



Proof Positive

Can a machine find love on Twitter?



What did you want to know?

Public opinion about SXSW

What can we emphasize?

Do you want to know what went wrong?



Your data

~10,000 tweets were analyzed

The messages were graciously labeled and stored by an outside party:
<https://data.world/crowdflower/brands-and-product-emotions>



Methods

Natural Language Processing

“Count Vectorization”, aka the easy method, worked best






“Signs Point to Yes”

However, there were a couple key limitations

Your data = Proof of concept


“My reply is no”

The good news:
most people
liked SXSW



“Reply Hazy, Try Again”


Even identical messages were not always categorized together



Results

The model achieved 73% accuracy on unknown data.

“Positive” = only 30% of the data, but the model correctly labeled nearly 60% of those tweets.





How can we improve? For your team:

Size of the data pool

Tweets as a medium

More reliability in categorization



How can we improve? For you:

Those improvements may finally let us
find what went *wrong* last year.

Negative experiences hit us harder than positive ones do,
so that's what we should focus on.



Potential Pitfalls

Resources spent labeling

Human error



Thank you

Feel free to reach me at:

Ferdinand.Beamer@gmail.com

or

www.linkedin.com/in/ferdinand-beaman

Credit to:

<https://www.cleanpng.com/png-magic-8-ball-8-ball-pool-eight-ball-crystal-ball-8-710716/>