

Evaluatierapport: SOW-BKI244-2016-SEM2-V

Q4-BSc-MSc-2016-17

Respons : 16 van (gemiddeld over 16 curussen) 87 ontvangers (=18,4%)

1: Where did you follow your preparatory training			non-EU	Totalen: Gem=1,4 Sd=0,6 N=16
<div><div></div><div>1.4</div><div></div></div>				
Netherlands				
Netherlands	EU	non-EU		
10	5	1		
63%	31%	6%		

2: 1. Did you fully complete this course?			No	Totalen: Gem=1 Sd=0 N=16
<div><div></div><div>1</div><div></div></div>				
Yes				
Yes	No			
16	0			
100%	0%			

3: 2. If you did not complete the course, please provide the reason why? (max 250 characters)				Totalen: N=0
<div>Deze open vraag (3) heeft (totaal) 0 opmerkingen.</div>				
<div><div>3</div><div>0</div></div>				

4: A1. I had sufficient prior knowledge for this course			fully agree	Totalen: Gem=4,1 Sd=0,9 N=16
<div><div></div><div>4.1</div><div></div></div>				
fully disagree				
fully disagree	disagree	neutral		
0	2	0	8	6
0%	13%	0%	50%	38%

5: A2. The study load of this course is			very heavy	Totalen: Gem=2,1 Sd=0,9 N=16
<div><div></div><div>2.1</div><div></div></div>				
very light				
very light	light	ok		
6	2	8	0	0
38%	13%	50%	0%	0%

6: A3. The scheduling of this course in the study year is good			fully agree	Totalen: Gem=4,4 Sd=0,6 N=16
<div><div></div><div>4.4</div><div></div></div>				
fully disagree				
fully disagree	disagree	neutral		
0	0	1	7	8
0%	0%	6%	44%	50%

Evaluatierapport: SOW-BKI244-2016-SEM2-V

Q4-BSc-MSc-2016-17

Respons : 16 van (gemiddeld over 16 curussen) 87 ontvangers (=18,4%)

7: A4. Do you have any other feedback on the study load and/or scheduling of the course? (max 250 characters)						Totalen:
Deze open vraag (7) heeft (totaal) 3 opmerkingen.						N=3
7						
3						
8: A5. Do you have any feedback on the place of the course in the programme? (max 250 characters), think of possible overlap with other courses or necessary prior knowledge you are lacking						Totalen:
Deze open vraag (8) heeft (totaal) 3 opmerkingen.						N=3
8						
3						
9: B1. The load of the literature used in this course was						Totalen:
<div> <div></div> <div>2.9</div> <div></div> </div>						Gem=2,9
N/A						Sd=0,3
						N=16
N/A	very light	light	ok	heavy	very heavy	
0	0	2	14	0	0	
0%	0%	13%	88%	0%	0%	
10: B2. Do you have any comments on the literature used in this course? (max 250 characters)						Totalen:
Deze open vraag (10) heeft (totaal) 7 opmerkingen.						N=7
10						
7						
11: C1. I am completely aware of the learning objectives (leerdoelen) for this course						Totalen:
<div> <div></div> <div>4.4</div> <div></div> </div>						Gem=4,4
fully disagree						Sd=0,6
						N=16
fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree		
0	0	1	8	7		
0%	0%	6%	50%	44%		
12: C2. The teaching methods in this course have helped to achieve the learning objectives						Totalen:
<div> <div></div> <div>4.1</div> <div></div> </div>						Gem=4,1
fully disagree						Sd=0,9
						N=16
fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree		
0	1	3	5	7		
0%	6%	19%	31%	44%		

Evaluatierapport: SOW-BKI244-2016-SEM2-V

Q4-BSc-MSc-2016-17

Respons : 16 van (gemiddeld over 16 curussen) 87 ontvangers (=18,4%)

13: C3. The cohesion between different course elements is clear						Totalen: Gem=3,9 Sd=0,7 N=16
<div><div></div><div>3.9</div><div></div></div>						
fully disagree						
fully agree						
fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree		
0	0	5	7	4		
0%	0%	31%	44%	25%		

14: C4. Do you have any further comments/feedback about the learning objectives and course set up? (max 250 characters)						Totalen: N=2
Deze open vraag (14) heeft (totaal) 2 opmerkingen.						
14						
2						

15: D1. The relevant course information is available on time e.g., practical assignments, slides, literature, ...						Totalen: Gem=4,6 Sd=0,5 N=16
<div><div></div><div>4.6</div><div></div></div>						
fully disagree						
fully agree						
fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree		
0	0	0	6	10		
0%	0%	0%	38%	63%		

16: D2. The organisation of the exams was good e.g., time, location, circumstances						Totalen: Gem=4,5 Sd=0,5 N=16
<div><div></div><div>4.5</div><div></div></div>						
N/A						
fully agree						
N/A	fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree	
10	0	0	0	3	3	
63%	0%	0%	0%	19%	19%	

