

# HJB Equation and Merton's Portfolio Problem

Ashwin Rao

ICME, Stanford University

November 23, 2018

# Overview

- 1 Problem Statement
- 2 HJB Equation as Optimal Discounted Value Function PDE
- 3 Reducing the PDE to an ODE
- 4 Optimal Allocation and Consumption
- 5 Insights and Real-World Adaptation

# Informal Problem Statement

- You will live for (deterministic)  $T$  more years
- Current Wealth + PV of Future Income (less Debt) is  $W_0 > 0$ .
- You can invest in (allocate to)  $n$  risky assets and a riskless asset
- Each asset has known normal distribution of returns
- Allowed to long or short any fractional quantities of assets
- Trading in continuous time  $0 \leq t < T$ , with no transaction costs
- You can consume any fractional amount of wealth at any time
- Dynamic Decision: Optimal Allocation and Consumption at each time
- To maximize lifetime-aggregated utility of consumption
- Consumption Utility assumed to have constant Relative Risk-Aversion

# Problem Notation

For simplicity, we state and solve the problem for 1 risky asset but the solution generalizes easily to  $n$  risky assets.

- Riskless asset:  $dR_t = r \cdot R_t \cdot dt$
- Risky asset:  $dS_t = \mu \cdot S_t \cdot dt + \sigma \cdot S_t \cdot dz_t$  (i.e. Geometric Brownian)
- $\mu > r > 0, \sigma > 0$  (for  $n$  assets, we work with a covariance matrix)
- Wealth at time  $t$  is denoted by  $W_t > 0$
- Fraction of wealth allocated to risky asset denoted by  $\pi(t, W_t)$
- Fraction of wealth in riskless asset will then be  $1 - \pi(t, W_t)$
- Wealth consumption denoted by  $c(t, W_t) \geq 0$
- Utility of Consumption function  $U(x) = \frac{x^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}$  for  $0 < \gamma \neq 1$
- Utility of Consumption function  $U(x) = \log(x)$  for  $\gamma = 1$
- $\gamma = (\text{constant})$  Relative Risk-Aversion  $\frac{-x \cdot U''(x)}{U'(x)}$

# Problem Statement

- We write  $\pi_t, c_t$  instead of  $\pi(t, W_t), c(t, W_t)$  to lighten notation
- Balance constraint implies the following process for Wealth  $W_t$

$$dW_t = ((\pi_t \cdot (\mu - r) + r) \cdot W_t - c_t) \cdot dt + \pi_t \cdot \sigma \cdot W_t \cdot dz_t$$

- At any time  $t$ , determine optimal  $[\pi(t, W_t), c(t, W_t)]$  to maximize:

$$E\left[\int_t^T \frac{e^{-\rho(s-t)} \cdot c_s^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \cdot ds + \frac{e^{-\rho(T-t)} \cdot B(T) \cdot W_T^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \mid W_t\right]$$

- where  $\rho \geq 0$  is the utility discount rate,  $B(T)$  is the bequest function
- We can solve this problem for arbitrary bequest  $B(T)$  but for simplicity, will consider  $B(T) = \epsilon^\gamma$  where  $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ , meaning “no bequest” (we need this  $\epsilon$ -formulation for technical reasons).
- We will solve this problem for  $\gamma \neq 1$  ( $\gamma = 1$  is easier, hence omitted)

# Continuous-Time Stochastic Control

- Think of this as a continuous-time Stochastic Control problem
- The *State* is  $(t, W_t)$
- The *Action* is  $[\pi_t, c_t]$
- The *Reward* per unit time is  $U(c_t)$
- The *Return* is the usual accumulated discounted *Reward*
- Find *Policy* :  $(t, W_t) \rightarrow [\pi_t, c_t]$  that maximizes the *Expected Return*
- Note:  $c_t \geq 0$ , but  $\pi_t$  is unconstrained

# Optimal Discounted Value Function

- Instead of the usual Value Function (*Expected Return* from a given *State*), we consider the Discounted Value Function
- Discounted Value Function is simply the Value Function further discounted to time 0
- We focus on the Optimal Discounted Value Function  $V^*(t, W_t)$

$$V^*(t, W_t) = \max_{\pi_t, C_t} E \left[ \int_t^T \frac{e^{-\rho s} \cdot c_s^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \cdot ds + \frac{e^{-\rho T} \cdot \epsilon^\gamma \cdot W_T^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \right]$$

