

THE VOLUME IS WRONG!

GME REPORTED VOLUME HAS BEEN DIVIDED
BY 10 SINCE JANUARY 8



HODL

U/THABAT

The Volume is Wrong! - GME reported volume has been divided by 10 since January 8th.

Speculation / Opinion

Hiiii Apey frens!!!

I um... I found a thingy. It's speculation based on data, and probably crazy but pretty mind blowing if true.

TL;DR upfront:

They have been hiding GME's volume, dividing it by 10 this whole time. That's why we have record low volumes constantly. The volume isn't dry, it's shy.

With the TL;DR out of the way, let me tell you what lead me to even do the calculation..

While looking at historical options data, I found something.

I noticed a pattern starting January 8th.

On January 8th the open interest combined for all contracts was 50,614.

3 days later, trading volume shoots up to 144,501,700 shares.

The pattern I noticed is that open interest / volume 3 days later on a price spike is usually *roughly* the same.

Here's some cool tables:

January 8th	January 22nd	February 19th
Calls: 36410	Calls: 116947	Calls: 131887
Puts: 14204	Puts: 113099	Puts: 412346
Combined: 50614	Combined: 230046	Combined: 544233
Volume 3 days later: 144,501,700	Volume 3 days later: 93,396,700	Volume 4 days later: 150,308,800
OE / V: 28.549749	OE / V: 1.7161160752839317	OE / V: 2.7618464885444287

March 5th	October 29th
Calls: 145252	Calls: 37497
Puts: 151392	Puts: 12345
Combined: 296644	Combined: 49842
Volume 3 days later: 71570600	Volume 3 days later: 11,258,900
OE / V: 2.412676474157576	OE / V: 2.258918

Disclaimer: It takes me so long to gather this data in this format. Like an hour per date because of the way the API I'm using is set up. If anyone has a faster way to check, by all means check and post validation in the comments or debunk it. But because it happens multiple times, I'm gonna just assume it's roughly the same for the rest because it's not ALL that important, it's just the thing that got me thinking.

Look at OE / V. That's the combined Open Interest divided by the volume of the jump 3 to 4 days later.

WHY I decided to do that calculation? Idk I was just dividing shit trying to find patterns lmao This seems like one so I ran with it.

Jan 13th it's 28.5. It's on average 2 to 2.5 after that.

Since February, GME has not hit 100M+ volume once. But yet it's had roughly the same OE / V and roughly the same sort of price increases. Swaps and shit causing big jumps. BUT the volume has been consistently low compared to January.

This stuck out as strange to me. It made no sense.

100-150 million volume was normal for a jump before March. Then it just kept acting weird.

The thing that really made me wonder was... How the fuck was GME the most trending stock on Nov 3rd, a magnificent run from 214 to 255, but yet only 11 million volume?

I mean it could just be volume is dry as fuck but... idk..

And so I looked more closely at Jan 8th. The only time OE / V was massive. 28.5.

28.5 compared to the 2.5 average is weird.

Then it hit me.. What if the volume this entire time starting after Jan 8th has been divided by 10?

If you do that, the OE / V lines up closely with Jan 8th's 28.5 number. 1.7 turns to 17. 2.76 turns to 27.6 etc etc, recently 2.25 turns to 22.5. Idk? It looks like it matches.

And if you do that, 11.25M volume looks relatively like a normal jump. 112.58M.

It's just speculation but it really kinda puts things in perspective considering the massive amounts of naked shorts flying around.

That's when I remembered Citadel saying 7.4B and I went to read specifically what they said which was:

"On January 27th, numerous retail brokerage firms imposed restrictions on the trading of certain "meme stocks" due to capital constraints, liquidity concerns and other commercial reasons. "

"Citadel Securities never requested, intimated, agreed or otherwise sought to limit or to restrict the trading of such securities. On January 27th, we executed an astonishing 7.4 billion shares on behalf of retail investors. "

List of stonkies restricted:

TICKER



SNDL

NAKD

AMC

AAL

NOK

BBBY

BB

GME

EXPR

CTRM

TRVG

KOSS

TR

And so Citadel says:

"During this volatile period, Citadel Securities was the ONLY major market maker that executed buy and sell orders for individual investors without limitations. "

To me, what they're saying is there were a bunch of stocks restricted. And **of those stocks restricted**, they executed buy and sell orders without limitations. And the total number of executed shares was **7.4B**.

They could probably lawyer their way out of it, but that's what they're implying.

But I said to myself **"Self... That means if you add up the volume of all of them, it should be around 7.4B. And if my theory is correct, it's gonna come up short. And I'm willing to bet if I 10x GME's volume it'll match."**

Dead ass I said this to myself right before I set off to calculate the total. My heart pounding wondering if I was right. Or probably because I've been up for too long and need sleep, who knows lmao

But as I kept adding up the shares, it was inching closer. 1B, 3B, 5B.. At this point I'm like well maybe I'm wrong.. It'll probably add up to 7.4B. Oh wel-

NOPE!

6,671,542,157 shares.

"Holy shit.." I said.

7.4B - 6,671,542,157 = **728,457,843 missing shares**. DOES NOT COMPUTE. Citadel lieeeeeees.

At this point I was like no fucking way...

So I took out GME's number from the calculation.

GME's volume on Jan 27th was 93,396,700.

$$6,671,542,157 - 93,396,700 = 6,578,145,457$$

$$93,396,700 \times 10 = \mathbf{933,967,000}$$

$$6,578,145,457 + 933,967,000 = \mathbf{7,512,112,457}$$



Could it be true? What the actual fuck?

It can't be dark pool because on just **one** of the stonkies alone the volume was over 1B on the dark pool.

It makes no sense, they wouldn't execute 6.6B shares on lit exchanges and somehow need to make up 728M on dark pool. It's a small percentage and they're basically bragging about their limitless naked shorting capabilities on lit exchanges so 728M is nothing for them *SUPPOSEDLY*

The fact that A. I suspected this actual thing (*10x GME's volume to get to ~7.4B*) would happen and B. This actual thing fucking happened.... leads me to conclude the volume since January 8th has been divided somehow by 10. Everything just lines up too perfectly if you add that factor in.

Maybe I'm wrong. Maybe there's another explanation. Maybe it's a glitch. Maybe they meant all of retail instead of just the "such stocks" they mentioned right before they said 7.4B.

Who knows. But it suuuuuure is a weeeeeeeird coincidence that when you 10x GME's volume it's basically what they said they executed.

If I'm wrong, plz don't crucify me LOL I took time to write this and if the pattern holds with me writing DD, this at the very least will spark someone's creative juices and something good will come of it.

Speculation as to why? If this is true? Well for one, 100M volume + huge run ups = FOMO.

So.. they would not want FOMO which would add more volume they can't handle like in January.

And 2. Let's say randomly 2B shares pop up on volume.. That would be kinda like... yeah naked shorts obviously. And SEC wouldn't be able to pretend they don't know what's going on.

I mean the only way this would be possible is if there was some sort of huge collusion between all the large players so they don't lose all their wealth. And idk that seems ridiculous and conspiracy/tin foil right?Right?.....

There definitely is motive to do it, if they have the ability. Which they probably do. Simple calculation. Divide by 10 and lie.

Anyway I got to get some sleep, hope you guys are doing well. No matter the case, GME is MOASS and blablabla HODL!!

