

COHEN-MACAULAY MODULES OF COVARIANTS FOR CYCLIC- p -GROUPS

JONATHAN ELMER

ABSTRACT. Let G be a finite group, \mathbb{k} a field of characteristic dividing $|G|$ and V, W $\mathbb{k}G$ -modules. Broer and Chuai [1] showed that if $\text{codim}(V^G) \leq 2$ then the module of covariants $\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G = (\mathbb{k}[V] \otimes W)^G$ is a Cohen-Macaulay module, hence free over a homogeneous system of parameters for the invariant ring $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$.

In the present article we prove a general result which allows us to determine whether a set of elements of a free A -module M is a generating set, for any \mathbb{k} -algebra A . We use this result to find generating sets for all modules of covariants $\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G$ over a homogeneous system of parameters, where $\text{codim}(V^G) \leq 2$ and G is a cyclic p -group.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a finite group, \mathbb{k} a field and let V, W be a pair of finite-dimensional $\mathbb{k}G$ -modules. Then G acts on the set $\mathbb{k}[V, W] = S(V^*) \otimes W$ of polynomial morphisms $V \rightarrow W$ via the formula

$$(g\phi)(v) = g\phi(g^{-1}v).$$

Morphisms fixed under the action of G are called *covariants*, and we denote the set of covariants by $\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G$. In the special case $W = \mathbb{k}$ we write $\mathbb{k}[V, \mathbb{k}] = \mathbb{k}[V]$ and the corresponding fixed points $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ are called invariants. The set of invariants is a \mathbb{k} -algebra, and pointwise multiplication endows $\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G$ with the structure of a $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ -module.

Many theorems about invariant algebras have analogues for covariants which are less well-known. For example, suppose \mathbb{k} has characteristic zero. Then it is well-known that $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ is a polynomial ring if and only if G is generated by reflections (elements fixing a subspace of codimension 1 in V). It is less well-known that these conditions are equivalent to $\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G$ being a free module over $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ for all W [3], [12]. Similarly, it is well-known that $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ is always a Cohen-Macaulay algebra. It is less well-known that $\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G$ is always a Cohen-Macaulay module over $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ [9].

In the modular case, much less is known about the structure of covariant modules. The following result is taken from [1]:

Proposition 1. *Let \mathbb{k} be field whose characteristic divides $|G|$, where G is a finite group acting on vector spaces V, W .*

- *Suppose that $\text{codim}(V^G) = 1$. Then $\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G$ is a free $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ -module.*
- *Suppose that $\text{codim}(V^G) \leq 2$. Then $\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G$ is a Cohen-Macaulay $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ -module.*

In addition, Broer and Chuai give necessary and sufficient conditions for a set of covariants to generate $\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G$ freely over $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$.

Date: June 5, 2025.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 13A50.

Key words and phrases. Invariant theory, module of covariants, free module, Cohen-Macaulay module.

Suppose $\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G$ is a Cohen-Macaulay $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ -module. This means that there exists a polynomial subalgebra $A \subseteq \mathbb{k}[V]^G$ (generated by a homogeneous system of parameters) over which $\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G$ is finitely generated and free.

The purpose of the present article is to give a method to test whether a set of covariants generates $\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G$ over A . We obtain explicit sets of covariants generating $\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G$ freely over a homogeneous system of parameters when G is a cyclic p -group, $\text{codim}(V^G) \leq 2$ and W is arbitrary. Note that in this case we have that $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ itself is Cohen-Macaulay (apply Proposition 1 with W trivial). In fact, it follows from [6] and [8] that $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ is Cohen-Macaulay *if and only if* $\text{codim}(V^G) \leq 2$.

Suppose G is a cyclic group of order $q = p^k$. Recall the following concerning representation theory of G ; there are exactly q isomorphism classes of indecomposable modules for G . The dimensions of these are $1, 2, 3, \dots, q$, and we denote by V_r the unique indecomposable of dimension r . A generator σ of G acts on V_r by left-multiplication with a Jordan block of size r with eigenvalue 1. If $r \leq p^l$ for $l < k$ we have that σ^{p^l} acts trivially on V_r ; thus, V_r is faithful only when $r > p^{k-1}$. The dimension of the fixed-point space V^G is one for any indecomposable V . As a consequence, we obtain:

Proposition 2. *Suppose V is a faithful representation of a cyclic group G of order p^k , and that $\text{codim}(V^G) \leq 2$. Suppose in addition that V contains no trivial direct summands. Then one of the following hold:*

- (1) $|G| = p$ and $V \cong V_2$;
- (2) $|G| = p > 2$ and $V \cong V_3$;
- (3) $|G| = p$ and $V \cong V_2 \oplus V_2$.
- (4) $|G| = 4$ and $V \cong V_3$;

This paper is organised as follows: in the next section we will prove a very general result which allows us to test whether a set of elements of a free module is a basis (Proposition 4). In the third section we will show how modular covariants for cyclic groups can be viewed as the kernel of a certain homomorphism. This generalises [7, Proposition 4]. As an incidental result we show that the kernel of the relative transfer map $\text{Tr}_H^G : \mathbb{k}[V]^H \rightarrow \mathbb{k}[V]^G$ can also be viewed as a module of covariants.

The last section gives explicit generating sets of covariants over a homogeneous system of parameters for the four cases explained above. In the first two cases, such generating sets were obtained in [7], but the proof here using Proposition 4 is shorter in the second case, as we can circumvent computation of the Hilbert series of $\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G$. The results in the third and fourth cases are new.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank an anonymous referee of [7] for the communication which inspired section 3 of this paper.

2. THE s -INVARIANT AND FREENESS

Let \mathbb{k} be a field and A a \mathbb{k} -algebra. Let M be a finitely-generated module over A . A set g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r of elements of M is called a *generating set* if $M = A(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r)$. It is said to be *A -independent* if we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^r a_i g_i = 0 \Rightarrow a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_r = 0 \text{ for all } a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r \in A.$$

An A -independent, generating set for M is called a *basis* of M .

The most familiar setting is where $A = \mathbb{k}$. In that case M is a finite-dimensional vector space. It is then well known that M has a basis, and any maximal A -independent set, or minimal generating set for M is a basis. This may not be the

case for modules over other algebras. A module which has a basis is called *free*, and one can show that every free module over A is isomorphic to A^r for some r .

