# **Data Mining Final Project**

Filotas Theodosiou a19@filth

# Song Recommendation System

#### Introduction

The project is about a model producing a new playlist for the user depending on his music taste. The idea is based on commonly used frameworks such as Spotify and YouTube. The user types a song and its respective artist, and a playlist of recommendations is created. As with the platforms mentioned before, the system does not recommend a single song, but a whole playlist from which the user can pick his preference, or listen to the whole playlist.

Every individual has a unique music taste and making accurate propositions based on a single input song, he or she likes is tricky. For example, if two people love a particular song, there is a big possibility that a single song proposed by the system, closer to their desired choice, might not be as likable to both users. For this reason, to evade the problem of false positives, the model will create a playlist instead of a single recommendation.

As a result, the probability of recommending songs, that would be liked by the user, is raised. Moreover, when users pick new songs out of the proposed playlist, more data about this user's likes is acquired. Making accurate propositions based on more information about the targeted user would highly increase the performance of the model.

The approach the proposed model uses is a hybrid method. A combination of both content-based and collaborative filtering. This approach was selected to hide the limitations of both methods. Moreover, song similarity can be counted by comparing both metadata, such as music genres and attributes, in addition to counting the number of times, particular users listened to these songs. If many people streamed a song a high number of times and these same users listened to another song as

many times, there is a big possibility these two songs are much alike. However, both approaches aren't perfect. Users often have completely different music likes and dislike, and songs that have similar metadata, arent as close as data suggest they are. As a result, a combination of both methods was selected.

#### **Datasets Used**

As far a the content-based method is concerned, ..Firstly,a data frame including 10.000 Artists and 4 unique descriptive tags for each, was scraped from the web.LastFm is a website with a huge collection of music data and offers free access to developers, to get an API key and download information about artists or songs. Scraping code along with the scraped dataset, are included

# Out[48]:

	Artist	Tags
0	Kanye West	Rap,Rnb,HipHop
1	Billie Eilish	Indie,Electronica,Pop
2	Post Malone	Rap,Trap,CloudRap,HipHop
3	Ariana Grande	Pop,Rnb,FemaleVocalists
4	Coldplay	Alternative,Rock,Pop,Uk
13995	Kronos Quartet	Classical,Instrumental,Contemporary
13996	Wim Mertens	${\it Classical}, {\it Contemporary}, {\it Minimal}, {\it Piano}$
13997	The Seeds	60S,Rock,Psychedelic,Garage
13998	The Appleseed Cast	$Indie,\!Rock,\!Emo,\!PostRock$
13999	Brand Nubian	Underground,Rap,HipHop

10000 rows × 2 columns

(Dataset's final form.)

When the data frame was downloaded, it had over 2100 tags in total. A number that would create many sparse issues and bad recommendations. To begin with, some of them only appeared less than 4 times. These tags were removed since, in a dataset of 10.000 observations, it would be highly unlikely to take advantage of these tags

and use them for making accurate recommendations. As a result, they were treated as noise and got removed.

Secondly, a number of tags were the same, but with a single character being different. For example, PopRock and Pop\_Rock are exactly the same. These tags were identified and only a single version of them was kept. To do that, Levenshtein distance between tags was measured, and tags whose distance was less than 3 characters were examined, to identify these similarities.

What is more, association analysis was applied so more insights could be discovered. Different approaches with different support and confidence thresholds were used. One very interesting discovery was the existence of some tags that were a merge of two others. For example, PopRock or SpanishMetal, and AlternativeRock. These tags were split, and the total number of tags was reduced. Moreover, the respective weights of the two tags, created after the split, were raised and, as a result, more accurate predictions could be made. Furthermore, some tags had the same meaning but were defined differently. These tags were examined and altered as well.

In addition, text mining and TF-iDF were also applied to check the importance of some tags to their respective bands. The conclusion of this approach was that tags were following a hierarchical structure. Specific tags such as Rock, Indie, HipHop, and Electronic had a total weight of over 450. This is because they were some kind of "superclass" and most tags contained one of them. Moreover, tags with weights over 50 and less than 450 were second in the hierarchy while "less important" tags that were pretty descriptive were last.

