## 1 Random forests

Random forest is a versatile machine learning method based on decision trees. One useful feature of random forests is that it is easy to obtain the relative importance of features. This may be used to help better understand what drives classification, and may also be used to reduce the feature set used with minimal reduction in accuracy.

Once again we will re-use our logistic regression model, and replace the model function wit the following three lines:

 $from \ sklearn.ensemble \ import \ RandomForestClassifier \ model = RandomForestClassifier (n\_estimators=10000, random\_state=0, n\_jobs=-1) \ model.fit \ (X,y)$ 

We will also add a function to print out relative importance of features. These are obtained using <code>jem;model.feature\_importances\_i/em;</code>.

Unlike many other methods, random forests do not need data to be normalised (though it won't cause any problems if you do).

```
# import required modules
from sklearn import datasets
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
def calculate_diagnostic_performance (actual_predicted):
    """ Calculate diagnostic performance.
    Takes a Numpy array of 1 and zero, two columns: actual and predicted
    Note that some statistics are repeats with different names
    (precision = positive_predictive_value and recall = sensitivity).
    Both names are returned
    Returns a dictionary of results:
    1) accuracy: proportion of test results that are correct
    2) sensitivity: proportion of true +ve identified
    3) specificity: proportion of true -ve identified
    4) positive likelihood: increased probability of true +ve if test +ve
    5) negative likelihood: reduced probability of true +ve if test -ve
    6) false positive rate: proportion of false +ves in true -ve patients
    7) false negative rate: proportion of false -ves in true +ve patients
    8) positive predictive value: chance of true +ve if test +ve
    9) negative predictive value: chance of true -ve if test -ve
    10) precision = positive predictive value
    11) recall = sensitivity
    12) f1 = (2 * precision * recall) / (precision + recall)
    13) positive rate = rate of true +ve (not strictly a performance measure)
    # Calculate results
    actual_positives = actual_predicted[:, 0] == 1
    actual_negatives = actual_predicted[:, 0] == 0
    test_positives = actual_predicted[:, 1] == 1
    test_negatives = actual_predicted[:, 1] == 0
    test_correct = actual_predicted[:, 0] == actual_predicted[:, 1]
    accuracy = np.average(test_correct)
```

