Antiplatelet Agents and the Prevention of Spontaneous Preterm Birth

A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

Elvira O. G. van Vliet, MD, PhD, Lisa A. Askie, PhD, Ben W. J. Mol, MD, PhD, and Martijn A. Oudijk, MD, PhD

OBJECTIVE: Spontaneous preterm birth is an important cause of neonatal mortality and morbidity. An increasing body of evidence suggests that uteroplacental ischemia plays an important role in the etiology of spontaneous preterm birth. We aimed to study whether antiplatelet agents reduce the risk of spontaneous preterm birth.

DATA SOURCES: We included data from an individual participant data meta-analysis of studies that had evaluated the effect of antiplatelet agents to reduce pre-eclampsia (Perinatal Antiplatelet Review of International Studies Individual Participant Data).

METHODS OF STUDY SELECTION: The meta-analysis included 31 studies that randomized women to low-dose aspirin–dipyridamole or placebo–no treatment as a primary preventive strategy for preeclampsia. For the current study we analyzed data from 17 trials (28,797)

From the NHMRC Clinical Trials Centre, University of Sydney, Camperdown NSW, and the Robinson Institute, School of Pediatrics and Reproductive Health, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia; and the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University Medical Centre Utrecht, Utrecht, and the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Amsterdam Medical Centre, Amsterdam, the

Dr. van Vliet was supported by a travel grant of the Dutch Ter Meulen Fund of the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences.

Presented as an abstract at the Society for Reproductive Investigation 62nd Annual Scientific Meeting, March 25-28, 2015, San Francisco, California, and as an oral presentation at the Perinatal Society of Australia and New Zealand 19th Annual Conference, April 19-22, 2015, Melbourne, Australia.

The authors thank the Perinatal Antiplatelet Review of International Studies (PARIS) Steering Committee for their permission to use the data for these analyses.

Each author has indicated that he or she has met the journal's requirements for authorship.

Corresponding author: Martijn A. Oudijk, MD, PhD, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, AMC Medical Centre, Meibergdreef 91105 AZ, Amsterdam, the Netherlands; email: m.a.oudijk@amc.uva.nl.

Financial Disclosure

The authors did not report any potential conflicts of interest.

© 2017 by The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

ISSN: 0029-7844/17

women) that supplied data on type of delivery (spontaneous compared with indicated birth).

TABULATION, INTEGRATION, AND RESULTS: Primary endpoints were spontaneous preterm birth at less than 37 weeks, less than 34 weeks, and less than 28 weeks of gestation. We analyzed outcomes for each trial separately using χ^2 statistics and combined in an individual participant data meta-analysis using a binary logistic regression model. Women assigned to antiplatelet treatment compared with placebo or no treatment had a lower risk of spontaneous preterm birth at less than 37 weeks (relative risk [RR] 0.93, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.86-0.996) and less than 34 weeks of gestation (RR 0.86, 95% CI 0.76-0.99). The RR of having a spontaneous preterm birth at less than 37 weeks of gestation was 0.83 (95% CI 0.73-0.95) for women who have had a previous pregnancy and 0.98 (95% CI 0.89-1.09) for women in their first pregnancy. The treatment effect was stable in all other prespecified subgroups.

CONCLUSION: Antiplatelet agents reduce spontaneous preterm birth in pregnant women at risk for preeclampsia.

(Obstet Gynecol 2017;129:327–36) DOI: 10.1097/AOG.00000000000001848

Preterm birth is one of the most challenging obstetric problems worldwide and occurs in approximately 5–12% of all deliveries. Preterm birth accounts for 70% of perinatal mortality and 40% of severe neurologic morbidities. Preterm birth can be classified as spontaneous or iatrogenic. Spontaneous preterm birth starts with spontaneous labor with intact membranes or prelabor premature rupture of the membranes (PROM). In iatrogenic preterm birth, pregnancy is prematurely interrupted for maternal or fetal indications, often as a result of preeclampsia or fetal growth restriction. In industrialized high-resourced countries, spontaneous preterm birth accounts for two thirds of all preterm births and is

VOL. 129, NO. 2, FEBRUARY 2017



considered a heterogeneous syndrome in which different pathologic processes prematurely activate the mechanisms of labor.^{3,4} Myometrial contractions are activated by an interplay between mechanical and endocrine mechanisms and immune system responses.⁴ Intrauterine infections are thought to play a major role in spontaneous preterm birth, because inflammatory cytokines stimulate prostaglandin release, thus contributing to preterm myometrial contractions. Furthermore, cytokines and toxins initiate neutrophil activation, leading to the release of metalloproteases that weaken the membranes and cervix.⁵ Signs of infection and inflammation are however not always present in spontaneous preterm birth.

An increasing body of evidence suggests that uteroplacental ischemia also plays a role in the etiology of spontaneous preterm labor, analogous to its role of preeclampsia. Placental vascular pathology is found in at least one third of the placentas of women with spontaneous preterm labor or prelabor PROM.^{6,7} In placental bed biopsies of women with spontaneous preterm labor, similarities have been found with biopsies of the placentas of women with preeclampsia. Failure of physiologic transformation of the spiral arteries, necessary for normal placental blood flow, is found in one third of women with preterm labor and prelabor PROM.7-10 Women with spontaneous preterm birth have been shown to have abnormal angiogenic-antiangiogenic plasma profiles, comparable with those found in women with preeclampsia.11 In addition, women with increased resistance at midtrimester Doppler measurements of uterine artery flow, indicative of disordered placentation, are at increased risk for both spontaneous and iatrogenic preterm birth.¹² Furthermore, women with a history of preeclampsia have an increased risk of spontaneous preterm birth and vice versa.