Now suppose $A = \oplus_{i \geq 0} A_i$ is a graded \mathbb{k} -algebra with $A_0 = \mathbb{k}$. Let $M = \oplus_{i \geq 0} M_i$ be a graded A module. We have Hilbert series

$$H(A, t) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \dim(A_i) t^i,$$

$$H(M, t) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \dim(M_i) t^i.$$

Consider the quotient of these series, expanded about $t = 1$:

$$H(M, A; t) := \frac{H(M, t)}{H(A, t)} = a_0 + a_1(t - 1) + \dots$$

If M is finitely generated, then by the Hilbert-Poincaré theorem we can write

$$H(M, t) = \frac{f(t)}{H(A, t)}$$

for some polynomial $f(t)$. In that case we have

$$a_0 = f(1) = r(M, A).$$

This is called the *rank* of M over A . If M has finite projective dimension (for example, if A is regular) it can be shown to equal the dimension of M as a vector space over $\text{Quot}(A)$. We also have

$$a_1 = f'(1) = s(M, A).$$

This is called the *s-invariant* of M over A .

The following is an easy consequence of the definition of $H(M, A; t)$:

Proposition 3 ([1]). *Let A be a \mathbb{k} -algebra and $B \subset A$ a subalgebra of A over which A is finitely generated. Then we have*

(a)

$$r(M, B) = r(M, A)r(A, B);$$

(b)

$$s(M, B) = r(M, A)s(A, B) + r(A, B)s(M, A).$$

The next result is the main result of this section, and will be used frequently in the remainder of the article.

Proposition 4. *Let A be a regular, graded \mathbb{k} -module with $A_0 = \mathbb{k}$ and let M be a finite generated free graded module over A . Let g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r be a A -independent set of elements of M , where $r = r(M, A)$. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \deg(g_i) \geq s(M, A)$$

with equality if and only if M is generated by g_1, \dots, g_r .

Proof. Let f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r be a generating set of M . We may assume without loss of generality that

$$\deg(f_1) \leq \deg(f_2) \leq \dots \leq \deg(f_r)$$

and also

$$\deg(g_1) \leq \deg(g_2) \leq \dots \leq \deg(g_r).$$

As M is free, we have

$$\frac{H(M, t)}{H(A, t)} = \sum_{j=1}^r t^{\deg(f_j)}$$

and $s(M, A) = \sum_{j=1}^r \deg(f_j)$.

We claim that $\deg(g_j) \geq \deg(f_j)$ for all $j \leq r$. Suppose the contrary. Then for some $k \leq r-1$ we must have $\deg(g_{k+1}) < \deg(f_{k+1})$ and consequently

$$g_j \in A(f_1, \dots, f_k)$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, k+1$. Write $g_j = \sum_{i=1}^k a_{ij} f_i$. Let A be the $k \times (k+1)$ matrix with elements

$$(a_{ij} : i = 1, \dots, k, j = 1, \dots, k+1.)$$

We will prove by reverse induction on t that all $t \times t$ minors of A are zero. The initial case is $t = k$. Form the matrix A' by adding an extra row $\{g_1, \dots, g_{k+1}\}$. Now the matrix A' is

$$\begin{pmatrix} g_1 & g_2 & \cdots & g_{k+1} \\ a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1k+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{k1} & a_{k2} & \cdots & a_{kk+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

The determinant of this matrix is a A -linear combination of f_1, \dots, f_k , and the coefficient of f_i is

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} a_{i1} & a_{i2} & \cdots & a_{ik+1} \\ a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1k+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{k1} & a_{k2} & \cdots & a_{kk+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

which is zero because it has a repeated row. We thus obtain a relation

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k+1} (-1)^j g_j A_j = 0$$

where A_j is the $k \times k$ minor of A obtained by deleting the j th column. Since g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{k+1} are linearly independent over A , we get that $A_j = 0$ as required.

Now suppose that all $(t+1) \times (t+1)$ minors of A are zero, where $t < k$. Consider a $t \times t$ submatrix

$$B := (a_{p_i q_j} : i, j = 1, \dots, t).$$

Pick any $q_{t+1} \in \{1, \dots, k+1\} \setminus \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_t\}$ and consider the determinant of the matrix

$$B' := \begin{pmatrix} g_{q_1} & g_{q_2} & \cdots & g_{q_{t+1}} \\ a_{p_1 q_1} & a_{p_1 q_2} & \cdots & a_{p_1 q_{t+1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{p_t q_1} & a_{p_t q_2} & \cdots & a_{p_t q_{t+1}} \end{pmatrix}$$

This is again an A -linear combination of f_1, \dots, f_k , and the coefficient of f_i is

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} a_{i q_1} & a_{i q_2} & \cdots & a_{i q_{t+1}} \\ a_{p_1 q_1} & a_{p_1 q_2} & \cdots & a_{p_1 q_{t+1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{p_t q_1} & a_{p_t q_2} & \cdots & a_{p_t q_{t+1}} \end{pmatrix}$$

If $i \notin \{p_1, \dots, p_t\}$ then this is a $t+1 \times t+1$ minor of A , and zero by inductive hypothesis. Otherwise it is again zero, being the determinant of a matrix with a

repeated row. We thus obtain

$$0 = \sum_{j=1}^{t+1} (-1)^j g_{q_j} B_j$$

where B_j is the $t \times t$ minor of B' obtained by removing the j th column. Since g_{q_1}, \dots, g_{q_t} are linearly independent we obtain $B_j = 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, t$, in particular,

$$\det(B) = B_t = 0.$$

This completes the induction. Taking $t = 1$, we see that $a_{ij} = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $j = 1, \dots, k + 1$. Therefore

$$g_1 = g_2 = \dots g_{k+1} = 0$$

which contradicts linear independence.

