

# Stock Price Prediction Using Machine Learning

A Case Study on Exxon Mobil Corp (XOM)

Nijat Hasanli  
Daryush Ray

In this presentation, we will explore the methodologies and techniques used in stock price prediction, using **Exxon Mobil Corporation** as a case study. **Exxon Mobil**, a leading multinational oil and gas corporation, provides an ideal subject due to its significant market presence and the complexity of factors influencing its stock prices.

- **Global Presence:** Exxon Mobil operates in over 70 countries
- **Diversified Operations:** The company is involved in all aspects of the oil and gas industry, including upstream, downstream, and chemical manufacturing.
- **Financial Strength:** Exxon Mobil is known for its strong financial performance, with significant revenues, profits, and a solid balance sheet.

- **Time Period:** January 1990 - Present
  - **Train:** January 1990 - December 2022
  - **Test:** January 2023 - Present
- **Data Sources:** Yahoo Finance, FRED
- **Data Types:**
  - Stock Prices (Open, Close, High, Low)
  - Moving Averages (50-day, 200-day)
  - Financial Statements (Income, Expenses, etc.)
  - Macroeconomic Indicators (CPI, Unemployment Rate, etc.)
- **Handling missing values**
- **Merging datasets**
- **Technical indicators (RSI, MACD, Bollinger Bands)**
- **Scaling features**

# Data Collection and Preprocessing

---

- **Technical Indicators:**

- 50-Day Moving Average
- 200-Day Moving Average
- Relative Strength Index
- Moving Average Convergence Divergence
- Upper Bollinger Band
- Lower Bollinger Band


- **Financial Data:**

- Open:
- Low:
- Tax Effect Of Unusual Items
- Normalized EBITDA
- Reconciled Depreciation
- EBITDA
- Net Interest Income
- Normalized Income
- Total Expenses
- Basic Average Shares
- Basic EPS: Basic Earnings Per Share
- Net Income Common Stockholders
- Minority Interests
- Net Income Continuous Operations

- Pretax Income
- Other Non Operating Income Expenses
- Net Non Operating Interest Income Expense
- Operating Income
- Other Operating Expenses
- Selling General And Administration
- Other Grand A
- Gross Profit
- Total Revenue

- **Macroeconomic Variables:**

- Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers
- Effective Federal Funds Rate
- 10-Year Treasury Constant Maturity Rate
- M2 Money Stock
- Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers: All Items Less Food & Energy
- Personal Savings Rate
- Durable Goods Orders



- **Highly Positive Correlations:**
  - **Open, Low, Adj Close:** These price features are highly correlated with each other.
  - **Total Revenue and Gross Profit:** High correlation indicates that total revenue directly impacts gross profit.
  - **Basic EPS and Net Income Common Stockholders:** Suggests that earnings per share are highly influenced by net income attributed to common stockholders.
- **Highly Negative Correlations:**
  - **CPIAUCSL (Consumer Price Index) and Stock Prices:** High CPI could be negatively correlated with stock prices, indicating inflation impacts.
  - **FEDFUNDS (Federal Funds Rate) and Stock Prices:** Higher interest rates might negatively impact stock prices, as borrowing costs increase.
- **Feature Importance:**
  - **Total Revenue, Gross Profit, Basic EPS, Net Income:** Strong correlations with target variables suggest these are important predictors for the stock price.


# Machine Learning Models

- **AdaBoost**: Combines multiple weak learners to create a strong predictive model by focusing on errors of previous models.
- **Gradient Boosting**: Sequentially builds models by correcting errors of previous models using gradient descent optimization.
- **XGBoost**: An optimized version of Gradient Boosting designed for speed and performance, especially with large datasets.
- **LightGBM**: A highly efficient Gradient Boosting framework that uses a leaf-wise tree growth algorithm for faster training.
- **CatBoost**: Handles categorical features automatically and reduces overfitting through ordered boosting.
- **Hyperparameter Tuning**: Grid Search with Cross-Validation



# Model Performance and Predictions


AdaBoost Predictions vs Actual



- The model captures some of the general trends in the stock price movements but appears to miss many of the smaller fluctuations and rapid changes.
- The smoother prediction line suggests that the AdaBoost model might be over-smoothing or not fully capturing the complexity of the stock price variations.




GradientBoosting Predictions vs Actual




- The Gradient Boosting model provides a more accurate and detailed prediction of stock prices compared to the AdaBoost model.
- The closer fit to actual prices suggests that Gradient Boosting is more effective in capturing the complexities of stock price movements.

XGBoost Predictions vs Actual




- The XGBoost model provides highly accurate and detailed predictions of stock prices, closely aligning with actual observed prices.
- The model's ability to capture the complexity of stock price movements suggests it is well-suited for applications requiring precise short-term predictions.

LightGBM Predictions vs Actual




- The LightGBM model provides a reasonably accurate prediction of stock prices, capturing the general trends and some of the variability.
- The smoother predictions suggest that the model might be averaging out some of the noise, potentially sacrificing some accuracy in capturing the rapid fluctuations.

CatBoost Predictions vs Actual



- The CatBoost model provides highly accurate and detailed predictions of stock prices, closely aligning with actual observed prices.
- The model's ability to capture both overall trends and finer details suggests it is well-suited for applications requiring precise short-term predictions.

Model Predictions vs Actual



# Conclusion

- **Model Variety:** AdaBoost, Gradient Boosting, XGBoost, LightGBM, and CatBoost.
- **Hyperparameter Tuning:** Optimized model performance through extensive hyperparameter tuning using Grid Search with Cross-Validation.
- **Best Performing Model:** Gradient Boosting achieved the lowest mean squared error, indicating superior predictive accuracy.
- **Model Comparison:** Each model's performance was evaluated, revealing strengths and weaknesses in different market conditions.
- **Practical Implications:** Accurate predictions can aid investors in making informed decisions and enhance trading strategies.
  
- **Future Enhancements:**
  - Potential for improving models by incorporating more granular data and exploring alternative algorithms.
  - Introducing baseline models.
  - Complex grid search to avoid overfitting
  - Multicollinearity