The Perinatal Antiplatelet Review of International Studies Individual Participant Data metaanalysis, which combined the data of 32,217 women who were randomized to antiplatelets (principally low-dose aspirin) or placebo, showed that, in women at risk for preeclampsia, the use of antiplatelet agents during pregnancy is associated with a moderate reduction in the risk of preeclampsia (relative risk [RR] 0.90, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.84–0.97).¹³ This study also showed a significant reduction in preterm birth at less than 34 weeks of gestation in women treated with antiplatelet agents (RR 0.90, 95% CI 0.83–0.98). In view of the overlapping underlying mechanisms of preeclampsia and spontaneous preterm birth, it would be relevant to know whether this reduction comprises a reduction in iatrogenic preterm birth (eg, through lowering the incidence of preeclampsia) or also in a reduction of spontaneous preterm birth. In view of the scarcity of effective preventive measures for spontaneous preterm birth, this information would be very relevant.

The aim of this additional analysis of the Perinatal Antiplatelet Review of International Studies Individual Participant Data meta-analysis was therefore to evaluate the efficacy of low-dose aspirin for the prevention of spontaneous preterm birth in women at risk for preeclampsia and to explore the effect in prespecified subgroups.

SOURCES

We included data from an individual participant data meta-analysis of studies that had evaluated the effect of antiplatelet agents to reduce preeclampsia, the Perinatal Antiplatelet Review of International Studies Individual Participant Data meta-analysis.

The Perinatal Antiplatelet Review of International Studies Collaboration conducted an Individual Participant Data meta-analysis, which included 31 randomized trials of antiplatelet agents for the prevention of preeclampsia.

STUDY SELECTION

The Perinatal Antiplatelet Review of International Studies Individual Participant Data meta-analysis included studies that randomized women to lowdose aspirin-dipyridamole or placebo-no treatment as a primary preventive strategy for preeclampsia. The studies included women at risk for preeclampsia, gestational hypertension, or intrauterine growth restriction, including nulliparous women. The risk classification was based on previous pregnancy history, pre-existing medical condition (eg, renal disease, diabetes, immune disorder, chronic hypertension), or obstetric risk factors early in the current pregnancy (eg, being primigravida, having a multiple pregnancy). The search strategy for this meta-analysis was described elsewhere¹³ but involved extensive searching of bibliographic databases such as MEDLINE. Details on the search strategy and study selection have been published previously.¹³

The current analyses are based on data from the 17 Perinatal Antiplatelet Review of International Studies Individual Participant Data trials that supplied data on type of delivery (ie, spontaneous compared with induction–nonlabor cesarean delivery). The Perinatal Antiplatelet Review of International Studies Steering Committee granted permission for the use of the data for these analyses. We studied three main outcome measures: 1) spontaneous preterm birth of





a liveborn neonate between 20 and 37 weeks of gestation; 2) spontaneous preterm birth of a liveborn neonates between 20 and 34 weeks of gestation; and 3) spontaneous preterm birth of a liveborn neonate between 20 and 28 weeks of gestation. Preterm birth was defined as spontaneous when it followed prelabor PROM or spontaneous labor with intact membranes (ie, no induced labor and no nonlabor cesarean delivery). Because the interest of the effectiveness of antiplatelet agents is mainly focused on preterm birth, we assessed the time between 20 weeks of gestation to 1) spontaneous preterm delivery, 2) iatrogenic preterm delivery, and 3) any preterm delivery by performing a Kaplan-Meier analysis for those women with a spontaneous preterm birth who started treatment before 20 weeks of gestation.

We calculated RRs and 95% CIs for the main outcome measures. Outcomes were analyzed for each trial separately using χ^2 statistics and combined in an individual participant data meta-analysis to calculate an overall effect using a binary logistic regression model. Clustering of data within trials was taken into account by including the trial as a covariate in the model. We calculated numbers needed to treat for statistically significant outcomes. Relative risk ratios were calculated from odds ratios based on the prevalence of the outcome in the nonexposed group.

To explore the effect by trial-level characteristics, we prespecified subgroups based on gestational age at trial entry (less than 16 weeks of gestation compared with 16 weeks of gestation or greater and less than 20 weeks of gestation compared with 20 weeks of gestation or greater) and intended aspirin dose (75) mg per day or less compared with greater than 75 mg per day, based on aspirin-only trials, n=15 trials with 26,893 women). To explore the effects by participantlevel characteristics, we prespecified subgroups based on 1) risk factors based on medical history, including parity, pre-existing renal disease, diabetes, hypertensive disorders, and previous small-for-gestational-age neonate; and 2) risk factors in the current pregnancy, including maternal age, pregnancy type (singleton compared with multiple gestations), and neonatal sex. Furthermore, we tested whether the treatment effect was different within women who developed preeclampsia in the current pregnancy compared with women who did not develop preeclampsia. Subgroup effects were analyzed using an interaction term between subgroup and treatment group and calculating the effect of antiplatelet treatment in different strata of the subgroups. Sensitivity analyses were performed for only those studies including a placebo arm and for studies that used aspirin as an antiplatelet

agent. Analyzes were performed in IBM SPSS Statistics 22. *P* values <.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance.