17: D3. The organisation of lectures, practicals et cetera was good						Totalen: Gem=4,6 Sd=0,5 N=16
<div><div></div><div>4.6</div><div></div></div>						
fully disagree						
fully agree						
fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree		
0	0	0	7	9		
0%	0%	0%	44%	56%		

18: D4. Do you have any further feedback on the facilities or organisation? (max 250 characters)						Totalen: N=3
Deze open vraag (18) heeft (totaal) 3 opmerkingen.						
18						
3						

Evaluatierapport: SOW-BKI244-2016-SEM2-V

Q4-BSc-MSc-2016-17

Respons : 16 van (gemiddeld over 16 curussen) 87 ontvangers (=18,4%)

19: E1. I am satisfied with the didactic skills of the teachers						Totalen: Gem=4,6 Sd=0,5 N=16	
<div> <div></div> <div>4.6</div> <div></div> </div>						fully agree	
fully disagree							
fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree			
0	0	0	6	10			
0%	0%	0%	38%	63%			
20: E2. The teachers are well-prepared for the lectures						Totalen: Gem=4,6 Sd=0,5 N=16	
<div> <div></div> <div>4.6</div> <div></div> </div>						fully agree	
N/A							
N/A	fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree		
0	0	0	0	6	10		
0%	0%	0%	0%	38%	63%		
21: E3. During the lectures, the teachers provide the topics in a well-arranged manner						Totalen: Gem=4,6 Sd=0,5 N=16	
<div> <div></div> <div>4.6</div> <div></div> </div>						fully agree	
N/A							
N/A	fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree		
0	0	0	0	6	10		
0%	0%	0%	0%	38%	63%		
22: E4. The teachers reply adequately to questions from students						Totalen: Gem=4,6 Sd=0,6 N=16	
<div> <div></div> <div>4.6</div> <div></div> </div>						fully agree	
fully disagree							
fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree			
0	0	1	5	10			
0%	0%	6%	31%	63%			
23: E5. The teachers are available whenever I need them						Totalen: Gem=4,7 Sd=0,5 N=16	
<div> <div></div> <div>4.7</div> <div></div> </div>						fully agree	
N/A							
N/A	fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree		
3	0	0	0	4	9		
19%	0%	0%	0%	25%	56%		
24: E6. Do you have any further feedback on the teachers? Please distinguish the different teachers: Teacher 1: (add name); Teacher 2: (add name) (max 250 characters), in general or about individual teachers						Totalen: N=5	
Deze open vraag (24) heeft (totaal) 5 opmerkingen.							
24							
5							

Evaluatierapport: SOW-BKI244-2016-SEM2-V

Q4-BSc-MSc-2016-17

Respons : 16 van (gemiddeld over 16 curussen) 87 ontvangers (=18,4%)

25: F1. The lectures are good						fully agree	Totalen: Gem=4,6 Sd=0,5 N=16
<div><div></div><div>4.6</div><div></div></div>							
N/A							
N/A	fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree		
0	0	0	0	6	10		
0%	0%	0%	0%	38%	63%		

26: F2. The seminars(werkcolleges) are good						fully agree	Totalen: Gem=3,7 Sd=1 N=16
<div><div></div><div>3.7</div><div></div></div>							
N/A							
N/A	fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree		
2	1	0	4	6	3		
13%	6%	0%	25%	38%	19%		

27: F3. The practicals are good						fully agree	Totalen: Gem=4,3 Sd=0,7 N=16
<div><div></div><div>4.3</div><div></div></div>							
N/A							
N/A	fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree		
10	0	0	1	2	3		
63%	0%	0%	6%	13%	19%		

28: F4. The assignments are good						fully agree	Totalen: Gem=4,3 Sd=0,6 N=16
<div><div></div><div>4.3</div><div></div></div>							
N/A							
N/A	fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree		
1	0	0	1	9	5		
6%	0%	0%	6%	56%	31%		

29: F5. Do you have any other remarks about the teaching methods used in this course? You could provide feedback on, e.g. organisation, guidance and assessments. (Max. 250 characters)							Totalen: N=3
Deze open vraag (29) heeft (totaal) 3 opmerkingen.							
29							
3							

30: G1. The difficulty level of assessments is as expected						fully agree	Totalen: Gem=4,1 Sd=0,7 N=16
<div><div></div><div>4.1</div><div></div></div>							
fully disagree							
fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree			
0	1	1	10	4			
0%	6%	6%	63%	25%			

Evaluatierapport: SOW-BKI244-2016-SEM2-V

Q4-BSc-MSc-2016-17

Respons : 16 van (gemiddeld over 16 curussen) 87 ontvangers (=18,4%)

