# AI Assisted Analysis of Fermat's Last Theorem

Charles Kelly

## **AI Assistance**

If the analyses generated by *deepai* (<a href="https://deepai.org/chat/mathematics">https://deepai.org/chat/mathematics</a>) are correct, they confirm Fermat's conjecture.

## **Introduction**

Pierre de Fermat (1601-1665) was a lawyer, a member of the Parliament in Toulouse, and a mathematician. His conjecture is easy to explain: he said that there are no solutions to the following equation when X, Y, and Z are positive integers, and n is a positive integer greater than two:

$$X^n + Y^n = Z^n$$

Fermat created his conjecture in 1637 when he wrote in a copy of *Arithmetica* that he had a proof that was too large to fit in the margin. In 1995, professors Taylor<sup>i</sup> and Wiles<sup>ii</sup> proved the conjecture.

## **Limiting the Scope of the Problem to Prime Exponents**

Professor Van der Poorten<sup>iii</sup> shows that it is not necessary to prove Fermat's conjecture for any positive exponent n; rather, it is sufficient to prove it when the exponent p is prime and the greatest common divisor of X and Y equals 1:

$$X^p + Y^p = Z^p \tag{1}$$

## **Variables**

All variables represent integers.

# **Change of Variables**

These new variables represent the differences among the original variables:

$$i = Z - Y$$

$$k = Z - X$$

$$r = X - i$$

$$h = i + k$$

These definitions imply:

$$X = r + i$$

$$Y = r + k$$

$$Z = r + i + k$$

If p > 2, then (1) can be written as:

$$(X+Y)\sum_{m=0}^{p-1} (-1)^m X^m Y^{p-m-1} = Z^p$$

This implies that:

$$(2r+i+k)\sum_{m=0}^{p-1} (-1)^m X^m Y^{p-m-1} = (r+i+k)^p$$

$$\sum_{m=0}^{p-1} (-1)^m X^m Y^{p-m-1} = \frac{(r+i+k)^p}{(2r+i+k)}$$

$$\sum_{m=0}^{p-1} (-1)^m X^m Y^{p-m-1} = \frac{(r+h)^p}{(2r+h)}$$

All the terms on the left-hand side of this equation are integers. This implies that the fraction on the right hand-side is an integer. Using this, the following are question to, and responses from, *deepai*:

Question	Response from deepai
Does $(2r + h)$ divide $-r^p$ (implying that $(2r + h)$ divides $r^p$ )	Yes
Does $(2r + h)$ divide $h^p$	Yes
What is greatest common divisor of $(r^p \text{ and } h^p)$	1

## **Conclusion**

Since X + Y == (2r + h) divides both  $r^p$  and  $h^p$ , it also divides their greatest common divisor. This leads to a contradiction where the sum of two positive integers divides 1; therefore, Fermat's conjecture is correct.

# deepai: Questions and Responses

Does 
$$(2r + h)$$
 divide  $-r^p$ 

PROMPT: given integers p,r,h where p is prime and greater than 2, and  $(r+h)^p/((2r+h))$  is an

integer; does (2r + h) divide  $-r^p$ 

### deepai short-form response

## Final answer:

Yes, given the initial conditions, 2r + h divides  $-r^p$ .

#### Summary:

- The key step was recognizing that  $(r+h)^p$  divisible by 2r+h implies certain congruences.
- These lead to the conclusion that r and h are divisible by 2r + h.
- ullet Therefore, 2r+h divides  $r^p$  (and hence  $-r^p$ ), fulfilling the question.

### Does (2r + h) divide $h^p$

PROMPT: given integers p,r,h where p is prime and greater than 2, and  $(r+h)^p/((2r+h))$  is an integer; does (2r + h) divide  $h^p$ 

#### deepai short-form response

#### Summary:

- The key step was expressing r + h in terms of d = 2r + h.
- Using the binomial theorem, we showed that the divisibility of  $\frac{(r+h)^p}{2r+h}$  implies 2r+h divides  $h^p$ .

# What is greatest common divisor of $(r^p \text{ and } h^p)$

PROMPT: given integers p,r,h, where  $(h^p)/(2r+h)$  is an integer, and  $(r^p)/(2r+h)$  is an integer, and p is prime and greater than 2, what is the greatest common divisor of  $r^p$  and  $h^p$ 

#### deepai short-form response



# Raw output from deepai

Full text generated by prompts is available at:

https://github.com/FermatAndAI/documents/tree/main/raw%20output%20from%20deepai

i Dishard Tardan and Andrew Wil

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>i</sup> Richard Taylor and Andrew Wiles, "Ring-theoretic properties of certain Hecke algebras", Annals of Mathematics, Vol. 141 (1995) pp 553-572.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>ii</sup> Andrew Wiles, "Modular elliptic curves and Fermat's Last Theorem", Annals of Mathematics, Vol. 141 (1995) pp 443-551

iii Alf van der Poorten, "Notes on Fermat's Last Theorem", Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts", Wiley Interscience, 1996, Page 8, note 1.9