The funding source had no role in study design; in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; or in the decision to submit the paper for publication. Funding for the data collection within the original Perinatal Antiplatelet Review of International Studies Collaboration is described elsewhere.¹³ The Perinatal Antiplatelet Review of International Studies Individual Participant Data Collaboration meta-analysis was granted an exemption from ethics review by the Central Sydney Area Health Service Ethics Committee in December 2002. Permission for the additional analyses of the Perinatal Antiplatelet Review of International Studies data set undertaken in this study was granted by the Perinatal Antiplatelet Review of International Studies Steering Committee after review of the proposal in November 2014.

RESULTS

This article presents the results from 17 randomized trials (August P, Helseth G, Edersheid TG, Milton Hutson J, Druzin M. Sustained release, low-dose aspirin ameliorates but does not prevent preeclampsia [PE] in a high risk population [abstract]. Abstracts from the IXth Congress of the International Society for the Study of Hypertension in Pregnancy. Hypertens Preg 1994;13:303-78.), 14-29 comprising 28,797 women, that contained data on type of delivery. Because gestational age at delivery was unknown for 1,287 women (4.5%), data from 27,510 women were included in our analysis. Of these women, 13,825 were randomly assigned to antiplatelet treatment and 13,685 women to placebo or no treatment. Overall, 57% of the women were in their first pregnancy, 96% had a singleton pregnancy, and 62% were aged 20-35 years. Aspirin alone was given in 15 trials (n=13,294 women) in a dose ranging from 60 to 150 mg per day. One trial²¹ gave aspirin in combination with dipyridamole and one trial gave dipyridamole alone.²⁵ Overall, 9.7% (n=2,670) of women had a spontaneous preterm birth before 37 weeks of gestation, 2.8% (n=773) before 34 weeks of gestation, and 0.5% (n=151) of the women had a spontaneous preterm birth before 28 weeks of gestation.

Antiplatelet agents were associated with a significant reduction in the risk of spontaneous preterm birth before 37 weeks of gestation (9.3% compared with 10.1%, RR 0.93, 95% CI 0.86–0.996) and before 34 weeks of gestation (2.6% compared with 3.1%, RR 0.86, 95% CI 0.76–0.99) compared with the control

VOL. 129, NO. 2, FEBRUARY 2017

van Vliet et al Antiplatelets to Prevent Preterm Birth 329



group (Table 1). For spontaneous preterm birth before 28 weeks of gestation, the RR was reduced by 19%, although this effect was not significant (0.49% compared with 0.61%, RR 0.81, 95% CI 0.59–1.1). Corresponding numbers needed to treat to prevent one case of spontaneous preterm birth was 139 for spontaneous preterm birth at less than 37 weeks of gestation and 242 for spontaneous preterm birth at less than 34 weeks of gestation.

Time to delivery analyses showed that for women with a spontaneous preterm birth, there was no difference between the two treatment groups in time from 20 weeks of gestation to delivery (log-rank test: χ^2 1.80, P=.18). Furthermore, we performed time to delivery analyses for iatrogenic preterm birth and any preterm birth (iatrogenic or spontaneous preterm birth) and found no significant differences for women who received antiplatelet agents compared with those who received placebo–no treatment (all P values >.10).

For the outcome measure "spontaneous preterm birth at less than 37 weeks of gestation," there was a significant interaction with parity status (P value for interaction .04; Table 2). The RR of having a spontaneous preterm birth at less than 37 weeks of gestation was 0.83 (95% CI 0.73–0.95) for women who have had a previous pregnancy and 0.98 (95% CI 0.89–1.09) for women in their first pregnancy. There was no evidence that women in any of the other subgroups benefited more or less with antiplatelet treatment (Tables 3 and 4). Sensitivity analyses based on trials using aspirin only (n=15; 28,266 women) and on only those trials with a placebo group (n=15; 28,374 women) showed comparable results (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

This study, based on the individual participant data of 27,510 women, shows that antiplatelet agents in pregnant women at risk for preeclampsia reduces the RR of spontaneous preterm birth by approximately 7%. The effect on spontaneous preterm birth at less than 37 weeks of gestation is larger (17% RR reduction) in women with a second or subsequent pregnancy. For the outcome of spontaneous moderate to very preterm birth, defined as birth at less than 34 weeks of gestation, antiplatelet agents also significantly reduced the risk of spontaneous preterm birth (14% RR reduction), and this risk did not differ significantly between primiparous and multiparous women. There was no clear evidence that the treatment was more or less effective in any of the other subgroups, although the incidence of events in some subgroups was low.

The current study did not find a significant reduction in spontaneous preterm birth at less than 28 weeks of gestation. Because the incidence of spontaneous preterm birth at less than 28 weeks of gestation was low (0.5%) and the treatment effect was modest (RR 0.81), the lack of statistical significance may be the result of insufficient power. However, it could also be hypothesized that placental vascular pathology mainly plays a role at moderate to late preterm birth, when very preterm birth is mainly affected by infection–inflammation. Indeed, previous studies have found a higher incidence of placental infection–inflammatory lesions compared with placental vascular lesions in very preterm birth. 30–32

Previous studies suggested that vascular lesions might play a role in spontaneous preterm birth. The current findings support this hypothesis. Most women in the Perinatal Antiplatelet Review of International Studies Individual Participant Data meta-analysis were at low to moderate risk for preeclampsia.¹³ The risk profile of the participants was quite diverse, varying from being primigravid to having a history of previous preeclampsia. Our subgroup analysis revealed no difference in the treatment effect between women at high or low risk for preeclampsia. Therefore, the current findings might be applicable to a broader population of pregnant women. Because there is a paucity of preventive strategies for spontaneous preterm birth, we suggest that the use of antiplatelet agents may be a promising intervention for women who have a history of spontaneous preterm birth.