This completes the proof of our claim, and we may conclude that $\sum_{j=1}^r \deg(g_j) \geq \sum_{j=1}^r \deg(f_j)$. Further, if $\sum_{j=1}^r \deg(g_j) = \sum_{j=1}^r \deg(f_j)$ then we must have $\deg(g_j) = \deg(f_j)$ for all $j = 1, \dots, r$, and $A(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r)$ is a submodule of M with the same Hilbert series, and therefore g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r are free generators of M . On the other hand, if $\sum_{j=1}^r \deg(g_j) > \sum_{j=1}^r \deg(f_j)$ then $\deg(g_j) > \deg(f_j)$ for some j , and $A(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r)$ is a proper submodule of M . \square

3. CHARACTERISING COVARIANTS

In this section we will show how modules of covariants can often be viewed as the kernel of a certain homomorphism. First, let G denote a finite group and \mathbb{k} a field of arbitrary characteristic. Let $H \leq G$. Suppose G acts on a \mathbb{k} -vector space V and let T be a left-transversal of H in G . We can assume $\iota \in T$ where ι is the identity element in G . Let $\{e_t : t \in T\}$ be a basis for the left permutation module $\mathbb{k}(G/H)$ on which H acts trivially. We define a map

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta : \mathbb{k}[V]^H &\rightarrow \mathbb{k}[V] \otimes \mathbb{k}(G/H) \\ \Theta(f) &= \sum_{t \in T} t f \otimes e_t. \end{aligned}$$

where G acts diagonally on the tensor product.

Clearly Θ is an injective, degree-preserving homomorphism of $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ modules. Further we claim:

Proposition 5. Θ induces an isomorphism of graded $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ -modules

$$\mathbb{k}[V]^H \cong (\mathbb{k}[V] \otimes \mathbb{k}(G/H))^G.$$

Proof. We show first that if $f \in \mathbb{k}[V]^H$ then $\Theta(f) \in (\mathbb{k}[V] \otimes \mathbb{k}(G/H))^G$. Let $g \in G$, then we have

$$g \cdot \Theta(f) = \sum_{t \in T} g t f \otimes g e_t.$$

Write $g t = \sigma_g(t) h_g$, where $\sigma_g(t) \in T$ and $h_g \in H$, noting that σ_g is a permutation of T . Then since $f \in \mathbb{k}[V]^H$ and H acts trivially on $\mathbb{k}(G/H)$ we have

$$\sum_{t \in T} g t f \otimes g e_t = \sum_{t \in T} \sigma_g(t) h_g f \otimes h_g e_{\sigma_g(t)} = \sum_{t \in T} \sigma_g(t) f \otimes e_{\sigma_g(t)} = \Theta(f)$$

as required

Now we need only show that Θ is surjective. Let

$$f = \sum_{t \in T}^r f_t \otimes e_t \in (\mathbb{k}[V] \otimes \mathbb{k}(G/H))^G$$

where $f_t \in \mathbb{k}[V]$. Again for any $g \in G$ write $gt = \sigma_g(t)h_g$. Thus since $f = gf$ we have

$$f = \sum_{t \in T} gf_t \otimes e_{\sigma_g(t)} = \sum_{t \in T} f_t \otimes e_t.$$

Equating coefficients of e_t shows that

$$gf_t = f_{\sigma_g(t)}$$

for any $g \in G$ and $t \in T$. In particular, if $t \in T$ then the permutation σ_t satisfies $\sigma_t(t) = t$. Thus for any $t \in T$ we have

$$tf_t = f_t$$

and hence

$$f = \sum_{t \in T}^r f_t \otimes e_t = \sum_{t \in T} tf_t \otimes e_t = \Theta(f_t)$$

which shows that Θ is surjective as required. \square

Now let M be a submodule of $\mathbb{k}(G/H)$. Tensor the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{k}(G/H) \xrightarrow{j} N \rightarrow 0,$$

where $N = \ker(i)$ with $\mathbb{k}[V]$ and take G -invariants. This induces a long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathbb{k}[V] \otimes M)^G \rightarrow (\mathbb{k}[V] \otimes \mathbb{k}(G/H))^G \rightarrow (\mathbb{k}[V] \otimes N)^G \rightarrow H^1(G, \mathbb{k}[V] \otimes M) \rightarrow \dots$$

By composing the isomorphism Θ with the second nontrivial map in this sequence, we obtain a graded $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ homomorphism

$$\phi : \mathbb{k}[V]^H \rightarrow (\mathbb{k}[V] \otimes N)^G$$

whose kernel is isomorphic to the module of covariants $(\mathbb{k}[V] \otimes M)^G = \mathbb{k}[V, M]^G$.

We will consider two special cases of the above: first, suppose $M = M_{G/H} = \langle e_t - e_{\iota} : t \in T \rangle_{\mathbb{k}}$. Then N is a one-dimensional module on which G acts trivially and the map j sends each e_t to its basis element, which we will denote by e . The induced map in the long exact sequence sends an expression

$$\sum_{t \in T} f_t \otimes e_t$$

to

$$\sum_{t \in T} f_t \otimes e.$$

Thus, the composition with Θ sends an element $f \in \mathbb{k}[V]^H$ to $\sum_{t \in T} tf$ - in other words, this is the *relative transfer map* $\text{Tr}_H^G : \mathbb{k}[V]^H \rightarrow \mathbb{k}[V]^G$. It follows that the kernel of the relative transfer map is isomorphic, as a graded $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ -module, to the module of covariants $\mathbb{k}[V, M_{G/H}]^G$. This is interesting, but we will not pursue it further in the present article. We note for future use that the (relative) transfer map is a useful way of producing invariants. Along similar lines, one has a *relative norm map* $N_H^G : \mathbb{k}[V]^H \rightarrow \mathbb{k}[V]^G$ defined by

$$N_H^G(f) = \prod_{t \in T} tf.$$

Now suppose G is cyclic of order $q = p^r$, \mathbb{k} is a field of characteristic p and H is trivial. Let g be a generator of G and set $\Delta := g - \iota \in \mathbb{k}G$. For each $1 \leq n < q$ there is a submodule $\ker(\Delta^n)$ of $\mathbb{k}G$ isomorphic to V_n . The image of Δ^n is a submodule isomorphic to V_{q-n} .

