

Accepted Manuscript

Title: Perceived stress correlates with visceral obesity and lipid parameters of the metabolic syndrome: a systematic review and meta- analysis

Authors: Judit Tenk, Péter Mátrai, Péter Hegyi, Ildikó Rostás, András Garami, Imre Szabó, Petra Hartmann, Erika Pétervári, László Czopf, Alizadeh Hussain, Mária Simon, Szabina Szujó, Márta Balaskó



PII: S0306-4530(17)31586-X
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2018.05.014>
Reference: PNEC 3930

To appear in:

Received date: 6-12-2017
Revised date: 10-5-2018
Accepted date: 10-5-2018

Please cite this article as: Tenk J, Mátrai P, Hegyi P, Rostás I, Garami A, Szabó I, Hartmann P, Pétervári E, Czopf L, Hussain A, Simon M, Szujó S, Balaskó M, Perceived stress correlates with visceral obesity and lipid parameters of the metabolic syndrome: a systematic review and meta- analysis, *Psychoneuroendocrinology* (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2018.05.014>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Perceived stress correlates with visceral obesity and lipid parameters of the metabolic syndrome: a systematic review and meta- analysis

Judit Tenk¹, Péter Mátrai^{1,2}, Péter Hegyi^{1,3,4}, Ildikó Rostás¹, András Garami¹, Imre Szabó⁵, Petra Hartmann⁶, Erika Pétervári¹, László Czopf⁷, Alizadeh Hussain⁸, Mária Simon⁹, Szabina Szujó⁸, Márta Balaskó^{1*}

¹Institute for Translational Medicine, Medical School, University of Pécs, Pécs, Hungary

²Institute of Bioanalysis, Medical School, University of Pécs, Pécs, Hungary

³Department of Translational Medicine, University of Pécs, Pécs, Hungary

⁴Hungarian Academy of Sciences - University of Szeged, Momentum Gastroenterology

Multidisciplinary Research Group, Szeged, Hungary

⁵Department of Gastroenterology, First Department of Internal Medicine, University of Pécs, Pécs, Hungary

⁶Institute of Surgical Research, University of Szeged, Szeged, Hungary

⁷Department of Cardiology, First Department of Medicine, University of Pécs, Pécs, Hungary

⁸Department of Hematology, First Department of Medicine, University of Pécs, Pécs, Hungary

⁹Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Medical School, University of Pécs, Pécs, Hungary

Submitted to: **PSYCHONEUROENDOCRINOLOGY**

Corresponding author:

Márta Balaskó, M.D., Ph.D.

Institute for Translational Medicine

Medical School, University of Pécs

12 Szigeti str., Pécs, H-7624, Hungary

Phone: +36-72-536-246; Fax: +36-72-536-247

e-mail: marta.balasko@aok.pte.hu

Highlights

- Perceived stress shows correlation with visceral obesity and dyslipidemia.
- Perceived stress appears to be associated with increased diastolic blood pressure.
- Job-stress also correlates with higher fasting glucose level.
- Metabolic syndrome does not correlate with perceived stress of patients.

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Perceived stress has been proposed as a risk factor of metabolic syndrome. However, correlations between perceived stress and parameters of the metabolic syndrome have not been properly analyzed despite extensive research data on the topic. Our current meta-analysis aimed to examine the mutual association between perceived stress of patients and parameters of metabolic syndrome.

METHODS: This systematic review has been registered on the PROSPERO database (registration number CRD42017055293). Eligible studies divided participants based on their stress level or on the presence of metabolic syndrome. They reported at least one parameter of the metabolic syndrome or the stress level of the participants measured with some stress scale. Data from 17 articles met the eligibility criteria and were included. Random effects model with the DerSimonian and Laird weighting methods was applied. I-squared indicator and Q test were performed to assess heterogeneity.

RESULTS: Although the majority of individual studies failed to demonstrate correlations between stress and their analyzed parameters of metabolic syndrome, our meta-analysis showed a significant association between stress and BMI [average effect size (ES) with 95% confidence interval (95%CI), ES = 0.65, 95%CI 0.16, 1.14], waist circumference (ES = 1.84 cm, 95%CI 0.79, 2.89) and serum triglyceride level (ES = 7.52 mg/dl, 95%CI 0.07, 14.96). Additional analysis confirmed effects of stress on serum HDL (ES = - 1.699 mg/dl, 95%CI -2.966, -0.432) and diastolic blood pressure (ES = 1.04

mmHg, 95%CI 0.18, 1.89). No correlations were found for fasting glucose or systolic blood pressure. No association between metabolic syndrome and stress level of patients was detected either.

CONCLUSION: The potentially key role of visceral obesity in the association between perceived stress and dyslipidemia or diastolic blood pressure are discussed together with potential moderators (e.g. gender-differences, variations in stress assessment and metabolic syndrome criteria) that may explain the inconsistent, contradictory results of the individual studies.

Keywords: perceived stress, metabolic syndrome, dyslipidemia, visceral obesity

1. INTRODUCTION

Stress is regarded as one of the major public health challenges of the 21st century. Psychological stress has been suggested as a risk factor that may prospectively predict metabolic syndrome (Aschbacher et al., 2014; Pyykkonen et al., 2010). Other studies have also indicated that life stress may lead to metabolic syndrome (Steptoe and Kivimäki, 2013). A cross-sectional study of radiologists demonstrated that professionals characterized by elevated levels of stress had a significantly higher risk of metabolic syndrome than colleagues with lower stress (Magnavita and Fileni, 2014).

Metabolic syndrome was defined by the World Health Organization as a complex group of symptoms that includes insulin resistance or high serum glucose level and any two of the following abnormalities: visceral obesity, dyslipidemia [high serum triglyceride and low high-density lipoprotein (HDL)], hypertension or microalbuminuria (Alberti and Zimmet, 1998). By now, there are various definitions for metabolic syndrome established by the US National Cholesterol Education Program: Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP/ATP III), the International Diabetes Federation (IDF) or the American Heart Association/National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute (AHA/NHLBI), among others (Alberti et al., 2005, Alberti and Zimmet, 2006, Parikh and Mohan, 2012). However, all groups predict an increase in the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome due to the increasing body mass index (BMI)

and the progressive aging of Western society (Ervin, 2009). Currently, the prevalence of metabolic syndrome among adults has already exceeded 20% in many industrially developed countries (Balkau et al., 2003). With regard to the concept of metabolic syndrome some concerns have been raised. Some of the parameters, e.g. body mass index (BMI) or waist circumference (WC) show high heterogeneity, as they are influenced by a wide variety of factors from gender to caloric intake, the composition of the diet (e.g. high-fat and/or high-carbohydrate diets), physical activity or psychological stress inducing preference for high-fat or high-refined carbohydrate food (for reviews see Skolnik and Ryan, 2014, Harris, 2015). Other researchers questioned the clinical utility of this term. Their meta-analysis showed that metabolic syndrome (regardless of the definition used) conveyed no additional predictive information beyond its components (Iribarren et al., 2006). However, this syndrome has been highlighted as a major socioeconomic problem throughout the world and it is still widely used in clinical research.

Based on the complex pathomechanisms of stress, a correlation between psychological stress and metabolic syndrome appears to be justified. In addition to the activation of the sympathetic nervous system and the adrenal medulla with consequent catecholamine release, stress also activates the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis and increases blood cortisol levels (Seematter et al., 2004). Several studies confirmed that perceived stress shows a strong correlation with blood cortisol (Borráz-Leon et al., 2017; Dickerson and Kemeny, 2004; Kurina et al., 2004). Both catecholamines and cortisol increase blood glucose level and induce insulin resistance, major factors in metabolic syndrome. Psychological stress has also been demonstrated to induce the release of chemokines, such as monocyte chemoattractant protein (Deak et al., 2015) and inflammatory cytokines, such as interleukin-1 beta and interleukin-6 (Steptoe et al., 2001, Seematter et al., 2004, Speaker and Fleshner, 2012) that induce insulin resistance promoting the development of metabolic syndrome (Grimble, 2002). Potential pathomechanisms of stress-induced inflammation include activation of the sympathetic nervous system (with possible simultaneous inhibition of the parasympathetic nervous system) and activation of transcription factor NF- κ B (Tracey, 2002, Bierhaus et al., 2003). Both inflammation and stress-induced mitochondrial changes have been demonstrated to increase oxidative stress, which in turn contributes to insulin resistance leading to metabolic syndrome (Picard et al., 2015). Animal experiments identified

other stress-induced factors that are likely to mediate the effects of stress on metabolic syndrome outcomes include neuropeptide Y (Kuo et al., 2007), leptin (Chuang et al., 2010), ghrelin (Patterson et al., 2013), growth hormone, growth factors (Jezova et al., 2007) or reduced brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) level (Nakagawa et al., 2003), among other potential mediators. The increase in growth hormone and growth factors and the decrease in BDNF have been demonstrated to promote insulin resistance (Nakagawa et al., 2003, Seematter et al., 2004, Jezova et al., 2007). Behavioral pathways may also mediate the effects of stress on metabolic syndrome (Harris, 2015). Stress has diverse effects on food intake. Restrained eaters and overweight individuals tend to eat more under stressful circumstances, while other individuals rather skip meals (Weinstein et al., 1997, Harris, 2015).