One of the main concerns in the administration of medication during pregnancy is safety.

The Perinatal Antiplatelet Review of International Studies Individual Participant Data meta-analysis¹³ revealed no difference in the incidence of antepartum hemorrhage (RR 1.02, 95% CI 0.90-1.15), placental abruption (RR 1.13, 95% CI 0.87-1.48), or neonatal bleeding (RR 0.93, 95% CI 0.80-1.09) between women who received antiplatelet agents and those who did not. Postpartum hemorrhage (500 mL or greater if supplied or trialists' definition) was more frequent, but this difference was of borderline significance (RR 1.06, 95% CI 1.00–1.13). The reduction in spontaneous preterm birth found in the current study and the reduction in preeclampsia found in the original Perinatal Antiplatelet Review of International Studies Individual Participant Data meta-analysis¹³ should be balanced against this potential higher risk of postpartum hemorrhage. Long-term follow-up within individual trials revealed no effect on infant outcomes at 12 and 18 months³³ and there are suggestions of reduced neurobehavioral difficulties at

van Vliet et al Antiplatelets to Prevent Preterm Birth



Table 1. Spontaneous Preterm Birth at Less Than 37, Less Than 34, and Less Than 28 Weeks of Gestation

Trial Reference	Antiplatelets	Control	RR (95% CI)
Spontaneous preterm birth at less than 37 wk of gestation	1		
14	1/44	2/48	0.78 (0.34-1.77)
15	1/52	2/50	0.73 (0.32–1.66)
16	0/32	1/29	0.47 (0.36-0.61)
17	165/1,816	167/1,814	0.99 (0.89–1.11)
18	3/40	5/44	0.82 (0.46–1.47)
19	3/118	3/75	0.77 (0.34–1.75)
20	152/3,397	180/3,373	0.91 (0.83–1.01)
21	9/156	5/74	0.89 (0.43–1.86)
22	172/1,629	161/1,632	1.04 (0.93–1.17)
23	0/43	1/43	0.50 (0.40–0.61)
24	416/3,018	429/3,024	0.98 (0.92–1.06)
25	13/159	9/142	1.17 (0.70–1.95)
26	16/276	19/278	0.92 (0.67–1.26)
27	15/301	19/301	0.89 (0.65–1.21)
28	97/1,470	105/1,492	0.97 (0.84–1.11)
29	1/23	2/25	0.77 (0.33–1.79)
30	228/1,251	268/1,241	0.90 (0.82–0.99)
Total	1,292/13,825	1,378/13,685	0.93 (0.86–0.996)
Spontaneous preterm birth at less than 34 wk of gestation			
14	0/44	0/48	
15	1/52	0/50	
16	0/32	0/29	
17	51/1,816	53/1,814	0.98 (0.81–1.19)
18	0/40	0/44	0 == (0.40, 0.40)
19	1/118	1/75	0.77 (0.19–3.13)
20	40/3,397	40/3,373	1.00 (0.80–1.24)
21	3/156	1/74	1.29 (0.23–7.13)
22	14/1,629	20/1,632	0.85 (0.64–1.13)
23	0/43	1/43	0.49 (0.40–0.61)
24	124/3,018	126/3,024	0.99 (0.88–1.13)
25	4/159	2/142	1.42 (0.46–4.44)
26	4/276	6/278	0.83 (0.50–1.39)
27 28	5/301 24/1 470	3/301	1.34 (0.54–3.29)
	24/1,470	44/1,492	0.77 (0.65–0.93)
29 30	1/23	0/25	0.07 (0.76, 0.00)
Total	88/1,251 360/13,825	116/1,241 413/13,685	0.87 (0.76–0.98) 0.86 (0.76–0.99)
Spontaneous preterm birth at less than 28 wk of gestation		413/13,003	0.00 (0.70-0.99)
14	0/44	0/48	
15	0/52	0/50	
16	0/32	0/29	
17	9/1,816	7/1,814	1.14 (0.63–1.99)
18	0/40	0/44	1.14 (0.05–1.55)
19	0/118	0/75	
20	4/3,397	5/3,373	0.90 (0.50–1.61)
21	0/156	0/74	0.90 (0.90 1.01)
22	1/1,629	5/1,632	0.60 (0.42-0.86)
23	0/43	0/43	0.00 (0.12 0.00)
24	21/3,018	24/3,024	0.94 (0.71–1.23)
25	1/159	0/142	0.5 . (0.7 1 1.25)
26	1/276	1/278	1.00 (0.25-4.02)
27	0/301	0/301	(0.23 1.02)
28	11/1,470	11/1,492	1.01 (0.66–1.53)
29	0/23	0/25	1.01 (0.00 1.33)
30	20/1,251	30/1,241	0.83 (0.66–1.04)
Total	68/13,825	83/13,685	0.81 (0.59–1.12)

Data are n/N unless otherwise specified.

CI, confidence interval; RR, relative risk.

