Homework 4 (interpolation)

Hanzhang Yin

October 10, 2024

1. Let M be a Markov matrix (sum of entries in a column is 1) with all diagonal entries nonzero. Show that the only possible eigenvalue with norm 1 is 1, and that any other eigenvalue has strictly smaller norm. Hint: apply the gershgorin circle theorem to M^T .

Solution.

Proof. *NOTE: \mathbb{C} : Complex Number, and Re(): Real Number part.

Let M be an $n \times n$ Markov matrix with all diagonal entries $M_{ii} > 0$. Since M is column stochastic, its transpose M^T is row stochastic, i.e., $\sum_{j=1}^n M_{ij}^T = 1$ for all i. By the Gershgorin Circle Theorem, every eigenvalue λ of M^T (and hence of M) lies within at least one disc D_i centered at M_{ii} with radius $R_i = 1 - M_{ii}$:

$$D_i = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - M_{ii}| \le 1 - M_{ii} \}.$$

Suppose λ is an eigenvalue with $|\lambda| = 1$. Then,

$$|\lambda - M_{ii}| \leq 1 - M_{ii}$$
.

But since $|\lambda| = 1$ and $M_{ii} > 0$,

$$|\lambda - M_{ii}| \ge |\lambda| - M_{ii} = 1 - M_{ii}.$$

Thus,

$$|\lambda - M_{ii}| = 1 - M_{ii},$$

which means λ lies on the boundary of D_i . Expanding,

$$|\lambda - M_{ii}|^2 = (1 - M_{ii})^2,$$

$$|\lambda|^2 - 2M_{ii} \operatorname{Re}(\lambda) + M_{ii}^2 = 1 - 2M_{ii} + M_{ii}^2,$$

$$1 - 2M_{ii} \operatorname{Re}(\lambda) + M_{ii}^2 = 1 - 2M_{ii} + M_{ii}^2.$$

Subtracting $1 - 2M_{ii} + M_{ii}^2$ from both sides yields:

$$-2M_{ii}\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) = -2M_{ii}$$
.

Since $M_{ii} > 0$, dividing both sides by $-2M_{ii}$ gives:

$$Re(\lambda) = 1.$$

With $|\lambda| = 1$ and $\text{Re}(\lambda) = 1$, it follows that $\lambda = 1$. Therefore, the only eigenvalue of M with modulus 1 is 1, and all other eigenvalues satisfy $|\lambda| < 1$.

2. [Book 6.4.14] Determine whether the following is a natural cubic spline:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 2(x+1)^3 + (x+1)^3 & x \in [-1,0] \\ 3 + 5x + 3x^2 & x \in [0,1] \\ 11 + 11(x-1) + 3(x-1)^2 - (x-1)^3 & x \in [1,2] \end{cases}$$

Solution.

Proof. To determine whether f(x) is a natural cubic spline on [-1,2], we need to check the following criteria:

- 1. f(x) must be twice continuously differentiable on [-1,2].
- 2. The second derivatives at the endpoints must be zero: f''(-1) = f''(2) = 0 (natural boundary conditions).

To start with, we simplify each piece of f(x):

1. For $x \in [-1, 0]$:

$$f(x) = 2(x+1)^3 + (x+1)^3 = 3(x+1)^3$$
.

2. For $x \in [0, 1]$:

$$f(x) = 3 + 5x + 3x^2.$$

3. For $x \in [1, 2]$:

$$f(x) = 11 + 11(x - 1) + 3(x - 1)^{2} - (x - 1)^{3}$$
$$= 11 + 11(x - 1) + 3(x - 1)^{2} - (x - 1)^{3}.$$

First, check continuity at the knots x = 0 and x = 1:

- At x = 0:

$$\lim_{x \to 0^{-}} f(x) = 3(0+1)^{3} = 3(1)^{3} = 3,$$
$$\lim_{x \to 0^{+}} f(x) = 3 + 5(0) + 3(0)^{2} = 3.$$

So, f(x) is continuous at x = 0.