The main idea was to split more tags, so the weights of the tags in the second class would be raised. This was mainly because, these tags would be the tags that would be decisive and define the high similarity scores between artists. Furthermore, after considering the results of the apriori algorithm, superclass tags were added to most artists, so a certain number of similarities between them was built. Lastly, tags with a very small weight sum were removed. The last approach, which was used to lower the number of tags, was human knowledge. Tags were examined one by one and the unnecessary ones were removed, while the ones with the same meaning were merged.

The number of tags after these methods was reduced to 432. Pretty good to be used for comparing similarities between artists.

The second dataframe used for the content-based approach had its focus entirely on songs, not artists. Over 232.000 songs, from different music types, were included

and each song had over 12 features. These features were continuous values that were describing a specific metric of each song. These metrics included loudness in decibels, valence, which described the musical positiveness of a track, energy, and others. A detailed explanation of what each feature describes is included later on.

Link: <a href="https://www.kaggle.com/zaheenhamidani/ultimate-spotify-tracks-db">https://www.kaggle.com/zaheenhamidani/ultimate-spotify-tracks-db</a>

#### Out[50]:

_		Genre	Artist	Song	popularity	acousticness	danceability	duration_ms	energy	instrumentalness	key	liveness	loudness	mode	speechir
	0	Movie	HENRI SALVADOR	c'est beau de faire un show	0	0.61100	0.389	99373	0.910	0.000000	C#	0.3460	-1.828	Major	0.0
	1	Movie	MARTIN & LES FÉES	perdu d'avance (par gad elmaleh)	1	0.24600	0.590	137373	0.737	0.000000	F#	0.1510	-5.559	Minor	0.0
	2	Movie	JOSEPH WILLIAMS	don't let me be lonely tonight	3	0.95200	0.663	170267	0.131	0.000000	С	0.1030	-13.879	Minor	0.0
	3	Movie	HENRI SALVADOR	dis-moi monsieur gordon cooper	0	0.70300	0.240	152427	0.326	0.000000	C#	0.0985	-12.178	Major	0.0
	4	Movie	FABIEN NATAF	ouverture	4	0.95000	0.331	82625	0.225	0.123000	F	0.2020	-21.150	Major	0.0
			0.00	son of			0.007	222212		0.511000	_	0.00.5			

This particular dataset was ready to be used, with only slight alterations needed. However, in order to further understand similarities between songs, some exploratory analysis was implemented. First of all, correlations between each feature were explored. The very low correlated feature would later be removed. Higher correlated ones were further examined.



## (correlation heatmap)

High correlations were spotted between loudness and energy, valence and danceability, and between speeches and loudness. On the other hand, negative correlations can be seen between energy and instrumentalness, valence and instrumentalness, and loudness and accousticness. In addition, features such as key and major had very small correlation scores with the other metrics and were later removed.

At first loudness and energy were merged into a single feature, using their mean value. This was due to their high correlation in comparison to the other attributes. However, since by definition, these features are different, and after examining the results of the recommendation system, with and without merging them, the final model uses them individually and not merged.

What is more, data was normalized so everything is under the same scale and the selection of the features took place. Features such as key and major were removed, in addition to time\_stamp, duration as they wouldn't play a significant role in my recommendation system. Lastly, the music genre will also not be included since the dataset described before is more descriptive. The features contained in the final dataset:

 Accousticness: A measure for high acoustic is each song, the higher the value the more acoustic.

- Danceability: How suitable is a song for dancing. Higher values for more danceable songs.
- Energy: A measure of intensity and activity. Fast, loud and noisy tracks have high energy values.
- Instrumentaines: How non-vocal each track is. High values stand for a song that has a small number of vocals and is more acoustic.
- Liveness: Detects the presence of an audience in the recording. Higher liveness values represent an increased probability that the track was performed live.
- Loudness: Overall loudness in decibels
- Speachness: The presence of spoken words in a track. Values over 0.66 represent songs that are primarily made of spoken words, while values between 0.33 and 0.66 stand for a song that has some balance between music and speech.
- Valence: Musical positiveness of a track. High valence songs are positive songs.

