Game Theory and Applications (博弈论及其应用)

Chapter 14: Extensive Game with Imperfect Information-II

南京大学

高 尉

Recap on Previous Chapter

- Extensive game with imperfect information
- Formal definition $G = \{N, H, P, I, \{u_i\}\}$
- Information set $I = \{I_1, I_2, \ldots I_N\}$
- Pure strategies $A(I_{i1}) \times A(I_{i2}) \times A(I_{im})$
- Transformation of strategic game and extensive game with imperfect information
- Perfect recall and imperfect recall

Example



Definition of Mixed and Behavioral Strategies

- Mixed Strategies: A mixed strategy of player i in an extensive game is a probability over the set of player i's pure strategy
- Behavioral strategies: A behavior strategy of player i is a collection $\beta_{ik}(I_{ik})_{I_{ik}\in I_i}$ of independent probability measure, where $\beta_{ik}(I_{ik})$ is a probability measure over $\mathrm{A}(I_{ik})$

Behavioral vs. Mixed Strategies

Behavioral strategies distinguish from mixed strategies



A behavioral strategy for player 1:

- Selects A with prob. 0.5, and B otherwise
- choose G with prob. 0.3, and H otherwise

Here's a mixed strategy that isn't a behavioral strategy

- ➢ Pure Strategy AG with probability 0.6, pure strategy BH 0.4
- ➢ The choices at the two nodes are not independent

Behavioral vs. Mixed Strategies

In imperfect-information games, mixed and behavioral strategies produce different sets of equilibria

- In some games, mixed strategies can achieve equilibria that aren’t achievable by any behavioral strategy
- In some games, behavioral strategies can achieve equilibria that aren’t achievable by any mixed strategy

Behavioral vs. Mixed Strategies

Consider game

Player 1 inform. set: {{∅, L}}



- Player 1: R is a strictly dominant strategy
- Player 2: D is a strictly dominant strategy
  - (R, D) is the unique Nash equilibrium for mixed strategy

Behavioral vs. Mixed Strategies

1: the information set is $\{(\emptyset, L)\}$

2: D is a strictly dominant strategy



Player 2's best response to D:

- Player 1's the behavioral strategy $[L, p; R, 1 - p]$ i.e., choose L with probability p
- The expected payoff of player 1 is
- $U_1 = p^2 + 100p(1 - p) + 2(1 - p) = -99p^2 + 98p + 2$
- To find the maximum, we have $p = 49/99$

(R,D) is not an equilibrium for behavioral strategy

Formal Definition of Perfect Recall

Player i has **perfect recall** in game G if for any two history h and h' that are in the same information set for player i, for any path $h_0, h_1, \ldots h_n, h$ and $h'_0, h'_1, \ldots h'_m, h'$ from the root to h and h' with $P(h_k) = P(h'_k) = i$, we have

- $n = m$
- $h_i = h'_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$

G is **a game of perfect recall** if every player has perfect recall in it.

Kuhn Theorem (1953)

Theorem In an finite extensive game with perfect recall

- any mixed strategy of a player can be replaced by an equivalent behavioral strategy
- any behavioral strategy can be replaced by an equivalent mixed strategy
- Two strategies are equivalent

Corollary In an finite extensive game with perfect recall, the set of Nash equilibrium does not change if we restrict ourselves to behavior strategies

Proof. See board.

Example

What behavioral strategy is equivalent to mixed strategy $(p_{AC}, p_{AD}, p_{BC}, p_{BD})$

- $I_{11} = \{\emptyset\}$ $I_{12} = \{AM, AR\}$
- $A(I_{11}) = \{A, B\}$
- $A(I_{12}) = \{C, D\}$



- $\beta_{11}(I_{11})(A) = p_{AC} + p_{AD}$ $\beta_{11}(I_{11})(B) = p_{BC} + p_{BD}$
- $\beta_{12}(I_{12})(C) = \frac{p_{AC}}{p_{AC}+p_{AD}}$ $\beta_{12}(I_{12})(D) = \frac{p_{AD}}{p_{AC}+p_{AD}}$

Example

What mixed strategy is equivalent to behavioral strategy of prob. p over A and q over C



$$(p_{AC}, p_{AD}, p_{BC}, p_{BD})$$
$$= (pq, p(1-q), (1-p)q, (1-p)(1-q))$$

How to Compute Nash Equilibria of Perfect Recall Game

How can we find an equilibrium of an imperfect information extensive form game?

- One idea: convert to normal-form game
  - General game: exponential blow up in game size
  - Zero-sum game: LP formulation

Nash Equilibrium



	a	r
L	2,2	2,2
M	3,1	0,0
R	0,2	1,1

- (L,r) is a Nash Equilibrium, yet it is not a subgame perfect Nash Equilibrium
- We want to generalize the idea of subgame perfect

Extensive Imperfect Subgame

Definition A subgame of an extensive imperfect game G is some node in the tree G and all the nodes that follow it, with the properties that any information set of G is either completely in or outside the subgame



Subgame Perfect Nash Equilibrium

Definition A subgame perfect Nash equilibrium of an extensive form game G with perfect recall is a outcome of behavior strategies $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_N)$ such that it is a Nash Equilibrium for every subgame

Theorem Every finite extensive game with perfect recall has at least one subgame perfect Nash Equilibrium

How to find SPNE

Backwards Induction

Exercise



- How many SPNE for this game?

Exercise



How many SPNE?