

# Final Exam

## CIT 596 – Algorithms

1. True or False? For each answer provide a short justification.

- (a) If  $G$  is a weighted connected graph, and  $C$  is any cycle in  $G$ , then any minimum spanning tree of  $G$  includes all but one of the edges of  $C$ .

**Answer: False.** Consider the complete graph on 4 vertices, 1,2,3,4 where edges from 1 to each other vertex have weight 1 and edges between any other 2 vertices have weight 2. Then no edge from the cycle (2,3,4) will be in the MST.

- (b) Let  $A$  and  $B$  be decision problems in NP, defined on the same set of inputs. Let  $C$  be another decision problem defined as follows:  $x$  is a YES-instance of  $C$  if and only if it is both a YES-instance of  $A$  and a YES-instance of  $B$ . Then  $C$  is also in NP.

**Answer: True.** A certificate for a YES-instance  $x$  of  $C$  is a concatenation of the certificates showing that  $x$  is a YES-instance of  $A$  and a certificate showing that  $x$  is a YES-instance of  $B$ . The verification algorithm will just verify each of these certificates using the verification algorithms for  $A$  and  $B$ .

- (c) If we use a balanced search tree to maintain a dictionary  $S$ , we can find the minimum element in  $S$  in  $O(1)$  time.

**Answer: False.** In general you will need  $\Omega(\log n)$  steps to find the minimum element.

- (d) In a directed graph if  $e$  is an edge on the shortest path from  $x$  to  $y$ , and it is also on the shortest path from  $u$  to  $v$ , then it is on the shortest path from  $x$  to  $v$ .

**Answer: False.** Consider a graph where there is a direct edge from  $x$  to  $v$ . If you want to think about weighted graphs, make this the edge of lowest weight.

2. The 0-1 INTEGER PROGRAMMING PROBLEM (0-1-IP) is described below.

**Instance:** A set of inequality and equality constraints on a set of variables  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ : The instance consists of some number of such constraints. All the coefficients and right-hand sides in these constraints are integers (positive or negative).

**Question:** Is there a way to assign each variable a value of 0 or 1 to satisfy all the given constraints?

For example, one instance of the problem is

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_7 - x_3 \geq 0$$

$$2x_3 - x_2 + x_5 \geq 1$$

$$x_2 - x_4 + x_6 - x_8 = 0$$

$$3x_1 + x_5 - x_4 = 1$$

This particular instance is a YES-instance because the setting where  $x_5 = 1$  and all the other variables are 0 satisfies all the constraints.

Using the fact that 3-SAT is NP-complete, prove that 0-1-IP is NP-complete.

**Answer:**

**In NP:** Given a Yes-instance of 0-1-IP a certificate would be a setting of the variables that satisfies all the constraints. Given these values for the variables you can verify in polynomial time that it satisfies each of the constraints.

**Reduction:** We reduce from 3-SAT as suggested. Given a 3-SAT formula  $\Phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  with  $m$  clauses, we will create a 0-1-IP instance with  $2n$  variables, one corresponding to each literal in the 3-SAT instance. Specifically, we will let  $y_i$  be the variable in the 0-1-IP instance corresponding to  $x_i$  in the 3-SAT instance, and we will let  $z_i$  be the variable in the 0-1-IP instance corresponding to  $\bar{x}_i$ .

To ensure that exactly one of  $x_i$  and  $\bar{x}_i$  gets set to 1, we add the constraint  $y_i + z_i = 1$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . To ensure that some literal in each clause is set to 1, we add the constraint stating that the sum of the variables corresponding to the 3 literals in a clause is greater than or equal to 1. We write  $m$  such constraints, one for each clause. (If a literal in a clause is an uncomplemented variable  $x_i$ , we use the variable  $y_i$  in the constraint in 0-1-IP, and if a literal is a complemented variable  $\bar{x}_i$ , we use the variable  $z_i$  in the constraint.)

Clearly this reduction can be accomplished in polynomial time. We are producing  $n$  equality constraints and  $m$  inequality constraints, which can each be written down in  $O(1)$  time.

3. You are given a connected, weighted graph  $G = (V, E)$  where all edge weights are non-negative. Your goal is to remove a subset  $S$  of minimum total weight so that the remaining graph  $G' = (V, E - S)$  does not have any cycles. Design an efficient algorithm for doing this. Argue that your algorithm is correct and state its running time.

**Answer:** Since the graph  $G'$  does not have any cycles, the edges in this graph must form a spanning tree or a subset of a spanning tree. Since every edge you remove adds to the weight of the set of edges being removed, we should stop removing edges once we get to a spanning tree. Of course, we want to leave a spanning tree with the greatest total weight of edges possible, since these are the edges we are not removing. Thus, we want to find a maximum spanning tree using a small variant of Kruskal's algorithm say, and remove all the edges not in this tree. The running time is  $O(m \log n)$  from the analysis of Kruskal's algorithm we did.

4. You are given a sorted array  $A$  of  $n$  **distinct integers** and you want to determine if there is an index  $i$  such that  $A[i] = i$ . Design an  $O(\log n)$  time algorithm for this problem and prove it correct.

**Answer:** This algorithm follows the pattern of binary search in choosing which index of  $A$  to probe. It relies on the following fact. Suppose we probe index  $j$ . If  $A[j] < j$ , then for all indices  $k$  less than  $j$ ,  $A[k] < k$ . This follows from the fact that  $A$  is sorted, it contains integers, and these integers are distinct. Thus, for example  $A[j-1] < A[j] < j$ , and these inequalities are strict, implying that  $A[j] \leq j-1$  and  $A[j-1] \leq j-2$ . Extending this reasoning inductively we can prove that for all  $k$  as above,  $A[k] < k$ .

