

Evaluatierapport: SOW-BKI122A-2016-SEM2-V

Q4-BSc-MSc-2016-17

Respons : 20 van (gemiddeld over 16 curussen) 87 ontvangers (=23%)

1: Where did you follow your preparatory training					non-EU	Totalen: Gem=1,3 Sd=0,6 N=20
<div><div></div>1.3<div></div></div>						
Netherlands						
Netherlands	EU	non-EU				
16	2	2				
80%	10%	10%				

2: 1. Did you fully complete this course?					No	Totalen: Gem=1 Sd=0 N=20
<div>1</div>						
Yes						
Yes	No					
20	0					
100%	0%					

3: 2. If you did not complete the course, please provide the reason why? (max 250 characters)						Totalen: N=1
<div>Deze open vraag (3) heeft (totaal) 1 opmerkingen.</div>						
<div>3</div> <div>1</div>						

4: A1. I had sufficient prior knowledge for this course					fully agree	Totalen: Gem=3,4 Sd=0,9 N=20
<div><div></div>3.4<div></div></div>						
fully disagree						
fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree		
0	4	6	8	2		
0%	20%	30%	40%	10%		

5: A2. The study load of this course is					very heavy	Totalen: Gem=2,8 Sd=0,8 N=20
<div><div></div>2.8<div></div></div>						
very light						
very light	light	ok	heavy	very heavy		
1	5	12	1	1		
5%	25%	60%	5%	5%		

6: A3. The scheduling of this course in the study year is good					fully agree	Totalen: Gem=2,9 Sd=1,4 N=20
<div><div></div>2.9<div></div></div>						
fully disagree						
fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree		
5	4	2	6	3		
25%	20%	10%	30%	15%		

Evaluatierapport: SOW-BKI122A-2016-SEM2-V

Q4-BSc-MSc-2016-17

Respons : 20 van (gemiddeld over 16 curussen) 87 ontvangers (=23%)

7: A4. Do you have any other feedback on the study load and/or scheduling of the course? (max 250 characters)						Totalen:
Deze open vraag (7) heeft (totaal) 9 opmerkingen.						N=9
7						
9						
8: A5. Do you have any feedback on the place of the course in the programme? (max 250 characters), think of possible overlap with other courses or necessary prior knowledge you are lacking						Totalen:
Deze open vraag (8) heeft (totaal) 6 opmerkingen.						N=6
8						
6						
9: B1. The load of the literature used in this course was						Totalen:
<div> <div></div> <div>2</div> <div></div> </div>						Gem=2
N/A						Sd=0,9
						N=20
N/A	very light	light	ok	heavy	very heavy	
8	5	2	5	0	0	
40%	25%	10%	25%	0%	0%	
10: B2. Do you have any comments on the literature used in this course? (max 250 characters)						Totalen:
Deze open vraag (10) heeft (totaal) 4 opmerkingen.						N=4
10						
4						
11: C1. I am completely aware of the learning objectives (leerdoelen) for this course						Totalen:
<div> <div></div> <div>3.8</div> <div></div> </div>						Gem=3,8
fully disagree						Sd=0,8
						N=20
fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree		
0	2	2	13	3		
0%	10%	10%	65%	15%		
12: C2. The teaching methods in this course have helped to achieve the learning objectives						Totalen:
<div> <div></div> <div>3.2</div> <div></div> </div>						Gem=3,2
fully disagree						Sd=0,9
						N=20
fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree		
1	3	8	7	1		
5%	15%	40%	35%	5%		

Evaluatierapport: SOW-BKI122A-2016-SEM2-V

Q4-BSc-MSc-2016-17

Respons : 20 van (gemiddeld over 16 curussen) 87 ontvangers (=23%)

13: C3. The cohesion between different course elements is clear						Totalen: Gem=3,8 Sd=0,7 N=20
<div><div></div><div>3.8</div><div></div></div>					fully agree	
fully disagree						
fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree		
0	1	4	13	2		
0%	5%	20%	65%	10%		

14: C4. Do you have any further comments/feedback about the learning objectives and course set up? (max 250 characters)						Totalen: N=8
Deze open vraag (14) heeft (totaal) 8 opmerkingen.						
14						
8						

