
Loom is looming

Rémi Forax / José Paumard



Don't believe what we are saying!

What is Loom?

OpenJDK project started late 2017 by Ron Pressler (Oracle)

Goal: Lowering the cost of concurrency

Should be integrated soon as preview feature

How many threads can I run?

DEMO

How many threads can I run?

```
var threads = IntStream.range(0, 100_{000})
    .mapToObj(i -> new Thread(() -> {
      try {
        Thread.sleep(5_{000});
      } catch (InterruptedException e) {
        throw new AssertionError(e);
    .toList();
var i = 0;
for (var thread: threads) {
  System.out.println(i++);
  thread.start();
for (var thread : threads) {
  thread.join();
```

How many threads can I run?

```
On a MacBook Air M1 (16G of RAM)
4065
4066
4067
4068
[0.373s][warning][os,thread] Failed to start thread "Unknown thread" -
pthread create failed (EAGAIN) for attributes: stacksize: 2048k,
quardsize: 16k, detached.
[0.373s][warning][os,thread] Failed to start the native thread for
iava.lang.Thread "Thread-4066"
Exception in thread "main" java.lang.OutOfMemoryError: unable to create
native thread: possibly out of memory or process/resource limits reached
        at java.base/java.lang.Thread.start0(Native Method)
        at java.base/java.lang.Thread.start(Thread.java:1451)
        at _3_how_many_platform_thread.printHowManyThreads(...java:19)
        at 3 how many platform thread.main(...java:46)
```

What if I've more than 4068 clients

OS/Platform threads are not cheap!

for my web server?

Need to change the model

1 request <==> 1 threads

Paradigmatic change Asynchronous programming

```
But I loose the stack trace
=> debugging is harder
=> profiling is harder
=> testing is harder
+
colored function problem
```

Other solution => continuation

... like Erlang / Golang

... not like C# / Kotlin

In Java, virtual threads

DEMO

Virtual thread

```
// platform threads
var pthread = new Thread(() -> {
  System.out.println("platform " + Thread.currentThread());
});
pthread.start();
pthread.join();
// virtual threads
var vthread = Thread.startVirtualThread(() -> {
  System.out.println("virtual " + Thread.currentThread());
});
vthread.join();
```

Virtual thread

```
// platform threads
platform Thread[#14, Thread-0, 5, main]
// virtual threads
virtual VirtualThread[#15]/runnable@ForkJoinPool-1-worker-1
                              Use a dedicated fork-join thread pool internally
                            Warning! This pool is not the common fork join pool
```

Using a *polymorphic* builder

Thread builder

```
// platform threads
var pthread = Thread.ofPlatform()
    .name("platform-", ⊙)
    .start(() -> {
      System.out.println("platform " + Thread.currentThread());
    });
pthread.join();
// virtual thread
var vthread = Thread.ofVirtual()
    .name("virtual-", 0)
    .start(() -> {
      System.out.println("virtual " + Thread.currentThread());
    });
vthread.join();
```

How many virtual threads can I run?

DEMO

How many virtual threads can I run?

```
var counter = new AtomicInteger();
var threads = IntStream.range(0, 100_000)
    .mapToObj(i -> Thread.ofVirtual().unstarted(() -> {
      try {
        Thread.sleep(5_{000});
      } catch (InterruptedException e) {
        throw new AssertionError(e);
      counter.incrementAndGet();
    }))
    .toList();
for (var thread : threads) { thread.start(); }
for (var thread : threads) { thread.join(); }
System.out.println(counter);
```

Running a thread

Platform/OS thread (starts in ms)

- Creates a 2M stack upfront
- System call to ask the OS to schedule the thread

Virtual thread (starts in µs)

- Growing stack using stack banging
- Use a specific fork-join pool of platform threads (carrier threads)
 - One platform thread per core

Concurrency of Loom

Two strategies for concurrency

- Competitive: all threads compete for the CPUs/cores
- Cooperative: each thread hand of the CPUs to the next

Loom does both, carrier threads compete and virtual threads cooperate

How it works under the hood?