VOL. 129, NO. 2, FEBRUARY 2017





Table 2. Effect in Prespecified Subgroups on Spontaneous Preterm Birth at Less Than 37 Weeks of Gestation

	Antiplatelets	Control	RR (95% CI)	Interaction P
Trial factors				_
Gestational age at start of treatment (wk)				
Less than 16	284/3,374	313/3,348	0.90 (0.76-1.06)	.72
16 or greater	1,007/10,445	1,064/10,333	0.93 (0.85–1.02)	
Less than 20	668/7,748	710/7,706	0.93 (0.83-1.04)	.84
20 or greater	624/6,071	667/5,975	0.91 (0.81–1.02)	
Intended aspirin dose (mg)*				
ASA 75 or less	1,076/11,293	1,173/11,289	.91 (0.83-0.99)	.40
ASA greater than 75	194/2,174	190/2,137	1.00 (0.81-1.24)	
Obstetric and medical history				
Previous pregnancy				
Yes	469/5,819	549/5,777	0.83 (0.73-0.95)	.04
No	823/7,968	828/7,873	0.98 (0.89–1.09)	
1st pregnancy				
With high risk	117/1,052	121/1,044	0.96 (0.73-1.25)	.05
Without high risk	706/6,916	707/6,829	0.98 (0.88-1.10)	
2nd or subsequent pregnancy				
With high risk	357/4,442	432/4,351	0.79 (0.68-0.91)	.07
Without high risk	112/1,377	117/1,426	0.99 (0.75-1.29)	
Pre-existing renal disease				
Yes	13/212	11/181	1.03 (0.45-2.38)	.77
No	859/10,331	918/10,293	0.92 (0.84–1.02)	
Pre-existing diabetes				
Yes	42/392	44/407	0.99 (0.63-1.55)	.24
No	1,058/11,407	1,153/11,308	0.90 (0.82-0.98)	
Pre-existing hypertensive disease				
Yes	71/1,266	94/1,252	0.73 (0.53-0.999)	.26
No	1,030/10,584	1,105/10,512	0.92 (0.84-1.004)	
Previous SGA neonate				
Yes	78/1,403	89/1,273	0.78 (0.57-1.07)	.32
No	930/10,388	957/10,387	0.97 (0.88-1.06)	
No previous neonate	823/7,968	828/7,873	0.98 (0.89-1.09)	
Current pregnancy				
Maternal age (y)				
Younger than 20	414/2,786	404/2,792	1.03 (0.89-1.20)	
20–35	500/5,199	574/5,146	0.85 (0.75-0.97)	.16
Older than 35	29/314	32/327	0.94 (0.55-1.59)	
Pregnancy type				
Singleton	1,074/12,985	1,131/12,848	0.93 (0.85-1.02)	.31
Multiple	218/839	247/835	0.86 (0.69-1.08)	
Fetal sex				
Male	568/6,624	590/6,542	0.95 (0.84-1.07)	.70
Female	494/6,195	523/6,117	0.92 (0.81-1.05)	
Preeclampsia				
Women with preeclampsia	63/1,039	75/1,126	0.90 (0.64-1.28)	.45
Women without preeclampsia	1,226/12,762	1,301/12,533	0.92 (0.85-0.996)	

RR, relative risk; CI, confidence interval; ASA, acetylsalicylic acid; SGA, small for gestational age. Data are n/N unless otherwise specified.

5 years of age among neonates who were exposed to aspirin in utero.³⁴ The administration of antiplatelet agents such as aspirin during pregnancy is considered a safe intervention as a recent review commissioned by the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force concluded.

This study has some limitations. First, because this study presents analyses additional to the prespecified main Perinatal Antiplatelet Review of International Studies analysis, the results should be interpreted with caution. Because multiple analyses have been performed, the risk of a type I error

332 van Vliet et al Antiplatelets to Prevent Preterm Birth



^{*} Aspirin-only trials.

Table 3. Effect in Prespecified Subgroups on Spontaneous Preterm Birth at Less than 34 Weeks of Gestation

	Antiplatelets	Control	RR (95% CI)	Interaction P
Trial factors				
Gestational age at start of treatment (wk)				
Less than 16	82/3,374	89/3,348	0.91 (0.67–1.23)	.67
16 or greater	278/10,445	324/10,333	0.85 (0.72-0.99)	
Less than 20	168/7,748	199/7,706	0.84 (0.68-1.03)	.74
20 or greater	192/6,071	214/5,975	0.88 (0.72-1.07)	
Intended aspirin dose (mg)*				
ASA 75 or less	332/11,293	382/11,289	0.87 (0.75-1.00)	.67
ASA greater than 75	21/2,174	27/2,137	0.77 (0.43-1.36)	
Obstetric and medical history				
Previous pregnancy				
Yes	155/5,819	189/5,777	0.81 (0.65-1.003)	.55
No	205/7,968	224/7,873	0.90 (0.74-1.09)	
1st pregnancy				
With high risk	44/1,052	47/1,044	0.93 (0.61-1.41)	.47
Without high risk	161/6,916	177/6,829	0.90 (0.72-1.11)	
2nd or subsequent pregnancy				
With high risk	117/4,442	152/4,351	0.74 (0.58-0.95)	.52
Without high risk	38/1,377	37/1,426	1.07 (0.67–1.69)	
Pre-existing renal disease				
Yes	4/212	5/181	0.71 (0.19-2.70)	.22
No	248/10,331	269/10,293	0.91 (0.77-1.09)	
Pre-existing diabetes				
Yes	10/392	14/407	0.75 (0.32-1.67)	.93
No	330/44,407	376/11,308	0.87 (0.75-1.01)	
Pre-existing hypertensive disease				
Yes	21/1,266	27/1,252	0.76 (0.43-1.36)	.77
No	320/10,584	363/10,512	0.87 (0.74-1.02)	
Previous SGA neonate				
Yes	22/1,403	25/1,273	0.76 (0.42-1.35)	.36
No	232/10,388	251/10,387	0.92 (0.77-1.01)	
No previous neonate	205/7,968	224/7,873	0.90 (0.74-1.09)	
Current pregnancy				
Maternal age (y)				
Younger than 20	121/2,786	140/2,792	0.86 (0.67-1.10)	
20–35	169/5,199	200/2,146	0.84 (0.68-1.03)	.98
Older than 35	7/314	8/327	0.91 (0.33-2.54)	
Pregnancy type				
Singleton	274/12,985	310/12,848	0.87 (0.74–1.03)	.69
Multiple	86/839	103/835	0.85 (0.62-1.15)	
Fetal sex				
Male	137/6,624	154/6,542	0.88 (0.69-1.11)	.78
Female	131/6,195	147/6,117	0.88 (0.69-1.11)	
Preeclampsia				
Women with preeclampsia	20/1,039	20/1,126	1.09 (0.58-2.03)	.45
Women without preeclampsia	340/12,762	393/12,533	0.85 (0.73-0.98)	