Let M and N denote the kernel and image of Δ^n respectively. Then the canonical short exact sequence induces a long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathbb{k}[V] \otimes M)^G \rightarrow (\mathbb{k}[V] \otimes \mathbb{k}(G))^G \xrightarrow{\psi} (\mathbb{k}[V] \otimes N)^G \rightarrow H^1(G, \mathbb{k}[V] \otimes M) \rightarrow \dots$$

Consider the composition

$$\mathbb{k}[V] \xrightarrow{\Theta} (\mathbb{k}[V] \otimes \mathbb{k}G)^G \xrightarrow{\psi} (\mathbb{k}[V] \otimes N)^G \xrightarrow{k} (\mathbb{k}[V] \otimes \mathbb{k}G)^G \xrightarrow{\Theta^{-1}} \mathbb{k}[V]$$

Here k is the canonical inclusion. Choose a basis $\{e_i : i = 0, \dots, q-1\}$ for $\mathbb{k}G$ with $ge_i = e_{i+1}$ for $i < q$ and $ge_{q-1} = e_0$. For $n = 1$, the composition $k\psi$ sends an element

$$\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} f_i \otimes e_i$$

to

$$\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} f_i \otimes (e_{i+1} - e_i) = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} (f_{i-1} - f_i) \otimes e_i$$

with indices understood modulo q . Thus the composition $k\psi\Theta$ sends $f \in \mathbb{k}[V]$ to

$$\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} (g^{i-1}f - g^i f) \otimes e_i.$$

Composing this with Θ^{-1} then picks out the coefficient of e_0 , which is

$$g^{-1}f - f.$$

Thus, the composition is action by $g^{-1} - \iota \in \mathbb{k}G$. An easy inductive argument shows that for arbitrary n , the composition is action by $(g^{-1} - \iota)^n \in \mathbb{k}G$. Since Θ is an isomorphism and k is injective, the kernel of this map (which is the same as the kernel of Δ^n) can be identified with the kernel of ψ , which in turn is isomorphic to $\mathbb{k}[V, M]^G$. Thus, we obtain a degree-preserving isomorphism of $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ modules

$$\Xi : \ker(\Delta^n) \cong \mathbb{k}[V, V_n]^G.$$

Note that a version of this isomorphism was used in [7] for G a cyclic group of prime order. As noted there, if we choose a basis $\{w_1, w-2, \dots, w_n\}$ of V_n such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma w_1 &= w_1 \\ \sigma w_2 &= w_2 - w_1 \\ \sigma w_3 &= w_2 - w_2 + w_1 \\ &\vdots \\ \sigma w_n &= w_n - w_{n-1} + w_{n-2} - \dots \pm w_1. \end{aligned}$$

then Ξ is given by the particularly convenient formula

$$\Xi(f) = \sum_{i=0}^n \Delta^i(f) w_i.$$

4. MAIN RESULTS

From now on let $G = \langle \sigma \rangle$ be a cyclic group of order $q = p^k$, let \mathbb{k} be a field of characteristic p and let V and W be finite-dimensional $\mathbb{k}G$ -modules.

The operator $\Delta = \sigma - \iota \in \mathbb{k}G$ will play a major role in our exposition, so we begin with some general results, following [7] quite closely. Notice that, for $\phi \in \mathbb{k}[V, W]^G$ we have

$$\Delta(\phi) = 0 \Rightarrow \sigma \cdot \phi = \phi$$

and thus by induction $\sigma^k \phi = \phi$ for all k . So $\Delta(\phi) = 0$ if and only if $\phi \in \mathbb{k}[V, W]^G$. Similarly for $f \in \mathbb{k}[V]$ we have $\Delta(f) = 0$ if and only if $f \in \mathbb{k}[V]^G$.

Δ is a σ -twisted derivation on $\mathbb{k}[V]$; that is, it satisfies the formula

$$(1) \quad \Delta(fg) = f\Delta(g) + \Delta(f)\sigma(g)$$

for all $f, g \in \mathbb{k}[V]$.

Further, using induction and the fact that σ and Δ commute, one can show Δ satisfies a Leibniz-type rule

$$(2) \quad \Delta^k(fg) = \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} \Delta^i(f) \sigma^{k-i}(\Delta^{k-i}(g)).$$

A further result, which can be deduced from the above and proved by induction is the rule for differentiating powers:

$$(3) \quad \Delta(f^k) = \Delta(f) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} f^i \sigma(f)^{k-1-i} \right)$$

for any $k \geq 1$.

For any $f \in \mathbb{k}[V]$ we define the **weight** of f :

$$\text{wt}(f) = \min\{i > 0 : \Delta^i(f) = 0\}.$$

Notice that $\Delta^{\text{wt}(f)-1}(f) \in \ker(\Delta) = \mathbb{k}[V]^G$ for all $f \in \mathbb{k}[V]$. Another consequence of (2) is the following: let $f, g \in \mathbb{k}[V]$ and set $d = \text{wt}(f)$, $e = \text{wt}(g)$. Suppose that

$$d + e - 1 \leq p.$$

Then

$$\Delta^{d+e-1}(fg) = \sum_{i=0}^{d+e-1} \binom{d+e-1}{i} \Delta^i(f) \sigma^{d+e-1-i}(\Delta^{d+e-1-i}(g)) = 0$$

since if $i < e$ then $d + e - 1 - i > d - 1$. On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{d+e-2}(fg) &= \sum_{i=0}^{d+e-2} \binom{d+e-2}{i} \Delta^i(f) \sigma^{d+e-2-i}(\Delta^{d+e-2-i}(g)) \\ &= \binom{d+e-2}{i} \Delta^{d-1}(f) \sigma^{e-1}(\Delta^{e-1}(g)) \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $\binom{d+e-2}{i} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. We obtain the following:

Proposition 6. *Let $f, g \in \mathbb{k}[V]$ with $\text{wt}(f) + \text{wt}(g) - 1 \leq p$. Then $\text{wt}(fg) = \text{wt}(f) + \text{wt}(g) - 1$.*

Also note that

$$\Delta^q = \sigma^q - 1 = 0$$

which shows that $\text{wt}(f) \leq q$ for all $f \in \mathbb{k}[V]^G$. Finally notice that

$$(4) \quad \Delta^{q-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sigma^i$$

which shows that $\Delta^{q-1}(f) = \text{Tr}^G(f)$ for all $f \in \mathbb{k}[V]$.