- At x = 1:

$$\lim_{x \to 1^{-}} f(x) = 3 + 5(1) + 3(1)^{2} = 11,$$

$$\lim_{x \to 1^{+}} f(x) = 11 + 11(1 - 1) + 3(1 - 1)^{2} - (1 - 1)^{3} = 11.$$

So, f(x) is continuous at x = 1.

Now, Compute the first derivative in each interval:

For $x \in [-1, 0]$:

$$f'(x) = 3 \cdot 3(x+1)^2 = 9(x+1)^2.$$

2. For $x \in [0, 1]$:

$$f'(x) = 5 + 6x.$$

3. For $x \in [1, 2]$:

$$f'(x) = 11 + 6(x - 1) - 3(x - 1)^{2}.$$

Then, check the continuity of the first derivative at x = 0:

- From the left:

$$\lim_{x \to 0^{-}} f'(x) = 9(0+1)^{2} = 9(1)^{2} = 9.$$

- From the right:

$$\lim_{x \to 0^+} f'(x) = 5 + 6(0) = 5.$$

Since $\lim_{x\to 0^-} f'(x) \neq \lim_{x\to 0^+} f'(x)$, the first derivative f'(x) is not continuous at x=0. Conclusion:

Because f'(x) is not continuous at x = 0, f(x) is not once continuously differentiable on [-1, 2]. A natural cubic spline requires the function to be twice continuously differentiable on the interval and to satisfy the natural boundary conditions. Therefore, f(x) is **not** a natural cubic spline.

3. [Book 6.4.25] Determine coefficients a, b, c, d, which make the following a cubic spline:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x^3 & -1 \le x \le 0\\ a + bx + cx^2 + dx^3 & 0 \le x \le 1 \end{cases}$$

Solution.

Proof. Define f(x) and its derivatives:

For $x \in [-1, 0]$:

$$f(x) = x^3, f'(x) = 3x^2, f''(x) = 6x$$

For $x \in [0, 1]$:

$$f(x) = a + bx + cx^{2} + dx^{3}, f'(x) = b + 2cx + 3dx^{2}, f''(x) = 2c + 6dx$$

Continuity at x = 0:

By definition, function countinuity means: $f(0^-) = f(0^+)$:

Calculate each side:

$$f(0^{-}) = (0)^{3} = 0, f(0^{+}) = a + b(0) + c(0)^{2} + d(0)^{3} = a$$

Set them equal so that a = 0.

By definition, first derivative countinuity countinuity means: $f'(0^-) = f'(0^+)$: Calculate each side:

$$f(0^{-}) = 3(0)^{2} = 0, f(0^{+}) = b + 2c(0) + 3d(0)^{2} = b$$

Set them equal so that b = 0.

By definition, second derivative countinuity countinuity means: $f''(0^-) = f''(0^+)$:

Calculate each side:

$$f(0^-) = 6(0)^3 = 0, f(0^+) = 2c + 6d(0) = 2c$$

Set them equal so that $2c = 0 \Rightarrow c = 0$.

Now we need to determine d using the spline's definition:

Since a = b = c = 0, the functiona for $x \in [0, 1]$ simplifies to:

$$f(x) = dx^3$$

Ensure Smoothness at x = 1: Eventhough the function is not defined beyond x = 1, we typically ant to the spline to be as smooth as possible. In general, d can be any real number.

Assuming we want f(x) to be continuous at x = 1, and since $f(x) = x^3$ on [-1, 0], it is reasonable to extern this to [0, 1] by let d = 1.

Therefore, the coefficients are:

$$a = 0, b = 0, c = 0, d = 1$$

This makes $f(x) = x^3$ on both intervals, ensuring that the function and its derivatives are continuous across the entire domain [-1, 1].