#### With reference to:

https://developer.spotify.com/documentation/web-api/reference/tracks/get-several-au dio-features/

	Artist	Song	Genre	popularity	acousticness	danceability	energy	instrumentalness	liveness	loudness	speechiness	tempo	valence
36510	III	Get That Rhythm Right	Electronic	39	0.03820	0.832	0.798	0.10400	0.0980	-0.7418	0.0475	0.120022	0.961
36675	!!!	Except Death	Electronic	37	0.00996	0.812	0.776	0.07060	0.0737	-0.7223	0.0386	0.118021	0.912
99191	III	Even When The Water'S Cold	Children's Music	61	0.10800	0.709	0.831	0.00128	0.1590	-0.6847	0.0391	0.104971	0.866
23712	III	Lucy Mongoosey	Electronic	48	0.01940	0.872	0.584	0.00085	0.1130	-0.7412	0.0788	0.109987	0.212
36523	III	Funk	Electronic	35	0.01620	0.932	0.546	0.49400	0.0891	-0.8462	0.1750	0.116016	0.440

Finally, the dataset that will be used for the collaborative filtering method, is taken from Kaggle. It contains 76,353 unique user IDs and how many times they have streamed one out of the 10.000 different songs. The initial data downloaded from Kaggle contained two different datasets. The first one, had information, such as the Artists name and song's Release date, while the second one, had the user's IDs and the stream counts. Both datasets had a unique song ID in order to merge them.

Link: <a href="https://www.kaggle.com/anuragbanerjee/million-song-data-set-subset">https://www.kaggle.com/anuragbanerjee/million-song-data-set-subset</a>

	Song	Artist	user	play_count	song_id
0	Anyone Else But You	Michael Cera & Ellen Page	d6589314c0a9bcbca4fee0c93b14bc402363afea	6	SOSZNRJ12A8AE46E38
1	Anyone Else But You	Michael Cera & Ellen Page	484b69dd013df1ec0cfd504886d4f647cb32b08f	1	SOSZNRJ12A8AE46E38
2	Anyone Else But You	Michael Cera & Ellen Page	3f9ed694a79835c921ef6d94acd28f876c1d901e	4	SOSZNRJ12A8AE46E38
3	Anyone Else But You	Michael Cera & Ellen Page	b882a5b0dbd1a80533e40745be976f19d1fad5b0	1	SOSZNRJ12A8AE46E38
4	Anyone Else But You	Michael Cera & Ellen Page	2bcef2a30bd8913405971761a0e6c292d771c086	1	SOSZNRJ12A8AE46E38
2086941	The Outer Banks	The Album Leaf	37781fff15682ccd92aface63f66d3f525e2f88f	1	SOBPQCK12AF72A2FD5
2086942	The Outer Banks	The Album Leaf	49e66adb87d5d39781add88c202aa9802010e848	3	SOBPQCK12AF72A2FD5
2086943	The Outer Banks	The Album Leaf	9d659bb73b93384297f240a4adadccf4d81bd681	1	SOBPQCK12AF72A2FD5
2086944	The Outer Banks	The Album Leaf	c65a346ab8f41fb56926b503ece810f358b0d241	1	SOBPQCK12AF72A2FD5
2086945	The Outer Banks	The Album Leaf	84e72d86fab784be544a8e2fe2826c73530165fd	1	SOBPQCK12AF72A2FD5

2054534 rows × 5 columns

This is the form of the dataset after merging these two dataframes. It will be an item-based approach and the similarity between songs based on people's likes will be counted.

# Merging the DataSets.

After preprocessing each dataset individually the next step was to merge all of them, in order to check how many similar artists and songs are contained in all three datasets. Merging the second and the third dataset would be on both artists and Songs. One major setback was that some artist's and songs' names were spelled differently, in these two datasets. To fix this issue, I converted the letter size and stripped all special characters and words inside brackets.

Despite, that the length of the merged dataset was pretty small, compared to the length of the two initial ones. The idea to fix that problem was to calculate the Levenshtein distance between artists' names on these two datasets. The main focus was on the Artists and not the names of the songs because this technique would get really complex on so many song titles, in addition to each artist having many different songs, raises the number of identical songs that would be on both dataframes. Calculating Levenshtein distance was a time-consuming but effective technique since the total number of the common Artists was raised. A total number of over 20.000 different songs is a relatively good sample size.

