

Last time:

- Structure of dependent type theory
- Π -types
- \rightarrow -types
- \wedge/x -types

} §1-2 of Rijke

This time:

- Inductive types

} §3-4 of Rijke

Next time

- The identity type \rightarrow homotopy

} §5 of Rijke

General idea

- Type formers: The rules that define Π , \rightarrow , \wedge/x , inductive types are all type formers.

When we study type theory, we can choose which type formers to include.

When people talk about HoTT, they often mean a type theory with particular type formers (the ones introduced in this course) + an axiom.

- Comparison with ZF-based mathematics:
 - Products, functions, etc have to be encoded in ZF.
 - Thus, everyday mathematics is far away from foundational rules.
 - In type theory, we postulate that products, functions, etc exist.
 - In type theory, everyday mathematics is closer to foundations.

- Inductive types are freely generated by canonical terms.

- In Agda:

data Bool : Type where
true false : Bool

Bool is generated by the two canonical terms true, false.

To define a (dependent) function out of Bool, it suffices to define it on its canonical elements true and false.

The

data — where
—

Syntax tells Agda that we are defining an inductive Type.

In pen-and-paper HoTT, we specify the behavior of inductive types by hand.

The booleans: bool

bool - form:

—————
⊢ bool type

bool-intro:

$$\frac{}{\vdash \text{true} : \text{bool}} \quad \frac{}{\vdash \text{false} : \text{bool}}$$

bool-elim:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \Gamma, x : \text{bool} \vdash D \text{ type} \\ \Gamma \vdash a : D[\text{true}/x] \\ \Gamma \vdash b : D[\text{false}/x] \end{array}}{\Gamma, x : \text{bool} \vdash \text{ind-bool}_{a,b} : D}$$

bool-comp:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \Gamma, x : \text{bool} \vdash D \text{ type} \\ \Gamma \vdash a : D[\text{true}/x] \\ \Gamma \vdash b : D[\text{false}/x] \end{array}}{\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash \text{ind-bool}_{a,b} [a/x] \doteq a : D[\text{true}/x] \\ \Gamma \vdash \text{ind-bool}_{a,b} [b/x] \doteq b : D[\text{false}/x] \end{array}}$$

Ex. not : bool \rightarrow bool

weakened bool-form

(Remember \rightarrow -intro:)

$$\frac{x : P \vdash q : Q}{\lambda x. q : P \rightarrow Q}$$

$$\frac{\frac{x : \text{bool} \vdash \text{bool type} \quad \vdash \text{false} : \text{bool} \doteq \text{bool}[\text{true}/x] \quad \vdash \text{true} : \text{bool} \doteq \text{bool}[\text{false}/x]}{x : \text{bool} \vdash \text{ind-bool}_{\text{false}, \text{true}} : \text{bool}}}{\vdash \lambda x. \text{ind-bool}_{\text{false}, \text{true}} : \text{bool} \rightarrow \text{bool}}$$

coproducts +

$$+ \text{ - form: } \frac{\Gamma \vdash P \text{ type} \quad \Gamma \vdash Q \text{ type}}{\Gamma \vdash P + Q \text{ type}}$$

$$+ \text{ - intro: } \frac{\Gamma \vdash p:P}{\Gamma \vdash \text{inl}(p):P+Q} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash q:Q}{\Gamma \vdash \text{inr}(q):P+Q}$$

$$+ \text{ - elim: } \frac{\begin{array}{l} \Gamma, x:P+Q \vdash D \text{ type} \\ \Gamma, p:P \vdash a:D[\text{inl}(p)/x] \\ \Gamma, q:Q \vdash b:D[\text{inr}(q)/x] \end{array}}{\Gamma, x:P+Q \vdash \text{ind-} +_{a,b} : D}$$

$$+ \text{ - elim: } \frac{\begin{array}{l} \Gamma, x:P+Q \vdash D \text{ type} \\ \Gamma, p:P \vdash a:D[\text{inl}(p)/x] \\ \Gamma, q:Q \vdash b:D[\text{inr}(q)/x] \end{array}}{\begin{array}{l} \Gamma, p:P \vdash \text{ind-} +_{a,b} [\text{inl}(p)/x] \doteq a : D[\text{inl}(p)/x] \\ \Gamma, q:Q \vdash \text{ind-} +_{a,b} [\text{inr}(q)/x] \doteq b : D[\text{inr}(q)/x] \end{array}}$$

Logical interpretation:

- We can prove (produce a term of) $P+Q$ if we can prove P or we can prove Q .
- To prove something from $P+Q$ we do a proof by cases.
- So $+$ behaves like disjunction (or).