Data are n/N unless otherwise specified.

RR, relative risk; CI, confidence interval; ASA, acetylsalicylic acid; SGA, small for gestational age.

(statistically significant results that are based on chance) should be considered. However, there is sound evidence underpinning our hypothesis within the published biomedical literature and because all outcomes in this project were in the direction of benefit for antiplatelets, we feel these new analyses are

of clinical relevance. Second, studies published after 2005 were not included in the initial Perinatal Anti-platelet Review of International Studies Individual Participant Data meta-analysis (published in 2007) and no further individual participant data were collected as part of this new analysis. There have

VOL. 129, NO. 2, FEBRUARY 2017

van Vliet et al Antiplatelets to Prevent Preterm Birth 333



^{*} Aspirin-only trials.

Table 4. Effect in Prespecified Subgroups on Spontaneous Preterm Birth at Less Than 28 Weeks of Gestation

	Antiplatelets	Control	RR (95% CI)	Interaction P
Trial factors				
Gestational age at start of treatment (wk)				
Less than 16	20/3,374	24/3,348	0.82 (0.46-1.50)	.95
16 or greater	48/10,445	59/10,333	0.81 (0.55–1.18)	
Less than 20	41/7,748	51/7,706	0.80 (0.53-1.21)	.92
20 or greater	27/6,071	32/5,975	0.83 (0.50-1.38)	
Intended aspirin dose (mg)*				
ASA 75 or less	65/11,293	77/11,289	0.84 (0.61-1.17)	.26
ASA greater than 75	2/2,174	6/2,137	0.33 (0.07-1.63)	
Pregnancy and medical history				
Previous pregnancy				
Yes	33/5,819	42/5,777	0.78 (0.49-1.23)	.86
No	35/7,968	41/7,873	0.84 (0.54-1.33)	
1st pregnancy				
With high risk	11/1,052	10/1,044	1.09 (0.46-2.58)	.85
Without high risk	24/6,916	31/6,829	0.76 (0.45-1.30)	
2nd or subsequent pregnancy				
With high risk	22/4,442	35/4,351	0.61 (0.35-1.03)	.92
Without high risk	11/1,377	7/1,426	1.66 (0.64-4.29)	
Pre-existing renal disease				
Yes	0/212	1/181	_	.19
No	46/10,331	47/10,293	0.97 (0.65-1.46)	
Pre-existing diabetes				
Yes	1/392	0/407	_	.38
No	65/11,407	78/11,308	0.83 (0.59-1.15)	
Pre-existing hypertensive disease				
Yes	5/1,266	9/1,252	0.56 (0.19-1.68)	.31
No	61/10,584	69/10,512	0.88 (0.62-1.24)	
Previous SGA neonate				
Yes	4/1,403	2/1,273	1.71 (0.31–9.45)	.16
No	39/10,388	44/10,387	0.89 (0.58-1.36)	
No previous neonate	35/7,968	41/7,873	0.84 (0.54-1.33)	
Current pregnancy				
Maternal age (y)				
Younger than 20	20/2,786	33/2,792	0.60 (0.34–1.05)	
20–35	41/3,199	37/5,146	1.11 (0.71–1.73)	.23
Older than 35	2/314	3/327	1.30 (0.74–2.30)	
Pregnancy type				
Singleton	50/12,985	59/12,848	0.84 (0.57–1.22)	.75
Multiple	18/839	24/835	0.77 (0.41–1.44)	
Fetal sex [†]				
Male	23/6,624	35/6,542	0.65 (0.38–1.10)	.39
Female	6/6,195	21/6,117	1.22 (0.69–2.18)	
Preeclampsia				
Women with preeclampsia	0/1,039	2/1,126	_	_
Women without preeclampsia	68/12,762	81/12,533	0.83 (0.60–1.14)	

Data are n/N unless otherwise specified.

RR, relative risk; CI, confidence interval; ASA, acetylsalicylic acid; SGA, small for gestational age.

been six relevant trials published since 2005, all with small sample sizes (n=49, n=54, n=152, n=80, n=164, n=164,

would have substantially changed the findings from the 27,510 women in this analysis. Third, because the studies were not designed to evaluate spontaneous preterm birth, there was a possibility of inconsistency in definitions of this outcome between studies.

van Vliet et al Antiplatelets to Prevent Preterm Birth



^{*} Aspirin-only trials.

[†] Analysis including singleton pregnancies only (n=25,833).

