 00:28:18,710 look at it again and again to figure it
  537 00:28:18,710 -> 00:28:29,780 out yes please yes the question is what
  538\ 00:28:29,780 \rightarrow 00:28:31,430 about the quality of this cycle is it
  539\ 00:28:31,430 \rightarrow 00:28:32,960 possible that all the policies in this
  540~00{:}28{:}32{,}960~{-}{>}~00{:}28{:}35{,}600 cycle are far from optimal definitely so
  541\ 00:28:35,600 -> 00:28:39,260 yes definitely so you can compare it to
  542\ 00:28:39,260 \rightarrow 00:28:41,720 if you can make an analogy that's
  543 00:28:41,720 -> 00:28:43,220 somewhat superficial but perhaps
  544\ 00:28:43,220 \longrightarrow 00:28:46,210 somewhat revealing with local minima
  54500:28:46,210 ->00:28:50,990 local minima in in optimization where
  546\ 00:28:50,990 -> 00:28:52,850 the method gets attracted some local
  547 00:28:52,850 -> 00:28:55,490 minimum that could be very suboptimal
```

 $548\ 00:28:55,490 -> 00:28:58,550$ very far from optimal the same thing can

 $549\ 00:28:58,550 -> 00:29:01,610$ happen here it's a process of like local minimum

```
非常坏的情况是在一个好策略和坏策略之间震荡。这个算法没法保证近似,如果愿意的话可以
做一些其他计算给出置信度,你甚至没法判断这个结果有多好,因为谁都不知道最优值是多少。
   550\ 00:29:01,610 -> 00:29:08,800 okay here's another yes please
   551\ 00:29:13.480 \rightarrow 00:29:23.539 the arrow of the function this
   552\ 00:29:23,539 \rightarrow 00:29:26,470 oscillation maybe
   553\ 00:29:31,350 -> 00:29:36,880 yes yes the question is is it necessary
   554 00:29:36,880 -> 00:29:39,730 that I get a bad oscillation definitely
   555 00:29:39,730 -> 00:29:41,320 you might get converges to an exact
   556\ 00:29:41,320 -> 00:29:47,110 policy in in very few directions and it
   557 00:29:47,110 -> 00:29:51,640 is also possible that this oscillation
   558\ 00:29:51,640 -> 00:29:54,940 can happen but all the policies here are
   559 00:29:54,940 -> 00:29:57,130 close to optimal actually this happens
   560 00:29:57,130 -> 00:30:07,240 quite often in general it will oscillate
   561 00:30:07,240 -> 00:30:10,870 and depending on how you do the policy
   562\ 00:30:10,870 \longrightarrow 00:30:14,580 evaluation it makes typically oscillate
   563\ 00:30:14,580 -> 00:30:17,260 typically yes there is no convergence
   564\ 00:30:17,260 -> 00:30:19,600 guarantee of this algorithm there's only
   565\ 00:30:19,600 -> 00:30:23,430 a fourth that you will get a good cycle
   566\ 00:30:23,430 -> 00:30:26,050 there's also even worse it's also even
   567 00:30:26,050 -> 00:30:28,480 worse suppose you get a cycle and you
   568~00:30:28,\!480 -\!> 00:30:30,\!190 look at your computational results and
   569\ 00:30:30,190 -> 00:30:31,690 you see well there's got to be a cycle
   570 00:30:31,690 -> 00:30:33,340 because I get this policy that's good
   571 00:30:33,340 -> 00:30:35,350 but I get this other policy that's very
   572\ 00:30:35,350 \longrightarrow 00:30:37,480 bad and I keep oscillating between good
   573\ 00:30:37,480 -> 00:30:40,930 and bad policies how do I know that what