- **4.** Let $f(x) = \arctan(x)$
- a) Suppose you interpolated f(x) by a degree 3 polynomial using the Chebyshev nodes as x values [you do not need to calculate the interpolating polynomial]. Estimate the error associated to this interpolation.
- b) Using a taylor series around 0, write down a degree 5 approximation to f(x).
- c) With Taylor's form of the remainder, estimate the error associated to the interpolation in (b). (you may use a computer to calculate the 6th derivative, but you must bound it on your own, explaining your work carefully)
- d) Compare your error estimates (a) and (c). Which seems better, and why do you think this might be the case? Hint: taylor series are a little like interpolating just at a single point, using derivatives at just that point to provide extra constraints.

Solution.

(a):

Proof. By definition, for poly. interpolation, the error at a point x is given by:

$$|f(x) - P_n(x)| = \left| \frac{f^{(n+1)}(\xi)}{(n+1)!} \prod_{i=0}^{n} (x - x_i) \right|$$

Using the Chebyshev nodes, for n = 3 (degree of 3 polynomial), the Chebyshev nodes on the interval [-1, 1] are:

$$x_k = \cos\left(\frac{2k+1}{2(n+1)\pi}\right), \ k = 0, 1, 2, 3$$

Computerd nodes:

$$x_0 = \cos(\frac{\pi}{8}) \approx 0.924$$

$$x_1 = \cos(\frac{3\pi}{8}) \approx 0.383$$

$$x_2 = \cos(\frac{5\pi}{8}) \approx -0.383$$

$$x_2 = \cos(\frac{7\pi}{8}) \approx -0.924$$

For Chebyshev nodes on [-1,1], the term $\prod_{i=0}^{n} |x-x_i|$ is bounded by:

$$\prod_{i=0}^{n} |x - x_i| \le \frac{1}{2^n}$$

Now we need to find an upper bound M for $|f^{(4)}(x)|$ on [-1,1]. Compute $f^{(4)}(x)$:

First derivative:

$$f^{(1)}(x) = \frac{1}{1 - x^2}$$

Second derivative:

$$f^{(2)}(x) = \frac{d}{dx}(\frac{1}{1-x^2}) = -\frac{2x}{(1+x^2)^2}$$

Third derivative:

$$f^{(3)}(x) = \frac{d}{dx}\left(-\frac{2x}{(1+x^2)^2}\right) = -\frac{2(1+x^2)^2 - 8x^2(1+x^2)}{(1+x^2)^4} = -\frac{2(1-3x^2)}{(1+x^2)^3}$$

Fourth derivative:

$$f^{(4)}(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \left(-\frac{2(1-3x^2)}{(1+x^2)^3}\right) = \frac{2(6x(1+x^2)^3 - 3(1-3x^2)(3)(1+x^2)^2(2x))}{(1+x^2)^6}$$

Thus, the absolute value of the fourth derivative is:

$$|f^{(4)}(x)| = \left| \frac{24x(x^2 - 1)}{(1 + x^2)^4} \right|$$

To find an upper bound M for $|f^{(4)}(x)|$ on [-1,1], we analyze the numerator and the denominator separately:

- Numerator Analysis:
 - For $x \in [-1, 1], |x| \le 1$. Also, $|x^2 1| \le 1$, because $x^2 \le 1$, which implies $|x^2 1| \le 1$.
- Denominator Analysis: - For $x \in [-1,1], \ 1+x^2 \ge 1.$ Therefore, $(1+x^2)^4 \ge 1^4 = 1.$

Combining these results, we have:

$$|f^{(4)}(x)| = \left| \frac{24x(x^2 - 1)}{(1 + x^2)^4} \right| \le \frac{24 \cdot 1 \cdot 1}{1} = 24$$

Thus, the maximum value of $|f^{(4)}(x)|$ on [-1,1] is:

$$M = 24.$$

Lastly, we can apply the error formula:

$$|f(x) - P_3(x)| \le \frac{M}{4!} \cdot \prod_{k=0}^{3} |x - x_k| \le \frac{24}{24} \cdot \frac{1}{8} = \frac{1}{8} = 0.125$$

The maximum interpolation error when approximating f(x) = arctan(x) on [-1, 1] using a degree 3 polynomial with Chebyshev nodes is bounded by 0.125.