Now we assume that $\text{codim}(V^G) \leq 2$, so V is isomorphic to one of the modules listed in Proposition 2. Our goal is to find, for arbitrary indecomposable $W \cong V_n$, an explicit set of covariants generating $\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G$ freely over A , where A is

a homogeneous system of parameters for $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$. Our strategy is to find in each case an A -independent set of $r(K_n, A)$ elements of $K_n := \ker(\Delta^n)$ whose degree sum equals $s(K_n, A)$. That such a set generates K_n freely over A follows from Proposition 4, and the results of Section 3 imply that applying Ξ to each element in the set yields a free generating set for $\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G$ over A .

Broer and Chuai studied the s -invariant for modules of covariants over $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ for arbitrary G . In particular they proved:

Proposition 7. *Suppose G is a finite group and let H be the subgroup of G generated by all pseudo-reflections (i.e. elements stabilising a subspace of V with codimension 1). Then $s(\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G, \mathbb{k}[V]^G) = s(\mathbb{k}[V, W]^H, \mathbb{k}[V]^H)$. In particular if G contains no pseudo-reflections, then $s(\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G, \mathbb{k}[V]^G) = 0$.*

This makes computation of $(\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G, A)$ using Proposition 3 quite easy when G is a cyclic p -group containing no pseudo-reflections. This holds when $V = V_3$ and $p > 2$ or $V = V_2 \oplus V_2$. The only cases remaining in which the ring of invariants is Cohen-Macaulay are $V = V_2$ and $V = V_3, p = 2$. In the former case our methods do not yield any substantial improvement, so we will simply quote the results from [7] for the sake of completeness:

Proposition 8. *Let $p \geq n$, $V = V_2$ and $K_n = \ker(\Delta^n)$. K_n is a free $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ -module, generated by $\{x_1^k : k = 0, \dots, n-1\}$.*

Corollary 9. *Let $p \geq n$, $W = V_n$ and $V = V_2$. The module of covariants $\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G$ is generated freely over $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ by*

$$\{\Xi(x_1^k) : k = 0, \dots, n-1\}.$$

The case $V = V_3, p = 2$ will be dealt with in the final subsection. If $V = V_3$ and $p > 2$ or $V = V_2 \oplus V_2$ then we have the following:

Lemma 10. *Let $p \geq n$ and let $W = V_n$. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{k}[V]^G$ be a subalgebra generated by a homogeneous system of parameters. Then $\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G$ is a free A -module and*

$$\begin{aligned} r(\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G, A) &= r(\mathbb{k}[V]^G, A)n; \\ s(\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G, A) &= s(\mathbb{k}[V]^G, A)n. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first result follows from Proposition 3; the second from the same, Proposition 7 and the fact that V contains no pseudo-reflections. \square

Note that an application of Ξ now shows that

$$\begin{aligned} r(K_n, A) &= r(\mathbb{k}[V]^G, A)n; \\ s(K_n, A) &= s(\mathbb{k}[V]^G, A)n. \end{aligned}$$

We now consider these cases separately in detail.

4.1. $V = V_3, p > 2$. In this subsection let $V = V_3$, $W = V_n$ and p an odd prime. Then G is cyclic of order p . Choose a basis v_1, v_2, v_3 of V so that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma x_1 &= x_1 + x_2 \\ \sigma x_2 &= x_2 + x_3 \\ \sigma x_3 &= x_3 \end{aligned}$$

where x_1, x_2, x_3 is the corresponding dual basis.

We begin by describing $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$. This has been done in several places before, for example [5] and [10, Theorem 5.8], but we will follow [7]. We use a graded lexicographic order on monomials $\mathbb{k}[V]$ with $x_1 > x_2 > x_3$. Here and in the next two subsections, if $f \in \mathbb{k}[V]$ then the *lead term* of f is the term with the largest monomial in our order and the *lead monomial* is the corresponding monomial.

It is easily shown that

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &:= x_3, \\ a_2 &:= x_2^2 - 2x_1x_3 - x_2x_3, \\ a_3 &:= N^G(x_1) = \prod_{i=0}^{p-1} \sigma^i(x_1) \end{aligned}$$

are invariants, and looking at their lead terms tells us that they form a homogeneous system of parameters for $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$, with degrees 1, 2 and p .

Proposition 11. *Let $f \in \mathbb{k}[V]^G$ be any invariant with lead term x_2^p . Let $A = \mathbb{k}[a_1, a_2, a_3]$. Then $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ is a free A -module, whose generators are 1 and f .*

Proof. See [7, Proposition 12] □

The obvious choice of invariant with lead term x_2^p is $N^G(x_2)$. The following observations are consequences of the generating set above.

Lemma 12. *Let $f \in A$. Then the lead term of f is of the form $x_1^{p_i} x_2^{2j} x_3^k$ for some positive integers i, j, k .*

Lemma 13. *We have $r(\mathbb{k}[V]^G, A) = 2$ and $s(\mathbb{k}[V]^G, A) = p$.*

Corollary 14. *We have $r(\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G, A) = 2n$ and $s(\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G, A) = np$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 13 and Lemma 10. □

For the rest of this section, we set $l = \frac{1}{2}n$ if n is even, with $l = \frac{1}{2}(n-1)$ if n is odd. Next, we need some information about the lead monomials of certain polynomials. The following pair of lemmas were proved in [7]:

Lemma 15. *Let $j \leq k < p$. Then $\Delta^j(x_1^k)$ has lead term*

$$\frac{k!}{(k-j)!} x_1^{k-j} x_2^j.$$

Lemma 16. *Let $j \leq k < p$. Then $\Delta^j(x_1^k x_2)$ has lead term*

$$\frac{k!}{(k-j)!} x_1^{k-j} x_2^{j+1}.$$

We are now ready to state our main results. Let $V = V_3$ and $W = V_n$. For any $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ we define monomials

$$M_i = \begin{cases} x_1^{i/2} & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ x_1^{(i-1)/2} x_2 & \text{if } i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

and polynomials

$$P_i = \begin{cases} \Delta(x_1^{p-i/2}) & \text{if } i \text{ is even, } i > 0, \\ x_1^{p-(i+1)/2} & \text{if } i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

with $P_0 = x_1^{p-1} x_2$.