31: G2. The assessments are associated with the topics covered in the course										Totalen: Gem=4,5 Sd=0,5 N=16	
					4.5						
fully disagree							fully agree				
fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree							
0	0	0	8	8							
0%	0%	0%	50%	50%							

32: G3. The grading criteria of the assessments are clear										Totalen: Gem=4 Sd=0,8 N=16	
					4						
fully disagree							fully agree				
fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree							
0	0	5	6	5							
0%	0%	31%	38%	31%							

33: G4. The grades were made available in time										Totalen: Gem=3,5 Sd=0,9 N=16	
					3.5						
fully disagree							fully agree				
fully disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	fully agree							
0	3	3	9	1							
0%	19%	19%	56%	6%							

34: G5. Do you have any further comments about the assessments and examination? (max 250 characters)										Totalen: N=6	
Deze open vraag (34) heeft (totaal) 6 opmerkingen.											
34											
6											

35: H1. Please mark the grade that you would give for this course as a whole										Totalen: Gem=8,2 Sd=1 N=16	
					8.2						
##											
#####											
#	#	#	#	#	14,625	#	#	#	#	#	
0	0	0	0	0	1	2	7	4	2		
0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	13%	44%	25%	13%		

36: H2. Possible final comments and/or suggestions for improvement of this course (max 250 characters)										Totalen: N=8	
Deze open vraag (36) heeft (totaal) 8 opmerkingen.											
36											
8											

Opmerkingen bij vraag: 7: A4. Do you have any other f....

no
It is by far not a 5EC course (even if you read all the articles provided)
Those 5 EC feel not like they would be earned rightfully. I guess most of the MC questions are manageable without reading any paper in advance. The effort to write two peer-reviews and commentaries does not account for that many EC.

Opmerkingen bij vraag: 8: A5. Do you have any feedback....

I think this is the right place. After almost two years studying AI it is important to think about some philosophical issues.

Could also be in the first year of the program.

Spot on.

Opmerkingen bij vraag: 10: B2. Do you have any commen....

It was very very interesting, although some of them more understandable than others
no
You don't need to read it all to pass the course, however, it is interesting
The literature was interesting and useful to get a good understanding of the topics.
Literature load was good for those that worked their way through it. I can imagine that many did not do that because the incentives and the pressure are very light.
I liked most of the literature, although some articles were not scientific enough. But I understand why we read the literature. One suggestion: Maybe add additional literature recommendation either in the course guide or in the end of the corresp. slides.
Very interesting topics, fun and stimulating/motivating reads.

Opmerkingen bij vraag: 14: C4. Do you have any furthe....

no
I think, the learning objectives could be better met if one is forced to write more. Therefore go through more training and improve one's skills.

Opmerkingen bij vraag: 18: D4. Do you have any furthe....

no
I appreciated that all the texts were available even before the course started! The lectures were all awesome.
Maybe opening a windows or letting the door open might be useful – especially in the summer.

Opmerkingen bij vraag: 24: E6. Do you have any furthe....

You are great. I will miss teachers like you
no
Both teachers encouraged discussions and interaction during the lecture, which made the lectures more stimulating and interesting.
Always happy to enroll in courses with Pim and/or Giulio!
The teaching assistant for the workgroups swore a lot lot out loud, that was not really all too inspiring or professional.

Opmerkingen bij vraag: 29: F5. Do you have any other

They are great
no
The working groups were kind of fun. A potential improvement might be to have a bit more structure to them. To not talk the whole time about e.g. definitions of intelligence without approaching other topics.

Opmerkingen bij vraag: 34: G5. Do you have any furthe....

no
More information on the commentary, because apparently, there were not a lot of students who actually wrote a good commentary. Tell students which aspects you expect
There is no exam, which is not a good thing
The commentaries took a lot of time before it was made available.
It was not clear to me, that the length of the texts is rather strict (often the number of words given is the lower bound). Would be good to know that before writing. I did like that we also had to write peer-reviews!
The MC-questions were a nice idea. Although I've read all the literature, I found the MC's to be only a small incentive. Reading the material takes some time and I think that most students skip it as far as possible.

Opmerkingen bij vraag: 36: H2. Possible final comment....

no
Very nice and good course! Always interesting to be present at lectures
The workgroup is better when there are conversations in smaller groups, I think. It gives space for more your own idea, then the idea of 20 people
Introduce an exam (about the articles) to sort of 'force' students to read them and come to the lectures.
I would like to share that from what I have seen, I think that the multiple choice questions in the beginning of the lectures really help the attending rate of the lectures. Also, i think this course is very nice!
Make the course a bit harder. Find a way to make sure that students are forced to read the literature. Let us write more such that we can really improve our writing skills. In general: super interesting class!
Maybe ask harder and more MC's and let this part count substantially more like 30 % or 40 % of the final grade. That should be incentive enough to properly prepare for the lectures.
Very good course overall! Opens up a lot of new perspectives (not only about AI!)