CSC279 HW3

Hanzhang Yin

Oct/2/2023

Collaborator

Chenxi Xu, Yekai Pan, Yiling Zou, Boyi Zhang

Question 10

(PART A)

Parametrizing the Segment pq:

Let $p = (x_0, y_0)$ and $q = (x_1, y_1)$ be the endpoints of the segment pq. Any point x(t) on pq can be expressed as:

$$x(t) = (1-t)p + tq = ((1-t)x_0 + tx_1, (1-t)y_0 + ty_1), t \in [0,1]$$

Dual Lines Corresponding to Points on pq:

The dual line $\hat{x}(t)$ Corresponding to x(t) is:

$$y = A(t)x - B(t)$$

where:

$$A(t) = (1-t)x_0 + tx_1$$

$$B(t) = (1 - t)y_0 + ty_1$$

Now we can express rewrite the equation of $\hat{x}(t)$ as:

$$y = [x_0 + t(x_1 - x_0)]x - [y_0 + t(y_1 - y_0)]$$

$$= x_0x - y_0 + t[(x_1 - x_0)x - (y_1 - y_0)]$$

Let:

$$D = (x_1 - x_0)x - (y_1 - y_0)$$

Then:

$$y = x_0 x - y_0 + tD$$

For a fixed x, y varies linearly with t from $y = x_0x - y_0$ (when t = 0) to $y = x_1x - y_1$ (when t = 1). The Union of all dual lines $\hat{x}(t)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$ is the set of all points (x, y) in the plane satisfying:

$$\min(y_0, y_1) \le y - x \cdot \min(x_0, x_1) \le \max(y_0, y_1)$$

Equivilantly, by eliminating parameter t, we can get similar inequality: We aim to eliminate the parameter t from the parametric equations to describe the union of dual lines $\hat{x}(t)$.

Solving for t:

$$t = \frac{y - x_0 x + y_0}{D}$$

Note: The sign of D affects the inequality direction. If D > 0, then

$$0 \le \frac{y - x_0 x + y_0}{D} \le 1 \Rightarrow 0 \le y - x_0 x + y_0 \le D$$

If D < 0, then

$$0 \ge \frac{y - x_0 x + y_0}{D} \ge 1 \Rightarrow D \le y - x_0 x + y_0 \le 0$$

Both cases can be unified by the product inequality:

$$(y - x_0x + y_0)(y - x_1x + y_1) \le 0$$

This inequality describes the region between the lines $y = x_0x - y_0$ and $y = x_1x - y_1$, where the expressions $y - x_0x + y_0$ and $y - x_1x + y_1$ have opposite signs or are zero.

Hence, the union of all dual lines is:

$$(y-x_0x+y_0)(y-x_1x+y_1) \le 0$$

This inequality describes all points (x, y) that lie between $y = x_0x - y_0$, $y = x_1x - y_1$. which forms a region called a "double wedge".

```
(PART B)
Input:
    S = \{ s_i \mid i = 1 \text{ to } n \}
output:
    l_max # Line has max. intersections point with other sections
Algorithm FindMaxIntersectingLine(S):
    Initialize an empty list L_dual_lines.
    For each segement s_i in S do:
        Let p_i = (x_{0i}, y_{0i}) and q_i = (x_{1i}, y_{1i})
        Compute the dual lines:
            L_{p_i}: y = x_{0i} x - y_{0i}
            L_{q_i}: y = x_{1i} x - y_{01}
        \label{eq:local_lines} \mbox{Add $L_{p_i}$ and $L_{q_i}$ to $L_{dual_lines}$.}
    Construct the arrangement A of the lines in L_{dual_lines}:
        # TIME COMPLEXITY: O(n^2logn)
        Use the line sweep algorithm to compute the A.
        Store the faces, edges, and vertices of the A.
    Initialize count c_f = 0 for a starting PLANE f_0 at INFINITY
    Traverse arrangement A to label each face with the number
    of double wedges covering it:
        # TIME COMPLEXITY: O(n^2)
        For each edge e in A:
            Determine which double wedges have boundaries along e
            For each face f adjacent to e:
                *c_{f'} is the count of the adjacent face before crossing e
                If crossing e enters a double wedge W_i, then c_f = c_{f'} + 1
                If crossing e exits a double wedge W_i, then c_f = c_{f'} - 1
    Keep track of the face f_max with the maximum count c_max during traversal
    Let (a_max, b_max) be a point inside face f_max.
    Compute the line l_max in the primal plane corresponding to (a_max, b_max):
        l_max: y = a_max x - b_max
    Return l_max
```