The above summarized mechanisms suggest an association between psychological stress and metabolic syndrome, however, to date, correlations between perceived stress and parameters of the metabolic syndrome have not been properly confirmed.

The possibility of a reciprocal association between metabolic syndrome and stress has been raised by Raikönnen and coworkers. In a longitudinal study, the presence of metabolic syndrome at baseline predicted increasing anger and anxiety more than 7 years later (Raikönnen et al., 2002). However, to date, no clear association between metabolic syndrome and stress has been established.

Based on previous findings of the literature, we hypothesized that a strong correlation exists between individual parameters of the metabolic syndrome and the perceived stress level of patients. We also aimed to test the reciprocal relationship, the potential association between metabolic syndrome and perceived stress level of patients.

2. METHODS

2.1. Search strategy

We performed this study following principles of the PRISMA statement (Shamseer et al., 2015) and the final protocol was registered on the international prospective register of systematic reviews (PROSPERO registration number: CRD42017055293). It is available at the

https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/display_record.asp?ID=CRD42017055293 website. Search was conducted up to June 2017 in the following databases: PubMed, Embase and Cochrane Library. In addition we manually searched the reference lists of all identified relevant articles. Search terms included 'chronic stress', 'stress scale', 'chronic distress', 'psychological stress', 'psychological distress', 'perceived stress', 'metabolic syndrome', 'metabolic x syndrome', 'x syndrome'. The search was restricted to English language articles and human studies with adult individuals (over 18 years of age).

2.2. Study selection and data extraction

In the present meta-analysis we aimed to answer to the following questions: 1. Does perceived stress level show correlation with metabolic syndrome? 2. Does the presence of metabolic syndrome show association with perceived stress level? Based on these reciprocal questions two authors (J.T., I.R.) independently performed study selection by two sets of inclusion criteria as indicated below (2.2.1.). No supplementary information was obtained from investigators of the original clinical studies, only published data were used.

2.2.1. Investigation of the correlation between stress level and parameters of metabolic syndrome and that of the reciprocal association between metabolic syndrome and perceived stress level

To reveal the potential correlation between perceived stress and metabolic syndrome the following inclusion criteria were used: 1) the study examined the relationship between stress and metabolic syndrome; 2) stress level was assessed by stress scales (such as Perceived Stress Scale (PSS, Cohen et al. 1983)); 3) participants were divided based on their stress level into low-stress and high-stress groups; 4) at least one parameter of the metabolic syndrome (body mass index (BMI), waist circumference, insulin level, glycated hemoglobin, 2-h value of the oral glucose tolerance test, fasting glucose, high-density lipoprotein (HDL), low-density lipoprotein (LDL), triglyceride (TG), total cholesterol, systolic and/or diastolic blood pressure) was reported; and 5) it was possible to extract the measured values for each group.

Articles were excluded for one or more of the following reasons: 1) the article focused on and divided individuals based on another problem, disease or exposure, such as disturbances of the HPA axis, oxidative stress, depression, childhood trauma, burnout, coronary heart disease, anxiety, eating disorders, renal failure, HIV disease or exercise tests; 2) it did not examine the relationship between stress and metabolic syndrome; 3) only data adjusted for different factors were published; 4) only special regression models were reported; 5) the record was a review article or a conference abstract. Following detailed screening of full-text articles, thirteen of them fulfilled the above mentioned criteria and were included in the quantitative synthesis (Fig. 1, Table 1A).

For the testing of the reciprocal association, we included studies that 1) divided participant groups based on the absence or presence of metabolic syndrome; 2) stress level was measured with some stress scale in an extractable form. Altogether four articles met these criteria and they were included in the meta-analysis (Fig. 1, Table 1B).

2.3. Data extraction and quality assessment

The following pieces of information were recorded for each study: first author's name, publication year, number of participants, gender, age, applied criteria for the metabolic syndrome, applied stress scale, mean values of parameters of the metabolic syndrome with standard deviations (BMI, waist circumference, HDL, LDL, TG, total cholesterol, systolic and diastolic blood pressure and fasting glucose). Regarding assessment of risk of bias for each study the nine-star Newcastle- Ottawa Scale adapted for cross-sectional (Herzog et al., 2013) and cohort studies (Wells et al. 2000) was applied and we also used the GRADE score to evaluate overall data quality (Guyatt et al., 2008). Quality assessment was undertaken independently by two authors (J.T., I.R.). Moreover, due to weighting methods, data with low participant numbers were assigned with lower weights during the analysis.

2.4. Statistical analysis

We used random effect models in each of the meta-analyses calculating with the DerSimonian and Laird weighting method. Regarding summary measures (PRISMA, (Shamseer et al. 2015)), analyses demonstrated differences of means with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) from studies that contained

data for stressed and non-stressed (control) groups or for those with and without metabolic syndrome, as well. We have also conducted subgroup analyses based on the fact, whether global stress scale or job-related stress scale was applied by the analyzed studies. We used the meta-regression model to explore the potential moderating role of gender (female ratio) with regard to the effect sizes, i.e. the correlations between perceived stress and parameters of metabolic syndrome. In each case, we tested the whole model (simultaneously hypothesized that all coefficients are zero) and reported the p values of the z-tests. To assess, whether the heterogeneity observed among effect sizes could be attributed to random chance or other factors may play a determining role (e.g. different clinical methods or diverse features of participants), I-squared indicator and Q test were performed. I-squared statistics represents the percentage of effect size heterogeneity that cannot be explained by random chance. If the Q test is significant, it implies that the heterogeneity among effect sizes reported in the observed studies are more diverse than it could be explained only by random error. We considered the Q test significant if $p < 0.1$. To assess the presence of publication bias (small-study effect) we used Egger's test to detect asymmetry in the funnel plot. A significant test result ($p < 0.1$) indicates the existence of such a bias. In case of significant heterogeneity, the Duval and Tweedie's trim and fill algorithm was used. One study reported the data (parameters of metabolic syndrome and stress level) separately for men and women (Söderberg et al., 2012). In this case, it was possible to calculate the variance and the mean of the whole group using the additivity of within-group sum of squares and between-group sum of squares. From the sum of squares variance was calculated. All statistical analyses were performed with Comprehensive Metaanalysis Software (Biostat Inc.) and Stata 11 SE (Stata Corp.).

3. RESULTS

3.1. Characteristics of included studies

3.1.1. General description

The initial search produced 968 records in the three databases and after removing duplicates, 880 articles were identified (Fig.1). Studies used in our meta-analysis dated from 2002 to 2017. Data of

16035 adult individuals were included in our analysis (number of participants ranged from 61 to 5227 per study). The majority of the articles (eleven) involved cross-sectional studies, four articles used prospective cohort design (Garbarino and Magnavita 2015; Kivimaki et al., 2002; Ortega- Montiel et al., 2015; Puustinen et al., 2011). Regarding gender, the majority of the studies (ten articles) contained pooled data of men and women and only one of them distinguished data according to gender. Five articles reported data of male participants and two studies focused only on females. Quality of the individual studies assessed by the Newcastle- Ottawa Scale was relatively high (five to seven stars, Table 1). Due to weighting methods, data with low participant numbers were assigned with lower weights in our analysis. Overall, the quality of the evidence base was downgraded to low, based on the GRADE score (Guyatt et al., 2008).

