

Homework 5

Jacob Nisnevich — 804375355

CS 161

November 2, 2015

1. (20 points) Use truth tables (worlds) to show that the following pairs of sentences are equivalent:

- $P \Rightarrow \neg Q, Q \Rightarrow \neg P$

P	Q	$\neg P \vee \neg Q$	$P \Rightarrow \neg Q$	$\neg Q \vee \neg P$	$Q \Rightarrow \neg P$
T	T	F	F	F	F
T	F	T	T	T	T
F	T	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T

- $P \Leftrightarrow \neg Q, ((P \wedge \neg Q) \vee (\neg P \wedge Q))$

P	Q	$P \Leftrightarrow \neg Q$	$P \wedge \neg Q$	$\neg P \wedge Q$	$(P \wedge \neg Q) \vee (\neg P \wedge Q)$
T	T	F	F	F	F
T	F	T	T	F	T
F	T	T	F	T	T
F	F	F	F	F	F

2. (30 points) Consider the following sentences and decide for each whether it is valid, unsatisfiable, or neither:

- $(\text{Smoke} \Rightarrow \text{Fire}) \Rightarrow (\neg \text{Smoke} \Rightarrow \neg \text{Fire})$

Smoke	Fire	$\neg \text{Smoke} \vee \text{Fire}$	$\text{Smoke} \vee \neg \text{Fire}$	$\neg (\neg \text{Smoke} \vee \text{Fire}) \vee (\text{Smoke} \vee \neg \text{Fire})$
T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	T
F	T	T	F	F
F	F	T	T	T

This sentence is neither valid nor unsatisfiable.

- $(\text{Smoke} \Rightarrow \text{Fire}) \Rightarrow ((\text{Smoke} \vee \text{Heat}) \Rightarrow \text{Fire})$

Smoke	Fire	Heat	$\neg \text{Smoke} \vee \text{Fire}$	$\text{Smoke} \vee \text{Heat}$	$\neg (\text{Smoke} \vee \text{Heat}) \vee \text{Fire}$
T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	T	F	T	F
F	T	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	F
T	T	F	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	T	F
F	T	F	T	F	T
F	F	F	T	F	T

$\neg (\neg \text{Smoke} \vee \text{Fire}) \vee (\neg (\text{Smoke} \vee \text{Heat}) \vee \text{Fire})$
T
T
T
F
T
T
T
T

This sentence is neither valid nor unsatisfiable.

- $((\text{Smoke} \wedge \text{Heat}) \Rightarrow \text{Fire}) \Leftrightarrow ((\text{Smoke} \Rightarrow \text{Fire}) \vee (\text{Heat} \Rightarrow \text{Fire}))$

Smoke	Fire	Heat	$\text{Smoke} \wedge \text{Heat}$	$\neg (\text{Smoke} \wedge \text{Heat}) \vee \text{Fire}$	$\neg \text{Smoke} \vee \text{Fire}$	$\neg \text{Heat} \vee \text{Fire}$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	T	T	F	F	F
F	T	T	F	T	T	T
F	F	T	F	T	T	F
T	T	F	F	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	T	F	T
F	T	F	F	T	T	T
F	F	F	F	T	T	T

$(\neg \text{Smoke} \vee \text{Fire}) \vee (\neg \text{Heat} \vee \text{Fire})$	$((\text{Smoke} \wedge \text{Heat}) \Rightarrow \text{Fire}) \Leftrightarrow ((\text{Smoke} \Rightarrow \text{Fire}) \vee (\text{Heat} \Rightarrow \text{Fire}))$
T	T
F	T
T	T
T	T
T	T
T	T
T	T
T	T

This sentence is valid.

3. (50 points) Consider the following:

If the unicorn is mythical, then it is immortal, but if it is not mythical, then it is a mortal mammal. If the unicorn is either immortal or a mammal, then it is horned. The unicorn is magical if it is horned

- (a) Represent the above information using a propositional logic knowledge base (set of sentences in propositional logic).

1. $\text{Mythical} \Rightarrow \neg \text{Mortal}$
2. $\neg \text{Mythical} \Rightarrow (\text{Mortal} \wedge \text{Mammal})$
3. $(\neg \text{Mortal} \vee \text{Mammal}) \Rightarrow \text{Horned}$
4. $\text{Horned} \Rightarrow \text{Magical}$

- (b) Convert the knowledge base into CNF.

$(\neg \text{Mythical} \vee \neg \text{Mortal}) \wedge (\text{Mythical} \vee \text{Mortal}) \wedge (\text{Mythical} \vee \text{Mammal}) \wedge (\text{Mortal} \vee \text{Horned}) \wedge (\neg \text{Mammal} \vee \text{Horned}) \wedge (\neg \text{Horned} \vee \text{Magical})$

- (c) Can you use the knowledge base to prove that the unicorn is mythical? How about magical? Horned?

Mythical?

- | | | |
|-------|--|-------|
| 1. | $\neg \text{Mythical} \vee \neg \text{Mortal}$ | |
| 2a. | $\text{Mythical} \vee \text{Mortal}$ | |
| 2b. | $\text{Mythical} \vee \text{Mammal}$ | |
| 3a. | $\text{Mortal} \vee \text{Horned}$ | |
| 3b. | $\neg \text{Mammal} \vee \text{Horned}$ | |
| 4. | $\neg \text{Horned} \vee \text{Magical}$ | |
| 5. | $\neg \text{Mythical}$ | |
| <hr/> | | |
| 6. | Mortal | 2a, 5 |
| 7. | Mammal | 2b, 5 |
| 8. | Horned | 3b, 7 |
| 9. | Magical | 4, 8 |

We cannot prove that the unicorn is mythical.

Magical?

1.	$\neg \text{Mythical} \vee \neg \text{Mortal}$	
2a.	$\text{Mythical} \vee \text{Mortal}$	
2b.	$\text{Mythical} \vee \text{Mammal}$	
3a.	$\text{Mortal} \vee \text{Horned}$	
3b.	$\neg \text{Mammal} \vee \text{Horned}$	
4.	$\neg \text{Horned} \vee \text{Magical}$	
5.	$\neg \text{Magical}$	
<hr/>		
6.	$\neg \text{Horned}$	4, 5
7.	Mortal	3a, 6
8.	$\neg \text{Mythical}$	1, 7
9.	Mammal	2b, 8
10.	$\neg \text{Mammal}$	3b, 6

As there is a contradiction in the resolution, we can prove that the unicorn is magical.

Horned?

1.	$\neg \text{Mythical} \vee \neg \text{Mortal}$	
2a.	$\text{Mythical} \vee \text{Mortal}$	
2b.	$\text{Mythical} \vee \text{Mammal}$	
3a.	$\text{Mortal} \vee \text{Horned}$	
3b.	$\neg \text{Mammal} \vee \text{Horned}$	
4.	$\neg \text{Horned} \vee \text{Magical}$	
5.	$\neg \text{Horned}$	
<hr/>		
6.	Mortal	3a, 5
7.	$\neg \text{Mythical}$	1, 6
8.	Mammal	2b, 7
9.	$\neg \text{Mammal}$	3b, 5

As there is a contradiction in the resolution, we can prove that the unicorn is horned.