Table 5. Sensitivity Analyses

	RR	95% CI	
Aspirin-only trials			
Spontaneous preterm			
birth (wk of gestation)			
37	0.92	0.849-0.998	.04
34	0.86	0.74-0.987	.03
28	0.80	0.582 - 1.110	.19
Placebo group-only trials			
Spontaneous preterm birth			
(wk of gestation)			
37	0.93	0.855 - 1.004	.06
34	0.86	0.746-0.995	.04
28	0.82	0.59–1.127	.22

RR, relative risk; CI, confidence interval.

However, because we used individual participant data and included only those studies that collected data on type of delivery (ie, birth after prelabor PROM, spontaneous labor with intact membranes, induced labor, nonlabor cesarean delivery), we minimized the potential bias resulting from inconsistency of outcome definition.

Finally, had the outcome of spontaneous preterm birth been part of an agreed core outcome data set for obstetric studies, it may have been part of the original Perinatal Antiplatelet Review of International Studies analysis, and thereby these important data would have been available earlier. Initiatives to standardize outcomes in obstetric research are now ongoing to improve this situation.⁴¹

In summary, administration of antiplatelet agents in pregnant women at risk for preeclampsia reduces the risk of spontaneous preterm birth by 7%. Moderate to very preterm birth at less than 34 weeks of gestation is reduced by 14%. Because antiplatelet agents in pregnancy are a low-cost and safe intervention, we suggest that antiplatelet agents may also be a promising intervention for women at high risk for a spontaneous preterm birth, especially in high-risk women with a previous pregnancy. The current study provides clinicians with the best available evidence to counsel women regarding who might benefit from this intervention.

REFERENCES

- Hack M, Fanaroff AA. Outcomes of children of extremely low birth weight in the 1990's. Early Hum Dev 1999;53:193–218.
- Ananth CV, Getahun D, Peltier MR, Salihu HM, Vintzileos AM. Recurrence of spontaneous versus medically indicated preterm birth. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2006;195:643–50.
- 3. Romero R, Dey SK, Fisher SJ. Preterm labor: one syndrome, many causes. Science 2014;345:760–5.

- 4. Voltolini C, Torricelli M, Conti N, Vellucci FL, Severi FM, Petraglia F. Understanding spontaneous preterm birth: from underlying mechanisms to predictive and preventive interventions. Reprod Sci 2013;20:1274–92.
- Goldenberg RL, Hauth JC, Andrews WW. Intrauterine infection and preterm delivery. N Engl J Med 2000;342:1500–7.
- Arias F, Rodriquez L, Rayne SC, Kraus FT. Maternal placental vasculopathy and infection: two distinct subgroups among patients with preterm labor and preterm ruptured membranes. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1993;168:585–91.
- Kelly R, Holzman C, Senagore P, Wang J, Tian Y, Rahbar MH, et al. Placental vascular pathology findings and pathways of preterm delivery. Am J Epidemiol 2009;170:148–58.
- Kim YM, Bujold E, Chaiworapongsa T, Gomez R, Yoon BH, Thaler HT, et al. Failure of physiologic transformation of the spiral arteries in patients with preterm labor and intact membranes. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2003;189:1063–9.
- Kim YM, Chaiworapongsa T, Gomez R, Bujold E, Yoon BH, Rotmensch S, et al. Failure of physiologic transformation of the spiral arteries in the placental bed in preterm premature rupture of membranes. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2002;187: 1137–42.
- Brosens I, Pijnenborg R, Vercruysse L, Romero R. The "Great Obstetrical Syndromes" are associated with disorders of deep placentation. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2011;204:193–201.
- 11. Chaiworapongsa T, Romero R, Tarca A, Kusanovic JP, Mittal P, Kim SK, et al. A subset of patients destined to develop spontaneous preterm labor has an abnormal angiogenic profile in maternal plasma: evidence in support of pathophysiologic heterogeneity of preterm labor derived from a longitudinal study. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2009;22:1122–39.
- Misra VK, Hobel CJ, Sing CF. Placental blood flow and the risk of preterm delivery. Placenta 2009;30:619–24.
- Askie LM, Duley L, Henderson-Smart DJ, Stewart LA; PARIS Collaborative Group. Antiplatelet agents for prevention of preeclampsia: a meta-analysis of individual patient data. Lancet 2007;369:1791–8.
- 14. Michael CA, Walters BNJ. Low-dose aspirin in the prevention of pre-eclampsia: current evaluation. In: Teoh ES, Shan Ratnam S, Macnaughton M, editors. Maternal physiology and pathology. The current status of gynaecology and obstetric series, volume 4. Carnforth (UK): Parthenon Pub Group Ltd; 1993:183–9.
- Morris JM, Jay RA, Ellwood DA, Cook C, Devonald KJ. A randomized controlled trial of aspirin in patients with abnormal uterine artery blood flow. Obstet Gynecol 1996;87:74–8.
- Gallery EDM, Ross MR, Hawkins M, Leslie G, Györy AZ. Low-dose aspirin in high risk pregnancy? Hypertens Pregnancy 1997;16:229–38.
- Rotchell YE, Cruikshank JK, Gay MP, Griffiths J, Stewart A, Farrell B, et al. Barbados Low Dose Aspirin Study in Pregnancy (BLASP): a randomised trial for the prevention of preeclampsia and its complications. BJOG 1998;105:286–92.
- Wang ZH, Li WJ. A prospective randomized placebocontrolled trial of low-dose aspirin for prevention on intrauterine growth retardation. Chin Med J 1996;109:238–42.
- Rogers MS, Fung HY, Hung CY. Calcium and low-dose aspirin prophylaxis in women at high risk of pregnancy-induced hypertension. Hypertens Pregnancy 1999;18:165–72.
- CLASP (Collaborative Low-dose Aspirin Study in Pregnancy) Collaborative Group. CLASP: a randomised trial of low-dose aspirin for the prevention and treatment of pre-eclampsia among 9364 pregnant women. Lancet 1994;343:619–29.