3.1.2. Characteristics of included studies focusing on the correlation between perceived stress and parameters of metabolic syndrome

The thirteen articles, which investigated the potential correlation between stress and the metabolic syndrome, used different stress scales (Table 1A). Three studies applied the 14-item PSS (Cohen et al. 1983) and one study the 10-item PSS (Cohen and Janicki-Deverts, 2012). Two articles used the General Health Questionnaire (GHQ; Goldberg and Hillier, 1979), three of them determined stress based only on Effort-Reward Imbalance (Siegrist, 1996), one applied both ERI and Job Demand Control (JDC; Karasek, 1979; Siegrist, 1996) and one other combined ERI with Job Demand Control Support (Garbarino and Magnavita 2015). One article worked with the Job Content Questionnaire (JCQ; Karasek et al.; 1988) and one applied a simple set of questions for the evaluation of self-perceived psychological stress (Ortega-Montiel et al., 2015).

Ten studies reported BMI values, but only three of them found significant correlation with perceived stress. Seven studies investigated the relationship between stress and waist circumference, but only three of them found a correlation. Only one study found statistical significance for both parameters, another one for BMI only, whereas no overlap occurred in the rest. Regarding blood pressure, systolic blood pressure was measured in ten articles, the diastolic values were recorded in eight studies. Only

two studies found significant correlation between stress and the systolic and one for the diastolic pressure. Concerning correlation of stress and parameters of dyslipidemia, nine, eight, nine and seven articles compared TG, HDL, total and LDL cholesterol values of high and low-stress groups, respectively. Only one study found significant correlation between perceived stress and all these dyslipidemia parameters, while another one reported correlation between stress versus total and LDL cholesterol. Two of the nine studies found correlation between stress and fasting glucose values. In summary, the majority of the individual studies failed to detect significant effect of stress on parameters of metabolic syndrome.

3.1.3. Characteristics of included studies that focused on the reverse association

Four studies investigated the association between metabolic syndrome and perceived stress level (Table 1B). Three of them assessed stress level with the 14-item PSS, while one used the 8-item GPSS (Cohen et al., 1983). They also applied different criteria for metabolic syndrome. In addition to criteria of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) (Grundy et al., 2004), those of the American Heart Association/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute were also applied (National Institutes of Health, 2001), while one study used modified criteria of the NCEP/ Third Adult Treatment Plane (Grundy et al., 2004; Khan et al., 2015) and another one modified the criteria of the International Diabetes Federation to fit its Taiwanese subjects (Chen et al., 2016; Ministry of Health and Welfare, Taiwan, 2014). Only one of the four studies reported significant differences in stress level between women with and without metabolic syndrome, while the other studies failed to find any difference in either gender.

3.2. Meta-analyses of correlations between perceived stress and parameters of metabolic syndrome

3.2.1. Body mass index and waist circumference

Regarding those ten studies that contained BMI values of high- and low-stress groups, the classic random effect model showed significant positive correlation between perceived stress and BMI (Fig.2), whereas only half of the analyzed individual studies reported significant correlations. Our meta-analysis demonstrated a weighted overall effect size (ES) = 0.65 with 95% CI: 0.16, 1.14 $p = 0.01$. Heterogeneity

of the data was high: I-squared = 94.2%, $p = 0.001$, indicating the presence of other necessary determining factors in the background. The significant Egger's test showed small-study effect ($p < 0.01$). Based on the Duval and Tweedie's trim and fill algorithm, one additional study was needed to balance the asymmetry. This method yielded the adjusted value of 0.56 (95% CI: 0.079, 1.042, $p = 0.02$) that also shows significant correlation. When we compare the adjusted value (0.56) with the original one (0.65), it implies that the small-study effect contributed only to a small extent to our original results. Subgroup analysis based on global and job-specific stress scales showed a positive correlation between job-specific stress and BMI: ES = 0.41 with 95% CI: 0.26, 0.57 $p = 0.0001$. Heterogeneity in this subgroup was low: I-squared = 10.0%, $p = 0.349$. For global stress, no significant correlation was found. No difference was detected between the ES values of subgroups $p = 0.456$. Meta-regression did not show association between the female-male ratio and the ES ($p = 0.755$).

For waist circumference (WC), an indicator of visceral obesity, our meta-analysis yielded statistical evidence for a positive correlation with the level of perceived stress (Fig. 3), despite the lack of significant correlation reported by most individual studies (four of seven). Weighted overall ES = 1.84 with 95% CI: 0.79, 2.89 was accompanied by a high level of significance ($p = 0.001$). Heterogeneity of the data was high: I-squared = 78.4%, $p = 0.001$. Significant results of the Egger's test ($p < 0.1$) suggest a significant contribution of the small-study effect, despite the fact that the Duval and Tweedie's trim and fill algorithm did not add any additional new item to balance the asymmetry. Subgroup analysis confirmed significant correlations between both global and job-specific stress modalities and WC. For global stress: ES = 1.21 with 95% CI: 0.54, 1.88 $p = 0.0001$. For job-specific stress: ES = 3.38 with 95% CI: 1.15, 5.60 $p = 0.003$. The correlation appeared to be stronger for job-specific stress but the difference between subgroups did not reach statistical significance ($p = 0.068$). Meta-regression showed a negative association between the female-male ratio and the ES ($p = 0.020$). These results suggest that in men there is a stronger correlation between stress and WC.

3.2.2. Lipid parameters

With regard to parameters of dyslipidemia, the correlations between stress and triglyceride levels proved to be significant ($p < 0.05$) (Fig. 4), while that between stress and total cholesterol was on the

border of statistical significance ($p = 0.083$, not shown). In case of HDL cholesterol, the original meta-analysis failed to detect any correlation (Fig. 5), but following application of the Duval and Tweedie's trim and fill algorithm and the addition of three missing studies to establish symmetry, a significant negative correlation between stress and HDL emerged ($p = 0.009$). No correlation was found between stress and LDL cholesterol.

For TG an ES of 7.52 mg/dl, with 95% CI: 0.07, 14.96 with high heterogeneity based on I-squared = 71.6%, $p = 0.001$ were calculated (Fig. 4). Subgroup analysis showed a positive correlation between job-specific stress and TG: ES = 10.12 mg/dl with 95% CI: 0.02, 20.22 $p = 0.048$. Heterogeneity in this subgroup was high: I-squared = 66.30%, $p = 0.018$. For global stress, no significant correlation was found. The difference between subgroups did not reach statistical significance $p = 0.056$. Meta-regression indicated a negative association between the female-male ratio and the ES ($p = 0.023$). These results suggest that the correlation is stronger in men. Small-study effect did not seem to contribute to these results (Egger's test: $p = 0.18$).

For HDL an ES of -0.83 mg/dl with 95% CI -2.13, 0.46 $p = 0.209$ were originally calculated (Fig. 5). The heterogeneity was high based on I-squared = 59.4%, $p = 0.016$. Small-study effect appeared to contribute to these results (Egger's test: $p = 0.007$). Following the trim and fill procedure, new calculations resulted in an ES of -1.699 mg/dl with 95% CI: -2.966, -0.432, $p = 0.009$. Subgroup analysis confirmed a negative correlation between global stress and HDL: ES = -1.34 mg/dl with 95% CI: -2.40, -0.28 $p = 0.013$ with low heterogeneity, but it failed to show any association with job-stress. No difference was detected between the subgroups $p = 0.739$. Meta-regression did not show association between the female-male ratio and the ES ($p = 0.587$).

For total cholesterol, only a tendency for positive association was detected (not shown). An overall ES of 3.91 mg/dl, with 95% CI: -0.52, 8.33 $p = 0.083$ with high heterogeneity based on I-squared = 93.6, $p = 0.001$ were calculated (not shown). Small-study effect did not seem to contribute to these results (Egger's test: $p = 0.65$). Subgroup analysis showed that neither global nor job-specific stress correlated with total cholesterol: ES = 1.28 mg/dl with 95% CI: -5.70, 8.25 $p = 0.72$ for global stress, ES = 5.71 mg/dl with 95% CI: -0.71, 12.13 $p = 0.081$ for job-specific stress. Heterogeneity was high in both subgroups: I-squared = 57.2%, $p = 0.097$ for global, I-squared = 80.8%, $p = 0.001$ for job-

specific. No difference was detected subgroups $p = 0.36$. Meta-regression did not show association with the female-male ratio ($p = 0.204$).