Theorem 17. *Let $n \leq p$. Then K_n is a free A -module, generated by*

$$S_n = \{M_0, M_1, \dots, M_{n-1}, \Delta^{p-n}(P_0), \Delta^{p-n}(P_1), \dots, \Delta^{p-n}(P_{n-1})\}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 6, the weight of M_i is $i+1$ for $i < p$, while the weight of P_i is

$$\begin{cases} p & i \text{ odd or zero} \\ p-1 & i \text{ even, } i > 0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore the given polynomials all lie in K_n . Further, the degree of M_i is $\lceil \frac{i}{2} \rceil$ and the degree of P_i is $p - \lceil \frac{i}{2} \rceil$, so the sum of the degrees of the elements of K_n

is $np = s(K_n, A)$. Therefore by Proposition 4 it is enough to prove that S_n is A -independent.

Applying Lemmas 15 and 16, the lead monomials of S_n are

$$\{1, x_2, x_1, x_1x_2, \dots, x_1^{l-1}x_2, x_1^l, x_1^{n-l-1}x_2^{p-n+1}, x_1^{n-l}x_2^{p-n}, \dots, x_1^{n-2}x_2^{p-n+1}, x_1^{n-1}x_2^{p-n}, x_1^{n-1}x_2^{p-n+1}\}$$

if n is odd, and

$$\{1, x_2, x_1, x_1x_2, \dots, x_1^{l-2}x_2, x_1^{l-1}, x_1^{l-1}x_2, x_1^{n-l}x_2^{p-n}, x_1^{n-l}x_2^{p-n+1}, x_1^{n-l+1}x_2^{p-n}, \dots, x_1^{n-2}x_2^{p-n+1}, x_1^{n-1}x_2^{p-n}, x_1^{n-1}x_2^{p-n+1}\}$$

if n is even.

In either case, we note that none of the claimed generators have lead term divisible by x_3 , that each has x_1 -degree $< p$, that there are at most two elements in S_n with the same x_1 -degree, and that when this happens these elements have x_2 -degrees differing by 1. Combined with Lemma 12, we see that for every possible choice of $f \in A$ and $g \in S_n$, the lead monomial of fg is different. Therefore there cannot be any A -linear relations between the elements of S_n . \square

4.2. $V_2 \oplus V_2$. In this subsection let $V \cong V_2 \oplus V_2$. Choose a basis v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 of V so that the action on the corresponding dual basis x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma x_1 &= x_1 + x_3; \\ \sigma x_2 &= x_2 + x_4; \\ \sigma x_3 &= x_3; \\ \sigma x_4 &= x_4.\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $\{N^G(x_1) = x_1^p - x_1x_3^{p-1}, N^G(x_2) = x_2^p - x_2x_4^{p-1}, x_3, x_4\}$ is a homogeneous system of parameters. We also see easily that $u := x_1x_4 - x_2x_3$ is invariant, and does not belong to the algebra A spanned by the given system of parameters. Campbell and Hughes [2, Proposition 2.1] showed that $\{1, u, \dots, u^{p-1}\}$ generate $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ as a free A -module. Therefore

$$(5) \quad s(\mathbb{k}[V]^G, A) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} 2i = p(p-1).$$

Noting that G contains no pseudo-reflections, we obtain the following:

Lemma 18. *We have $r(K_n, A) = np$ and $s(K_n, A) = np(p-1)$.*

Proof. This follows from Equations (6) and (5) above and Proposition 3. \square

We use a graded lexicographical order on monomials with $x_1 > x_2 > x_3 > x_4$. An easy inductive argument establishes the following:

Lemma 19. *The lead term of $\Delta^k(x_1^i x_2^j)$ is:*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{j!}{(j-k)!} x_1^i x_2^{j-k} x_4^k & k \leq j \\ \frac{i!j!}{(i-j-k)!} x_1^{i-k} x_3^{k-j} x_4^j & j < k \leq i+j. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 20. *Let $0 \leq i, j < p$. The weight of $x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k x_4^k$ is $\min(i+j+1, p)$.*

We now define, for $k \geq 0$,

$$M_k := \{x_1^i x_2^j : i+j = k, i < p, j < p\}.$$

We use the notation

$$fM_k := \{fm : m \in M_k\}$$

for any $f \in \mathbb{k}[V]$ and

$$\Delta^r M_k := \{\Delta^r(m) : m \in M_k\}$$

for any $r \geq 0$.

We are now able to state the main result of this subsection:

Theorem 21. *Let $n \leq p$. The Kernel K_n of $\Delta^n : \mathbb{k}[V] \rightarrow \mathbb{k}[V]$ is a free A -module generated by the set $S := M \cup U \cup D$ where*

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} M_k; \\ U &= \bigcup_{k=1}^{p-n} u^k M_{n-1}; \\ D &= \bigcup_{k=2p-n}^{2p-2} \Delta^{p-n} M_k. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The A -isomorphism $K_n \cong \mathbb{k}[V, W]^G$ shows that K_n is free, and $r(K_n, A) = np$, and $s(K_n, A) = np(p-1)$. The elements of M_k for $k \leq n-1$ have weight $k+1$, so the weight of each element of M is at most n . Since $u \in \mathbb{k}[V]^G$, the weight of each element of U is n . If $k \geq 2p-n$ then $k \geq p$, so in that case the weight of each element of M_k is p . It follows that the weight of each element of D is n . This shows that the proposed generators all lie in K_n . Now by Proposition 4 it is enough to show that the given set is A -independent, has np elements, and degree sum $np(p-1)$.

Viewed as a polynomial in x_1, x_2 with coefficients in $\mathbb{k}[x_3, x_4]$, the monomials in M_k each have total degree k . Therefore the elements of M have total degree at most $n-1$. Further, each monomial in M_k has a distinct x_1, x_2 bidegree. So the elements of M have distinct bidegrees.

Meanwhile, the elements of $u^k M_{n-1}$ have total degree (in x_1, x_2) $k+n-1$. Therefore the total degrees of the elements of U lie between n and $p-1$ inclusive. Further, each element of $u^k M_{n-1}$ has distinct bidegree. So the bidegrees of the elements of U are distinct from each other and also from all elements of M .