# **Recommendation System Architecture.**

Combining the three approaches into the hybrid model was a tricky task to optimize. However, after considering that most people prefer to stick to a certain music type at each specific moment, a hierarchical approach was implemented. A person who loves rock music and chooses a rock song as an input song would prefer to get a rock playlist, instead of a playlist with mixed electronic, rock, and hip hop music. Similarly, a user who loves Hip Hop music won't be interested in a black metal recommendation, just because a hip hop and a black metal song might have similar features.

The first step's focus would be on the artist's dataset. A list of the artists who have tags "closer" to the user's preferred artist, is produced. These artists play the same music type and share the most common tags with the user's pick. What is more, all of the songs of these proposed artists would be gathered, and they would be "filtered" through the second content-based dataset, which contains song features. With this approach, all songs that are totally unfamiliar with the user's preferred song would be removed.

For example, a user that picked an acoustic, sad, song from a Rock Artist would most likely prefer a calm, acoustic, low-on valence playlist. Filtering the songs of the Rock artist through this dataset would only keep this kind of song. Similarly, if a person chooses an energetic, danceable, pop song, the model will extract the danceable energetic songs from pop artists.

Last but not least, item-based collaborative filtering will be used and out of the songs produced by the second method, a playlist of songs that were most liked by users, who liked the input song, will be produced. Another reason for choosing collaborative filtering as the last method is its high sparsity. Getting slow recommendations would be a major setback. Preparing recommendations from a "smaller" UT matrix would highly improve the calculation time. In addition, it is a powerful method that finds the "closer" songs from a list of, close to the initial user input, songs.

# Model's step-by-step algorithm description.

First of all, the user would be asked to enter a song he or she likes and its respective artists. The proposed model will convert the letter size of the two inputs and will search the first content-based dataset, for the artist the user picked. If the Artist is found, the procedure will keep going. Otherwise, the user will be asked to type another artist's name. When the artist's name has been matched, the model will search in both the two other datasets for the song's name. If the input song is found on both of them, the recommendation function will be implemented. If not, songs of the given artist, which are contained in the merged dataframe, will be presented so users can pick one of these existing songs.

### recommandation('hardwire', 'metallica')

```
Artist Match
Oops
Song Not Found
Try Again If you like one of the following songs by: METALLICA
['One' 'Fight Fire With Fire' 'Enter Sandman' 'Fade To Black'
'Eye Of The Beholder' 'Of Wolf And Man' 'Welcome Home'
'The Thing That Should Not Be' 'Until It Sleeps'
'The Day That Never Comes' 'Master Of Puppets' 'The Four Horsemen']
```

If and only if, both the artist name and the song's name have been found, the unsupervised NearestNeighbors algorithms will be used to find the 100 closest artists. The metric the model uses is Pearson's correlation because it produced more accurate results than cosine similarity. The number of closest artists was picked after testing many different size numbers. It is a balanced number, considering the total number of artists, that is 10.000, that produced approximately 500 songs for the two other algorithms to work with.

Afterward, NearestNeighbors, Pearson similarity will be used again. The selected neighbor's number was 300. The number was picked, so the completely irrelevant songs would get removed in the second step and the clear selection of the song to be included in the playlist is performed in the final step. If the first step produced more songs for the selected artists, the number would be higher. The second step is more like a filtering step that reduces the number of songs, by cutting of songs that are not similar to the user's initial choice in order for the collaborative filtering to be used.

Finally, in the third step, the proposed playlist is being produced. A utility matrix is used, where every row contains a song's ID, every column a unique user, and each data point is how many times a song was streamed by a user. Cosine similarity metric was used, due to the better results, and the 20 "closest" songs are being recommended to the user. What is more, inspired by Spotify's recommendation system, the ten closest to the user's input artists are also recommended by considering the first dataset regarding artists tags.

# **Algorithms Performance**

To measure the performance of the algorithm different input songs were used and different users were asked to give their feedback. The algorithm excelled in pop and Hip Hop music and produced some pretty good recommendations. On the other hand, as far as rock music is concerned, because of the many "subclasses" of rock music and their big music collection, some questionable recommendations were given.

