

# Multimodal Gaze-Adaptive Content Summarizer

Fusing Eye-Tracking and Heart Rate to Infer Reader State

Students: Filip David-Liviu  
Deaconu Victor  
Croitor David

# Concept & Workflow

---

## The Interface

The application renders text into discrete, indexed paragraphs on the client side. This structure allowing us to isolate exactly where the user is struggling.

## Monitoring

As the user reads Paragraph #3, the system gathers gaze fixations and heart rate data specific to that window of time

## Goal

Instead of summarizing the whole text, we target the specific paragraph triggering the stress response.

# Defining the Multimodal Signatures



## Confusion

Marked by rapid re-reading of specific sections and a high regression rate in the gaze pattern, accompanied by a rising heart rate as increased cognitive load elevates metabolic demand.



## Frustration

Reflected in frequent scanning of interface elements paired with erratic clicking behavior, while the heart shows a sudden spike in rate consistent with an acute stress response.



## Sleepiness

Appears through prolonged, drifting fixations that indicate zoning out, along with a low or gradually declining heart rate that signals reduced physiological arousal.

# Research Questions & Hypotheses

---

## Multimodal Efficacy

Does the fusion of physiological arousal data (Heart Rate) with behavioral gaze data significantly improve the classification accuracy of “Frustration” or “Confusion” compared to Gaze data alone?

## Intervention Impact

Does the presentation of LLM-simplified text lead to a measurable physiological recovery (Heart Rate reduction) in users experiencing high cognitive load?

## Low-Fidelity Feasibility

Can consumer-grade webcam eye-tracking achieve sufficient spatial resolution to reliably distinguish inter-paragraph revisits (indicators of confusion) from vertical scanning behavior (skimming)?

# System Architecture: The Loop

---



# Stage 1: SENSE

---

## Data Capture Strategy

We utilize a **Polar Verity Sense** device in order to track the users heart rate, and **WebGazer.js** to turn a standard webcam into an eye-tracker.

- **Timestamp:** Precise timing
- **Gaze Coordinates:** Predicted (x, y) on screen
- **AOI ID:** The specific paragraph being read
- **Interaction Flags:** Clicks & Scrolls (Context)
- **Heart Rate (bpm):** Real-time heart rate sample from Polar Verity sensor

# Training Strategy

**Challenge:** Collecting thousands of real-world samples is impractical for a prototype. Instead, we use transfer learning based on high-quality public research datasets.

**Solution:** We pre-train on the MAHNOB-HCI dataset, which provides synchronized Eye-Tracking and ECG signals. We map its "Arousal/Valence" labels to our target states.

**Deployment:** These features train a Random Forest classifier. The model is exported to the Python server, enabling immediate inference without requiring user-specific data collection.

# Stage 2: ANALYZE

## The Brain

We employ a **Random Forest Multiclass Classifier**. This model is ideal for handling the noisy nature of webcam-based eye-tracking data.

## Logic & Processing

**Input:** A Feature Vector representing a 10-second sliding window (Mean Fixation Duration, Re-read Frequency, Mean Heart Rate, Heart Rate Delta).

**Output:** Integer Class (1=Interest, 2=Confusion, 3=Frustration, 4=Sleepiness).

**Why Offline?** By moving heavy processing to the server, we prevent browser lag.

# Stage 3: RESPOND (Adaptive Summarization)

---

## The Intervention

When the model detects **Confusion** or **Frustration** on a specific paragraph, the system triggers the **Gemini API**.

## Prompt Strategy

"Based on a reader's state of CONFUSION, explain the following text more simply. Reduce Flesch-Kincaid grade level to 10th grade."

# References & Technical Foundation

## The Sensing Layer:

- Papoutsaki, A., et al. (2016). "WebGazer: Scalable Webcam Eye Tracking Using User Interactions."
  - Validates the feasibility of browser-based tracking without external hardware.

## Training Datasets:

- Soleymani, M., et al. (2012). "A Multimodal Database for Affect Recognition and Implicit Tagging."
  - Validates the availability of synchronized eye-tracking and physiological signals for affect detection.

## Behavioral Validations:

- *Confusion*: Rayner, K. (1998). "Eye movements in reading and information processing: 20 years of research."
  - Validates "regression rates" as a direct index of cognitive processing difficulty.
- *Frustration*: Scheirer, J., et al. (2002). "Frustrating the User on Purpose: A Step Toward Building an Affective Computer."
  - Validates the correlation between interface failure, erratic behavior, and acute physiological stress.

## **Conclusion**

A fully integrated multimodal system that **Senses** struggle via gaze and heart rate, **Quantifies** it via Machine Learning, and **Resolves** it via GenAI adaptation.