- $V^*(t, W_t)$  satisfies a simple recursive formulation for  $0 \leq t < t_1 < T$ .

$$V^*(t, W_t) = \max_{\pi_t, C_t} E[V^*(t_1, W_{t_1}) + \int_t^{t_1} \frac{e^{-\rho s} \cdot c_s^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \cdot ds]$$

# HJB Equation for Optimal Discounted Value Function

Rewriting in stochastic differential form, we have the HJB formulation

$$\max_{\pi_t, c_t} E[dV^*(t, W_t) + \frac{e^{-\rho t} \cdot c_t^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \cdot dt] = 0$$

Use Ito's Lemma on  $dV^*$ , remove the  $dz_t$  term since it's a martingale, and divide throughout by  $dt$  to produce the HJB Equation in PDE form:

$$\max_{\pi_t, c_t} \left[ \frac{\partial V^*}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial V^*}{\partial W_t} ((\pi_t(\mu - r) + r)W_t - c_t) + \frac{\partial^2 V^*}{\partial W_t^2} \frac{\pi_t^2 \sigma^2 W_t^2}{2} + \frac{e^{-\rho t} \cdot c_t^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \right] = 0$$

Let us write the above equation more succinctly as:

$$\max_{\pi_t, c_t} \Phi(t, W_t; \pi_t, c_t) = 0$$

Note: we are working with the constraints  $W_t > 0, c_t \geq 0$  for  $0 \leq t < T$



# Optimal Allocation and Consumption

Find optimal  $\pi_t^*, c_t^*$  by taking partial derivatives of  $\Phi(t, W_t; \pi_t, c_t)$  with respect to  $\pi_t$  and  $c_t$ , and equate to 0 (first-order conditions for  $\Phi$ ).

- Partial derivative of  $\Phi$  with respect to  $\pi_t$ :

$$(\mu - r) \cdot \frac{\partial V^*}{\partial W_t} + \frac{\partial^2 V^*}{\partial W_t^2} \cdot \pi_t \cdot \sigma^2 \cdot W_t = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \pi_t^* = \frac{-\frac{\partial V^*}{\partial W_t} \cdot (\mu - r)}{\frac{\partial^2 V^*}{\partial W_t^2} \cdot \sigma^2 \cdot W_t}$$

- Partial derivative of  $\Phi$  with respect to  $c_t$ :

$$-\frac{\partial V^*}{\partial W_t} + e^{-\rho t} \cdot (c_t^*)^{-\gamma} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow c_t^* = \left( \frac{\partial V^*}{\partial W_t} \cdot e^{\rho t} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}$$

# Optimal Discounted Value Function PDE

Now substitute  $\pi_t^*$  and  $c_t^*$  in  $\Phi(t, W_t; \pi_t, c_t)$  and set it to 0, which gets us the Optimal Discounted Value Function PDE:

$$\frac{\partial V^*}{\partial t} - \frac{(\mu - r)^2}{2\sigma^2} \cdot \frac{(\frac{\partial V^*}{\partial W_t})^2}{\frac{\partial^2 V^*}{\partial W_t^2}} + \frac{\partial V^*}{\partial W_t} \cdot r \cdot W_t + \frac{\gamma}{1 - \gamma} \cdot e^{\frac{-\rho t}{\gamma}} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial V^*}{\partial W_t}\right)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}} = 0$$

The boundary condition is:

$$V^*(T, W_T) = e^{-\rho T} \cdot \epsilon^\gamma \cdot \frac{W_T^{1-\gamma}}{1 - \gamma}$$

The second-order conditions for  $\Phi$  are satisfied **under the assumptions**  $c_t^* > 0$ ,  $W_t > 0$ ,  $\frac{\partial^2 V^*}{\partial W_t^2} < 0$  for all  $0 \leq t < T$  (we will later show that these are all satisfied in the solution we derive), and for concave  $U(\cdot)$ , i.e.,  $\gamma > 0$

# Solving the PDE with a guess solution

We surmise with a guess solution

$$V^*(t, W_t) = f(t)^\gamma \cdot e^{-\rho t} \cdot \frac{W_t^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}$$

Then,

$$\frac{\partial V^*}{\partial t} = (\gamma \cdot f(t)^{\gamma-1} \cdot f'(t) - \rho \cdot f(t)^\gamma) \cdot e^{-\rho t} \cdot \frac{W_t^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}$$

$$\frac{\partial V^*}{\partial W_t} = f(t)^\gamma \cdot e^{-\rho t} \cdot W_t^{-\gamma}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 V^*}{\partial W_t^2} = -f(t)^\gamma \cdot e^{-\rho t} \cdot \gamma \cdot W_t^{-\gamma-1}$$

# PDE reduced to an ODE

Substituting the guess solution in the PDE, we get the simple ODE:

$$f'(t) = \nu \cdot f(t) - 1$$

where

$$\nu = \frac{\rho - (1 - \gamma) \cdot \left( \frac{(\mu - r)^2}{2\sigma^2\gamma} + r \right)}{\gamma}$$

with boundary condition  $f(T) = \epsilon$ .