VOL. 129, NO. 2, FEBRUARY 2017

van Vliet et al Antiplatelets to Prevent Preterm Birth



- 21. Uzan S, Beaufils M, Breart G, Bazin B, Capitant C, Paris J. Prevention of foetal growth retardation with low-dose aspirin: findings of the EPREDA trial. Lancet 1991;337:1427–31.
- Subtil D, Goeusse P, Puech F, Leguien P, Biausgue S, Breart G, et al. Aspirin (100 mg) used for prevention of pre-eclampsia in nulliparous women: the Essai Régional Aspirine Mère-Enfant study (Part 1). BJOG 2003;110:475–84.
- Vainio M, KUjansuu E, Iso-Mustajärvi M, Mäenpää J. Low dose acetylsalicylic acid in prevention of pregnancy-induced hypertension and intrauterine growth retardation in women with bilateral uterine artery notches. BJOG 2002;109:161–7.
- Golding J. A randomised trial of low dose aspirin for primiparae in pregnancy. The Jamaica Low Dose Aspirin Study Group. BJOG 1998;105:293–9.
- Uzan S, Beaufils M, Bazin B, Danays T. Idiopathic recurrent foetal growth retardation and aspirin-dipyridamole therapy. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1989;160:763–4.
- 26. Yu CK, Papageorghiou AT, Parra M, Palma D, Nicolaides KH; Fetal Medicine Foundation Second Trimester Screening Group. Randomised controlled trial using low dose aspirin in the prevention of pre-eclampsia in women with abnormal uterine artery Doppler at 23 weeks' gestation. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2003;22:233–9.
- Hauth JC, Goldenberg RL, Parker CR Jr, Philips JB III, Copper RL, DuBard MB, et al. Low-dose aspirin therapy to prevent pre-eclampsia: safety considerations. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1993;168:1083–91.
- Sibai BM, Caritis S, Thom E, Klebanoff M, McNellis D, Rocco L, et al. Prevention of preeclampsia with low-dose aspirin in healthy, nulliparous pregnant women. The National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Network of Maternal-Fetal Medicine Units. N Engl J Med 1993;329:1213–8.
- Caritis S, Sibai BM, Hauth J, Lindheimer MD, Klebanoff M, Thom E, et al. Low-dose aspirin to prevent preeclampsia in women at high risk. National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Network of Maternal-Fetal Medicine Units. N Engl J Med 1998;338:701–5.
- Kaukola T, Herva R, Perhomaa M, Pääkkö E, Kingsmore S, Vainionpää L, et al. Population cohort associating chorioamnionitis, cord inflammatory cytokines and neurologic outcome in very preterm, extremely low birth weight infants. Pediatr Res 2006;59:478–83.

- 31. Polam S, Koons A, Anwar M, Shen-Schwarz S, Hegyi T. Effect of chorioamnionitis on neurodevelopmental outcome in preterm infants. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 2005;159:1032–5.
- van Vliet EO, de Kieviet JF, van der Voorn JP, Been JV, Oosterlaan J, van Elburg RM. Placental pathology and longterm neurodevelopment of very preterm infants. Am J Obst Gynecol 2012;206:489.e1–7.
- CLASP Collaborative Group. Low dose aspirin in pregnancy and early childhood development: follow up of the collaborative low dose aspirin study in pregnancy. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1995;102:861–8.
- Marret S, Marchand L, Kaminski M, Larroque B, Arnaud C, Truffert P, et al. Prenatal low-dose aspirin and neurobehavioral outcomes of children born very preterm. Pediatrics 2010;125: e29–34.
- Souza EV, Torloni MR, Atallah AN, Santos GM, Kulay L Jr, Sass N. Aspirin plus calcium supplementation to prevent superimposed preeclampsia: a randomized trial. Braz J Med Biol Res 2014;47:419–25.
- Lambers MJ, Groeneveld E, Hoozemans DA, Schats R, Homburg R, Lambalk CB, et al. Lower incidence of hypertensive complications during pregnancy in patients treated with low-dose aspirin during in vitro fertilization and early pregnancy. Hum Reprod 2009;24:2447–50.
- 37. Villa PM, Kajantie E, Räikkönen K, Pesonen AK, Hämäläinen E, Vainio M, et al. Aspirin in the prevention of pre-eclampsia in high-risk women: a randomised placebo-controlled PREDO Trial and a meta-analysis of randomised trials. BJOG 2013; 120:64–74.
- Talari H, Mesdaghinia E, Abedzadeh Kalahroudi M. Aspirin and preeclampsia prevention in patients with abnormal uterine artery blood flow. Iran Red Crescent Med J 2014;16:e17175.
- Bakhti A, Vaiman D. Prevention of gravidic endothelial hypertension by aspirin treatment administered from the 8th week of gestation. Hypertens Res 2011;34:1116–20.
- Jamal A, Milani F, Al-Yasin A. Evaluation of the effect of metformin and aspirin on utero placental circulation of pregnant women with PCOS. Iran J Reprod Med 2012;10:265–70.
- 41. Khan KS, Romero R; Chief Editors of Journals participating in the CROWN Initiative. The CROWN initiative: journal editors invite researchers to develop core outcomes in women's health. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2014;211:575–6.

