
Loom is looming

Rémi Forax / José Paumard



Don't believe what we are saying!

What is Loom?

OpenJDK project started late 2017 by Ron Pressler (Oracle)

Goal: Lowering the cost of concurrency should be integrated soon as preview feature

How many threads can I run?

DEMO

How many threads can I run?

```
var threads = IntStream.range(0, 100_{000})
    .mapToObj(i -> new Thread(() -> {
      try {
        Thread.sleep(5_{000});
      } catch (InterruptedException e) {
        throw new AssertionError(e);
    .toList();
var i = 0;
for (var thread: threads) {
  System.out.println(i++);
  thread.start();
for (var thread : threads) {
  thread.join();
```

How many threads can I run?

```
On a MacBook Air M1 (16G of RAM)
4065
4066
4067
4068
[0.373s][warning][os,thread] Failed to start thread "Unknown thread" -
pthread create failed (EAGAIN) for attributes: stacksize: 2048k,
quardsize: 16k, detached.
[0.373s][warning][os,thread] Failed to start the native thread for
iava.lang.Thread "Thread-4066"
Exception in thread "main" java.lang.OutOfMemoryError: unable to create
native thread: possibly out of memory or process/resource limits reached
        at java.base/java.lang.Thread.start0(Native Method)
        at java.base/java.lang.Thread.start(Thread.java:1451)
        at _3_how_many_platform_thread.printHowManyThreads(...java:19)
        at 3 how many platform thread.main(...java:46)
```

What if I've more than 4068 clients

Platform threads are not cheap!

for my web server?

Need to change the model

1 request <==> 1 threads

Paradigmatic change Asynchronous programming

```
But I loose the stack trace
=> debugging is harder
=> profiling is harder
=> testing is harder
+
colored function problem
```

Asynchronous Programming is like an addiction

Once you start using it
It's hard to go back
... and you loose all your friendly libraries

Solution = coroutine (not like Kotlin like erlang/golang)

In Java, virtual threads

DEMO

Virtual thread

```
// platform threads
var pthread = new Thread(() -> {
  System.out.println("platform " + Thread.currentThread());
});
pthread.start();
pthread.join();
// virtual threads
var vthread = Thread.startVirtualThread(() -> {
  System.out.println("virtual " + Thread.currentThread());
});
vthread.join();
```

Virtual thread

```
// platform threads
platform Thread[#14, Thread-0, 5, main]
// virtual threads
virtual VirtualThread[#15]/runnable@ForkJoinPool-1-worker-1
                              Use a dedicated fork-join thread pool internally
                             Warning! This pool is not the common fork join pool
```

Or using a *polymorphic* builder

Thread builder

```
// platform threads
var pthread = Thread.ofPlatform()
    .name("platform-", ⊙)
    .start(() -> {
      System.out.println("platform " + Thread.currentThread());
    });
pthread.join();
// virtual thread
var vthread = Thread.ofVirtual()
    .name("virtual-", 0)
    .start(() -> {
      System.out.println("virtual " + Thread.currentThread());
    });
vthread.join();
```

How many virtual threads can I run?

DEMO

How many virtual threads can I run?

```
var counter = new AtomicInteger();
var threads = IntStream.range(0, 100_000)
    .mapToObj(i -> Thread.ofVirtual().unstarted(() -> {
      try {
        Thread.sleep(5_{000});
      } catch (InterruptedException e) {
        throw new AssertionError(e);
      counter.incrementAndGet();
    }))
    .toList();
for (var thread : threads) { thread.start(); }
for (var thread : threads) { thread.join(); }
System.out.println(counter);
```

Running a thread

Platform (native) thread (starts in ms)

- Creates a 2M stack
- System call to ask the OS to schedule the thread

Virtual thread (starts in µs)

- Growing stack using stack banging
- Use a specific fork-join pool of platform threads (carrier threads)
 - One platform thread per core

Concurrency for Loom

Two strategies for concurrency

- Competitive: all threads compete for the CPUs/cores
- Cooperative: each thread hand of the CPUs to the next

Loom does both, carrier threads compete and virtual threads cooperate

How it works under the hood?