(b):

Proof. Recall that Taylor Series expansion of arctan(x) around x=0 is:

$$\arctan(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^{2n+1}}{2n+1}, \ |x| \le 1$$

For Degree of 5 polynomial approximation, we can have:

$$f(x) \approx x - \frac{x^3}{3} + \frac{x^5}{5} + O(x^6)$$

(c):

Proof. By Taylor Remainder Theorem, the remainder $R_5(x)$ for a degree 5 Taylor polynomial is:

$$R_5 = \frac{f^{(6)}(\xi)}{6!} \ x^6$$

By calculator, $f^{(6)}(x)$ is:

$$f^{(6)}(x) = -\frac{240x(3x^4 - 10x^2 + 3)}{(x^2 + 1)^6}$$

To apply the theorem, we need to find an upper bound $M | f^{(6)}(x) |$ on [-1, 1].

$$|f^{(6)}(x)| = \left| -\frac{240x(3x^4 - 10x^2 + 3)}{(x^2 + 1)^6} \right| = \frac{240|x||3x^4 - 10x^2 + 3|}{(x^2 + 1)^6}$$

Bounding |x|:

$$|x| \le 1 \ for \ x \in [-1, 1]$$

Bounding $|3x^4 - 10x^2 + 3|$:

Let $g(x) = 3x^4 - 10x^2 + 3$. To find the maximum of |g(x)| on [-1, 1], we analyze its critical points and endpoints.

1. Find critical points:

$$g'(x) = 12x^3 - 20x = 4x(3x^2 - 5) = 0$$

 $\Rightarrow x = 0 \text{ or } x = \pm\sqrt{\frac{5}{3}} \approx \pm 1.291$

Only x = 0 lies within [-1, 1].

2. Evaluate g(x) at critical and end points:

$$g(0) = 3(0)^4 - 10(0)^2 + 3 = 3$$
$$g(1) = 3(1)^4 - 10(1)^2 + 3 = 3 - 10 + 3 = -4 \Rightarrow |g(1)| = 4$$
$$g(-1) = 3(-1)^4 - 10(-1)^2 + 3 = 3 - 10 + 3 = -4 \Rightarrow |g(1)| = 4$$

Thus, $|g(x)| \le 4$ for $x \in [-1, 1]$

Bounding the denominator $(x^2 + 1)^6$: On [-1, 1]:

$$1 \le x^2 + 1 \le 2 \implies 1^6 \le (x^2 + 1)^6 \le 2^6 = 64$$

Lastly, we combine the bounds:

$$|f^{(6)}(x)| = \frac{240|x||3x^4 - 10x^2 + 3|}{(x^2 + 1)^6} \le \frac{240 \times 1 \times 4}{1} = 960$$
 at $x = \pm 1$

However, evaluating at $x = \pm 1$:

$$|f^{(6)}(\pm 1)| = \frac{240 \times 1 \times 4}{(1+1)^6} = \frac{960}{64} = 15$$

Since $|f^{(6)}(x)|$ attains its maximum at $x = \pm 1$, we set:

$$M = 15$$

Substituting M = 15 and 6! = 720 into the remainder formula:

$$|R_5(x)| \le \frac{15}{720}|x|^6 = \frac{1}{48}|x|^6 \approx 0.0208|x|^6$$

Since $|x| \le 1$ on [-1, 1]:

$$|R_5(x)| \le \frac{1}{48} \approx 0.0208$$

(d):

In comparing the error estimates from parts (a) and (c), the Taylor series approximation (part c) yields a significantly smaller error bound of $|R_5(x)| \leq 0.0208$ over the interval [-1,1], compared to the Chebyshev interpolation (part a) which has an error bound of $|f(x) - P_3(x)| \leq 0.125$. This superior accuracy of the Taylor approximation arises because it utilizes a higher-degree polynomial (degree 5 versus degree 3) and incorporates derivative information at a single point (x = 0), allowing for a more precise local fit. In contrast, Chebyshev interpolation distributes interpolation nodes across the entire interval to minimize the maximum error uniformly but does not exploit derivative information, resulting in a larger overall error bound. Therefore, the Taylor series provides a better error estimate in this case due to its enhanced local accuracy near the expansion point.