true\_positives = actual\_positives & test\_positives

```
true_negatives = actual_negatives & test_negatives
    sensitivity = np.sum(true_positives) / np.sum(actual_positives)
    specificity = np.sum(true_negatives) / np.sum(actual_negatives)
    positive_likelihood = sensitivity / (1 - specificity)
    negative_likelihood = (1 - sensitivity) / specificity
    false_positive_rate = 1 - specificity
    false_negative_rate = 1 - sensitivity
    positive_predictive_value = np.sum(true_positives) / np.sum(test_positives)
    negative_predictive_value = np.sum(true_negatives) / np.sum(test_negatives)
    precision = positive_predictive_value
    recall = sensitivity
    f1 = (2 * precision * recall) / (precision + recall)
    positive_rate = np.mean(actual_predicted[:,1])
    # Add results to dictionary
   performance = {}
    performance['accuracy'] = accuracy
    performance['sensitivity'] = sensitivity
    performance['specificity'] = specificity
    performance['positive_likelihood'] = positive_likelihood
    performance['negative_likelihood'] = negative_likelihood
    performance['false_positive_rate'] = false_positive_rate
    performance['false_negative_rate'] = false_negative_rate
    performance['positive_predictive_value'] = positive_predictive_value
    performance['negative_predictive_value'] = negative_predictive_value
    performance['precision'] = precision
    performance['recall'] = recall
    performance['f1'] = f1
   performance['positive_rate'] = positive_rate
    return performance
def load_data ():
    """Load the data set. Here we load the Breast Cancer Wisconsin (Diagnostic)
    Data Set. Data could be loaded from other sources though the structure
    should be compatible with thi sdata set, that is an object with the
    following attribtes:
        .data (holds feature data)
        .feature_names (holds feature titles)
        .target_names (holds outcome classification names)
        .target (holds classification as zero-based number)
        .DESCR (holds text-based description of data set)"""
    data_set = datasets.load_breast_cancer()
    return data_set
def normalise (X_train, X_test):
    """Normalise X data, so that training set has mean of zero and standard
    deviation of one"""
    # Initialise a new scaling object for normalising input data
    sc=StandardScaler()
    # Set up the scaler just on the training set
    sc.fit(X_train)
    # Apply the scaler to the training and test sets
    X_train_std=sc.transform(X_train)
    X_test_std=sc.transform(X_test)
    return X_train_std, X_test_std
```

```
def print_diagnostic_results (performance):
    """Iterate through, and print, the performance metrics dictionary"""
    print('\nMachine learning diagnostic performance measures:')
    print('-----')
    for key, value in performance.items():
        print (key,'= %0.3f' %value) # print 3 decimal places
    return
def print_feaure_importances (model, features):
   print ()
   print ('Feature importances:')
   print ('----')
    df = pd.DataFrame()
    df['feature'] = features
    df['importance'] = model.feature_importances_
    df = df.sort_values('importance', ascending = False)
    print (df)
    return
def split_data (data_set, split=0.25):
    """Extract X and y data from data_set object, and split into tarining and
    test data. Split defaults to 75% training, 25% test if not other value
    passed to function"""
    X=data_set.data
    y=data_set.target
    X_train,X_test,y_train,y_test=train_test_split(
       X,y,test_size=split, random_state=0)
    return X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test
def test_model(model, X, y):
    """Return predicted y given X (attributes)"""
    y_pred = model.predict(X)
    test_results = np.vstack((y, y_pred)).T
    return test_results
def train_model (X, y):
    """Train the model """
    from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier
    model = RandomForestClassifier(n_estimators=10000,
                               random_state=0,
                               n_{jobs}=-1)
    model.fit (X,y)
    return model
##### Main code ######
# Load data
data_set = load_data()
# Split data into training and test sets
X_train,X_test,y_train,y_test = split_data(data_set, 0.25)
# Normalise data (not needed for Random Forests)
```

```
# X_train_std, X_test_std = normalise(X_train, X_test)
# Train model
model = train_model(X_train, y_train)
# Produce results for test set
test_results = test_model(model, X_test, y_test)
# Measure performance of test set predictions
performance = calculate_diagnostic_performance(test_results)
# Print performance metrics
print_diagnostic_results(performance)
# Print feature importances
print_feaure_importances (model, data_set.feature_names)
OUT:
```

## Machine learning diagnostic performance measures:

-----

accuracy = 0.972 sensitivity = 0.967 specificity = 0.981 positive\_likelihood = 51.233 negative\_likelihood = 0.034 false\_positive\_rate = 0.019 false\_negative\_rate = 0.033 positive\_predictive\_value = 0.989 negative\_predictive\_value = 0.945 precision = 0.989 recall = 0.967 f1 = 0.978 positive\_rate = 0.615

## Feature importances:

-----

	£ + · · · · ·	
	feature	importance
22	worst perimeter	0.128502
7	mean concave points	0.122821
27	worst concave points	0.121434
23	worst area	0.102040
20	worst radius	0.099788
6	mean concavity	0.054834
2	mean perimeter	0.046540
3	mean area	0.039068
13	area error	0.039064
0	mean radius	0.036952
26	worst concavity	0.034057
25	worst compactness	0.017705
10	radius error	0.016905
21	worst texture	0.016596
1	mean texture	0.014762
5	mean compactness	0.013044
12	perimeter error	0.012706
24	worst smoothness	0.012666
28	worst symmetry	0.012059
29	worst fractal dimension	0.006879

16	concavity error	0.006393
4	mean smoothness	0.005968
17	concave points error	0.005948
19	fractal dimension error	0.005639
11	texture error	0.005319
15	compactness error	0.005317
8	mean symmetry	0.004822
18	symmetry error	0.004448
14	smoothness error	0.004105
9	mean fractal dimension	0.003617