MATH 280 HW1

Hanzhang Yin

Sep/5/2024

Question 1

Proof. We are given two functions f and g that are differentiable at x=a and $g(a) \neq 0$. We need to verify that the derivative of the function $h(x) = \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$ at x=a is given by:

$$h'(a) = \frac{f'(a)g(a) - f(a)g'(a)}{g(a)^2}$$

First lets define h(x) to be: Let $h(x) = \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$. Our goal is to find h'(a). Then, base on the given hint, we can use the product rule states:

$$(h(x)k(x))' = h'(x)k(x) + h(x)k'(x)$$

For our construction, let h(x) = f(x) and $k(x) = \frac{1}{g(x)}$, s.t. $h(x)k(x) = \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$. Then, follow the hint, we calculate the derivative of $\frac{1}{g(x)}$, using the hint, differentiating the identity $g(x) \cdot \frac{1}{g(x)} = 1$, we have:

$$(g(x) \cdot \frac{1}{g(x)})' = 0 = g'(x) \cdot \frac{1}{g(x)} + g(x) \cdot (\frac{1}{g(x)})'$$

Solving for $(\frac{1}{g(x)})'$, we find:

$$(\frac{1}{g(x)})' = -\frac{g'(x)}{g(x)^2}$$

Using the product rule, we find:

$$h'(x) = f'(x) \cdot \frac{1}{g(x)} + f(x) \cdot \left(-\frac{g'(x)}{g(x)^2}\right)$$

Thus, at x = a, we have:

$$h'(a) = f'(a) \cdot \frac{1}{g(a)} - f(a) \cdot \frac{g'(a)}{g(a)^2} = \frac{f'(a)g(a) - f(a)g'(a)}{g(a)^2}$$

Question 2

(a)

Given,

- $f(x) = 2 (x 3) + E_f(x)$, near x = 3, with $|E_f(x)| < 1$ one the interval [2, 4].
- $g(x) = x^3 2x^2 + 3$; g(2) = 8 4(2) + 3 = 3, g'(2) = 12 8 = 4.

Proof. From the initial assumptions, here is the approximation of f(x) near x = 3:

$$f(x) = 2 - (x - 3) + E_f(x)$$

Value of g at f(3):

$$f(3) = 2 - (3 - 3) + E_f(3) = 2 + E_f(3)$$

Noticing that $|E_f(x)| < 1$, then

$$g(f(3)) = g(2 + E_f(3)) \approx g(2) = 3$$

Then, we can use the Taylor Expansion around f(3) = 2. The first order Taylor expansion for g(f(x)) around x = 3 would be:

$$g(f(x)) \approx g(2) + g'(2) \cdot (f(x) - 2)$$

Then we can sub f(x) in:

$$g(f(x)) \approx 3 + 4(2 + E_f(x) - (x - 3) - 2)$$

$$= 3 + 4(-x + 3 + E_f(x))$$

$$= 3 + 4(-x + 3) + 4E_f(x)$$

$$= 15 - 4x + 4E_f(x)$$

Given E(x) << (x-a) and considering the linearity of $g'(x): E_{f \circ g} = 4E_f(x)$. As $E_f(x)$ is much smaller (x-3), and given $|E_f(x)| < 1: |E_{f \circ g}| \le 4$. (b)

Given h(x) is differentiable at x = 0 and h(0) = 3, WTS the bound the error of f(h(x)) near zero.

Proof. To precisely bound the error of f(h(x)) near zero, we need two more information:

- Explicit form of $E_f(x)$
- Rate of Change of h(x) (i.e. h'(x))

By knowing these two conditions, we can bound $E_f(h(x))$ by the following approach:

By definition of Taylor Expansion, we can subsitute

$$h(x) = 3 + h'(0)x + o(x)$$

Where o(x) is the higher order terms that become negligibly small faster than linear.

Then, suppose $E_f(x) = k(x-3)^n$, then:

$$E_f(h(x)) = k(h(x) - 3)^n = k(h'(0)x + o(x))^n$$

For evaluation we will only focus on how the "linear" terms in x contribute to $E_f(h(x))$.

Assume $E_f(x) \sim (x-3)^n$ and h(x) = 3 + h'(0)x + o(x), the bound of $E_f(h(x))$ when x = 0 can be written in the form:

$$|E_f(h(x))| \le |k| \cdot |h'(0)x + o(x)|^n$$

Question 3

Given, P(t) s.t. $P(1) = 4, P'(t) = tP(t)^2$

(a) Quadratic Approximation

Proof. Given,

$$P'(1) = 4, P'(t) = tP(t)^2$$

For P'(t) and P''(t), we can get (using the product rule):

$$P'(1) = 1 \times 4^2 = 16$$

$$P''(t) = \frac{d}{dt}[tP(t)^{2}] = P(t)^{2} + 2tP(t)P'(t)$$

Sub t = 1 into P''(t):

$$P''(t) = 4^2 + 2 \times 1 \times 4 \times 16 = 16 + 128 = 144$$

Then we can apply the best quadratic approximation around t = 1, include the small error term E(t-1) s.t. E(t-1) << t-1:

$$P(t) \approx P(1) + P'(1)(t-1) + \frac{1}{2}P''(1)(t-1)^2 + E(t-1)$$
$$P(t) \approx 4 + 16(t-1) + 72(t-1)^2 + E(t-1)$$

Then, we can estimate P(0) and P(-1). Substitute t = 0 and t = -1, we can get:

$$P(0) = 4 + 16(-1) + 72(1)^{2} = 4 - 16 + 72 = 60$$
$$P(-1) = 4 + 16(-2) + 72(4) = 4 - 32 + 288 = 260$$

Noting that E(t-1) is negligible near t=1.

(b) Analysis Critical Point at t = 0

Noting that in here we can apply the 2nd derivative test.

Proof. From (a), we know that,

$$P''(0) = P(0)^2 \approx 60^2 = 3600$$

Since P''(t) > 0 for t = 0, critical point at t = 0 is a local minimum point by second order derivative test.

(c) Reasonings:

I think in this case the estimation using quadratic approximation for P(0) and P(-1) might likely underestimate the exact values. Such phenomenon aries is because of the quadratic model might not fully capture the exponential growth. Specifically, since $P'(t) = tP(t)^2$, the rate of change of P(t) depends on the product of t and $P(t)^2$. This might lead to rapid changes in the function's value, particularly when t & P(t) are significantly large. Hence, quadratic approximation might fails to accurately predict the behavior of the function P(t) and underestimate its actual value due to its lack of complexity.