3.2.3. Carbohydrate metabolism

Unfortunately, such parameters of carbohydrate metabolism, as glycated hemoglobin level, values indicating impaired oral glucose tolerance or insulin resistance, were not reported in sufficient quantity in the analyzed studies. With regard to the available data, no overall correlation was found between stress and fasting glucose (FG, $p = 0.364$, not shown). Small-study effect did not seem to contribute to these results (Egger's test: $p=0.25$). However, subgroup analysis revealed that job-specific stress correlated positively with FG: ES = 1.56 mg/dl with 95% CI: 0.76, 2.35 $p = 0.001$. Heterogeneity in this subgroup was low: I-squared = 0.0%, $p = 0.91$. For global stress, no significant correlation was found: ES = -0.30 mg/dl with 95% CI: -2.21, 1.61 $p = 0.759$. Heterogeneity in this subgroup was high: I-squared = 58.1%, $p = 0.049$. The difference between the subgroups did not reach statistical significance $p = 0.079$. Meta-regression demonstrated a negative association between the female-male ratio and the ES ($p = 0.042$). These results suggest that the correlation is stronger in men.

3.2.4. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure

No overall correlation was found between stress and systolic blood pressure in our meta-analysis ($p = 0.271$), however subgroup analysis indicated a surprising negative correlation between global stress and SBP: (ES -1.38 mmHg with 95% CI -1.96, -0.79 $p = 0.001$) (not shown). Low heterogeneity based on I-squared = 0 %, $p = 0.484$ was calculated. Small-study effect also appears to contribute to these results (Egger's test: $p = 0.03$). In the job-specific stress subgroup there was no correlation: ES -0.82 mmHg with 95% CI -3.15, 1.51 $p = 0.492$) (not shown). High heterogeneity based on I-squared = 75.3 %, $p = 0.001$ was calculated. The difference between the subgroups did not reach statistical significance $p = 0.648$. Meta-regression did not show association between the female-male ratio and the ES ($p = 0.771$).

Some overall positive correlation was indicated for stress and diastolic blood pressure. Although our standard analysis (using the ERI method for the evaluation of perceived stress in the study of Söderberg and coworkers (2012)) failed to show any correlation for stress and DBP ($p=0.428$), but a

slightly modified analysis (using the JDC test in the same study) could detect positive correlation between these factors (ES 1.04 mmHg with 95% CI 0.18, 1.89 $p = 0.018$) (not shown). Low heterogeneity based on I-squared = 0 %, $p = 0.454$ was calculated. Small-study effect also appears to contribute to these results (Egger's test: $p = 0.01$). Subgroup analysis indicated a positive correlation between global stress and DBP: ES 1.49 mmHg with 95% CI 0.11, 2.88 $p = 0.034$ (not shown). Low heterogeneity based on I-squared = 0 %, $p = 0.516$ was calculated. No correlation was found for job-specific stress: ES -0.07 mmHg with 95% CI -1.68, 1.55 $p = 0.934$ (not shown). High heterogeneity based on I-squared = 53.6 %, $p = 0.091$ was calculated. The difference between subgroups was not significant ($p = 0.15$). Meta-regression did not show association with the female-male ratio ($p = 0.635$).

3.3. Meta-analysis of the association between metabolic syndrome and perceived stress

Based on those four studies that reported stress levels of individuals with and without metabolic syndrome, the classic random effects model failed to show any association between metabolic syndrome and perceived stress level. Weighted overall ES was 0.013 with 95% CI (-0.212, 0.238), $p = 0.909$. Heterogeneity of the data was high: I-squared = 79.5%, $p = 0.002$. No small-study effect was detected by the Egger's test.

4. DISCUSSION

Our present study aimed to review the literature on the potential correlation between perceived stress as indicated by various questionnaires and parameters of the metabolic syndrome complemented by a meta-analysis of the available human data. We intended to identify possible explanations for previous contradictory findings and to suggest new methodological approaches for future clinical studies.

4.1. Correlation between stress, visceral obesity and dyslipidemia

Our results confirmed that higher stress shows significant correlation with increases in BMI (Fig. 2) and increased waist circumference (WC) (Fig. 3), indicating visceral obesity. Thus, our findings are in agreement with previous reports that describe a similar relationship between stress and visceral

obesity (Brunner et al., 2007; Magnavita, 2015; Marniemi et al., 2002) and contradict some Scandinavian studies that failed to show such a correlation (Jönsson et al., 1999). High heterogeneity of the data indicated the involvement of important moderators of these correlations. Further analysis revealed that the correlation of job-specific stress with BMI and WC was stronger and showed low heterogeneity (as compared with global stress). Moreover, the correlation for WC appeared to be stronger for men. This observation suggests that the association between job stress and metabolic outcomes may be stronger among men. It appears that work stress is more likely to influence their metabolic syndrome profile for reasons that are yet to be determined.

Job-specific stress is also closely linked to an effort-reward imbalance or reward deficiency that is a core theory with regard to the literature of overeating (van de Giessen et al., 2012, Verbeken et al., 2012). Mason and coworkers demonstrated that for obese individuals receiving different life-style interventions, correction of the reward imbalance was a significant mediator, while a general reduction of the global stress score was not (Mason et al., 2016). The individual differences in the readiness to consume high-fat and/or high-refined carbohydrate foods may also contribute to the high heterogeneity of data (Weinstein et al., 1997, Block et al., 2009, Harris, 2015). Unfortunately, in our meta-analysis, dietary information was not reported in sufficient quantity.

We have found a similar correlation between perceived stress and elevated serum triglyceride level (Fig. 4) especially in men that was also significant in the job-specific stress, but not in the global stress subgroup. These findings are in accord with those concerning WC, therefore they may also emphasize the potential association between higher job-stress of men leading to reward deficiency and consequent overeating. With regard to the correlation between high stress level and decreased serum HDL, another major parameter used in most diagnostic systems of metabolic syndrome, our standard analysis failed to confirm statistical significance. However, following application of the Duval and Tweedie's trim and fill algorithm and the addition of three missing studies to establish symmetry, a significant negative correlation between stress and HDL emerged. Moreover, subgroup analysis revealed a stronger negative correlation with global stress and no correlation with job-specific stress (Fig. 5). In this case, gender did not appear to influence the association. These findings indicate a different pattern for HDL, the level of which is higher in females and is more closely associated with physical activity (Kelley et al., 2004).

Unfortunately, physical activity of participants was not reported in the analyzed studies. In case of higher stress and elevated serum total cholesterol, only a tendency was detectable that did not reach statistical significance. This tendency follows the pattern of WC and TG, it may be detected only in case of job-specific stress and the effect size appears to be somewhat higher in men. Thus, our results support a correlation between psychologic stress and visceral obesity and dyslipidemia. These findings are in accord with the suggestions raised by Bergmann and coworkers (2014) in their systematic review based on the analysis of prospective cohort studies. This agreement between the two reviews reflects a consistent correlation between perceived stress versus elevated BMI and dyslipidemia, since we had to exclude most studies used by Bergmann as they contained adjusted values (e.g. for age, social status or BMI, etc.) unsuitable for meta-analysis. We arrived to the same conclusion based on an almost entirely different data pool. These results also confirm the conclusion of such reports of the literature that found an effect of stress on various assortments of serum lipid parameters (Belkic et al., 2000; Djindjic et al, 2012; Gimeno et al., 2010; Jovanović et al., 2008; Peter et al., 1998). Future studies are needed to resolve the contradictory findings of other clinical studies that failed to find correlation between stress and serum lipids (Alfredsson et al. 2002; Jönsson et al. 1999).

4.2. Correlation between stress and fasting glucose

With regard to abnormal carbohydrate metabolism, articles reported fasting glucose only in sufficient quantity. Fasting glucose values have low stability from day to day especially for higher risk individuals (Nuttal et al., 2004). Despite numerous reports describing positive correlation between stress and indicators of carbohydrate metabolism (Belkic et al., 2000; Ismail et al., 2004, Jovanović et al. 2008; Kumari, et al., 2004; Kwada, 2013; Li et al., 2013), our analysis confirmed such a correlation between stress and fasting glucose only for job-specific stress, but not for global stress. Unfortunately, other more reliable parameters of carbohydrate metabolism such as glycated hemoglobin, impaired oral glucose tolerance or insulin levels were not reported in sufficient quantity in the eligible studies.