Ex. For any types A, B, C , there is a function
 $A \times B + A \times C \rightarrow A \times (B+C)$.

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{x_1: A \times B \vdash (\text{pr}_1 x_1, \text{inl } \text{pr}_2 x_1): A \times (B+C) \quad x_2: A \times C \vdash (\text{pr}_1 x_2, \text{inr } \text{pr}_2 x_2): A \times (B+C)}{x: A \times B + A \times C \vdash ? : A \times (B+C)} \\ \vdash ? : A \times B + A \times C \rightarrow A \times (B+C). \end{array}$$

Dependent pair types (aka dependent sum types, Sigma types) Σ

$$\Sigma\text{-form: } \frac{\Gamma, x:P \vdash Q}{\Gamma \vdash \Sigma_{x:P} Q}$$

$$\Sigma\text{-intro:} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash p:P \quad \Gamma \vdash q:Q[p/x]}{\Gamma \vdash \text{pair}(p,q): \sum_{x:P} Q}$$

$$\Sigma\text{-elim:} \quad \frac{\Gamma, y: \sum_{x:P} Q \vdash D \text{ type} \quad \Gamma, x:P, z: Q \vdash a: D[\text{pair}(x,z)/y]}{\Gamma, y: \sum_{x:P} Q \vdash \text{ind}_\Sigma(a, y): D}$$

$$\Sigma\text{-comp:} \quad \frac{\Gamma, y: \sum_{x:P} Q \vdash D \text{ type} \quad \Gamma, x:P, z: Q \vdash a: D[\text{pair}(x,z)/y]}{\Gamma, x:P, z: Q \vdash \text{ind}_\Sigma(a, \text{pair}(x,z)) \doteq a: D[\text{pair}(x,z)/y]}$$

Logical interpretation: To prove $\sum_{x:P} Q$ (thinking of P as a set and Q as a predicate on P), we need to produce a term $p:P$ and prove $Q[p/x]$. Thus, it behaves like $\exists_{x:P} Q(x)$.

Set interpretation: The canonical terms are $\text{pair}(p,q)$. It behaves like $\bigsqcup_{x:P} Q(x)$.

Ex. Let $\text{Vect}(n)$ denote the type of vectors of length $n:N$. Then $\sum_{n:N} \text{Vect}(n)$ is the type of all vectors.

Ex. For any $x:P \vdash Q$, there is a projection function $\pi: \sum_{x:P} Q \rightarrow P$.

$$\frac{x:P, z: Q \vdash x : P}{y: \sum_{x:P} Q \vdash \text{ind}_\Sigma(x, y): P}$$

$$\lambda x. \text{ind}_\Sigma(x, y): \sum_{x:P} Q \rightarrow P$$

Ex. There is a projection function

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \sum_{n:\mathbb{N}} \text{Vect}(n) & \text{cf.} & \bigsqcup_{n:\mathbb{N}} \text{Vect}(n) \text{ in sets} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{N} & & \mathbb{N} \end{array}$$

Ex. Consider a dependent type $x:\text{bool} \vdash D(x)$ type.
There is a function $\left[\sum_{x:\text{bool}} D(x) \right] \rightarrow D(\text{true}) + D(\text{false})$.

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{}{\vdash \lambda z. \text{inl}z : D(\text{true}) \rightarrow D(\text{true}) + D(\text{false})} \quad \frac{}{\vdash \lambda z. \text{inr}z : D(\text{false}) \rightarrow D(\text{true}) + D(\text{false})} \\ \frac{x:\text{bool} \vdash \text{ind}_{\text{bool}}(\lambda z. \text{inl}z, \lambda z. \text{inr}z, x) : D(x) \rightarrow D(\text{true}) + D(\text{false})}{x:\text{bool}, y:D(x) \vdash \text{ind}_{\text{bool}}(\lambda z. \text{inl}z, \lambda z. \text{inr}z, x) y : D(\text{true}) + D(\text{false})} \\ \frac{z : \sum_{x:\text{bool}} D(x) \vdash \text{ind}_{\Sigma}(\text{ind}_{\text{bool}}(\lambda z. \text{inl}z, \lambda z. \text{inr}z, x) y, z) : D(\text{true}) + D(\text{false})}{\vdash \lambda z. \text{ind}_{\Sigma}(\text{ind}_{\text{bool}}(\lambda z. \text{inl}z, \lambda z. \text{inr}z, x) y, z) : \left[\sum_{x:\text{bool}} D(x) \right] \rightarrow D(\text{true}) + D(\text{false})} \end{array}$$