DEMO

jdk.internal.vm.Continuation

```
var scope = new ContinuationScope("hello");
var continuation = new Continuation(scope, () -> {
  System. out. println("C1");
  Continuation. yield(scope);
 System.out.println("C2");
  Continuation.yield(scope);
                                                       Execution:
  System. out. println("C3");
});
                                                        start
                                                        C1
System.out.println("start");
                                                        came back
continuation.run();
                                                        C2
System.out.println("came back");
                                                        back again
continuation.run();
                                                        C3
System.out.println("back again");
                                                        back again again
continuation.run();
System.out.println("back again again");
```


Continuation.yield()

yield() copy the stack to the heap



Continuation.start()

start() copy from the heap to another stack



Loom is **not** implemented "by the JVM"

Most of the code of the virtual thread is written in Java in the JDK (jdk.internal.vm.Continuation)

Written in C in the JVM

- Copy of the stack frames back and forth
- GC modified to find references in stack on heap

In the JDK

All blocking codes are changed to

- Check if current thread is a virtual thread
- If it is, instead of blocking
 - Register a handler that will be called when the OS is ready (using NIO)
 - When the handler is called, find a carrier thread and called Continuation.start()
 - Call Continuation.yield()

Example with Thread.sleep()

```
private static void sleepMillis(long millis) throws InterruptedException {
  Thread thread = currentThread();
  if (thread instanceof VirtualThread vthread) {
    long nanos = NANOSECONDS.convert(millis, MILLISECONDS);
    vthread.sleepNanos(nanos);
  } else {
    sleep0(millis);
                                              void parkNanos(long nanos) {
                                                long startTime = System.nanoTime();
                                                boolean vielded;
                                                Future<?> unparker = scheduleUnpark(nanos);
                                                setState(PARKING);
                                                try {
                                                  yielded = yieldContinuation();
 void sleepNanos(long nanos) throws
                                                } finally {
   long remainingNanos = ...;
                                                  cancel(unparker);
   while (remainingNanos > 0) {
     parkNanos(remainingNanos);
                                                // park on the carrier thread for remaining time when pinned
                                                if (!yielded) {
                                                  parkOnCarrierThread(true, deadline - System.nanoTime());
```

yield() can fail!

Synchronized block are written in assembly and uses an address on stack

=> the stack frames can not be copied

Native code that does an upcall to Java may use an address on stack

=> the stack frames can not be copied

Stealth rewrite of the JDK for Loom

Java 13

- JEP 353 Reimplement the Legacy Socket API

Java 15

- JEP 373 Reimplement the Legacy DatagramSocket API
- JEP 374 Deprecate and Disable Biased Locking

Java 18

- JEP 416 Reimplement Core Reflection with Method Handles

Loom idea: under the Hood

The JDK creates as many virtual threads as the user want

- Mount a virtual thread to an available carrier thread when starting
- if blocking, unmount the current virtual thread and mount another virtual thread

There are still some issues

Synchronized blocks

=> use ReentrantLock instead

Native code that does an upcall

=> no such call in the JDK anymore

Problems with some external libraries using native codes Hadoop, Spark, ...

Thread Local issue

1 000 000 threads => 1 000 000 thread locals ??

ThreadLocal impl issue

ThreadLocal implementation

- store the values in a Map inside java.lang.Thread
 - Does not scale well!
- is mutable (can call ThreadLocal.set() anywhere)

=> provide a more lightweight implementation jdk.incubator.concurrent.ScopeLocal

DEMO

Thread Local

```
private static final ThreadLocal<String> USER = new ThreadLocal<>();
private static void sayHello() {
 System.out.println("Hello " + USER.get());
public static void main(String[] args) throws InterruptedException {
 var vthread = Thread.ofVirtual()
      .allowSetThreadLocals(true)_
      .start(() -> {
   USER.set("Bob");
   try {
                                             Can be used to disallow thread locals
      sayHello();
                                              throw an ISE when calling ThreadLocal.set()
    } finally {
     USER.remove();
 });
 vthread.join();
```

Scope Local

```
private static final ScopeLocal<String> USER = ScopeLocal.newInstance();
private static void sayHello() {
 System.out.println("Hello " + USER.get());
public static void main(String[] args) throws InterruptedException {
 var vthread = Thread.ofVirtual()
      .allowSetThreadLocals(false)
      .start(() -> {
    ScopeLocal.where(USER, "Bob", () -> {
      sayHello();
   });
  });
 vthread.join();
                                  Assign the value for the scope
```

ScopeLocal

WARNING API in progress

- Replacement for ThreadLocal
- Stores the value inside the stack, not inside java.lang.Thread
 - => faster (if not too many locals)
 - => use far less memory
- API amenable to JITs
 - Hoists Scopelocal.get() out of loops ?

Executor and structured concurrency

Executors

An executor recycle the threads

 Do we need an executor if creating a virtual thread does not cost much?

An executor as another role

- Manage all the submitted tasks
 - But cancellation/exception management is wrong!