4.3. Correlation between stress and blood pressure

We detected a significant, albeit small positive correlation between stress and diastolic blood pressure without any detectable gender-difference. Subgroup analysis revealed a significant positive correlation between global stress and diastolic pressure. Job-specific stress failed to show any such correlation. The positive correlation between stress and diastolic blood pressure is in accord with previous observations, but the lack of correlation with job-specific stress is contradictory with previous reports (Gilbert-Ouimet et al., 2012, Landsbergis et al., 2013). With regard to systolic blood pressure values, the surprising negative correlation with stress also appears to contradict previous findings of the literature (Landsbergis et al., 2013).

4.4. Gender differences in the literature on stress and metabolic syndrome

There was a low number of available studies that handled female groups separately. Therefore, no comparison between females and males was possible. With regard to men (in case when the ERI stress assessment method was applied in the study of Söderberg and coworkers (2012)), BMI alone showed significant correlation with stress. When, on the other hand, the demand-control stress assessment was taken into consideration for the study of Söderberg and coworkers (2012), significant correlations were found for BMI and serum triglyceride level and a tendency for diastolic pressure approaching statistical significance.

In the future more cohort studies should be conducted to investigate the stress-associated cardiometabolic changes in female populations and to reveal gender-differences. In the literature, some findings seem to suggest that gender influences the reactions to stress (Bale and Epperson, 2015). Söderberg and coworkers (2012) reported an interesting difference between the effect of stress assessed by ERI in men and women. While men exposed to high stress (assessed by ERI) show several component of metabolic syndrome (high systolic BP, high TG, high LDL, low HDL), women in the same group were characterized by low BMI and low level of dyslipidemia. Moreover, demand-control job stress assessment proved to be a better indicator in men (especially in blue-collar workers) than effort-reward that is more widely used in clinical studies (Söderberg et al., 2012). Gender may also influence the exposure to different types of stress, as women are more likely to become caregivers that is also associated with chronic stress (Seematter et al., 2004, Ardito et al., 2014).

It is also important to note that culture socializes men and women to express different emotions under stress. Women have been shown to express sadness, anxiety or depression more often, whereas a „fight or flight” reaction with increased blood pressure or increased alcohol consumption were more frequently observed in men in chronic stress (Lundberg, 2005, Kajantie and Phillips, 2006, Chaplin et al., 2008). Such different emotions and behaviors are associated with different patterns of stress physiology (e.g., vagal withdrawal in women *versus* higher sympathetic activation in men or different degrees of activation of the HPA axis in women and men) (Lundberg, 2005, Kajantie and Phillips, 2006, Chaplin et al., 2008). Such different stress reactions may have, in turn, different downstream effects that should be investigated in the future.

4.5. Limitations of our meta-analysis

Some limitations of our meta-analysis stem from the fact that perceived stress is necessarily self-reported and, thus, subjective. Moreover, stress assessment was based on different questionnaires: some aimed at the evaluation of job-related stress, others analyzed general life stress, yet others focused on psychological distress (GHQ). Other sources of limitations included the different metabolic syndrome guidelines and definitions that individual studies used. Those inconsistencies may have influenced the analysis focusing on metabolic syndrome and stress (Results 3.3). Moreover, reliable parameters of carbohydrate metabolism such as glycated hemoglobin, values indicating impaired oral glucose tolerance or insulin levels were not reported in sufficient quantity in the eligible studies. Only fasting glucose values were available in sufficient quantity that show considerable day-to-day variation. Such variations may have influenced the outcome of our analysis. Our meta-analysis detected the strongest correlation between stress and visceral obesity. It is well-known that stress-induced inflammation has a close correlation with visceral fat accumulation (Speaker and Fleshner, 2012), however it is not part of the criteria of metabolic syndrome. It is important to emphasize that our data appear to suggest that the future focus of this area of research is less about continuing to investigate a relationship with the aggregate construct of metabolic syndrome, but to better understand the relationships between stress and specific components of the metabolic syndrome, such as visceral obesity, blood lipid profile or blood pressure. Metabolic syndrome as a construct must also continue to evolve in the future, as we

increasingly understand the mechanisms activated by visceral obesity and with the help of the omics technologies to identify a broad range of biomarkers of this syndrome.

Dietary information and indicators of physical activity of participants were also not reported in the analyzed studies, therefore no further analysis of additional moderators was possible. Different age, social and job status of participants belonging to different ethnic groups [e.g. Italian and Indian police officers (Garbarino and Magnavita, 2015; Walvekar et al., 2015), Korean ship workers (Kang et al., 2004), African American or Mexican volunteers (Khan et al., 2015; Ortega-Montiel et al., 2015), obese patients from an American weight management program (Abraham et al., 2013), postmenopausal women from the San Francisco Bay (Aschbacher et al., 2014), etc.] may also have influenced our analyzed outcomes. The low number of studies that handled and reported data of female groups separately did not allow proper gender analysis. In addition, such variable technical details of available studies, such as the prospective or cross-sectional feature of the studies or the heterogeneous population numbers (from 61 to 5227) or the necessary conversions of parameters given in different units, or contraction of subgroups also added to our limitations.

4.6. Perspectives for future studies

While interesting recent studies have already investigated the influence of different types of stress (reward imbalance versus global stress) on successful weight reducing interventions (Mason et al., 2016), prospective studies conducted in the future should screen perceived stress and parameters of the metabolic syndrome at all phases of the trial. Longitudinal studies would be able to demonstrate the presence or absence of a causal relationship between psychosocial stress and metabolic syndrome. In our meta-analysis global stress measures were not as predictive as job-related stress, therefore future studies should tap into role stress, e.g. in case of caregivers, interpersonal or attachment stress should also be tested.

In addition, future studies should analyze the relationship between stress and metabolic syndrome separately in women and men, in order to investigate gender-differences. Concerning stress-induced mechanisms that may promote metabolic syndrome, the role of visceral obesity should be explored, since some individual high-stress female groups (Söderberg et al., 2012) with low BMI and waist

circumference failed to present any feature of metabolic syndrome. Intervention studies (involving healthy diet and physical training) aiming at the normalization of body weight and body composition in high- and low-stress groups, could reveal the contribution of visceral fat accumulation in stress-induced dyslipidemia or on the other hand they may analyze the effects of different types of stress on the efficacy of such interventions.

FUNDING

This work was supported by grants from the European Union and the Hungarian Government (EFOP-3.6.2-16-2017-0006 to P.H.) and from the University of Pécs, Hungary (PTE ÁOK-KA No: 2017/12 to E.P, PTE ÁOK-KA No: 2017/13 to M.B., and PTE-AOK-KA-2015-14 to M.S.).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The present scientific contribution is dedicated to the 650th anniversary of the foundation of the University of Pécs, Hungary.

DISCLOSURES

All authors disclaim any form of conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

Abraham S.B., Rubino D., Sinaii N., Ramsey S., Nieman L.K., 2013. Cortisol, obesity, and the metabolic syndrome: a cross-sectional study of obese subjects and review of the literature. *Obesity* (Silver Spring) 21(1), E105-117.

Alberti K.G., Zimmet P., 1998. Definition, diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus and its complications. Part 1: diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus provisional report of a WHO consultation. *Diabet Med.* 15: 539–53.

Alberti K.G., Zimmet P., 2006. The metabolic syndrome: time to reflect. *Curr Diab Rep* 6: 259–261.

Alberti K.G., Zimmet P., Shaw J., 2005. IDF Epidemiology Task Force Consensus Group. The metabolic syndrome--a new worldwide definition. *Lancet*. 366(9491):1059-62.

Alfredsson L., Hammar N., Fransson E., de Faire U., Hallqvist J., Knutsson A., Nilsson T., Theorell T., Westerholm P., 2002. Job strain and major risk factors for coronary heart disease among employed males and females in a Swedish study on work, lipids and fibrinogen. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 28(4), 238-248.

Almadi T., Cathers I., Chow C.M., 2013. Associations among work-related stress, cortisol, inflammation, and metabolic syndrome. *Psychophysiology* 50(9), 821-830.

Ardito C., d'Errico A., Leombruni R., 2014. Exposure to psychosocial factors at work and mental well-being in Europe. *Med Lav*. 105(2), 85-99.