   574 00:30:40,930 -> 00:30:44,440 looks to you as good policy is indeed
   575\ 00:30:44,440 -> 00:30:49,060 good okay how do I know that there's not
   576\ 00:30:49,060 -> 00:30:52,860 another policy that's much much better
   577 00:30:55,770 -> 00:30:58,450 it cannot be guaranteed in general
   578 00:30:58,450 -> 00:31:02,230 except for the generic error bound that
   579\ 00:31:02,230 -> 00:31:05,410\ I gave you earlier which is so loose to
   580~00{:}31{:}05{,}410~{-}{>}~00{:}31{:}09{,}280 be in useless in practice convergence
   581\ 00:31:09,280 -> 00:31:13,570 cannot be guaranteed and and you can
   582\ 00:31:13.570 -> 00:31:16.090 gain some confidence about the results
   583\ 00:31:16,090 -> 00:31:18,180 by doing some additional computations
   584\ 00:31:18,180 -> 00:31:23,140 but still there will be some doubt they
   585\ 00:31:23,140 \longrightarrow 00:31:25,180 may be some doubt as to whether the
   586\ 00:31:25.180 -> 00:31:27.100 results of the computation are good or
   587\ 00:31:27,100 -> 00:31:29,200 bad because you don't know where the
   588\ 00:31:29,200 -> 00:31:32,010 optimum is
   asking completed)
   589\ 00:31:33,900 \rightarrow 00:31:37,600 okay there's some even more weird things happening here
   有一些非常奇怪的事情将要发生了
   590\ 00:31:37,600 -> 00:31:44,049 if we have a certain
   591 00:31:44,049 -> 00:31:48,220 policy mu k let's say the typical
   592 00:31:48,220 -> 00:31:52,059 policy exactly I'm sorry solving the
   593~00:31:52,059 \rightarrow 00:31:53,799 projected bellman equation will give you
   59400:31:53,799 -> 00:31:57,250 a unique weight vector however if you
   595 00:31:57,250 -> 00:31:59,559 use an optimistic method whereby you
   596\ 00:31:59,559 \rightarrow 00:32:01,390 solve this bellman equation only
   597 00:32:01,390 -> 00:32:03,190 approximately then you're going to get
```

 $598\ 00:32:03,190 -> 00:32:05,559$ something that has some error all you 599 00:32:05,559 -> 00:32:08,230 can say is that from arm UK you can go 600 00:32:08,230 -> 00:32:10,929 towards this point but not necessarily add 如果我有一个策略 μ^k ,求解 bellman 方程组可以得到一个唯一的权重向量,如果你使用一种乐观方法 (optimistic method),你只能得到一个近似的向量 r,这存在一些误差,然后你可以说 r 从初始点到了点 r_{μ^k} ,但是并不是一定能到达这个点 $(r_{\mu^{k+1}})$

MORE ON OSCILLATIONS/CHATTERING

- $601\ 00:32:10,929 \longrightarrow 00:32:13,809$ it so a different kind of figure will work then
- 这是另一个类型的工作状况的图
- $602\ 00:32:13,809 -> 00:32:19,120$ whereby let's say which
- $603\ 00:32:19,120 \longrightarrow 00:32:22,809$ we are at we have policy mu one and
- $604\ 00:32:22,809 -> 00:32:24,549$ then we generate policy mu two buy
- $605\ 00:32:24,549 -> 00:32:27,429$ policy improvement and from here we go
- $606\ 00:32:27,429 \longrightarrow 00:32:30,280$ part of the way towards this but we
- $607\ 00:32:30,280 -> 00:32:33,460$ don't quite reach it because we use an optimistic algorithm
- 我们现在在 μ_1 , 通过策略改进策略变成了 μ_2 , 在图上从这个点到这个点, 但是由于我们使用 乐观算法进行策略改进, 我们并不是准确地到达新策略的,
 - $608\ 00:32:33,460 \longrightarrow 00:32:36,580$ and then from here