5. Determine a quadratic spline approximation S(x) to $f(x) = \arctan(x)$ with nodes -1, 0, 1.

Solution.

Proof. First we need to define S(x) as a peicewise quadratic function:

$$S(x) \begin{cases} S_1(x) = a_1 x^2 + b_1 x + c_1, & \text{for } x \in [-1, 0], \\ S_2(x) = a_2 x^2 + b_2 x + c_2, & \text{for } x \in [0, 1], \end{cases}$$

Then we apply the interpolation conditions, computing the function values at the nodes:

$$f(-1) = \arctan(-1) = -\frac{\pi}{4}$$

$$f(0) = \arctan(0) = 0$$

$$f(1) = \arctan(1) = \frac{\pi}{4}$$

interpolation at x = -1:

$$S_1(-1) = a_1(-1)^2 + b_1(-1) + c_1 = -\frac{\pi}{4}$$

interpolation at x = 0: For both $S_1(x)$ and $S_2(x)$:

$$S_1(0) = c_1 = 0, \ S_2(0) = c_2 = 0$$

interpolation at x = 1:

$$S_2(-1) = a_2(1)^2 + b_2(1) + c_2 = \frac{\pi}{4}$$

Then, we apply continuity conditions at x = 0, Continuity of the function at x = 0:

$$S_1(0) = S_2(0) \Longrightarrow c_1 = c_2 = 0$$

Continuity of the First Derivative at x=0:

$$S_1'(x) = 2a_1x + b_1$$

$$S_2'(x) = 2a_2x + b_2$$

At x = 0:

$$S_1'(x) = b_1, \ S_2'(x) = b_2$$

Set them equal:

$$b_1 = b_2 = b$$

After that, we apply second continuity conditions at endpoints, Compute the function's second derivatives at the endpoints:

$$f'(x) = \frac{1}{1+x^2}$$

$$f''(x) = -\frac{2x}{(1+x^2)^2}$$

At x = -1:

$$f''(-1) = -\frac{2(-1)}{(1+(-1)^2)^2} = \frac{2}{4}\frac{1}{2}$$

Set:

$$S_1''(x) = 2a_1 = f''(-1) \Longrightarrow a_1 = \frac{1}{4}$$

At x = 1:

$$f''(1) = -\frac{2(1)}{(1+1^2)^2} = -\frac{2}{4} = -\frac{1}{2}$$

Set:

$$S_2''(x) = 2a_2 = f''(1) \Longrightarrow a_2 = -\frac{1}{4}$$

Now we can solve for the remaining coefficients, Equation from x = -1:

$$S_1(-1) = a_1(-1)^2 + b_1(-1) + c_1 = \frac{1}{4}(1) - b_1 + 0 = -\frac{\pi}{4}$$
$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{4} - b_1 = -\frac{\pi}{4} \Longrightarrow b_1 = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\pi}{4} = \frac{1+\pi}{4}$$

Equation from x = 1:

$$S_2(-1) = a_2(-1)^2 + b_2(-1) + c_2 = \frac{1}{4}(1) - b_2 + 0 = \frac{\pi}{4}$$
$$\Rightarrow -\frac{1}{4} - b_2 = \frac{\pi}{4} \Longrightarrow b_2 = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\pi}{4} = \frac{1+\pi}{4}$$

Since $b_1 = b_2 = b$, this is consistent.