Aschbacher K., Kornfeld S., Picard M., Puterman E., Havel P.J., Stanhope K., Lustig R.H., Epel E., 2014. Chronic stress increases vulnerability to diet-related abdominal fat, oxidative stress, and metabolic risk. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 46, 14-22.

Bale T.L., Epperson C.N., 2015. Sex differences and stress across the lifespan. *Nat Neurosci*. 18(10): 1413-2420.

Balkau B., Vernay M., Mhamdi L., Novak M., Arondel D., Vol S., Tichet J., Eschwège E., 2003. D.E.S.I.R. Study Group. The incidence and persistence of the NCEP (National Cholesterol Education Program) metabolic syndrome. The French D.E.S.I.R. study. *Diabetes Metab*. 29(5):526-32.

Belkić K., Schwartz J., Schnall P., Pickering T.G., Steptoe A., Marmot M., Theorell T., Fossum E., Høiegggen A., Moan A., Rostrup M., Kjeldsen S.E., 2000. Evidence for mediating econeurocardiologic mechanisms. *Occup Med*. 15(1), 117-162.

Bergmann N., Gyntelberg F., Faber J., 2014. The appraisal of chronic stress and the development of the metabolic syndrome: a systematic review of prospective cohort studies. *Endocr Connect*. 3(2), R55-80.

Bierhaus A., Wolf J., Andrassy M., Rohleder N., Humpert P.M., Petrov D., Ferstl R., von Eynatten M., Wendt T., Rudofsky G., Joswig M., Morcos M., Schwaninger M., McEwen B., Kirschbaum C., Nawroth P.P., 2003. A mechanism converting psychosocial stress into mononuclear cell activation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 100(4), 1920-1925.

Block J.P., He Y., Zaslavsky A.M., Ding L., Ayanian J.Z., 2009. Psychosocial stress and change in weight among US adults. *Am J Epidemiol.* 170(2), 181-192.

Borráz-León J.I., Cerda-Molina A.L., Mayagoitia-Novales L., 2017. Stress and cortisol responses in men: differences according to facial symmetry. *Stress* 19, 1-7.

Brunner E.J., Chandola T., Marmot M.G., 2007. Prospective effect of job strain on general and central obesity in the Whitehall II Study. *Am J Epidemiol.* 165(7), 828-837.

Chaplin T.M., Hong K., Bergquist K., Sinha R., 2008. Gender differences in response to emotional stress: an assessment across subjective, behavioral, and physiological domains and relations to alcohol craving. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res.* 32(7), 1242-1250.

Chen Y.J., Lin C.L., Li C.R., Huang S.M., Chan J.Y., Fang W.H., Chen W.L., 2016. Associations among integrated psychoneuroimmunological factors and metabolic syndrome. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 74, 342-349.

Chuang J.C., Krishnan V., Yu H.G., Mason B., Cui H., Wilkinson M.B., Zigman J.M., Elmquist J.K., Nestler E.J., Lutter M., 2010. A beta3-adrenergic-leptin-melanocortin circuit regulates behavioral and metabolic changes induced by chronic stress. *Biol Psychiatry.* 67(11), 1075-1082.

Cohen S., Janicki-Deverts D., 2012. Who's stressed? Distributions of psychological stress in the United States in probability samples from 1983, 2006, and 2009. *J Appl Soc Psychol.* 42, 1320-1334.

Cohen S., Kamarck T., Mermelstein R., 1983. A global measure of perceived stress. *J Health Soc Behav.* 24(4), 385-396.

Deak T., Quinn M., Cidlowski J.A., Victoria N., Murphy A.Z., Sheridan J.F., 2015. Neuroimmune mechanisms of stress: sex differences, developmental plasticity, and implications for pharmacotherapy of stress-related disease. *Stress.* 18(4), 367-380.

Dickerson S.S., Kemeny M.E., 2004. Acute stressors and cortisol responses: A theoretical integration and synthesis of laboratory research. *Psychological Bulletin* 130, 355-391.

Djindjic N., Jovanovic J., Djindjic B., Jovanovic M., Jovanovic J.J., 2012. Associations between the occupational stress index and hypertension, type 2 diabetes mellitus, and lipid disorders in middle-aged men and women. *Ann Occup Hyg.* 56(9), 1051-1062.

Ervin R.B., 2009 Prevalence of metabolic syndrome among adults 20 years of age and over, by sex, age, race and ethnicity, and body mass index: United States, 2003-2006. *Natl Health Stat Report.* (13):1-7.

Garbarino S., Magnavita N., 2015. Work Stress and Metabolic Syndrome in Police Officers. A Prospective Study 10(12), e0144318.

Gilbert-Ouimet M., Brisson C., Vézina M., Milot A., Blanchette C., 2012. Repeated exposure to effort-reward imbalance, increased blood pressure, and hypertension incidence among white-collar workers: effort-reward imbalance and blood pressure. *J Psychosom Res.* 72(1), 26-32.

Gimeno D., Tabák A.G., Ferrie J.E., Shipley M.J., De Vogli R., Elovainio M., Vahtera J., Marmot M.G., Kivimäki M., 2010. Justice at work and metabolic syndrome: the Whitehall II study. *Occup Environ Med.* 67(4), 256-262.

Goldberg D.P., Hillier V.F., 1979. A scaled version of the General Health Questionnaire. *Psychol Med.* 9(1), 139-145.

Grimble R.F., 2002. Inflammatory status and insulin resistance. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care.* 5, 551-559.

Grundey S.M., Brewer H.B. Jr., Cleeman J.I., Smith S.C. Jr., Lenfant C.; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; American Heart Association., 2004. Definition of metabolic syndrome: report of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute/American Heart Association conference on scientific issues related to definition. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 24(2), e13-18.

Guyatt G.H., Oxman A.D., Vist G.E., Kunz R., Falck-Ytter Y., Alonso-Coello P., et al. GRADE Working Group., 2008. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ.* 336(7650), 924-926.

Harris R.B., 2015. Chronic and acute effects of stress on energy balance: are there appropriate animal models? *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol.* 308(4), R250-R265.

Herzog R., Álvarez-Pasquin M.J., Díaz C., Del Barrio J.L., Estrada J.M., Gil Á., 2013. Are healthcare workers' intentions to vaccinate related to their knowledge, beliefs and attitudes? A systematic review. *BMC Public Health* 13, 154.

Iribarren C., Go A.S., Husson G., Sidney S., Fair J.M., Quertermous T., Hlatky M.A., 2006. Fortmann SP. Metabolic syndrome and early-onset coronary artery disease: is the whole greater than its parts? *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 48(9), 1800-1807.

Ismail K., Winkley K., Rabe-Hesketh S., 2004. Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials of psychological interventions to improve glycaemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Lancet.* 363(9421), 1589-1597.

Jezova D., Radikova Z., Vigas M., 2007. Growth hormone response to different consecutive stress stimuli in healthy men: is there any difference? *Stress.* 10(2), 205-211.

Jovanović J., Stefanović V., Stanković D.N., Bogdanović D., Kocić B., Jovanović M., Antić Z., Nikolić M., Jovanović J., 2008. Serum lipids and glucose disturbances at professional drivers exposed to occupational stressors. *Cent Eur J Public Health*. 16(2), 54-58.

Jönsson D., Rosengren A., Dotevall A., Lappas G., Wilhelmsen L., 1999. Job control, job demands and social support at work in relation to cardiovascular risk factors in MONICA 1995, Göteborg. *J Cardiovasc Risk*. 6(6), 379-385.

Kang M.G., Koh S.B., Cha B.S., Park J.K., Woo J.M., Chang S.J., 2004. Association between job stress on heart rate variability and metabolic syndrome in shipyard male workers. *Yonsei Med J*. 45(5), 838-846.

Karasek R.A., Theorell T., Schwartz J.E., Schnall P.L., Pieper C.F., Michela J.L., 1988. Job characteristics in relation to the prevalence of myocardial infarction in the US Health Examination Survey (HES) and the Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (HANES). *Am J Public Health*. 78(8), 910-918.

Karasek Robert A., 1979. Job demands, job decision latitude, and mental strain: implications for job redesign. *Administrative Science Quarterly* 24(2), 285-308.

Kajantie E., Phillips D.I., 2006. The effects of sex and hormonal status on the physiological response to acute psychosocial stress. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 31(2), 151-178.