Let $m := x_1^i x_2^j \in M_k$ with $k \geq 2p-n$. Then $p-n \leq k-p = i+j-p < j$, so by Lemma 19, the lead term of $\Delta^{p-n}(m)$ is

$$\frac{j!}{(j-p+n)!} x_1^i x_2^{j-p+n} x_4^{p-n}.$$

In particular, its total degree in x_1, x_2 is $i+j-p+n = k-p+n \geq p$. So the elements of D have bidegree $\geq p$. Further, the bidegrees of the elements of $\Delta^{p-n} M_k$ are distinct from one another. We have shown that the bidegrees of the elements of S are all distinct from one another.

Multiplying an element of $\mathbb{k}[V]$ by an element of A preserves its x_1 - and x_2 -degree modulo p . Since each element of S has x_1 - and x_2 -degree $< p$, and distinct x_1, x_2 -bidegree, it follows that there is no A -linear relation between the elements of S . So S is A -independent as required.

It remains to check the size and degree sum of S . The number of elements in M_k is $k + 1$ if $k < p$, and $2p - k - 1$ otherwise. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
|S| &= |M| + |U| + |D| \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (k+1) + n(p-n) + \sum_{k=2p-n}^{2p-2} (2p-1-k) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}n(n+1) + n(p-n) + (2p-1)(n-1) - \frac{1}{2}(n-1)(4p-n-2) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}n(n+1) + n(p-n) + \frac{1}{2}n(n-1) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}n \cdot (2n) + np - n^2 \\
&= np
\end{aligned}$$

as required.

Finally, the degree of each element of M_k is k , the degree of each element of $u^k M_{n-1}$ is $2k + n - 1$ and the degree of each element of $\Delta^{p-n} M_k$ is also k . We note the identity

$$\sum_{k=0}^n k(k+1) = \frac{1}{3}n(n+1)(n+2).$$

Therefore the degree sum of M is

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k(k+1) &= \frac{1}{3}(n-1)n(n+1) \\
&= \frac{1}{3}(n^3 - n).
\end{aligned}$$

The degree sum of U is

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{p-n} (2k + n - 1)n &= 2n \sum_{k=1}^{p-n} k + (p-n)(n-1)n \\
&= n(p-n)(p-n+1) + n(p-n)(n-1) \\
&= np^2 - n^2p.
\end{aligned}$$

And the degree sum of D is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=2p-n}^{2p-2} k(2p-1-k) \\
&= 2p \sum_{k=2p-n}^{2p-2} k - \sum_{k=2p-n}^{2p-2} k(k+1) \\
&= p(4p-2-n)(n-1) - \frac{1}{3}(2p-2)(2p-1)(2p) + \frac{1}{3}(2p-n-1)(2p-n)(2p-n+1) \\
&= p(4p-2-n)(n-1) - \frac{1}{3}(2p-2)(2p-1)(2p) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{3}(2p-2-(n-1))(2p-1-(n-1))(2p-(n-1)) \\
&= p(4p-2-n)(n-1) - \frac{1}{3}((6p-3)(n-1)^2 - (12p^2 - 12p + 2)(n-1) - (n-1)^3) \\
&= (n-1) \left(p(4p-2-n) + \frac{1}{3}(6p-3(n-1) - 12p^2 + 12p - 2 - (n-1)^2) \right) \\
&= (n-1) \left(pn - \frac{1}{3}n - \frac{1}{3}n^2 \right) \\
&= n^2p - np - \frac{1}{3}(n^3 - n).
\end{aligned}$$

So the degree sum of S is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{3}(n^3 - n) + np^2 - n^2p = n^2p - np - \frac{1}{3}(n^3 - n) \\
&= np(p-1)
\end{aligned}$$

as required. This completes the proof. \square

4.3. $V_3, p = 2$. In this section we consider the representation $V = V_3$ of $C_4 = \langle \sigma \rangle$ over a field of characteristic two. Shank and Wehlau [11] studied the modular invariant rings $\mathbb{k}[V_{p+1}]^G$ where $G = C_{p^2}$, of which this is particularly simple example. They showed that the invariants were generated by the norms of the variables, and relative transfers from the unique proper subgroup of G . For our purposes we need not a fundamental generating set, but rather primary and secondary invariants. We proceed as follows: Let v_1, v_2, v_3 be a basis of V such that the action of σ on the dual basis $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma x_1 &= x_1 + x_2 \\
\sigma x_2 &= x_2 + x_3 \\
\sigma x_3 &= x_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Once more we use a graded lexicographic order with $x_1 > x_2 > x_3$. We set $H = \langle \sigma^2 \rangle$. Note that the action of H is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma^2 x_1 &= x_1 + x_3 \\
\sigma^2 x_2 &= x_2 \\
\sigma^2 x_3 &= x_3.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $\{N^G(x_1), N_H^G(x_2), x_3\}$ form a homogeneous system of parameters. Since $\dim(V^G) = 1$, $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring, hence a free module

over the subalgebra $A = \mathbb{k}[N^G(x_1), N_H^G(x_2), x_3]$. Now by [4, Theorem 3.71] we have

$$(6) \quad r(\mathbb{k}[V]^G, A) = \frac{8}{|G|} = 2$$

as the degree product of the generators of A is 8.

A direct computation establishes that $N_H^G(x_2)$ and x_3^2 are the only invariants of degree two. Further it is easily shown that

$$u := x_1^2 x_3 + x_1 x_3^2 + x_2^3 + x_2^2 x_3 \in \mathbb{k}[V]^G,$$

and a lead term argument shows that $u \notin A$. It must follow therefore that $\{1, u\}$ generates $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ freely over A . In particular $s(\mathbb{k}[V]^G, A) = 3$.

Now by Proposition 3, we get

$$r(K_n, A) = 2n,$$

and

$$s(K_n, A) = 3n + 2s(\mathbb{k}[V, V_n]^H, \mathbb{k}[V]^H),$$

the latter because H is the subgroup of G generated by pseudo-reflections.

