## leta-analysis of right versus left (studies with KRAS mutated patients stratified by type of treatment

Author	logHR logH	IR (SE)	95% CI	Hazard ratio		Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
Subgroup Treatment = PRIME PEAK 20050181 AIO KRK0104 CAIRO-3 Fixed effect model Random effects mode Heterogeneity: τ² = 0.0314	0.16 0.81 -0.17 0.26 0.27	0.1629 [0. 0.4831 [0. 0.1445 [0. 0.3153 [0. 0.1494 [0. [0.9]	.85; 1.61] .87; 5.77] .63; 1.11] .70; 2.41]		1.17 2.24 0.84 1.30 1.31 1.12	1.1% 12.8% 2.7% 12.0% 38.7%	10.1% 1.9% 11.4% 4.1% 11.1%  38.7%
Subgroup Treatment = PEAK TRIBE AIO KRK0207 Fixed effect model Random effects mode Heterogeneity: τ² = 0.1123	1.03 0.17 0.06	0.4992 [1. 0.1692 [0. 0.1396 [0. [0.4]	.05; 7.45] .85; 1.66]		- 2.80 1.19 1.06 1.16 1.30	1.1% 9.3% 13.7% 24.1%	1.8% 9.7% 11.8%  23.4%
Subgroup Treatment = PRIME 20050181 Kamran Fixed effect model Random effects mode Heterogeneity: τ² = 0.0128	0.09 0.38 0.49	0.1538 [0. 0.1497 [1. 0.2213 [1. [1.	.09; 1.96]		1.09 1.46 1.63 1.33 1.34	11.3% 11.9% 5.5% <b>28.7%</b>	10.8% 11.1% 7.0%  28.8%
Subgroup Treatment = OPTIMOX3 DREAM Fixed effect model Random effects mode Heterogeneity: not applica	0.44	0.1780 [1. [1.	.10; 2.21] 10; 2.21] 10; 2.21]		1.56 1.56 1.56	8.4% 8.4% 	9.2%  9.2%
Fixed effect model Random effects mode Prediction interval Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.0228$ Residual heterogeneity: $\chi^2$	$3, \chi_{11}^2 = 17.99$ (	p = 0.08	10; 1.35] 09; 1.43] 86; 1.81]	0.2 0.5 1 2 5	1.22 1.25	100.0% 	 100.0%