The small number of common artists in all three datasets in comparison to the individual number each one contained, is worth mentioning. Having many songs from specific artists and not songs from a variety of them, was the main reason the model underachieved on specific rock recommendations. Not containing a suitable amount of artists for some specific rock subgenres, resulted in recommendations being rather general than specialized.

Despite the approaches made to increase the number of common artists, the results were still not perfect. In some future work, the same hybrid method will be used, but datasets would be acquired from the same source, so artists' names will be similar. As a result, a larger collection of songs will be available to be proposed and the recommendations will be more accurate.

In conclusion, the proposed music recommendation system, combined a collaborative filtering item-based approach, with two content-based ones, in order to recommend songs based on a single input. Artists' tags along with songs features and other user's streams were used, so a playlist "close" to the initial input was produced. A 3-steps hierarchical approach was implemented to reduce the sparsity of the data and take advantage of all three datasets. Despite some limitations based on the different data sources, used to acquire the data, the results were pretty encouraging. In the future, the model will be further improved.

Some Recommendation results:

### Pop Recommendations:

```
recommandation('Take A Bow', 'rihanna')
 Artist Match
 Song Matched
  Preparing Recommandations for:
 Take A Bow , by , RIHANNA
 ...........
 All My Life by K-CI & JOJO
 Halo by BEYONCÉ
 U Smile by JUSTIN BIEBER
 We Belong Together by MARIAH CAREY
 Stuck In The Moment by JUSTIN BIEBER
 The Climb by MILEY CYRUS
 There Goes My Baby by USHER
 Ride For You by DANITY KANE
Nice & Slow by USHER
 How It Feels To Fly by ALICIA KEYS
 Through The Rain by MARIAH CAREY
 Ego by BEYONCÉ
 That Should Be Me by JUSTIN BIEBER
 Naughty Girl by BEYONCÉ
 Recommended Artists:
 ['RIHANNA', 'BEYONCÉ', 'MILEY CYRUS', 'BRITNEY SPEARS', 'JUSTIN BIEBER', 'JUSTIN TIMBERLAKE', 'USHER', 'LADY GAGA', 'FERGIE',
 'ASHANTI']
: recommandation('Boys Boys Boys','LADY GAGA')
 Artist Match
 Song Matched
  Preparing Recommandations for:
 Boys Boys , by , LADY GAGA
  Toxic by BRITNEY SPEARS
 Wind It Up by GWEN STEFANI
 We Ride by RIHANNA
 Party In The U.S.A. by MILEY CYRUS
 Take A Bow by RIHANNA
Bulletproof by LA ROUX
 Rehab by RIHANNA
Good Girl Gone Bad by RIHANNA
 Ray Of Light by MADONNA
 Fuck The Pain Away by PEACHES
 Breakin' Dishes by RIHANNA
2 Become 1 by SPICE GIRLS
 Hoedown Throwdown by MILEY CYRUS
  See You Again by MILEY CYRUS
  Hollaback Girl by GWEN STEFANI
  Luxurious by GWEN STEFANI
  Te Amo by RIHANNA
  East Northumberland High by MILEY CYRUS
 Unwritten by NATASHA BEDINGFIELD
 Recommended Artists:
  ['LADY GAGA', 'CARRIE UNDERWOOD', 'LA ROUX', 'RIHANNA', 'DAFT PUNK', 'MILEY CYRUS', 'BRITNEY SPEARS', 'M.I.A.', 'THE PUSSYCAT D
```

```
recommandation('Party In The U.S.A.', 'MILEY CYRUS')
Artist Match
Song Matched
Preparing Recommandations for:
Party In The U.S.A. , by , MILEY CYRUS
Toxic by BRITNEY SPEARS
Miss Independent by KELLY CLARKSON
Halo by BEYONCÉ
My Life Would Suck Without You by KELLY CLARKSON
Already Gone by KELLY CLARKSON
Irreplaceable by BEYONCÉ
The Trouble With Love Is by KELLY CLARKSON
Naturally by SELENA GOMEZ & THE SCENE
A Perfectly Good Heart by TAYLOR SWIFT
Alejandro by LADY GAGA
Magic by SELENA GOMEZ
Breakin' Dishes by RIHANNA
You Belong With Me by TAYLOR SWIFT When I Grow Up by THE PUSSYCAT DOLLS
Love Story by TAYLOR SWIFT
Touch My Body by MARIAH CAREY
Candyman by CHRISTINA AGUILERA
Walk Away by KELLY CLARKSON
...........
Recommended Artists:
['MILEY CYRUS', 'KELLY CLARKSON', 'RIHANNA', 'TAYLOR SWIFT', 'SELENA GOMEZ & THE SCENE', 'BEYONCÉ', 'LADY GAGA', 'BRITNEY SPEAR
S', 'MARIAH CAREY', 'DEMI LOVATO']
```

