

HW 7

① $D = \text{the set of all ints}$

$$R = \{R, \mathbb{Z}\}$$

$$R_1 = <$$

p maps to R_1

$V_I(\forall x \exists y p(x, y)) = T$ since every int x , we can find a int y such that $x < y$

$V_I(\exists y \forall x p(x, y)) = F$ because you can't find a int y that is greater than itself and all other ints

Therefore $\forall x \exists y p(x, y) \neq \exists y \forall x p(x, y)$

②
$$\underbrace{\forall x p(x) \vee \exists x q(x)}_A \rightarrow \underbrace{\exists x [p(x) \vee q(x)]}_B$$

$A \rightarrow B$

Proof by contradiction:

$$V_I(A \rightarrow B) = F, \text{ iff } V_I(A) = T, V_I(B) = F$$

$$V_I(\exists x p(x) \vee q(x)) = F, \text{ means}$$

$$V_I(\exists x p(x)) = F \text{ and } V_I(\exists x q(x)) = F$$

since $V_I(\exists x p(x)) = F$, then

$$V_I(\forall x p(x)) = T$$

Therefore $\forall x p(x) \vee \exists x q(x) \rightarrow \exists x [p(x) \vee q(x)]$ is not valid

N - Norwegian U - Unfashionable
 D - Dane \leq predicate
 S - Sues

③ a. $\exists x. \text{Norwegian}(x) \wedge \text{unfashionable}(x)$

b. $\forall x. \text{Dane}(x) \rightarrow \text{Norwegian}(x)$

c. $\exists x. \text{Sues}(x, \text{Andrei})$

d. $\exists x. \text{Dane}(x) \wedge \text{Sues}(x, \text{Andrei})$

e. $\forall x. N(x) \rightarrow S(x, \text{Andrei})$

f. $\forall x \exists y. N(x) \rightarrow S(x, y)$

g. $\forall x. N(x) \wedge U(x) \rightarrow D(\text{Björnson}) \wedge S(x, \text{Björnson})$

h. $\exists x \forall y. N(y) \rightarrow S(y, x)$

i. $\exists x \forall y. U(x) \wedge D(x) \wedge ((N(y) \wedge U(y)) \rightarrow S(x, y))$

j. $\exists x \forall y. D(x) \wedge U(x) \wedge ((N(y) \wedge U(y)) \rightarrow S(y, \text{Andrei})) \rightarrow S(x, y)$

④ a. (i) 2 (ii) 1 (iii) 3

b. (i) 1 (ii) 3 (iii) 3 (iv) 2

c. (i) 1 (ii) 1 (iii) 3 (iv) 3

d. (i) 1 (ii) 1 (iii) 3 (iv) 1

11 32

c. (i) 1 (ii) 1 (iii) 3 (iv) 2