

Forecasting Portfolios

Author

Autumn 2024

1 Goal

Find optimal portfolio weights based on MV approach considering that the error of expected return prediction between assets can be correlated.

2 Definitions

The weights of the tangency portfolio with k assets are defined by:

$$\mathbf{w}_t = \frac{\Sigma^{-1} \Lambda}{\mathbf{1} \Sigma^{-1} \Lambda_t}$$

Where $\Lambda_{t+1}^\top = [\lambda_{1,t+1}, \lambda_{2,t+1}, \lambda_{3,t+1}, \dots, \lambda_{k,t+1}]$ and

$$\lambda_{i,t+1} := \mathbb{E}[\tilde{r}_{i,t+1}], \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k$$

$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{r}_{i,t+1}]$ is the expected excess return for asset i , which can be calculated by an unknown function $f_i(X)$:

$$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{r}_{i,t+1}] = f_i(X_t)$$

In sample:

$$\hat{\lambda}_{i,t+1} = \hat{f}_i(X_t)$$

$\hat{\lambda}_{i,t+1}$ can be estimated by any machine learning model.

For instance, in a deep neural network with no hidden layer, squared error loss and no activation function (linear regression) aiming to estimate the $\hat{\lambda}_{i,t+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ with the same features, the general loss function is defined by:

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^k \|\lambda_i - \hat{\lambda}_i\|^2$$

Where λ_i is a vector of the time-series excess returns of asset i and $\hat{\lambda}_i$ is the estimated λ_i .

$$\lambda_i^\top := [\lambda_{i,1}, \lambda_{i,2}, \dots, \lambda_{i,T}]$$

$$\hat{\lambda}_i^\top := [\hat{\lambda}_{i,1}, \hat{\lambda}_{i,2}, \dots, \hat{\lambda}_{i,T}]$$

As mentioned, the predictions for any t considering the example of the linear regression:

$$\hat{\lambda}_{i,t} = \hat{f}_i(X_{t-1}) = \hat{\theta}^\top X_{t-1}$$

Where $\hat{\theta}$ is the vector of estimated parameters considering any loss function (in our current example, considering squared error loss).

For an example, lets consider that we have two assets in our portfolio:

$$L = \|\lambda_1 - \hat{\lambda}_1\|^2 + \|\lambda_2 - \hat{\lambda}_2\|^2$$

For a deep neural network with no hidden layers, the predictions considering X are independent, since the parameters used to estimate $\hat{\lambda}_1$ are different from the ones used to estimate $\hat{\lambda}_2$

$$L = \|\lambda_1 - \theta_1^\top \mathbf{X}\|^2 + \|\lambda_2 - \theta_2^\top \mathbf{X}\|^2$$

Where θ_1 are the parameters used for the estimation of asset 1 and $\hat{\theta}_2$ are the parameters used for the estimation of asset 2.

$$\theta := \{\theta_1, \theta_2\}$$

$$\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2 = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta_1, \theta_2} L$$

3 Our Suggestion

- A prediction of returns is more important if we have more weight for it.
- We want the error of the predictions to compensate each other at any given time: for instance, if in time t , you have an error that is positive for asset 1, you want a negative error in your prediction for asset 2 in the same time. Nonetheless, if asset 1 is more important in the portfolio than asset 2, you should weight the error of asset 1 more than the one of asset two.

The new loss for 2 assets portfolio:

$$\begin{aligned}
L &= \|\lambda_1 - \hat{\lambda}_1\|^2 + \|\lambda_2 - \hat{\lambda}_2\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^T \left[w_1(\lambda_{1,i} - \hat{\lambda}_{1,i}) + w_2(\lambda_{1,i} - \hat{\lambda}_{1,i}) \right] \\
&= \|\lambda_1 - \hat{\lambda}_1\|^2 + \|\lambda_2 - \hat{\lambda}_2\|^2 + \|w_1\lambda_1 - w_1\hat{\lambda}_1 + w_1\lambda_2 - w_2\hat{\lambda}_2\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

Or:

$$\begin{aligned}
L &= \|\lambda_1 - \hat{\lambda}_1\|^2 + \|\lambda_2 - \hat{\lambda}_2\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^T \left[w_1(\lambda_{1,i} - \hat{\lambda}_{1,i}) \cdot w_2(\lambda_{1,i} - \hat{\lambda}_{1,i}) \right] \\
&= \|\lambda_1 - \hat{\lambda}_1\|^2 + \|\lambda_2 - \hat{\lambda}_2\|^2 + \left\langle w_1(\lambda_1 - \hat{\lambda}_1), w_2(\lambda_2 - \hat{\lambda}_2) \right\rangle
\end{aligned}$$