The natural numbers \mathbb{N}

\mathbb{N} -form:

$$\frac{}{\vdash \mathbb{N} \text{ type}}$$

\mathbb{N} -intro:

$$\frac{}{\vdash 0 : \mathbb{N}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash n : \mathbb{N}}{\vdash n.succ : \mathbb{N}}$$

$$\vdash 0 : \mathbb{N}$$

$$1 \vdash sn : \mathbb{N}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{N-elim:} \quad \Gamma, x:\mathbb{N} \vdash D \text{ type} \\ \quad \Gamma \vdash a : D[0/x] \\ \hline \Gamma, x:\mathbb{N}, y:D \vdash b : D[sx/x] \\ \hline \Gamma, x:\mathbb{N} \vdash \text{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(a, b, x) : D \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{N-comp:} \quad \Gamma, x:\mathbb{N} \vdash D \text{ type} \\ \quad \Gamma \vdash a : D[0/x] \\ \hline \Gamma, x:\mathbb{N}, y:D \vdash b : D[sx/x] \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash \text{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(a, b, 0) \doteq a : D[0/x] \\ \Gamma, x:\mathbb{N}, y:D \vdash \text{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(a, b, sx) \doteq b : D[sx/x] \end{array}$$

Ex. sss 0 is (in usual parlance) 3.

Ex. 2: bool \rightarrow \mathbb{N}

$$\vdash s0 : \mathbb{N}$$

$$\vdash 0 : \mathbb{N}$$

$$x:\text{bool} \vdash \text{ind}_{\text{bool}}(s0, 0, x) : \mathbb{N}$$

$$\vdash \lambda x. \text{ind}_{\text{bool}}(s0, 0, x) : \text{bool} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

Ex. add : $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow (\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N})$

$$x:\mathbb{N} \vdash 0:\mathbb{N}$$

$$x:\mathbb{N} \vdash \dots \vdash x:\mathbb{N} \vdash s x:\mathbb{N}$$

$$\frac{x:\mathbb{N}, y:\mathbb{N}, z:\mathbb{N} \vdash \text{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(0, s_2, y) : \mathbb{N}}{\text{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(0, s_2, y) : \mathbb{N}}$$

$$\frac{x:\mathbb{N}, y:\mathbb{N} \vdash \text{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(0, s_2, y) : \mathbb{N}}{x:\mathbb{N} \vdash \lambda y. \text{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(0, s_2, y) : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}}$$

$$\frac{x:\mathbb{N} \vdash \lambda y. \text{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(0, s_2, y) : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}}{\lambda x. \lambda y. \text{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(0, s_2, y) : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow (\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N})}$$

$$\lambda x. \lambda y. \text{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(0, s_2, y) : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow (\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N})$$

Ex. $\text{mult} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow (\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N})$

$$x:\mathbb{N} \vdash 0:\mathbb{N}$$

$$\frac{x:\mathbb{N}, y:\mathbb{N}, z:\mathbb{N} \vdash \text{add}(z, y)}{x:\mathbb{N}, y:\mathbb{N} \vdash \text{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(0, \text{add}(z, y)) : \mathbb{N}}$$

$$\frac{x:\mathbb{N}, y:\mathbb{N} \vdash \text{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(0, \text{add}(z, y)) : \mathbb{N}}{x:\mathbb{N} \vdash \lambda y. \text{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(0, \text{add}(z, y)) : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}}$$

$$\frac{x:\mathbb{N} \vdash \lambda y. \text{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(0, \text{add}(z, y)) : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}}{\lambda x. \lambda y. \text{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(0, \text{add}(z, y)) : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow (\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N})}$$

$$\lambda x. \lambda y. \text{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(0, \text{add}(z, y)) : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow (\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N})$$