By a symmetric argument, if  $A[j] > j$ , then for all indices  $k > j$ , we have that  $A[k] > k$ .

Thus, the result of probing  $A[j]$  eliminates one half of the array, either the indices less than  $j$  or the indices greater than  $j$ . Using this we can use binary search to find an index  $j$  (if it exists) such that  $A[j] = j$ .

The running time is  $O(\log n)$  from the standard analysis of binary search.

5. Let  $G = (V_1 \cup V_2, E)$  be a bipartite graph with  $|V_1| = |V_2| = n$ . Recall that Hall's Theorem shows that  $G$  has a perfect matching if and only if for every subset  $S \subseteq V_1$ ,  $|\Gamma(S)| \geq |S|$ . Here  $\Gamma(S)$  is the set of neighbors of  $S$ .

Let  $G$  be a bipartite graph as above with  $|V_1| = |V_2|$ . Define the **Hall-deficit**  $H(S)$  of a set  $S \subseteq V_1$  to be 0 if  $|\Gamma(S)| \geq |S|$  and  $|S| - |\Gamma(S)|$  otherwise. Using max flows and min cuts, design an efficient algorithm to find a set  $S \subseteq V_1$  of maximum Hall-deficit in  $G$ . Prove your algorithm correct.

**Answer:**

The algorithm is pretty straightforward. Set up the standard flow network as we do for bipartite matching. Then find the max flow and then the min- $s-t$  cut  $(A, B)$  with  $s \in A$  and  $t \in B$ . Claim: The set  $S = A \cap V_1$  is a set of maximum Hall-deficit.

Proof: Let us take one finite-capacity cut where  $s$  is on one side and all the other vertices are on the other side as the ‘reference’ cut. This cut has capacity  $n$ . For any other cut, we will see how much we ‘save’ on capacity compared to this reference cut. Recall that in any finite-capacity cut  $(C, D)$  if  $T = C \cap V_1$ , then  $\Gamma(T) \subseteq C$ . So, by putting  $T$  in the source-side, we save  $|T|$  in capacity, with respect to the reference cut. But we pay at least  $|\Gamma(T)|$  more than the reference cut, because of edges from  $\Gamma(T)$  to  $t$ . In fact, to minimize what we pay, we should put exactly  $\Gamma(T)$  in  $C$ . The capacity of this cut would be  $n - |T| + |\Gamma(T)|$ , which is exactly  $n$  minus the Hall-deficit of  $T$ . Thus to get a minimum capacity cut, we should choose  $T$  to be a set of maximum Hall-deficit. In other words, the set  $T \subseteq V_1$  on the source-side of a min-capacity cut is a set of maximum Hall-deficit.

The running time of the algorithm is just the usual running time to solve the flow problem. The max-flow possible in this network is  $n$ , and so the basic Ford-Fulkerson method will terminate in  $O(n)$  augmentations, each of which takes  $O(m)$  time, for an overall time of  $O(mn)$ .

6. Weighted directed acyclic graphs are important tools in project management. Suppose you are given such a DAG  $G = (V, E)$  where the weight of an edge  $e$  is  $w(e)$ . There are also two special nodes — a start node  $s$  and a finish node  $t$ . The length  $\ell(P)$  of a path  $P$  is defined in the usual way:  $\ell(P) = \sum_{e \in P} w(e)$ . A *critical path* in  $G$  is a path from  $s$  to  $t$  of greatest total length. (In project management, the weights on the edges may represent the time to complete the task on the edge, and critical paths give a lower bound on how long a project will take.)

- (a) Design an efficient algorithm to find the length of a critical path in  $G$ . Analyze the running time of your algorithm. You do not need to prove it correct.

**Answer:**

One solution is to do a topological sort of  $G$ . For each vertex  $v$ , let  $\ell(v)$  denote the length of the longest path starting at  $v$ . We can initialize  $\ell(t)$  to 0. Then in reverse topological-sort-order we consider each vertex  $v$  and update the longest path from  $v$  as  $\max_{u: (v,u) \in E} (\ell(u) + w(v, u))$ .

Top-sort takes  $O(m + n)$ . Then in the computation of  $\ell(v)$  for all vertices, we go through each edge once.  $\ell(s)$  is the required answer. So this step is also  $O(m + n)$ , which is the overall time bound.

- (b) For an edge  $e$ , define the *slack*,  $s(e)$ , on edge  $e$  to be the amount by which the weight of  $e$  can be increased without increasing the length of the critical path in  $G$ . Design an efficient algorithm that computes  $s(e)$  for a given edge  $e$ . Argue that your algorithm is correct. For this part there is no need to analyze the running time.

**Answer:**

Let  $e = (u, v)$ . Then, first compute  $\ell(v)$  as above. Next in the reversed edge graph, compute the longest path from  $t$  to  $u$  and call this  $\ell'(u)$ . Then the slack of  $(u, v) = \ell(s) - \ell(v) - \ell'(u) - w(u, v)$ , which can be computed in  $O(m + n)$ . To compute the slack of the edge, we are computing the length of the longest path from  $s$  to  $t$  that passes through that edge and then seeing how much less this is than the overall longest path.