## **Hip Hop Recommendations**

```
recommandation('Roses','KANYE WEST')
Artist Match
Song Matched
 Preparing Recommandations for:
Roses , by , KANYE WEST
Cooler Than Me by MIKE POSNER
Breathe by FABOLOUS
As The World Turns by EMINEM
Locked Up by AKON
Money On My Mind by LIL WAYNE
'97 Bonnie & Clyde by EMINEM
Deception by BLACKALICIOUS
Criminal by EMINEM
Rollout by LUDACRIS
Ice Box by OMARION
Cyclone by BABY BASH
Ms. Fat Booty by MOS DEF
My Name Is by EMINEM
If I Had by EMINEM
Cum On Everybody by EMINEM
Money Folder by MADVILLAIN
Mass Appeal by GANG STARR
Runnin' by THE PHARCYDE
Method Man by WU-TANG CLAN
Recommended Artists:
['KANYE WEST', 'EMINEM', 'DJ KHALED', 'LUPE FIASCO', 'COMMON', 'GANG STARR', 'MADVILLAIN', '50 CENT', 'MIKE POSNER', 'OUTKAST']
```

```
recommandation('My Name Is','eminem')

Artist Match

Song Matched

Preparing Recommandations for:
My Name Is , by , EMINEM

Tha Crossroads by BONE THUGS-N-HARMONY
Roses by KANYE WEST

Stronger by KANYE WEST

Ms. Jackson by OUTKAST
Like A Boss by THE LONELY ISLAND
Opposite of Adults by CHIDDY BANG
Rollout by LUDACRIS

Up Up & Away by KID CUDI

The Glory by KANYE WEST

Be With You by AKON

Cyclone by BABY BASH
O.P.P. by NAUGHTY BY NATURE
E.I. by NELLY
P.I.M.P. by 50 CENT
Hey Mama by KANYE WEST

Day 'N' Nite by KID CUDI
Jump Around by HOUSE OF PAIN
Big Brother by KANYE WEST

Recommended Artists:

['EMINEM', 'KID CUDI', 'KANYE WEST', 'DJ KHALED', '50 CENT', 'THE LONELY ISLAND', 'LIL WAYNE', 'NAUGHTY BY NATURE', 'TALIB KWEL
I', 'DMX']
```

## **Rock Recommendations:**

### **Punk Rock:**

```
recommandation('Bob','nofx')

Artist Match

Song Matched

Preparing Recommandations for:
Bob , by , NOFX

Time Bomb by RANCIO
Online Songs by BLINK-182
Going Away To College by BLINK-182
Move Along by THE ALL-AWRENCAN REJECTS
Pepper by BUTTHOLE SURFERS
Dysentery Gary by BLINK-182
Every Time I Look For You by BLINK-182
Savior by RISE AGAINST
My Own Worst Enemy by LIT
Ruby Soho by RANCIO
Gonna Find You by OPERATION IVY
Feeling This by BLINK-182
Having A Blast by GREEN DAY
Bouncing Off The Walls by SUGARCULT
Kabuki Girl by DESCENDENTS
Want YOU Bad by THE OFFSPRING
Mutt by BLINK-182
Audience Of One by RISE AGAINST

Recommended Artists:
['NOFK', 'DEAD KENNEDYS', 'RANCID', 'STREETLIGHT MANIFESTO', 'OPERATION IVY', 'BILLY TALENT', 'THE CLASH', 'RISE AGAINST', 'PAN
```

