

CMSC 124

Design and Implementation of Programming Languages

CNM Peralta

EXPRESSIONS

Expressions

Fundamental way of specifying computations in a programming language.

Expressions can be classified into **five different categories.**

1.

Literals

Most basic expressions; **fixed** with a **value** of a certain **type**.

Example

100 //integer literal

5.25 //floating-point literal

'c' //character literal

2.

Aggregates

Value expressions that are made up of component values.

Example

$[y=2000, m=\text{“Jan”}, d=1]$

3.

Function Calls

Pass arguments to a **function** that produces a **result**, which is **returned** to the caller.

Example

$A + B * C - D$

Can be expressed
using functions



$- (+ (A, * (B, C)), D)$

4.

Conditional Expressions

Restricts execution of its subexpressions, **subject to a condition.**

Example

```
if (condition) {  
    ...  
} else {  
    ...  
}
```

5.

Constants and Variables

Also considered/evaluated as expressions.

Example

```
if (condition) {  
    ...  
} else {  
    ...  
}
```

The **syntax** of **expressions** can be classified into **three forms**.

1.

Prefix notation

The **operation/function call** is placed **ahead** of its **operands/parameters**; specified from left to right.

Cambridge-Polish Notation

Variant of prefix notation used by LISP; the **function call** is placed **inside** the **parentheses** instead of outside, and commas are removed.

swap(&x, &y)

Normal Prefix Notation

(swap &x &y)

Cambridge-Polish
Notation

Parentheses can also be
dropped entirely.

swap &x &y

Example

The following are examples of prefix notation
(normal and both Cambridge-Polish Forms

– $(+ (A, * (B, C)), D)$

$(- (+ A (* B C)) D)$

$- + A * B C D$

2.

Infix notation

Most common notation for binary expressions; the **operation** is placed **between** the two operands.

Disadvantage

Infix notation **can't represent unary** operators.

&a

Prefix

???

Infix

a&

Postfix

Thus, infix notation is used in **conjunction** with either **prefix** or **postfix** notation.

Example: C

A = B + C; //Infix

B++; //Postfix

++C; //Prefix

Disadvantage

On its own, infix notation is **ambiguous**.

Operator precedence and **associativity**
need to be **applied implicitly**.

3.

Postfix notation

Places the operator after its operands.

Though **not often used** in **programming languages**, it is the notation **used in executable form**.

Why?

Expressions are **evaluated**
using stacks.

Example

1 2 + 3 4 - *

In infix, this is:

(1 + 2) * (3 - 4)

There are three **approaches** to
implementing expressions.

1.

**Generate machine code
directly** from source code.

2.

Build the **expression (parse) tree** first, then **translate the tree** to an **executable** sequence of **instructions.**

If **interpretation** is employed, the **expression tree** can be **directly executed**.

3.

Translate expression to either **prefix** or **postfix** notation and **execute using stacks** or **translate to machine code**.

Implementing expressions have
their fair share of **problems**.

1.

Side effects

are possible.

Example: Pascal

```
a = 1;
```

```
a + f(a) * a;
```

What if **f** changes the value of **a** to **2** (and returns 2 as well)?

Example: Pascal

$a = 1;$ $\quad \quad \quad = 1 + 2 * 1$

$a + f(a) * a; = 3$

What if f changes the value of a to 2 (and returns 2 as well)?

Example: Pascal

```
a = 1;          = 1 + 2 * 2
```

$$a + f(a) * a; = 5$$

What if **f** changes the value of **a** to **2** (and returns 2 as well)?

Solution

Either **disallow side effects (difficult)** or include in the **language definition which side effect is legal.**

2.

Error handling when executing expressions, e.g. division by 0, Not-A-Number errors.

When an **error occurs**, execution is usually aborted and **control** is **returned** to the **operating system**.

3.

Short-circuit evaluation

may be **necessary**.

Short-circuit evaluation

Allows **subexpressions** to be **skipped** if they **no longer need to be computed**.

Example: C

```
ptr = head;
while(ptr->next!=NULL &&
      ptr->next->x < x) {
    ptr = ptr->next;
}
```

Problems

What if the subexpression that is **skipped** is **necessary** for **future computations**?

Considerations when translating expressions include:

1.

Translator design

Will a parse tree be used? Or will executable code be generated directly from source code?

2.

Number of registers

The more registers, the more efficient.