DEMO

jdk.internal.vm.Continuation

```
var scope = new ContinuationScope("hello");
var continuation = new Continuation(scope, () -> {
  System. out. println("C1");
  Continuation. yield(scope);
 System.out.println("C2");
  Continuation.yield(scope);
                                                       Execution:
  System. out. println("C3");
});
                                                        start
                                                        C1
System.out.println("start");
                                                        came back
continuation.run();
                                                        C2
System.out.println("came back");
                                                        back again
continuation.run();
                                                        C3
System.out.println("back again");
                                                        back again again
continuation.run();
System.out.println("back again again");
```


Continuation.yield()

yield() copy the stack to the heap



Continuation.start()

start() copy from the heap to another stack



Loom is not implemented "by the JVM"

Most of the code of the virtual thread is written in Java

Written in C in the JVM

- Copy of the stack frames back and forth
- GC modified to find references in stack on heap

And in the JDK

All blocking codes are changed to

- Check if current thread is a virtual thread
- If it is, Continuation.yield() instead of blocking
- Register a handler that will be called when the OS is ready (using NIO)
 - When the handler is called, find a carrier thread and called Continuation.start()

Example with Thread.sleep()

```
private static void sleepMillis(long millis) throws InterruptedException {
  Thread thread = currentThread();
  if (thread instanceof VirtualThread vthread) {
    long nanos = NANOSECONDS.convert(millis, MILLISECONDS);
    vthread.sleepNanos(nanos);
  } else {
    sleep0(millis);
                                              void parkNanos(long nanos) {
                                                long startTime = System.nanoTime();
                                                boolean vielded;
                                                Future<?> unparker = scheduleUnpark(nanos);
                                                setState(PARKING);
                                                try {
                                                  yielded = yieldContinuation();
 void sleepNanos(long nanos) throws
                                                } finally {
   long remainingNanos = ...;
                                                  cancel(unparker);
   while (remainingNanos > 0) {
     parkNanos(remainingNanos);
                                                // park on the carrier thread for remaining time when pinned
                                                if (!yielded) {
                                                  parkOnCarrierThread(true, deadline - System.nanoTime());
```

yield() can fail!

Synchronized block are written in assembly and uses an address on stack

=> the stack frames can not be copied

Native code that does an upcall to Java may use an address on stack

=> the stack frames can not be copied

Stealth rewrite of the JDK for Loom

Java 13

- JEP 353 Reimplement the Legacy Socket API

Java 15

- JEP 373 Reimplement the Legacy DatagramSocket API
- JEP 374 Deprecate and Disable Biased Locking

Java 18

- JEP 416 Reimplement Core Reflection with Method Handles

Loom idea: under the Hood

VM creates as many virtual threads as the user want

- Mount a virtual thread to an available carrier thread when starting
- if blocking, unmount the current virtual thread and mount another virtual thread

There are still some issues

Synchronized blocks

=> use ReentrantLock instead

Native code that does an upcall

=> no such call in the JDK anymore

Problems with some libraries using native code, Hadoop, Spark, ...

Thread Local issue

1 000 000 threads => 1 000 000 thread locals ??

ThreadLocal memory issue

ThreadLocal implementation store the values in a Map inside java.lang.Thread

Does not scale well!

=> provide a more lightweight implementation jdk.incubator.concurrent.ScopeLocal

DEMO

Thread Local

```
private static final ThreadLocal<String> USER = new ThreadLocal<>();
private static void sayHello() {
 System.out.println("Hello " + USER.get());
public static void main(String[] args) throws InterruptedException {
 var vthread = Thread.ofVirtual()
      .allowSetThreadLocals(true)_
      .start(() -> {
   USER.set("Bob");
   try {
                                             Can be used to disallow thread locals
      sayHello();
                                              throw an ISE when calling ThreadLocal.set()
    } finally {
     USER.remove();
 });
 vthread.join();
```

Scope Local

```
private static final ScopeLocal<String> USER = ScopeLocal.newInstance();
private static void sayHello() {
 System.out.println("Hello " + USER.get());
public static void main(String[] args) throws InterruptedException {
 var vthread = Thread.ofVirtual()
      .allowSetThreadLocals(false)
      .start(() -> {
    ScopeLocal.where(USER, "Bob", () -> {
      sayHello();
   });
  });
 vthread.join();
                                  Assign the value for the scope
```

ScopeLocal

- Replacement for ThreadLocal
- Stores the value inside the stack, not inside java.lang.Thread
 - => faster (if not too many locals)
 - => use far less memory
- API amenable to JITs

WARNING API in progress

Executor and structured concurrency

Executors

An executor recycle the threads

 Do we need an executor if creating a virtual thread does not cost much?

An executor as another role

- Manage all the submitted tasks
 - But cancellation/exception management is wrong!