 - $609\ 00:32:36,580 -> 00:32:39,250$ we go towards here but we don't quite reach it
 - 然后通过策略改进到达一个新的点,同样不能准确到达
 - $610\ 00:32:39,250 \rightarrow 00:32:41,950$ so what's happening is the
 - $611\ 00:32:41,950 -> 00:32:45,130$ magnitude of the oscillation is is
 - $612\ 00:32:45,130 -> 00:32:49,600$ becomes reduced it becomes less if you
 - $613\ 00:32:49,600 -> 00:32:53,320$ make the we we evaluation progressively
 - $614\ 00:32:53,320 \rightarrow 00:32:55,480$ more and more optimistic then you can
 - 615 00:32:55,480 $-\!>$ 00:32:58,299 get smaller and smaller oscillation
 - 所以如果你在进行策略评价的时候越来越乐观, 震荡幅度就会逐渐变得越来越小
 - $616\ 00:32:58,299 -> 00:33:00,210$ and in fact you can get convergence
 - $617\ 00:33:00,210 \longrightarrow 00:33:03,460$ convergence of the weight vectors and
 - $618~00{:}33{:}03{,}460 -> 00{:}33{:}06{,}549$ then the strange thing is that we can
 - 619 00:33:06,549 -> 00:33:09,640 have convergence of the R vectors as you
 - $620\ 00:33:09,640 -> 00:33:12,090$ are having an oscillation of policies
 - 一件很奇怪的事情就是在你的策略是一个震荡策略的时候可以收敛到一个固定的权重向量 r
 - 621 00:33:12,090 -> 00:33:14,289 it's just that you convert that this
 - $622\ 00:33:14,289 -> 00:33:17,710$ joint this junction point in the greedy
 - 623 00:33:17,710 -> 00:33:20,409 partition between policies and you
 - $624\ 00:33:20,409 -> 00:33:22,929$ generate a cycle of policies but the r
 - 625 00:33:22,929 -> 00:33:25,270 vectors seem to converge
 - 也就是在使用贪心划分策略时你可以产生一个策略循环但是权重向量 r 最终会收敛
 - $626\ 00:33:25,270 \longrightarrow 00:33:27,070$ so you look at the r vectors and you may be very
 - 627 00:33:27,070 -> 00:33:29,620 happy ok my algorithm is converging but
 - $628\ 00:33:29,620 -> 00:33:31,960$ in fact it may not be converging it may
 - $629\ 00:33:31,960 \rightarrow 00:33:34,240$ be oscillating and very widely so between policies
- 所以在关注向量 \mathbf{r} 的时候你可能会非常开心,算法收敛了。但是实际上没有收敛,他可能还在大幅度地在策略间震荡
 - $630\ 00:33:34,240 \longrightarrow 00:33:39,820$ convergence in the
 - $631\ 00:33:39,820 \rightarrow 00:33:42,610$ space of r but divergence or
 - $632\ 00:33:42,610 -> 00:33:45,130$ oscillation in the space of MU
 - r 空间收敛了, 但是在策略空间上发散
 - $633\ 00:33:45,130 -> 00:33:48,070$ it's a very difficult phenomenon to
 - $634\ 00:33:48,070 -> 00:33:51,430$ analyze but you can witness it with very
 - $635\ 00:33:51,430 \rightarrow 00:33:52,540$ simple examples
 - 这种现象非常难以分析,但是很容易用简单的例子验证
 - $636\ 00:33:52,540 \longrightarrow 00:33:55,120$ you know like three state examples four
 - 637 00:33:55,120 $-\!>$ 00:33:57,280 state examples and you can get two state exams
 - 你知道的,那种两个三个或者四个状态的例子
 - 638 00:33:57,280 -> 00:34:01,900 you can get convergence of r two
 - 639 00:34:01,900 -> 00:34:04,690 points that are meaningless okay they're
 - $640\ 00:34:04,690 -> 00:34:06,550$ just Junction points in some strange
 - 641 00:34:06,550 $-\!>$ 00:34:09,370 diagram that you can never compute you
 - $642\ 00:34:09,370 -> 00:34:13,389$ can never understand

```
你可以得到一个收敛的 r, 但是这没有意义, 在一些奇怪的图中这个联合点 (收敛的点) 没有办法计算, 而且根本没法理解为什么会产生这种状况
```