Structured Concurrency

Syntactic constructions to represent

Use syntactic constructions to represent the dependency tree of the tasks

Try to fix executor problems

DEMO

VirtualThreadPerTaskExecutor

```
var executor = Executors.newCachedThreadPool();
//var executor = Executors.newVirtualThreadPerTaskExecutor();
var future1 = executor.submit(() -> {
  Thread.sleep(10);
  return 42;
                                        Special executor using virtual threads
var future2 = executor.submit(() -> {
                                         Warning! the carrier threads are deamon
  Thread.sleep(1000);
  return 100;
});
executor.shutdown();
var result = future1.get() + future2.get();
System.out.println(result);
// everything is fine here, right !
```

Running task (1) with an Executor

```
var executor = Executors.newCachedThreadPool();
var future1 = executor.submit(() -> {
  Thread.sleep(10);
  return 1;
});
var future2 = executor.submit(() -> {
  Thread.sleep(1 000);
  System.out.println("end");
  return 2;
});
executor.shutdown();
//var result = future1.get() + future2.get();
var result = future1.get();
System.out.println(result);
                                                      Oops!
// future2 still running here ! ←
```

Running task (2) with an Executor

Oops!

```
var executor = Executors.newCachedThreadPool();
var future1 = executor.<Integer>submit(() -> {
  throw new AssertionError("oops");
});
var future2 = executor.submit(() -> {
  Thread.sleep(1 000);
  System.out.println("end");
  return 2;
});
executor.shutdown();
Try {
  var result = future1.get() + future2.get();
  System.out.println(result);
} catch(ExecutionException e) {
  throw new AssertionError(e.getCause());
// future2 still running here! ◀
```

jdk.incubator.concurrent.StructuredTaskScope

```
try (var scope = new StructuredTaskScope<>()) {
 var start = System.currentTimeMillis();
 var future1 = scope.fork(() -> {
    Thread.sleep(1 000);
    return 1;
  });
 var future2 = scope.fork(() -> {
                                                 Wait for all computations
    Thread.sleep(1 000);
    return 2;
  });
  scope.join();
 var end = System.currentTimeMillis();
  System.out.println("elapsed " + (end - start));
 var result = future1.resultNow() + future2.resultNow();
 System.out.println(result);
} // call close() !
```

Throw an exception in case of dangling tasks

Future state()

```
try (var scope = new StructuredTaskScope<>()) {
 var future = scope.fork(() -> {
    Thread.sleep(1 000);
    return 42;
 });
 System. out. println(future.state()); // RUNNING
 //scope.shutdown();
 scope.join();
 System.out.println(future.state()); // SUCCESS
```

Future state() with a shutdown()

```
try (var scope = new StructuredTaskScope<>()) {
  var future = scope.fork(() -> {
    Thread.sleep(1 000);
    return 42;
  });
  System. out. println(future.state()); // RUNNING
  scope.shutdown();
  scope.join();
  System. out.println(future.state()); // CANCEL
```

StructuredTaskScope.shutdown()

If called by the main thread

- Shutdown all the tasks

If called by one task

- Shutdown all the tasks but the caller task

Shutdown() on failure

```
try (var scope = new StructuredTaskScope.ShutdownOnFailure()) {
 var start = System.currentTimeMillis();
 var future1 = scope.<Integer>fork(() -> {
   throw new AssertionError("oops");
 });
                                                            This task fails
 var future2 = scope.fork(() -> {
   Thread.sleep(1 000);
   System.out.println("end");
   return 2;
 });
 scope.join();
 var end = System.currentTimeMillis();
 System.out.println("elapsed " + (end - start));
 var result = future1.resultNow() + future2.resultNow();
 System.out.println(result);
  // future and future2 are not running here !
```

Shutdown() on success

```
trv (var scope = new StructuredTaskScope.ShutdownOnSuccess<Integer>()) {
 var start = System.currentTimeMillis();
 var future1 = scope.fork(() -> {
    Thread.sleep(1 000);
    return 1;
  });
 var future2 = scope.fork(() -> {
                                                    This task completes first
    Thread.sleep(42);
    return 2;
  });
  scope.join();
 var end = System.currentTimeMillis();
  System.out.println("elapsed " + (end - start));
  System.out.println(scope.result());
                                                 The result is stored in the scope
```

Summary

Loom

Will be integrated soon, in Java 19 (or 20)

Introduce virtual threads (better for IO latency)

caveat: synchronized() block or native code with an upcall pins the virtual thread to its carrier thread

More APIs to come (jdk.incubator.concurrent)

- ScopeLocal (~ ThreadLocal replacement)
- StructuredTaskScope (~~ Executor replacement)

Questions?

https://github.com/forax/loom-fiber