Kawada T., 2013. Relationship between components of the metabolic syndrome and job strain using a brief job stress questionnaire (BJSQ). *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*. 86(6), 725-726.

Kelley G.A., Kelley K.S., Tran Z.V., 2004. Aerobic exercise and lipids and lipoproteins in women: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)*. 13(10), 1148-1164.

Khan R.J., Gebreab S.Y., Sims M., Riestra P., Xu R., Davis S.K., 2015. Prevalence, associated factors and heritabilities of metabolic syndrome and its individual components in African Americans: the Jackson Heart Study. *BMJ Open* 5(10), e008675.

Kivimäki M., Leino-Arjas P., Luukkonen R., Riihimäki H., Vahtera J., Kirjonen J., 2002. Work stress and risk of cardiovascular mortality: prospective cohort study of industrial employees. *BMJ*. 325(7369), 857.

Kumari M., Head J., Marmot M., 2004. Prospective study of social and other risk factors for incidence of type 2 diabetes in the Whitehall II study. *Arch Intern Med*. 164(17), 1873-1880.

Kuo L.E., Kitlinska J.B., Tilan J.U., Li L., Baker S.B., Johnson M.D., Lee E.W., Burnett M.S., Fricke S.T., Kvetnansky R., Herzog H., Zukowska Z., 2007. Neuropeptide Y acts directly in the periphery on fat tissue and mediates stress-induced obesity and metabolic syndrome. *Nat Med*. 13(7), 803-811.

Kurina, L.M., Schneider, B., Waite, L.J., 2004. Stress, symptoms of depression and anxiety, and cortisol patterns in working parents. *Stress Health* 20, 53-63.

Landsbergis P.A., Dobson M., Koutsouras G., Schnall P., 2013. Job strain and ambulatory blood pressure: a meta-analysis and systematic review. *Am J Public Health*. 103(3), e61-71.

Li J., Jarczok M.N., Loerbroks A., Schöllgen I., Siegrist J., Bosch J.A., Wilson M.G., Mauss D., Fischer J.E., 2013. Work stress is associated with diabetes and prediabetes: cross-sectional results from the MIPH Industrial Cohort Studies. *Int J Behav Med*. 20(4), 495-503.

Lundberg U., 2005. Stress hormones in health and illness: the roles of work and gender. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 30(10), 1017-1021.

Magnavita N., Fileni A., 2014. Work stress and metabolic syndrome in radiologists: first evidence. *Radiol Med*. 119(2), 142-148.

Magnavita N., 2015. Work-Related Psychological Injury Is Associated with Metabolic Syndrome Components in Apparently Healthy Workers. *PLoS One*. 18;10(6), e0130944.

Marniemi J., Kronholm E., Aunola S., Toikka T., Mattlar C.E., Koskenvuo M., Rönnemaa T., 2002. Visceral fat and psychosocial stress in identical twins discordant for obesity. *J Intern Med.* 251(1), 35-43.

Mason A.E., Epel E.S., Aschbacher K., Lustig R.H., Acree M., Kristeller J., Cohn M., Dallman M., Moran P.J., Bacchetti P., Laraia B., Hecht F.M., Daubenmier J., 2016. Reduced reward-driven eating accounts for the impact of a mindfulness-based diet and exercise intervention on weight loss: Data from the SHINE randomized controlled trial. *Appetite.* 100, 86-93.

Ministry of Health and Welfare, Taiwan, 2014. Taiwan Health and Welfare Report 2014. Ministry of Health and Welfare, R.O.C., Taipei, Taiwan.

Nakagawa T., Ogawa Y., Ebihara K., Yamanaka M., Tsuchida A., Taiji M., Noguchi H., Nakao K., 2003. Anti-obesity and anti-diabetic effects of brain-derived neurotrophic factor in rodent models of leptin resistance. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord.* 27(5), 557-565.

National Institutes of Health (NIH), 2001. Detection, evaluation and treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). National Cholesterol Education Program. Bethesda, MD, National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute, NIH Publication No. 01-3670

Nuttall F.Q., Gannon M.C., Swaim W.R., Adams M.J., 2004. Stability over time of glycohemoglobin, glucose, and red blood cell survival in hematologically stable people without diabetes. *Metabolism.* 53(11), 1399-1404.

Ortega-Montiel J., Posadas-Romero C., Ocampo-Arcos W., Medina-Urrutia A., Cardoso-Saldaña G., Jorge-Galarza E., Posadas-Sánchez R., 2015. Self-perceived stress is associated with adiposity and atherosclerosis. The GEA Study. *BMC Public Health* 15, 780.

Parikh R.M., Mohan V., 2012. Changing definitions of metabolic syndrome. *Indian J Endocrinol Metab.* 16(1), 7-12.

Patterson Z.R., Khazall R., Mackay H., Anisman H., Abizaid A., 2013. Central ghrelin signaling mediates the metabolic response of C57BL/6 male mice to chronic social defeat stress. *Endocrinology*. 154, 1080–1091.

Peter R., Alfredsson L., Hammar N., Siegrist J., Theorell T., Westerholm P., 1998. High effort, low reward, and cardiovascular risk factors in employed Swedish men and women: baseline results from the WOLF Study. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 52(9), 540-547.

Picard M., McManus M.J., Gray J.D., Nasca C., Moffat C., Kopinski P.K., Seifert E.L., McEwen B.S., Wallace D.C., 2015. Mitochondrial functions modulate neuroendocrine, metabolic, inflammatory, and transcriptional responses to acute psychological stress. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 112(48), E6614-E6623.

Puustinen P.J., Koponen H., Kautiainen H., Mäntyselkä P., Vanhala M., 2011. Psychological distress predicts the development of the metabolic syndrome: a prospective population-based study. *Psychosom Med*. 73(2), 158-165.

Pyykkonen, A.J., Raikkonen, K., Tuomi, T., Eriksson, J.G., Groop, L., Isomaa, B., 2010. Stressful life events and the metabolic syndrome: the prevalence, prediction and prevention of diabetes (PPP)-Botnia Study. *Diabetes Care* 33, 378-384.

Räikkönen K., Matthews K.A., Kuller L.H., 2002. The relationship between psychological risk attributes and the metabolic syndrome in healthy women: antecedent or consequence? *Metabolism* 51(12), 1573-1577.

Roohafza H., Kabir A., Sadeghi M., Shokouh P., Aalaei-Andabili SH., Mehrabi Y., Sarrafzadegan N., 2014. Effect of psychological distress on weight concern and weight control behaviors. *Arch Iran Med*. 17(9), 608-12.

Schmidt B., Bosch J.A., Jarczok M.N., Herr R.M., Loerbroks A., van Vianen A.E., Fischer J.E., 2015. Effort-reward imbalance is associated with the metabolic syndrome - findings from the Mannheim Industrial Cohort Study (MICS). *Int J Cardiol.* 17, 24-28.

Seematter G., Binnert C., Martin J.L., Tappy L., 2004. Relationship between stress, inflammation and metabolism. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care.* 7(2), 169-73.

Shamseer L., Moher D., Clarke M., Ghera D., Liberati A., Petticrew M., Shekelle P., Stewart L.A.; PRISMA-P Group, 2015. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. *BMJ.* 349, g7647.

Siegrist, J., 1996. Adverse health effects of high-effort/low-reward conditions. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 1, 27-41.

Skolnik N.S., Ryan D.H., 2014. Pathophysiology, epidemiology, and assessment of obesity in adults. *J Fam Pract.* 63(7 Suppl), S3-S10.

Söderberg M., Rosengren A., Hillström J., Lissner L., Torén K., 2012. A cross-sectional study of the relationship between job demand-control, effort-reward imbalance and cardiovascular heart disease risk factors. *BMC Public Health* 12, 1102.

Speaker K.J., Fleshner M., 2012. Interleukin-1 beta: a potential link between stress and the development of visceral obesity. *BMC Physiol.* 12, 8.

Steptoe A., Kivimäki M., 2013. Stress and cardiovascular disease: an update on current knowledge. *Annu Rev Public Health* 34, 337-354.

Steptoe A., Willemsen G., Owen N., Flower L., Mohamed-Ali V., 2001. Acute mental stress elicits delayed increases in circulating inflammatory cytokine levels. *Clin Sci (Lond).* 101(2), 185-192.

