

Assignment 8 (noted graded) Solutions

1. State which of the following schedules S_1 , S_2 , and S_3 over transactions T_1 , T_2 , and T_3 are conflict-serializable, and for each of the schedules that is serializable, given a serial schedule with which that schedule is conflict-equivalent.

(a) $S_1 = R_1(x)R_2(y)R_1(z)R_2(x)R_1(y)$.

Solution: This schedule consists of just read operations. Thus, there are no conflicting pairs and thus all T_1 operations can be swapped with all T_2 operations and as such the schedule S_1 can be transformed to the serial schedule $R_1(x)R_1(z)R_1(y)R_2(y)R_2(x)$, i.e. the schedule $T_1; T_2$.

(b) $S_2 = R_1(x)W_2(y)R_1(z)R_3(z)W_2(x)R_1(y)$.

Solution: The precedence graph $P(S_2) = \{(T_1, T_2), (T_2, T_1)\}$ which is cyclic. Thus, S_2 is not serializable.

(c) $S_3 = R_1(z)W_2(x)R_2(z)R_2(y)W_1(x)W_3(z)W_1(y)R_3(x)$.

Solution: The precedence graph $P(S_3) = \{(T_1, T_3), (T_2, T_1), (T_2, T_3)\}$ which is acyclic. Thus, S_3 is serializable.

Using topological sort on this graph, S_3 is equivalent with the serial schedule $T_2; T_1; T_3$.

2. Given 3 transactions T_1 , T_2 , T_3 and a serializable schedule S on these transactions whose precedence graph (i.e. serialization graph) consists of the edges (T_1, T_2) and (T_1, T_3) . Give 2 serial schedules that are conflict-equivalent with S .

Solution: One of these serial schedules is $T_1; T_2; T_3$. Another is $T_1; T_3; T_2$.

3. Give 3 transactions T_1 , T_2 , T_3 and a schedule S on these transactions whose precedence graph (i.e. serialization graph) consists of the edges (T_1, T_2) , (T_2, T_3) , and (T_1, T_3) .

$$T_1 = R_1(x)R_1(z)$$

Solution: $T_2 = W_2(x)W_2(y)$

$$T_3 = W_3(y)W_3(z)$$

$$S = R_1(x)R_1(z)W_2(x)W_2(y)W_3(y)W_3(z).$$

4. Give 3 transactions T_1 , T_2 , T_3 and a schedule S on these transactions whose precedence graph (i.e. serialization graph) consists of the edges (T_1, T_2) , (T_2, T_1) , (T_1, T_3) , (T_3, T_2) .

$$T_1 = R_1(u)R_1(x)R_1(z)$$

Solution: $T_2 = W_2(u)W_2(x)W_2(w)$

$$T_3 = W_3(w)W_3(z)$$

$$S = W_2(u)R_1(u)R_1(x)R_1(z)W_2(x)W_3(w)W_3(z)W_2(w).$$

5. Give 3 transactions T_1 , T_2 , and T_3 that each involve read and write operations and a schedule S that is conflict-equivalent with **all** serial schedules over T_1 , T_2 , and T_3 .

Solution: We could pick the following transactions

$$T_1 = R_1(x)W_1(x)$$

$$T_2 = R_2(y)W_2(y)$$

$$T_3 = R_3(z)W_3(z)$$

In this example there are no conflicting pairs and therefore any schedule over T_1 , T_2 , and T_3 can be transformed into any serial schedule using appropriate swap operations of non-conflicting operations.

6. Consider the following transactions:

```
T1:  read(A);
      read(B);
      if A = 0 then B := B+1;
      write(B).
```

```
T2:  read(B);
      read(A);
      if B = 0 then A := A+1;
      write(A).
```

Let the consistency requirement be $A = 0 \vee B = 0$, and let $A = B = 0$ be the initial values.

- (a) Show that each serial schedule involving transactions T_1 and T_2 preserves the consistency requirement of the database.

Solution:

For the serial schedule $T_1; T_2$ the value for $A = 0$ and that for $B = 1$.

For the serial schedule $T_2; T_1$ the value for $A = 1$ and that for $B = 0$.

- (b) Construct a schedule on T_1 and T_2 that produces a non-serializable schedule.

Solution:

T1	T2
R(A)	
	R(B)
	R(A)
	if B= 0 then A := A+1
	W(A)
R(B)	
if A = 0 then B := B+1	
W(B)	

The precedence graph consists of the edges (T_1, T_2) and (T_2, T_1) . This is a cyclic graph so this schedule is not serializable.

- (c) Is there a non-serial schedule on T_1 and T_2 that produces a serializable schedule. If so, give an example.

Solution:

The answer is no.

Assume that the schedule begins with $R1(A)$. Observe that this action conflicts with $W2(A)$.

Assume that we consider the partial schedule $R1(A) R2(B)$. Observe that $R2(B)$ conflicts with $W1(B)$. So if we start the schedule with $R1(A)R2(B)$ we get a cyclic precedence graph. Consequently, if we begin the schedule with $R1(A)$ then the next action must be $R1(B)$. So we must have $R1(A)R1(B)$. We could now consider $R2(B)$. But this will again create a cycle in the precedence graph. Thus we are required to do " $R1(A)R1(B)$ if $A = 0$ then $B := B + 1$ ". Given this if we consider $R2(B)$ we again have a problem since we will get a cyclic precedence graph. Thus we conclude that if we begin our schedule with $R1(A)$, then we must execute the serial schedule $T_1; T_2$.

Now assume that we begin the schedule with $R2(B)$. A similar analysis as above shows that if we want a serializable schedule, then we need to execute the serial schedule $T_2; T_1$.

- (d) i. Add lock and unlock instructions to T_1 and T_2 , so that they observe the two-phase locking protocol, but in such a way that interleaving between operations in T_1 and T_2 is still possible.

Solution By the previous argument, this can not be done.

- ii. Can the execution of these transactions result in a deadlock? If so, give an example.

Solution.

Yes this can happen. Notice the following initial segment of a possible schedule $l_1(A)l_2(B)r_1(A)r_2(B)$ at this point (1) T_1 wants to read B but T_2 has a lock on B and (2) T_2 wants to read A but T_1 has a lock on A . So this schedule deadlocks.