Structured Concurrency Aprin progress

Use syntactic constructions to represent the dependency tree of the tasks

Try to fix executor problems

DEMO

VirtualThreadPerTaskExecutor

```
var executor = Executors.newCachedThreadPool();
//var executor = Executors.newVirtualThreadPerTaskExecutor();
var future1 = executor.submit(() -> {
  Thread.sleep(10);
  return 42;
                                        Special executor using virtual threads
var future2 = executor.submit(() -> {
                                         Warning! the carrier threads are deamon
  Thread.sleep(1000);
  return 100;
});
executor.shutdown();
var result = future1.get() + future2.get();
System.out.println(result);
// everything is fine here, right !
```

Running task (1) with an Executor

```
var executor = Executors.newCachedThreadPool();
var future1 = executor.submit(() -> {
  Thread.sleep(10);
  return 1;
});
var future2 = executor.submit(() -> {
  Thread.sleep(1 000);
  System.out.println("end");
  return 2;
});
executor.shutdown();
//var result = future1.get() + future2.get();
var result = future1.get();
System.out.println(result);
                                                      Oops!
// future2 still running here ! ←
```

Running task (2) with an Executor

Oops!

```
var executor = Executors.newCachedThreadPool();
var future1 = executor.<Integer>submit(() -> {
  throw new AssertionError("oops");
});
var future2 = executor.submit(() -> {
  Thread.sleep(1 000);
  System.out.println("end");
  return 2;
});
executor.shutdown();
Try {
  var result = future1.get() + future2.get();
  System.out.println(result);
} catch(ExecutionException e) {
  throw new AssertionError(e.getCause());
// future2 still running here! ◀
```

jdk.incubator.concurrent.StructuredTaskScope

```
try (var scope = new StructuredTaskScope<>()) {
 var start = System.currentTimeMillis();
 var future1 = scope.fork(() -> {
    Thread.sleep(1 000);
    return 1;
  });
 var future2 = scope.fork(() -> {
                                                 Wait for all computations
    Thread.sleep(1 000);
    return 2;
  });
  scope.join();
 var end = System.currentTimeMillis();
  System.out.println("elapsed " + (end - start));
 var result = future1.resultNow() + future2.resultNow();
 System.out.println(result);
} // call close() !
```

Throw an exception in case of dangling tasks

Future state()

```
try (var scope = new StructuredTaskScope<>()) {
 var future = scope.fork(() -> {
    Thread.sleep(1 000);
    return 42;
 });
 System. out. println(future.state()); // RUNNING
 //scope.shutdown();
 scope.join();
 System.out.println(future.state()); // SUCCESS
```

Future state() with a shutdown()

```
try (var scope = new StructuredTaskScope<>()) {
  var future = scope.fork(() -> {
    Thread.sleep(1 000);
    return 42;
  });
  System. out. println(future.state()); // RUNNING
  scope.shutdown();
  scope.join();
  System. out.println(future.state()); // CANCEL
```

StructuredTaskScope.shutdown()

If called by the main thread

- Shutdown all the tasks

If called by one task

- Shutdown all the tasks but the caller task

Shutdown() on failure

```
try (var scope = new StructuredTaskScope.ShutdownOnFailure()) {
 var start = System.currentTimeMillis();
 var future1 = scope.<Integer>fork(() -> {
   throw new AssertionError("oops");
 });
                                                            This task fails
 var future2 = scope.fork(() -> {
   Thread.sleep(1 000);
   System.out.println("end");
   return 2;
 });
 scope.join();
 var end = System.currentTimeMillis();
 System.out.println("elapsed " + (end - start));
 var result = future1.resultNow() + future2.resultNow();
 System.out.println(result);
  // future and future2 are not running here !
```

Shutdown() on success

```
trv (var scope = new StructuredTaskScope.ShutdownOnSuccess<Integer>()) {
 var start = System.currentTimeMillis();
 var future1 = scope.fork(() -> {
    Thread.sleep(1 000);
    return 1;
  });
 var future2 = scope.fork(() -> {
                                                    This task completes first
    Thread.sleep(42);
    return 2;
  });
  scope.join();
 var end = System.currentTimeMillis();
  System.out.println("elapsed " + (end - start));
  System.out.println(scope.result());
                                                 The result is stored in the scope
```

Summary

Loom

Will be integrated soon, in Java 19 (or 20)

Introduce virtual threads (better for IO latency)

caveat: synchronized() block and native code with an upcall pin the virtual thread to its carrier thread

More APIs to come (jdk.incubator.concurrent)

- ScopeLocal (~ ThreadLocal replacement)
- StructuredTaskScope (~~ Executor replacement)

Questions?

https://github.com/forax/loom-fiber