15: D1. The relevant course information is available on time e.g., practical assignments, slides, literature, ...						Totalen: Gem=3,8 Sd=1 N=20
<div><div></div><div>3.8</div><div></div></div>					fully agree	
fully disagree						
fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree		
1	1	3	10	5		
5%	5%	15%	50%	25%		

16: D2. The organisation of the exams was good e.g., time, location, circumstances						Totalen: Gem=3,6 Sd=1 N=20
<div><div></div><div>3.6</div><div></div></div>					fully agree	
N/A						
N/A	fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree		
9	0	2	2	5	2	
45%	0%	10%	10%	25%	10%	

17: D3. The organisation of lectures, practicals et cetera was good						Totalen: Gem=3,6 Sd=0,9 N=20
<div><div></div><div>3.6</div><div></div></div>					fully agree	
fully disagree						
fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree		
0	2	7	8	3		
0%	10%	35%	40%	15%		

18: D4. Do you have any further feedback on the facilities or organisation? (max 250 characters)						Totalen: N=7
Deze open vraag (18) heeft (totaal) 7 opmerkingen.						
18						
7						

Evaluatierapport: SOW-BKI122A-2016-SEM2-V

Q4-BSc-MSc-2016-17

Respons : 20 van (gemiddeld over 16 curussen) 87 ontvangers (=23%)

19: E1. I am satisfied with the didactic skills of the teachers						Totalen: Gem=3,4 Sd=0,7 N=20
<div><div></div><div>3.4</div><div></div></div>						
fully disagree						
fully agree						
fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree		
0	2	10	7	1		
0%	10%	50%	35%	5%		

20: E2. The teachers are well-prepared for the lectures						Totalen: Gem=3,3 Sd=0,7 N=20
<div><div></div><div>3.3</div><div></div></div>						
N/A						
fully agree						
N/A	fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree	
13	0	1	3	3	0	
65%	0%	5%	15%	15%	0%	

21: E3. During the lectures, the teachers provide the topics in a well-arranged manner						Totalen: Gem=3,2 Sd=0,7 N=20
<div><div></div><div>3.2</div><div></div></div>						
N/A						
fully agree						
N/A	fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree	
15	0	1	2	2	0	
75%	0%	5%	10%	10%	0%	

22: E4. The teachers reply adequately to questions from students						Totalen: Gem=3,6 Sd=0,7 N=20
<div><div></div><div>3.6</div><div></div></div>						
fully disagree						
fully agree						
fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree		
0	1	7	10	2		
0%	5%	35%	50%	10%		

23: E5. The teachers are available whenever I need them						Totalen: Gem=3,2 Sd=0,9 N=20
<div><div></div><div>3.2</div><div></div></div>						
N/A						
fully agree						
N/A	fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree	
7	1	2	4	6	0	
35%	5%	10%	20%	30%	0%	

24: E6. Do you have any further feedback on the teachers? Please distinguish the different teachers: Teacher 1: (add name); Teacher 2: (add name) (max 250 characters), in general or about individual teachers						Totalen: N=5
Deze open vraag (24) heeft (totaal) 5 opmerkingen.						
24						
5						

Evaluatierapport: SOW-BKI122A-2016-SEM2-V

Q4-BSc-MSc-2016-17

Respons : 20 van (gemiddeld over 16 curussen) 87 ontvangers (=23%)

25: F1. The lectures are good							fully agree	Totalen: Gem=3 Sd=0 N=20
<div><div></div><div>3</div></div>								
N/A								
N/A	fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree			
18	0	0	2	0	0			
90%	0%	0%	10%	0%	0%			

26: F2. The seminars(werkcolleges) are good							fully agree	Totalen: Gem=2,9 Sd=0,8 N=20
<div><div></div><div>2.9</div><div></div></div>								
N/A								
N/A	fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree			
10	0	4	3	3	0			
50%	0%	20%	15%	15%	0%			

27: F3. The practicals are good							fully agree	Totalen: Gem=3,2 Sd=0,9 N=20
<div><div></div><div>3.2</div><div></div></div>								
N/A								
N/A	fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree			
0	0	5	7	6	2			
0%	0%	25%	35%	30%	10%			