Now, we can Substituting the coefficients into $S_1(x)$ and $S_2(x)$: For $x \in [-1,0]$:

$$S_1(x) = a_1 x^2 + bx + c_1 = \frac{1}{4}x^2 + \frac{1+\pi}{4}x + 0$$

$$S_2(x) = a_2 x^2 + bx + c_2 = -\frac{1}{4}x^2 + \frac{1+\pi}{4}x + 0$$

Lastly, to ensure the validity of the approximation, we need to do some verification: At x = -1:

$$S_1(-1) = \frac{1}{4}(-1)^2 + \frac{1+\pi}{4}(-1) = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1+\pi}{4} = -\frac{\pi}{4}$$

which matches $f(-1) = -\frac{\pi}{4}$

At x = 0:

$$S_1(0) = S_2(0) = 0$$

Matches f(0) = 0

At x = 1:

$$S_2(1) = -\frac{1}{4}(1)^2 + \frac{1+\pi}{4}(1) = -\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1+\pi}{4} = \frac{\pi}{4}$$

Matches $f(1) = \frac{\pi}{4}$

And for checking the first derivative continuity at x = 0;:

$$S_1'(x) = 2a_1x + b = \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1+\pi}{4}, \ S_1'(0) = \frac{1+\pi}{4}$$

$$S_2'(x) = 2a_2x + b = -\frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1+\pi}{4}, \ S_2'(0) = \frac{1+\pi}{4}$$

 $S_1'(x) = S_2'(x)$, which ensures the validity.

The quadratic spline approximation S(x) is:

$$S(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}x^2 + \frac{1+\pi}{4}x, & \text{for } x \in [-1, 0], \\ -\frac{1}{4}x^2 + \frac{1+\pi}{4}x, & \text{for } x \in [0, 1]. \end{cases}$$

6. Let $f(x) = 4x^2 - 4^x$.

1. Using the intermediate value theorem, show that f(x) has at least one root in [-1,0] and another in [0,1.5].

2. Interpolate f(x) by a degree 3 polynomial using nodes x = -1/2, 0, 1/2.

3. Use the interpolation to estimate the roots of f(x) in those intervals.

Solution.

(1):

Proof. For interval [-1,0], compute f(-1) and f(0).

At x = -1:

$$f(-1) = 4(-1)^2 - 4^{-1} = 4(1) - \frac{1}{4} = 4 - \frac{1}{4} = \frac{15}{4} > 0$$

At x = 0:

$$f(0) = 4(0)^2 - 4^0 = 0 - 1 = -1 < 0$$

Since f(-1) > 0 and f(0) < 0, and f(x) is continuous on [-1,0], by IVT, there is at least one root in [-1,0]. For interval [0,1.5], compute f(0) and f(1.5).

At x = 0:

$$f(0) = -1 < 0$$

At x = 1.5:

$$f(1.5) = 4(1.5)^2 - 4^{1.5} = 4(2.25) - 4^{1.5} = 9 - 4^{1.5} = 9 - 8 = 1 > 0$$

Since f(0) < 0 and f(1.5) > 0, and f(x) is continuous on [0, 1.5], by IVT, there is at least one root in [0, 1.5].

(2):

Proof. As an additional condition for interpolation, we use the derivative at x=0:

$$f'(0) = 8x - 4^x \ln(4) = -\ln 4$$

Now, we need to compute f(x) at the nodes:

$$f\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$f(0) = -1$$

$$f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 1 - 2 = -1$$

After that, we can set up the interpolation conditions:

$$P\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}, \ P(0) = -1$$

$$P\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = -1, P'(0) = -\ln 4$$

Now we can write the equations to solve for coefficients

$$-\frac{a}{8} + \frac{b}{4} - \frac{c}{2} + d = \frac{1}{2}$$

 $\frac{a}{8} + \frac{b}{4} + \frac{c}{2} - 1 = -1 \Rightarrow \frac{a}{8} + \frac{b}{4} + \frac{c}{2} = 0$ $d = -1, c = -\ln 4$

Simplify equations:

Equation (1):

$$-\frac{a}{8} + \frac{b}{4} + \frac{\ln 4}{2} - 1 = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Multiply both sides by 8:

$$-a + 2b + 4\ln 4 - 8 = 4.$$

Simplify:

$$-a + 2b + 4 \ln 4 = 12$$
. (1a)

