

MATH 265 HW5

Hanzhang Yin

Sep/26/2024

Question 1

(a)

We can analysis this question by the parity of $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

1. When n is odd, $(-1)^n = -1$. Hence the term becomes:

$$-\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) = -1 + \frac{1}{n}$$

When $n \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow -1 + \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow -1$$

Hence, for all odd n , $-1 + \frac{1}{n} < -1$

2. When n is even, $(-1)^n = 1$. Hence the term becomes:

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$$

When $n \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow 1 - \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 1$$

Hence, for all even n , $1 - \frac{1}{n} \leq 1$

Since every element $s \in S$, $s \leq 1$. By definition, 1 is an upper bound of S .

(b)

Proof. If M is an upper bound for S , then $M \geq s$, for every element $s \in S$. By our analysis in (a), it is sufficient to only consider the case when n is even. Suppose $M < 1$ and M is an upper bound of S .

$$n \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow 1 - \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 1$$

. In this case, there exists a $s \in S$ s.t. $1 - \frac{1}{n} > M$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. This derived a contradiction, hence $M \geq 1$. \square

(c)

Proof. From part (a), 1 is an upper bound of S , and from part (b), no number less than 1 can be an upper bound. Thus by definition, the supremum of S is 1 (i.e. $\sup S = 1$). \square

Question 2

Noting that by definition $A + B = \{a + b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$

Proof. First we need to show that $\sup(A + B) \leq \sup A + \sup B$:
let $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. By definition of supremum, we have $a \leq \sup A$ and $b \leq \sup B$.

Therefore, for any $a \in A$ and $b \in B$:

$$a + b \leq \sup A + \sup B$$

Since $A + B = \{a + b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$, every element in $A + B$ is less than or equal to $\sup A + \sup B$. Therefore, $\sup(A + B) \leq \sup A + \sup B$

Then we need to show $\sup(A + B) \geq \sup A + \sup B$:

Let $\epsilon > 0$, by definition of supremum, for set A and B :

- $\exists a_\epsilon \in A : \sup A - \epsilon < a_\epsilon < \sup A$
- $\exists b_\epsilon \in B : \sup B - \epsilon < b_\epsilon < \sup B$

Now consider $a_\epsilon + b_\epsilon \in A + B$. Then:

$$(\sup A - \epsilon) + (\sup B - \epsilon) < a_\epsilon + b_\epsilon \leq \sup A + \sup B$$

$$\Rightarrow \sup A + \sup B - 2\epsilon < a_\epsilon + b_\epsilon \leq \sup A + \sup B$$

Noting that ϵ is arbitrary, as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$:

$$\Rightarrow \sup A + \sup B < a_\epsilon + b_\epsilon \leq \sup A + \sup B$$

Indicating $a_\epsilon + b_\epsilon \rightarrow \sup A + \sup B$ Thus, $\sup A + \sup B$ is the supremum of $A + B$, we get

$$\sup A + \sup B \leq \sup(A + B)$$

By combining two steps, we have $\sup A + \sup B = \sup(A + B)$ \square

Question 3

Proof. Let $x > 1$ and consider the set $S = \{x^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$.

For any $M \in \mathbb{R}$, we want to show that there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $x^n > M$

Taking natural logarithm on both sides of the inequality $x^n > M$, we get:

$$n \cdot \ln(x) > \ln(M)$$

Since $\ln(x) > 0$, noting $x > 1$, we can solve for n :

$$n > \frac{\ln(M)}{\ln(x)}$$

Note that RHS is a constant, let $N = \lceil \frac{\ln(M)}{\ln(x)} \rceil + 1$,
Hence, for $n = N$, we have:

$$x^n > M$$

For any $M \in \mathbb{R}$, we can found $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ s.t. $x^n > M$. Thus, the set is not bounded from above. \square

Question 4

For example:

$$I_n = \left[1 + \frac{1}{n}, 4 - \frac{1}{n} \right)$$

For each positive integer n .

Property verification:

Proof. Part 1: We show that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n = (1, 4)$.

(i) $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \subseteq (1, 4)$: Let $x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$. Then $x \in I_n$ for some n , i.e., $1 + \frac{1}{n} \leq x < 4 - \frac{1}{n}$. Since $\frac{1}{n} > 0$, it follows that $1 < x < 4$. Thus, $x \in (1, 4)$, and hence $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \subseteq (1, 4)$.

(ii) $(1, 4) \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$: Let $x \in (1, 4)$. Define $\epsilon = \min\{x - 1, 4 - x\} > 0$. Choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{N} < \epsilon$. Then, for all $n \geq N$, we have $1 + \frac{1}{n} < x < 4 - \frac{1}{n}$, so $x \in I_n$. Thus, $x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$, and hence $(1, 4) \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$.
Combining (i) and (ii), we obtain $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n = (1, 4)$.

Part 2: We show that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n = [2, 3]$.

(i) $[2, 3] \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$: Let $x \in [2, 3]$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 + \frac{1}{n} \leq 2 \leq x \leq 3 \leq 4 - \frac{1}{n}$. Thus, $x \in I_n$ for all n , implying $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$. Therefore, $[2, 3] \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$.

(ii) $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \subseteq [2, 3]$: Let $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$. Then $x \in I_n$ for all n , i.e., $1 + \frac{1}{n} \leq x < 4 - \frac{1}{n}$ for all n . As $n \rightarrow \infty$, $1 + \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 1$ and $4 - \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 4$. Thus, $x \geq 2$ (since x cannot be less than 2 for all large n) and $x \leq 3$ (since x cannot be greater than 3 for all large n). Hence, $x \in [2, 3]$.

Combining (i) and (ii), we obtain $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n = [2, 3]$. \square