28: F4. The assignments are good							fully agree	Totalen: Gem=3,8 Sd=0,9 N=20
<div><div></div><div>3.8</div><div></div></div>								
N/A								
N/A	fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree			
0	0	2	3	11	4			
0%	0%	10%	15%	55%	20%			

29: F5. Do you have any other remarks about the teaching methods used in this course? You could provide feedback on, e.g. organisation, guidance and assessments. (Max. 250 characters)							Totalen: N=7
Deze open vraag (29) heeft (totaal) 7 opmerkingen.							
29							
7							

30: G1. The difficulty level of assessments is as expected							fully agree	Totalen: Gem=3,4 Sd=0,9 N=20
<div><div></div><div>3.4</div><div></div></div>								
fully disagree								
fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree				
0	4	5	10	1				
0%	20%	25%	50%	5%				

Evaluatierapport: SOW-BKI122A-2016-SEM2-V

Q4-BSc-MSc-2016-17

Respons : 20 van (gemiddeld over 16 curussen) 87 ontvangers (=23%)

31: G2. The assessments are associated with the topics covered in the course										Totalen: Gem=3,8	
<div><div></div></div>					3.8		<div><div></div></div>			Sd=1 N=20	
fully disagree										fully agree	
fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree							
1	1	3	10	5							
5%	5%	15%	50%	25%							

32: G3. The grading criteria of the assessments are clear										Totalen: Gem=3,8	
<div><div></div></div>					3.8		<div><div></div></div>			Sd=0,9 N=20	
fully disagree										fully agree	
fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree							
0	3	3	10	4							
0%	15%	15%	50%	20%							

33: G4. The grades were made available in time										Totalen: Gem=2,6	
<div><div></div></div>					2.6		<div><div></div></div>			Sd=1,3 N=20	
fully disagree										fully agree	
fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree							
5	6	2	6	1							
25%	30%	10%	30%	5%							

34: G5. Do you have any further comments about the assessments and examination? (max 250 characters)										Totalen: N=6	
Deze open vraag (34) heeft (totaal) 6 opmerkingen.											
34 6											

35: H1. Please mark the grade that you would give for this course as a whole										Totalen: Gem=7	
<div><div></div></div>					7		<div><div></div></div>			Sd=1,7 N=20	
##										##	
#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	14,625	#####	#####	#####	#####		
#	#	#	#	#		#	#	#	#		
0	0	1	1	2	2	6	4	4	0		
0%	0%	5%	5%	10%	10%	30%	20%	20%	0%		

36: H2. Possible final comments and/or suggestions for improvement of this course (max 250 characters)										Totalen: N=4	
Deze open vraag (36) heeft (totaal) 4 opmerkingen.											
36 4											

Opmerkingen bij vraag: 7: A4. Do you have any other f....

In my opinion the preparatory python exercises were not sufficient to understand enough python.
The scheduling of the entire fourth quarter was subpar; the scheduling of this course by itself was fine, but the combination with other courses (iHCI, Brain) made this course just too much to handle as well.
Don't postpone assignments unless you can guarantee the same amount of time for the next assignments. We should have had 4 weeks for the contest agent, but we only had 1.
While we had 4 weeks to finish the first (very easy) assignment, the other assignments were much harder and we only had one week for each. In combination with the general high workload in the other courses, this was unfortunate.
I feel like i did not have enough knowledge about python and this was not explained enough.
many people complained about the study load, but I personally thought it was very doable. This course was defenitely not the problem.
High study load for the little explanation given
The course functional programming for AI helped me a lot to understand python very fast. Without having functional programming before I think 2 or 3 lectures about python and list comprehensions etc. are necessary
For the easier assignments we got a lot of time, but when they got harder we had lees time. Maybe this could be switched around.

Opmerkingen bij vraag: 8: A5. Do you have any feedback....

The scheduling of this course in combination with the other courses was terrible. The amount of hours we had to put in all the courses was way to high compared to the rest of the year.
The course was fun by itself, and builds upon Introduction AI A very well
In my opinion, it would have been much better to place this course right after intro AI A.
I would have preferred some python courses before this course
In a quite busy part of the semester
Our second semester was quote hectic, far more busy then the first one. This was not necessarily because of this course but because of the overall schedule.