#### **Post Rock:**

```
recommandation('Burn', 'THE CURE')

Artist Match

Song Matched

Preparing Recommandations for:
Burn , by , THE CURE

Prelude 12/21 by AFI
Always Something There To Remind Me by NAKED EYES
Smooth Criminal by ALIEN ANT FARM
Temptation by NEW ORDER
Slave To Love by BRYAN FERRY
Relax by FRANKIE GOES TO HOLLYWOOD
Road To Nowhere by TALKING HEADS
Smalltown Boy by BRONSKI BEAT
Eyes Without A Face by BILLY IDOL
Dance Hall Days by WANG CHUNG
Sowing The Seeds of Love by TEARS FOR FEARS
I Melt With You by MODERN ENGLISH
One Step Beyond by MADNESS
DO YOU Really Want To Hurt Me by CULTURE CLUB
Rio by DURAN DURAN
TOO Shy by KAJAGOOGOO
Safety Dance by MEN WITHOUT HATS
Mad World by TEARS FOR FEARS

Recommended Artists:
['THE CURE', 'CULTURE CLUB', 'KAJAGOOGOO', 'TEARS FOR FEARS', 'INTERPOL', 'DEAD OR ALIVE', 'DURAN DURAN', 'THE JESUS AND MARY CHAIN', 'TELEVISION', 'DIVINYLS']
```

### **Classic Rock:**

```
recommandation('Under Pressure', 'QUEEN')

Artist Match

Song Matched

Preparing Recommandations for:
Under Pressure , by , QUEEN

Love Pressure , by , QUEEN

Love Walks In by VAN HALEN
Breakdown by TOM PETTY AND THE HEARTBREAKERS
These Dreams by HEART
The Joker by STEVE MILLER BAND

St. Elmos Fire by JOHN PARR

Dreams by VAN HALEN

Yer So Bad by TOM PETTY

Magic Man by HEART

Missing You by JOHN NAITE

Crazy On You by HEART

Missing You by JOHN NAITE

Crazy On You by HEART

Magic Can by STEVEPHNOLF

Radar Love by GOLDEN EARRING

You Got Lucky by TOM PETTY AND THE HEARTBREAKERS

Recommended Artists:

['QUEEN', 'DIO', 'RAM JAM', 'TOM PETTY AND THE HEARTBREAKERS', 'BRYAN ADAMS', 'AEROSMITH', 'FREE', 'PAT BENATAR', 'MÖTLEY CRÜ

E', 'CHEAP TRICK']
```

### Metal:

```
recommandation('sefore I Forget', 'slipknot')

Artist Match

Song Matched

Preparing Recommandations for:

Before I Forget, by , SLIPKNOT

One by NeTALLICA
I-t-A-I-A-I-O by SYSTEM OF A DOWN

Bleed IT OUT by LINKIN PARK

Beautiful by 18 VEARS

ACTION OF BUTTON ON THE MARK

Beautiful by 18 VEARS

DATE OF A DOWN

DEFINES

Just Stop by LINKIN PARK

DA HAST by RAMPSTEIN

LOTION by DEFINES

Just Stop by DISTURBED

Caught In A Mosh by ANTHEAX

The Day That Never Comes by METALLICA

Land Of Contision by DISTURBED

Radio/Video by SYSTEM OF A DOWN

TOO MARRY PUPPER SUPPLY OSCOUNTE

Back At The Moon SUPPLY OSCOUNTE

BACK THE MOON SUPPLY OS
```

Recommended Artists:
['SLAYER', 'SEPULTURA', 'MASTODON', 'ANTHRAX', 'PANTERA', 'MUDVAYNE', 'LAMB OF GOD', 'MARILYN MANSON', 'AVENGED SEVENFOLD', 'AR CH ENEMY']

Fade To Black by METALLICA Lotion by DEFTONES Surfacing by SLIPKNOT

Surfacing by SLIPKNOT
Everything Ends by SLIPKNOT
Caught In A Mosh by ANTHRAX
My Plague by SLIPKNOT
Disasterpiece by SLIPKNOT
Almost Easy by AVENGED SEVENFOLD
Eyeless by SLIPKNOT