Trief P.M., Cibula D., Delahanty L.M., Weinstock R.S., 2014. Depression, stress, and weight loss in individuals with metabolic syndrome in SHINE, a DPP translation study. *Obesity* (Silver Spring). 22(12), 2532-2538.

van de Giessen E., la Fleur S.E., de Bruin K., van den Brink W., Booij J., 2012. Free-choice and no-choice high-fat diets affect striatal dopamine D2/3 receptor availability, caloric intake, and adiposity. *Obesity* (Silver Spring). 20(8), 1738-1740.

Verbeken S., Braet C., Lammertyn J., Goossens L., Moens E., 2012. How is reward sensitivity related to bodyweight in children? *Appetite*. 478-483.

Walvekar S.S., Ambekar J.G., Devaranavadagi B.B., 2015. Study on serum cortisol and perceived stress scale in the police constables. *J Clin Diagn Res*. 9(2), BC10-14.

Weinstein S.E., Shide D.J., Rolls B.J., 1997. Changes in food intake in response to stress in men and women: psychological factors. *Appetite*. 28, 7-8.

Wells G.A., O'Connell D., Peterson J., Welch V., Losos M., Tugwell P., 2000. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of non-randomised studies in meta-analyses. http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/oxford.asp (accessed 17.10.12).

Yoo H.L., Eisenmann J.C., Franke W.D., 2009. Independent and combined influence of physical activity and perceived stress on the metabolic syndrome in male law enforcement officers. *J Occup Environ Med*. 51(1), 46-53.

Table 1. Description of the studies included in the meta-analyses.

Panel B summarizes characteristics of those 4 studies included in the analysis focusing on the association between metabolic syndrome and perceived stress.

#: category determined by the authors of the meta-analysis

&: Newcastle- Ottawa quality assessment scale consists of three parts: 1. Selection, 2. Comparability and 3. Exposure/outcome

Abbreviations: BMI: body mass index, DCS: Job Demand Control Support, DBP: diastolic blood pressure, ERI: effort-reward imbalance, F: female, FG: fasting glucose, GHQ: General Health Questionnaire, GPSS: global perceived stress, HDL: high-density lipoprotein, IDF: International Diabetes Federation, JCQ: Job Content Questionnaire, LDL: low-density lipoprotein, M: male, NCEP: National Cholesterol Education Program, NCEP/ATPIII: National Cholesterol Education Program – Third Adult Treatment Plane, NHLBI: American Heart Association/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, PSS: perceived stress scale, SBP: systolic blood pressure, T. chol.: total cholesterol, TG: triglyceride, WC: waist circumference

Table 1. Description of the studies included in the meta-analyses.

A	Study	Sample size	Gender	Age mean±SD (range)	Applied Stress Scale	Measured parameters of metabolic syndrome									Newcastle-Ottawa Quality assessment scale ^{&}		
						BMI	WC	HDL	LDL	TG	T. chol.	SBP	DBP	FG	1.	2.	3.
	Almadi et al. 2013	204	M	35.15±8.6	ERI	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	**	**	***
	Aschbacher et al. 2014	61	F	62±6.5 (50-80)	10-item PSS	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	*	**	***
	Garbarino et al. 2015	121	M	41±7.4 [§]	DCS+ERI	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	**	-	***
	Kang et al. 2004	167	M	46.85±3.9	JCQ	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	*	*	***

Kivimäki et al. 2002	540	M and F	18≤ [§]	ERI	✓					✓				***	-	**
Ortega-Montiel et al. 2015	1243	M and F	53.8 (52-54.9)	single-item question	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	**	**	***
Puustinen et al. 2011	466	M and F	46.5±6.5	GHQ	✓	✓				✓	✓	✓	✓	**	*	*
Roohafza et al. 2014	2815	M and F	44.75±15.1	GHQ		✓								***	-	***
Schmidt et al. 2015	2757	M and F	40.93±11.16	ERI		✓		✓	✓		✓		✓	***	-	***
Söderberg et al. 2012	1067	M and F	35.58	ERI	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		***	-	***
Trief et al. 2014	249	M and F	51.65±12.4	14-item PSS	✓									***	-	***
Walvekar et al. 2015	108	M	42.25±10.39	14-item PSS			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	**	*	***
Yoo et al. 2009	223	M	39.25±8.55	14-item PSS	✓		✓		✓		✓	✓	✓	**	-	***

B	Study	Sample size	Gender	Age	Applied Stress Scale	Applied criteria for metabolic syndrome	Newcastle-Ottawa Quality assessment scale ^{&}		
							1.	2.	3.
	Abraham et al. 2013	299	M and F	(18-75)	14-item PSS	NCEP/ATPIII	****	-	**
	Chen et al. 2016	345	M and F	35.7±11.5	14-item PSS	modified IDF [#]	****	*	**
	Khan et al. 2015	5227	M and F	55.56±12.32	8-item GPSS	modified NCEP/ATPIII [#]	****	-	**
	Raikönnen et al. 2002	144	F	(42-50)	14-item PSS	NHLBI	***	**	**

Panel A summarizes characteristics of those 13 studies included in the analysis of the correlation between perceived stress and the parameters of metabolic syndrome.

Panel B summarizes characteristics of those 4 studies included in the analysis focusing on the association between metabolic syndrome and perceived stress.

*: one score

[#]: category determined by the authors of the meta-analysis

[§]: mean age value for all the participants of the whole study, not just for the specific study group that was included in the analyses

[&]: Newcastle- Ottawa quality assessment scale consists of three parts: 1. Selection, 2. Comparability and 3. Exposure/outcome

Abbreviations: BMI: body mass index, DCS: Job Demand Control Support, DBP: diastolic blood pressure, ERI: effort-reward imbalance, F: female, FG: fasting glucose, GHQ: General Health Questionnaire, GPSS: global perceived stress, HDL: high-density lipoprotein, IDF: International Diabetes Federation, JCQ: Job Content Questionnaire, LDL: low-density lipoprotein, M: male, NCEP: National Cholesterol Education Program, NCEP/ATPIII: National Cholesterol Education Program – Third Adult Treatment Plane, NHLBI: American Heart Association/National Heart, Lung, and Blood

Institute, PSS: perceived stress scale, SBP: systolic blood pressure, T. chol.: total cholesterol, TG: triglyceride, WC: waist circumference

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1. Flowchart of the study selection procedure.

Fig. 2. Forest plot representing the differences in body mass index (BMI) values of low- and high-stress groups with regard to global and job-specific stress. Squares show the difference in mean values with the grey area reflecting the weight assigned to the study. Horizontal bars indicate 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). The diamond shows the overall effect size (ES) with its corresponding 95% CI.

Fig. 3. Forest plot representing the differences in waist circumference values of low- and high-stress groups with regard to global and job-specific stress. Squares show the difference in mean values with the grey area reflecting the weight assigned to the study. Horizontal bars indicate 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). The diamond shows the overall effect size (ES) with its corresponding 95% CI.

Fig. 4. Forest plot representing the differences in serum triglyceride values of low- and high-stress groups with regard to global and job-specific stress. Squares show the difference in mean values with the grey area reflecting the weight assigned to the study. Horizontal bars indicate 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). The diamond shows the overall effect size (ES) with its corresponding 95% CI.

Fig. 5. Forest plot representing the differences in serum high-density lipoprotein (HDL) values of low- and high-stress groups with regard to global and job-specific stress. Squares show the

difference in mean values with the grey area reflecting the weight assigned to the study. Horizontal bars indicate 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). The diamond shows the overall effect size (ES) with its corresponding 95% CI.

Identification

Records identified through
database searching
Pubmed (n=523)
Embase (n=309)
Cochrane (n=133)

Additional records identified
through other sources
(n = 3)

Screening

Records after duplicates removed
(n = 880)

Records screened
(n = 880)

Records excluded based
on title and abstract
(n = 796)

(n =)

Eligibility

Full-text articles assessed
for eligibility
(n = 84)

Full-text articles excluded,
(n=71) because they did
not meet criteria

Included

Studies included in
qualitative synthesis
(n = 17)

Studies included in quantitative synthesis
(meta-analysis) containing information on:
•correlation between perceived stress and
parameters of metabolic syndrome (n = 13)
•correlation between metabolic syndrome and
perceived stress (n = 4)