 $643\ 00:34:13,389 -> 00:34:17,040$ okay so what why is this happening and how can we fix it 那么这种现象是如何产生的,我们该如何补救呢

 $644\ 00:34:17,040 \rightarrow 00:34:20,219$ mathematically speaking fundamentally

 $645\ 00:34:20,219 -> 00:34:22,929$ oscillations are due to the lack of

646 00:34:22,929 -> 00:34:26,429 monotonicity of the projection operator

从数学上说,本质上震荡是由于投影算子不具有单调性导致的

 $647\ 00:34:26,429 \rightarrow 00:34:29,770$ by monotonicity I mean that if I have

 $648\ 00:34:29,770 -> 00:34:33,429$ two functions J and J Prime when I

 $649\ 00:34:33,429 -> 00:34:35,889$ project them on the approximation

 $650\ 00:34:35,889 \longrightarrow 00:34:38,830$ subspace their order may be may be switched around

关于单调性,我想说的是如果我有两个函数 J 和 J',当我在近似子空间内对他们进行投影的时候,结果的关系会与原关系相反

 $651\ 00:34:38,830 -> 00:34:43,210$ now remember that we

 $652\ 00:34:43,210 -> 00:34:47,710$ have PI T T is monotone a very

653 00:34:47,710 -> 00:34:49,480 fundamental property in dynamic

654 00:34:49,480 -> 00:34:52,360 programming once you compose it with the

 $655\ 00:34:52,360 -> 00:34:54,429$ projection operator you lose the monotonicity property

还记得吧, ΠT 和 T 是单调的,这是动态规划中很基本的性质了,如果你把他们与投影算子结合,就会失去单调性

 $656\ 00:34:54,429 -> 00:34:57,400$ and all the proofs

657 00:34:57,400 -> 00:34:59,470 that I gave earlier for exact dynamic

 $658\ 00:34:59,470 -> 00:35:01,000$ programming which are based on model

 $659\ 00:35:01,000 -> 00:35:03,270$ monotonicity do not hold anymore

我们之前在基于模型的精确动态规划中进行的所有单调性的证明在这里都失效了

 $660\ 00:35:03,270 \longrightarrow 00:35:06,310$ mathematically that's the problem

这就是问题所在

 $661\ 00:35:06,310 \longrightarrow 00:35:11,050$ lack of one monotonicity of this operator

算子不再具有单调性了

 $662\ 00:35:11,050 -> 00:35:14,530$ if you were to change this operator and use

663 00:35:14,530 \rightarrow 00:35:17,440 adapt a different operator say some W

 $664\ 00:35:17,440 -> 00:35:20,500$ operator that is monotone and also this

 $665\ 00:35:20,500 -> 00:35:22,600$ is a contraction then you would not have

66600:35:22,600 ->00:35:24,970 these oscillations and you will have

 $667\ 00:35:24,970 \rightarrow 00:35:28,930$ converges to a single policy

如果你换了一个具有单调性的算子 W,同时 WT_{μ} 是收缩的,你的算法就不会震荡而且可以收敛到一个确定的策略

 $668\ 00:35:28,930 \rightarrow 00:35:30,700$ this is a little difficult to explain but not

 $669\ 00:35:30,700 -> 00:35:33,790$ difficult but will take us too much time

 $670\ 00:35:33,790 -> 00:35:35,620$ to explain you may explore it on your

 $671\ 00:35:35,620 -> 00:35:37,990$ own this is the mathematical reason for

 $672\ 00:35:37,990 \longrightarrow 00:35:40,510$ all these oscillations

这是一个不太难解释但是会花费很长时间去解释的问题,你可以尝试自己解决它,从震荡的数 学角度上进行解释

 $673\ 00:35:40,510 \rightarrow 00:35:43,320$ however there's an important special case for which

 $674~00{:}35{:}43{,}320 -> 00{:}35{:}46{,}900$ the evaluation equation involves a

675 00:35:46,900 -> 00:35:50,710 mapping W that is both monotone and the

 $676\ 00:35:50,710 \rightarrow 00:35:54,180$ contraction and this is the next method aggregation