Question 11

```
Input:
    Simple polygon P given as a list of vertices [v1, v2, ..., vn] in order
Output:
    Whether there exists a line 1 such that P is monotone w.r.t. 1
Algorithm DetermineMonotonicity(P):
    BadIntervals = empty_list()
    # TIME COMPLEXITY: O(n)
    For i from i to n:
        v_{prev} = P[(i - 2) \mod n]
        v = P[i - 1]
        v_next = P[i mod n]
        Compute vectors e1 = v - v_prev
        Compute vectors e2 = v_next - v
        Compute cross product cp = e1.x * e2.y - e1.y * e2.x
        If cp < 0 (vertex is concave):</pre>
            Compute angles a1 = atan2(e1.y, e1.x) mod 2PI
            Compute angles a2 = atan2(e2.y, e2.x) mod 2PI
            # Compute perpendicular angles
            Theta1 = (a1 + PI/2) \mod 2PI
            Theta2 = (a2 + PI/2) \mod 2PI
            delta_theta = (Theta2 - Theta1) mod 2PI
            If delta_theta > PI:
                Swap Theta1 and Theta2
            # Create Interval
            If Theta1 <= Theta2:</pre>
                Interval = [Theta1, Theta2]
            Else:
                # NOTE: 'v' denotes union
                Interval = [Theta1, 2PI) v [0, Theta2]
            Add Interval to BadIntervals
    # TIME COMPLEXITY: O(nlogn)
    Sort BadIntervals by their start angles
    Merge overlapping intervals in BadIntervals to get a list of disjoint intervals
    If the merged intervals cover [0, 2PI):
        return FALSE
```

return TRUE

Question 12

```
Input:
    RedPoints = [(x1, y1), (x2, y2), ..., (xn, yn)]
    BluePoints = [ (x1', y1'), (x2', y2'), ..., (xn', yn') ]
Output:
    Coefficients (a, b, c) defining the parabola y = a x^2 + b x + c
    or report "No solution exists" if impossible
Algorithm FindSeparatingParabola(RedPoints, BluePoints):
    Initialize an empty list Constraints = []
   # NOTE: e is a small positive number
    # TIME COMPLEXITY: O(n)
   For each red point (x_i, y_i) in RedPoints do:
        Make inequality:
            a x_i^2 + b x_i + c - y_i < 0
        Convert to standard LP form (inequalities with <=):</pre>
            a x_i^2 + b x_i + c - y_i \le -e
        Add this to Constraints
    # TIME COMPLEXITY: O(n)
    For each blue point (x_j, y_j) in RedPoints do:
        Make inequality:
            a x_j^2 + b x_j + c - y_j > 0
        Convert to standard LP form (inequalities with <=):</pre>
            -a x_j^2 - b x_j - c + y_j \le -e
        Add this to Constraints
    ObjectiveFunction: Minimize 0
    # TIME COMPLEXITY: O(n)
    Solution = LinearProgramming(Constraints, ObjectiveFunction)
    If Solution is feasible then:
       Output "Parabola found with coefficients:"
       Output "a =", Solution.a
       Output "b =", Solution.b
       Output "c =", Solution.c
    Output "No solution exists"
```

Question 13

For this question, we use an approximation to replace L_2 norm in order to use LP

$$\begin{cases} r \ge 0 \\ w \ge 0 \\ \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \forall j \in \{1, \dots, k\} : \\ (p_{i,x} - c_x) \cos \theta_j + (p_{i,y} - c_y) \sin \theta_j \ge r \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right) \\ (p_{i,x} - c_x) \cos \theta_j + (p_{i,y} - c_y) \sin \theta_j \le (r + w) \sec \left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right) \end{cases}$$

Variables

- c_x, c_y : Coordinates of the center c of the annulus
- $r \ge 0$: Inner Radius
- $w \ge 0$: Width of the annulus (w = R r)

Objective Function:

 $\min w$

Constraint:

- 1. $r \ge 0, \ w \ge 0$
- 2. To approximate L_1 norm, we pick k directions. For a regular k-gon inscribed in the unit circle, define angles:

$$\theta_j = \frac{2\pi j}{k}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, k$$

3. Compute unit vectors in these directions:

$$u_j = (\cos \theta_j, \sin \theta_j)$$

- 4. For each point $p_i = (p_{i,x}, p_{i,y})$ and each direction u_j :
 - Inner Boundary Constraint:

$$(p_{i,x} - c_x)\cos\theta_j + (p_{i,y} - c_y)\sin\theta_j \ge r\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right)$$

• Outer Boundary Constraint:

$$(p_{i,x} - c_x)\cos\theta_j + (p_{i,y} - c_y)\sin\theta_j \le (r + w)\sec\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right)$$

The factors $\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right)$ and $\sec\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right)$ adjust for the approximation error due to replacing the circle with a regular k-gon.