Opmerkingen bij vraag: 10: B2. Do you have any commen....

There was very little information as to how to actually implement certain things in Python; the book and IAIA provided some basic information but it did not come close to being enough to complete certain exercises.
--

I really liked the tutorials/ readme's.

-

I found the explanations in the github to be very good and explanatory.

Opmerkingen bij vraag: 14: C4. Do you have any furthe....

It would be useful to have at least one extra lecture in which we would get information about the syntax of python.
The amount of feedback that we got after handing in the assignments was horrible. The only time we did get feedback for a assignment what was helpfull and sort of in time was with the first assignment.
It would be nice to have some more information on how to complete the exercises.
I wasn't sure what exactly we were supposed to learn.
-
There were often not enough TAs. One TA was outstanding. He sat down with us and really thought our problem through with us together (where others didn't know what the problem might be). Blonde, tall, don't know his name, unfortunately.
"You now know how to make lists and edit them a bit in python" "now make a search algorythm". The gap between assignment 1&2 to 3 was very big
Course's difficulty slope went up far too fast, and thus was hard to learn from

Opmerkingen bij vraag: 18: D4. Do you have any furthe....

It took very long for some exercises to be graded
People who did not know it was a room for the practicals, and thought it was a silent study room, got angry at the students for talking at several occasions. I think a TA should clearly state at the beginning that it is a practical room.
Too few teaching assistants. It is unlikely that something can be done about this, but waiting half an hour for help just slows you down massively.
Communication often appeared to be chaotic to me. It was also a massive shame that the TAs' script wasn't working properly. This severely affected my experience of the course negatively.
In the beginning of this course the load was very low and at the end extremely high. I would have preferred that all assignments were available in the beginnen, to be able to work ahead.
The first and only "lecture" did not feel really useful as we could have read the content just by ourselves (faster). The TvA6 was also not the best location for a lecture for many students. A shortened version and more time for the exercises might be good
Some TA's did not fully understand the subject and what we needed from them

Opmerkingen bij vraag: 24: E6. Do you have any furthe....

No teachers to speak of (that actually helped during practicals)
TA's were not always fully prepared. Also, Paul Kamsteegh was away for a week, at the time we were working on the assignment and had questions, i think this was badly planned
The TAs were useful and could answer our questions most of the time
Was only at the course once (although this may have to do with personal reasons). Overall, not useful
There was one italian TA that was not helpful at all.

Opmerkingen bij vraag: 29: F5. Do you have any other

During the practicals, not all TA's were very helpful. You shouldn't get paid to answer "google it".
Not giving feedback for assignments when people really needed them was really annoying.
More information would be really nice
Assignments were not clearly explained in my opinion.
TA's were not always of much help, too few of them in general and a very high learning slope
The step between the python practice to the pacman game was too big. It would have been helpful to have had some more explanation about the structure of the pacman code bedoel having to work on it ourselves.
The TA's did a great job.

Opmerkingen bij vraag: 34: G5. Do you have any furthe....

Grades for exercises were made available way too late, and feedback never even arrived.
I believe we are all aware that the grades this year were a big mess. For us, nothing was there on time, and for the final grades, it was not even known if the grades were correct.
We received our feedback usually very late. Especially for the last assignment (before the contest), we only got that after submission of the final assignment – which was too late.
Grades for the assignments were extremely late, causing it to be impossible to know how we were doing on previously made assignments.
Lot of mistakes were made with regard to grading. Mostly these problems were acknowledged by the TA's and the teacher and they really tried to work their asses off to make things right. Problems were a shame, reaction of the teachers were awesome!
Grades were very late (months for some), and assessment suddenly got very difficult (at DFS/BFS)

Opmerkingen bij vraag: 36: H2. Possible final comment....

Writing a PacMan agent (final assignment) is fun, but it appeared to have little in common with the assignments before that. Moreover, this year the scheduling and grading were a big mess. I hope this could be prevented next year.
Study load of other courses and other circumstances influenced the way i experienced this course. I think the overal study load should be more evenly distributed.
Should be more instructions on how to work with python. Without it, the assignment were very hard.
Way too little assistance with the exercises, the teachers was never (possibly due to personal circumstances) and the exercises got very tough very quickly. Overall, a rather poor course