现在又要给很重要的特殊例子,评价方程包括了单调而且收缩的映射 W 的方法,聚合法

 $677\ 00:35:54,180 \longrightarrow 00:35:56,750$ in aggregation

 $678\ 00:35:56,750 -> 00:36:00,109$ we do have similarities but a mapping

 $679\ 00:36:00,109 \rightarrow 00:36:03,140$ that is monitored and avoids this oscillations

聚合方法有这样的特性, 映射单调并且可以避免震荡

 $680\ 00:36:03,140 \longrightarrow 00:36:12,020$ so let's leave this thought

 $681\ 00:36:12,020 -> 00:36:13,970$ at this point and then we'll come back

682 00:36:13,970 -> 00:36:16,550 after a break to look at aggregation in

 $683\ 00:36:16,550 -> 00:36:21,760$ why this is happening

```
我们要休息一会,一会来讲一下聚合和为什么聚合会导致这种现象出现
(someone asking questions
问题 1: 如果探索不足是如何影响到结果的,和如何判断需要多少探索能够避免这些问题
回答: 没法判断到底多少探索能行, 很难的问题, 凭感觉
684\ 00:36:23,710 \longrightarrow 00:36:26,710 and any questionsyes
685\ 00:36:38.550 -> 00:36:46.110 okay the question is if we have
686\ 00:36:46,110 \longrightarrow 00:36:50,310 inadequate exploration how damaging can
687\ 00:36:50,310 -> 00:36:54,330 this be and how much exploration do we
688\ 00:36:54,330 -> 00:36:58,020 need to avoid the problems the answer is
689\ 00:36:58,020 \rightarrow 00:37:00,690 that it's very difficult to give
690\ 00:37:00,690 -> 00:37:02,700 anything to say anything quantitative
691\ 00:37:02,700 -> 00:37:06,150 about this it's mostly a matter of trial
692~00:37:06,150 \rightarrow 00:37:10,080 and error that's that that's the only way I can answer
问题 2: 如何知道探索不足
回答:看结果,如果收敛到了一个很奇怪的策略,就是探索不足了
693\ 00:37:10,080 \rightarrow 00:37:21,890 any other questions yes
694\ 00:37:26,580 -> 00:37:28,170 okay how do we know that we have
695\ 00:37:28,170 \rightarrow 00:37:31,109 inadequate exploration well basically by
696\ 00:37:31,109 -> 00:37:34,290 looking at the results if you see that
697 00:37:34,290 -> 00:37:38,670 the policies are changed widely and you
698 00:37:38,670 -> 00:37:41,900 get strange policies by your process
699 00:37:41,900 -> 00:37:45,810 because basically you're using cost
700\ 00:37:45,810 -> 00:37:48,300 functions that are way off and balanced
701\ 00:37:48,300 -> 00:37:51,510 in favor of some states and relative to
702\ 00:37:51.510 \rightarrow 00:37:54.119 others then that's sort of a an
703\ 00:37:54.119 \rightarrow 00:37:56.280 indication that your exploration problem
704\ 00:37:56,280 -> 00:37:58,080 is that you have an exploration prop
705\ 00:37:58,080 -> 00:38:00,210 usually that's not hard to tell because
706\ 00:38:00,210 -> 00:38:01,920 the algorithm just completely breaks
707\ 00:38:01,920 -> 00:38:03,840 down if you have an adequate exploration
708\ 00:38:03,840 -> 00:38:05,400 so if you see that you're getting
709\ 00:38:05,400 -> 00:38:07,740 garbage that's that's the first place
710 00:38:07,740 -> 00:38:09,810 that you look it's my exploration
711 00:38:09,810 -> 00:38:12,380 sufficient
712\ 00:38:17,530 -> 00:38:19,700 okay so let's take a break for ten
713 00:38:19,700 -> 00:38:20,990 minutes and then we'll come back to look
```

 $714\ 00:38:20.990 \rightarrow 00:00:00.000$ into aggregation

asking completed)