We consider each value of $n = 2, 3, 4$ separately. We note that $\Delta^2 = \sigma^2 - \iota$, so $K_2 = \mathbb{k}[V]^H = \mathbb{k}[N^H(x_1), x_2, x_3]$. However, we seek generators of K_2 as an A -module. Our first result is:

Proposition 22. *The set $S = \{1, x_2, N^H(x_1), x_2 N^H(x_1)\}$ generates K_2 over A .*

Proof. We will need to compute $s(\mathbb{k}[V, V_2]^H, \mathbb{k}[V]^H)$. Observe that, since H acts trivially on V_2 , we may write

$$\mathbb{k}[V, V_2]^H = \mathbb{k}[V]^H \otimes V_2.$$

If $\{w_1, w_2\}$ is a basis of V_2 , then $\mathbb{k}[V, V_2]^H$ is generated over $\mathbb{k}[V]^H$ by w_1 and w_2 , both having degree zero. So $s(\mathbb{k}[V, V_2]^H, \mathbb{k}[V]^H) = 0$ and therefore $s(K_2, A) = 6$.

Now it is clear that every element of S lies in $K_2 = \mathbb{k}[V]^H$. Further, $|S| = 4$ and the degree sum of S is 6. It remains to show that S is A -independent. This is easy to see: the lead terms of S are $1, x_2, x_1^2$ and $x_1^2 x_2$ and every element of A has lead term $x_1^{4a} x_2^{2b} x_3$, so the lead terms of any different pair of elements of the form fg where $f \in A, g \in S$ are distinct. \square

Proposition 23. *The set $S = \{1, x_1, x_2, x_1^2, \Delta(x_1^3), \Delta(x_1^3 x_2)\}$ generates K_3 over A .*

Proof. We will need to compute $s(\mathbb{k}[V, V_3]^H, \mathbb{k}[V]^H)$. Choose a basis $\{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$ of (the second copy of) V_3 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^2 w_1 &= w_1 + w_3 \\ \sigma^2 w_2 &= w_2 \\ \sigma^2 w_3 &= w_3. \end{aligned}$$

Then we may write

$$\mathbb{k}[V, V_3]^H = (S(V^*) \otimes V_3)^H = w_2 \otimes \mathbb{k}[V]^H \oplus (S(V^*) \otimes W)^H$$

where $W = \langle w_1, w_3 \rangle$. The first direct summand is generated by w_2 over $\mathbb{k}[V]^H$, with degree zero. The second is isomorphic to $\ker((\sigma^2 - 1)^2) = \mathbb{k}[V]$, which is generated over $\mathbb{k}[V]^H = \mathbb{k}[N^H(x_1), x_2, x_3]$ by x_1 . Therefore

$$s(\mathbb{k}[V, V_3]^H, \mathbb{k}[V]^H) = 1.$$

Consequently we get that $s(\mathbb{k}[V, V_3]^G, \mathbb{k}[V]^G) = 11$.

Now an easy direct calculation shows that every element of S lies in K_3 (for the last two simply note that $\Delta^4 = 0$). We have $|S| = 6$ and the degree sum of S is 11. Further, the lead terms of S are

$$\{1, x_1, x_2, x_1^2, x_1^2 x_2, x_1^2, x_1^3 x_3\}$$

and so once more every for different pair of elements of the form fg where $f \in A$ and $g \in S$, the lead terms are distinct. \square

Proposition 24. *The set $S = \{1, x_1, x_2, x_1^2, x_1 x_2, x_1^3, x_1^2 x_2, x_1^3 x_2\}$ generates K_4 over A .*

Proof. We have $K_4 = \mathbb{k}[V]$ so obviously each element of S lies in K_4 , and the proof of A -independence is similar to the previous result. To prove S is a generating set we could proceed as before, where we would find that $s(\mathbb{k}[V, V_4]^H, \mathbb{k}[V]^H) = 2$ and hence $s(\mathbb{k}[V, V_4]^G, \mathbb{k}[V]^G) = 16$. However it is probably simpler to argue as follows: let $f \in \mathbb{k}[V]$ and assume $f \notin SA$ with minimal degree in x_1 . By long division with $N^G(x_1)$ we may assume the x_1 -degree of f is at most three. Thus we may write

$$f = g_0 + g_1 x_1 + g_2 x_1^2 + g_3 x_1^3,$$

with $g_i \in \mathbb{k}[x_2, x_3]$. Now assume that among all such examples, g_3 has minimal x_2 -degree; by long division with $N_H^G(x_2)$ we may assume this x_2 -degree is at most one. Treating each $g_i = 2, 1, 0$ in turn in the same manner, we see that $f \in SA$ after all, a contradiction. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Abraham Broer and Jianjun Chuai. Modules of covariants in modular invariant theory. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3), 100(3):705–735, 2010.
- [2] H. E. A. Campbell and I. P. Hughes. 2-dimensional vector invariants of parabolic subgroups of $\mathrm{Gl}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$ over the field \mathbf{F}_p . *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 112(1):1–12, 1996.
- [3] Claude Chevalley. Invariants of finite groups generated by reflections. *Amer. J. Math.*, 77:778–782, 1955.
- [4] Harm Derksen and Gregor Kemper. *Computational invariant theory*. Invariant Theory and Algebraic Transformation Groups, I. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, 130.
- [5] Leonard Eugene Dickson. *On invariants and the theory of numbers*. Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1966.
- [6] Geir Ellingsrud and Tor Skjelbred. Profondeur d’anneaux d’invariants en caractéristique p . *Compositio Math.*, 41(2):233–244, 1980.
- [7] Jonathan Elmer. Modular covariants of cyclic groups of order p . *J. Algebra*, 598:134–155, 2022.
- [8] Peter Fleischmann, Gregor Kemper, and R. James Shank. Depth and cohomological connectivity in modular invariant theory. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 357(9):3605–3621 (electronic), 2005.
- [9] M. Hochster and John A. Eagon. Cohen-Macaulay rings, invariant theory, and the generic perfection of determinantal loci. *Amer. J. Math.*, 93:1020–1058, 1971.
- [10] Barbara R. Peskin. Quotient-singularities and wild p -cyclic actions. *J. Algebra*, 81(1):72–99, 1983.
- [11] R. James Shank and David L. Wehlau. Decomposing symmetric powers of certain modular representations of cyclic groups. In *Symmetry and spaces*, volume 278 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 169–196. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2010.
- [12] G. C. Shephard and J. A. Todd. Finite unitary reflection groups. *Canadian J. Math.*, 6:274–304, 1954.

MIDDLESEX UNIVERSITY, THE BURROUGHS, HENDON, LONDON, NW4 4BT UK
 Email address: j.elmer@mdx.ac.uk