Equation (3):

$$\frac{a}{8} + \frac{b}{4} - \frac{\ln 4}{2} = 0.$$

Multiply both sides by 8:

$$a + 2b - 4\ln 4 = 0.$$
 (3a)

Then, we can solve for a and b:

Add equations (1a) and (3a):

$$(-a+a) + (2b+2b) + (4\ln 4 - 4\ln 4) = 12 + 0 \implies 4b = 12 \implies b = 3.$$

Substitute b = 3 into (3a):

$$a + 2(3) - 4 \ln 4 = 0 \implies a = 4 \ln 4 - 6.$$

Since $\ln 4 = 2 \ln 2$, we have:

$$a = 8 \ln 2 - 6$$
, $c = -2 \ln 2$, $d = -1$.

Lastly, the Final Interpolating Polynomial will be

$$P(x) = (8 \ln 2 - 6)x^3 + 3x^2 - 2 \ln 2x - 1.$$

(3):

From Part 2, the interpolating polynomial is:

$$P(x) = (8 \ln 2 - 6)x^3 + 3x^2 - 2 \ln 2x - 1.$$

Using $\ln 2 \approx 0.6931$, the polynomial becomes:

$$P(x) = -0.4552 x^3 + 3x^2 - 1.3862 x - 1.$$

Estimating the Root in [-1,0]

Evaluate P(x) at the endpoints:

$$\begin{cases} P(-1) = -0.4552(-1)^3 + 3(-1)^2 - 1.3862(-1) - 1 \approx 3.8414 > 0, \\ P(0) = -1 < 0. \end{cases}$$

Since P(-1) > 0 and P(0) < 0, by the Intermediate Value Theorem, there is a root x_1 in [-1, 0]. Using the Bisection Method:

- 1. First midpoint: $x_{\text{mid}} = -0.5 \Rightarrow P(-0.5) \approx 0.5 > 0 \Rightarrow \text{root is in } [-0.5, 0].$
- 2. Second midpoint: $x_{\text{mid}} = -0.25 \Rightarrow P(-0.25) \approx -0.46 < 0 \Rightarrow \text{root is in } [-0.5, -0.25].$

- 3. Third midpoint: $x_{\text{mid}} = -0.375 \Rightarrow P(-0.375) \approx -0.034 < 0 \Rightarrow \text{root is in } [-0.5, -0.375].$
- 4. Fourth midpoint: $x_{\text{mid}} = -0.4375 \Rightarrow P(-0.4375) \approx 0.22 > 0 \Rightarrow \text{root is in } [-0.4375, -0.375].$

By continuing this process, we estimate:

$$x_1 \approx -0.41$$
.

Estimating the Root in [0, 1.5]: Evaluate P(x) at x = 0 and x = 1:

$$\begin{cases} P(0) = -1 < 0, \\ P(1) \approx 0.1586 > 0. \end{cases}$$

There is a root x_2 in [0,1]. Using the Bisection Method:

- 1. First midpoint: $x_{\text{mid}} = 0.5 \Rightarrow P(0.5) \approx -1 < 0 \Rightarrow \text{root is in } [0.5, 1].$
- 2. Second midpoint: $x_{\text{mid}} = 0.75 \Rightarrow P(0.75) \approx -0.544 < 0 \Rightarrow \text{root is in } [0.75, 1].$
- 3. Third midpoint: $x_{\text{mid}} = 0.875 \Rightarrow P(0.875) \approx -0.151 < 0 \Rightarrow \text{root is in } [0.875, 1].$
- 4. Fourth midpoint: $x_{\text{mid}} = 0.9375 \Rightarrow P(0.9375) \approx 0.0025 > 0 \Rightarrow \text{root is in } [0.875, 0.9375].$

By continuing this process, we estimate:

$$x_2 \approx 0.93$$
.

Conclusion:

Using the interpolating polynomial P(x), we estimate the roots of f(x) in the specified intervals:

$$\begin{cases} \text{Root in } [-1,0]: & x_1 \approx -0.41, \\ \text{Root in } [0,1.5]: & x_2 \approx 0.93. \end{cases}$$