

## Specific identity types

$\times$ -types.

Thm. For  $p, q: A \times B$ , we have

$$\left( \prod_{A, B: U} \prod_{p, q: A \times B} p = q \approx \dots \right)$$

$A: U, B: U, p: A \times B, q: A \times B \vdash \dots$

$$p =_{A \times B} q \approx (\pi_1 p =_A \pi_1 q) \times (\pi_2 p =_B \pi_2 q).$$

Pf. ( $\Rightarrow$ ). To produce a function

$$(p = q) \xrightarrow{f} (\pi_1 p = \pi_1 q) \times (\pi_2 p = \pi_2 q),$$

it suffices to define it on reflexivity, by path induction.

So set

$$f(r_p) := (\gamma_{\pi_1 p}, \gamma_{\pi_2 p}).$$

( $\Leftarrow$ ). To produce a function

$$\prod_{p, q: A \times B} (\pi_1 p = \pi_1 q) \times (\pi_2 p = \pi_2 q) \xrightarrow{g} (p = q)$$

it suffices to assume that  $p$  is of the form  $(a_1, b_1)$ ,

$q$  is of the form  $(a_2, b_2)$  and then define it on pairs

$(e, f): (a_1 = a_2) \times (b_1 = b_2)$  by the induction principle

for  $\times$ -types. By path induction, we can assume that  $e$

and  $f$  are of the form  $r_a$  and  $r_b$  respectively. Then we set

$$g(r_a, r_b) \doteq r_{(a,b)}.$$

( $gf \sim \text{id}$ ). Now we need to show that for all  $e: p=q$ ,

$$gf e = e.$$

But again, it suffices to assume that  $e$  is  $r_{(a,b)}$ . Then

since

$$\begin{aligned} gf r_{(a,b)} &\doteq g(r_a, r_b) \\ &\doteq r_{(a,b)} \end{aligned}$$

we are done.

( $fg \sim \text{id}$ ) We need to show

$$fg(e, f) = (e, f).$$

Again, we assume  $e, f$  are of the form  $r_a, r_b$  respectively,

so since

$$\begin{aligned} fg(r_a, r_b) &\doteq f r_{(a,b)} \\ &\doteq (r_a, r_b) \end{aligned}$$

we are done.

Thus, we have shown that the two types are quasi-equivalent.

Therefore (by previous theorem), they are equivalent.  $\square$

## $\Sigma$ -types

Thm. Given  $p, q: \sum_{x:A} B(x)$ , we have

$$(p = q) \simeq \sum_{c: \pi_1 p = \pi_1 q} \text{tr}_{\pi_1 p} \pi_2 p = \pi_2 q.$$

Pf. Exercise (see last week's exercises).

Example. Let  $\text{hSpc}$  be the type of types with a multiplication and identity:

$$\text{hSpc} := \sum_{T:U} \sum_{m: T \times T \rightarrow T} \sum_{e: T} \prod_{x: T} m(e, x) = x \times m(x, e) = x.$$

Then an identity between  $G, H: \text{hSpc}$  is a type of an equality between the underlying spaces, an equality between the multiplications, etc.

$$(G = H)_{\text{hSpc}} \simeq \sum_{c: \pi_1 G = \pi_1 H} \sum_{f: \text{tr}_c \pi_2 G = \pi_2 H} \sum_{g: \text{tr}_c \pi_3 G = \pi_3 H} \dots$$

In general.

Thm. Consider a type  $A$  and term  $a:A$ , consider also a dependent type  $x:A \vdash B(x)$  and a term  $b:B(a)$ . The following

are equivalent

1)  $\sum_{x:A} B(x)$  is contractible.

2) We have equivalences  $f_x : a=x \simeq B(x)$  for all  $x$ ,  
where

$$f : \prod_{x:A} a=x \rightarrow B(x)$$

is defined by sending  $r_a$  to  $b$ .

Pl. By Thm 11.1.3 (in Exercises for today), each  $f_x$  is an equivalence  
if and only if the induced map

$$\sum_{x:A} a=x \xrightarrow{\text{tot}_f} \sum_{x:A} B(x)$$

is an equivalence. But  $\sum_{x:A} B(x)$  is contractible (previous thm).

Since being contractible is equivalent to the canonical map  $T \rightarrow \text{unit}$   
being an equivalence (Ex 10.3 a in R), if  $\text{tot}_f$  is an equivalence,  
 $\sum_{x:A} B(x)$  is contractible.

If  $\sum_{x:A} B(x)$  is contractible, then its canonical map to the unit is an equivalence.

Since equivalences satisfy 2. of 3 (Ex. 9.4c in R), we find that  $\text{tot}_f$   
is an equivalence.

□

The booleans.

Def. Define a function  $E : \text{bool} \rightarrow \text{bool} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  by

$$E(\text{true}, \text{true}) := \mathbb{1}$$

$$E(\text{false}, \text{false}) := \mathbb{1}$$

$$E(\text{true}, \text{false}) := \emptyset$$

$$E(\text{false}, \text{true}) := \mathbb{1},$$

Def. Define the function

$$z : \prod_{x,y:\text{bool}} x=y \rightarrow E(x,y)$$

by sending  $r_{\text{true}} \mapsto * : \mathbb{1}$ ,  $r_{\text{false}} \mapsto * : \mathbb{1}$ .

Thm. For each  $x,y:\text{bool}$ ,  $= \dashv\dashv E_{x,y}$  is an equivalence.

Pf. Using the previous theorem, we show that  $\sum_{y:\text{bool}} E(x,y)$  is contractible for each  $x:\text{bool}$ .

We define the center of contraction to be  $(x, z_{xx} r_x)$ .

We need a term of

$$\prod_{(y,e) : \Sigma E} (x, z_{xx} r_x) = (y, e).$$

Consider such  $y, e$ . By the characterization of  $=$ -types in  $\Sigma$ -types, we need to find a term in

$$\sum_{p: x=y} tr_p z_{xx} r_x = e.$$

By induction on  $x, y$  there are four cases.

$$1. \sum_{p: true=true} tr_p * \underline{1} = e.$$

Since  $\underline{1}$  is convertible, there is a path  $c: tr_p * = e$  (by Exercises from last time).

Then we choose  $(p, c)$ .

$$2. \sum_{p: true=false} tr_p * \underline{2} = e.$$

Same as 1.

$$3. \sum_{p: true=false} tr_p z_{xx} r_x =_{\emptyset} e$$

In this case, we suppose  $c: E(true, false) \doteq \emptyset$ . By  $\emptyset$ -induction, we obtain a term.

$$4. \sum_{p: \text{false}} t_{v_p} * \frac{1}{p} = e.$$

Same as 3.

□