The solution to this ODE is:

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1 + (\nu\epsilon - 1) \cdot e^{-\nu(T-t)}}{\nu} & \text{for } \nu \neq 0 \\ T - t + \epsilon & \text{for } \nu = 0 \end{cases}$$

# Optimal Allocation and Consumption

Putting it all together (substituting the solution for  $f(t)$ ), we get:

$$\pi^*(t, W_t) = \frac{\mu - r}{\sigma^2 \gamma}$$

$$c^*(t, W_t) = \frac{W_t}{f(t)} = \begin{cases} \frac{\nu \cdot W_t}{1 + (\nu \epsilon - 1) \cdot e^{-\nu(T-t)}} & \text{for } \nu \neq 0 \\ \frac{W_t}{T-t+\epsilon} & \text{for } \nu = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$V^*(t, W_t) = \begin{cases} e^{-\rho t} \cdot \frac{(1 + (\nu \epsilon - 1) \cdot e^{-\nu(T-t)})^\gamma}{\nu^\gamma} \cdot \frac{W_t^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} & \text{for } \nu \neq 0 \\ e^{-\rho t} \cdot \frac{(T-t+\epsilon)^\gamma \cdot W_t^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} & \text{for } \nu = 0 \end{cases}$$

- $f(t) > 0$  for all  $0 \leq t < T$  (for all  $\nu$ ) ensures  $W_t, c_t^* > 0$ ,  $\frac{\partial^2 V^*}{\partial W_t^2} < 0$ . This ensures the constraints  $W_t > 0$  and  $c_t \geq 0$  are satisfied and the second-order conditions for  $\Phi$  are also satisfied.
- The HJB Formulation was key and this solution approach provides a template for similar continuous-time stochastic control problems.

# Gaining Insights into the Solution

- Optimal Allocation  $\pi^*(t, W_t)$  is constant (independent of  $t$  and  $W_t$ )
- Optimal Fractional Consumption  $\frac{c^*(t, W_t)}{W_t}$  depends only on  $t$  ( $= \frac{1}{f(t)}$ )
- With Optimal Allocation & Consumption, the Wealth process is:

$$\frac{dW_t}{W_t} = \left( r + \frac{(\mu - r)^2}{\sigma^2 \gamma} - \frac{1}{f(t)} \right) \cdot dt + \frac{\mu - r}{\sigma \gamma} \cdot dz_t$$

- Expected Portfolio Return is constant over time ( $= r + \frac{(\mu - r)^2}{\sigma^2 \gamma}$ )
- Assuming  $\epsilon < \frac{1}{\nu}$ , Fractional Consumption  $\frac{1}{f(t)}$  increases over time
- Expected Rate of Wealth Growth  $r + \frac{(\mu - r)^2}{\sigma^2 \gamma} - \frac{1}{f(t)}$  decreases over time
- If  $r + \frac{(\mu - r)^2}{\sigma^2 \gamma} > \frac{1}{f(0)}$ , we start by Consuming  $<$  Expected Portfolio Growth and over time, we Consume  $>$  Expected Portfolio Growth
- Wealth Growth Volatility is constant ( $= \frac{\mu - r}{\sigma \gamma}$ )

# Porting this to Real-World Portfolio Optimization

- Analytical tractability in Merton's formulation was due to:
  - Normal distribution of asset returns
  - Constant Relative Risk-Aversion
  - Frictionless, continuous trading
- However, real-world situation involves:
  - Discrete amounts of assets to hold and discrete quantities of trades
  - Transaction costs
  - Locked-out days for trading
  - Non-stationary/arbitrary/correlated processes of multiple assets
  - Changing/uncertain risk-free rate
  - Consumption constraints
  - Arbitrary Risk-Aversion/Utility specification
- $\Rightarrow$  Approximate Dynamic Programming or Reinforcement Learning
- Large Action Space points to Policy Gradient Algorithms