# Real-world Derivatives Hedging with Deep Reinforcement Learning

Ashwin Rao

ICME, Stanford University

November 15, 2019

1/9

### Classical Pricing and Hedging of Derivatives

- Classical Pricing/Hedging Theory assumes frictionless markets
- Frictionless := continuous trading, any volume, no transaction costs
- Assumptions of <u>arbitrage-free and completeness</u> lead to (dynamic and exact) replication of derivatives with *basic* market securities
- Replication strategy gives us the pricing and hedging solutions
- This is the foundation of the famous Black-Scholes formulas
- However, the real-world has many frictions ⇒ Incomplete Market
- ... where Derivatives cannot be exactly replicated

### Pricing and Hedging in an Incomplete Market

- In an incomplete market, we have multiple risk-neutral measures
- So, multiple derivative prices (each consistent with no-arbitrage)
- The market/trader "chooses" a risk-neutral measure (hence, price)
- Based on a specified preference for trading risk versus return
- This preference is equivalent to specifying a Utility function
- Maximizing "risk-adjusted return" of the derivative plus hedges
- Reminiscent of the Portfolio Optimization problem
- Likewise, we can set this up as a stochastic control (MDP) problem
- Where the decision at each time step is: *Trades in the hedges*
- So what's the best way to solve this MDP?

# Deep Reinforcement Learning (DRL)

- Dynamic Programming not suitable in practice due to:
  - Curse of Dimensionality
  - Curse of Modeling
- So we solve the MDP with *Deep Reinforcement Learning* (DRL)
- The idea is to use real market data and real market frictions
- Developing realistic simulations to derive the optimal policy
- The optimal policy gives us the (practical) hedging strategy
- The optimal value function gives us the price (valuation)
- Formulation based on <u>Deep Hedging paper</u> by J.P.Morgan researchers
- More details in the <u>prior paper</u> by some of the same authors

### Problem Setup

- We will simplify the problem setup a bit for ease of exposition
- This model works for more complex, more frictionful markets too
- Assume time is in discrete (finite) steps t = 0, 1, ..., T
- Assume we have a position (portfolio) D in m derivatives
- Assume each of these m derivatives expires in time  $\leq T$
- ullet Portfolio-aggregated *Contingent Cashflows* at time t denoted  $X_t \in \mathbb{R}$
- Assume we have n basic market securities as potential hedges
- Hedge positions (units held) at time t denoted  $\alpha_t \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- ullet Cashflows per unit of hedges held at time t denoted  $Y_t \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- ullet Prices per unit of hedges at time t denoted  $P_t \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- ullet PnL position at time t is denoted as  $eta_t \in \mathbb{R}$

#### States and Actions

- ullet Denote state space at time t as  $\mathcal{S}_t$ , state at time t as  $s_t \in \mathcal{S}_t$
- Among other things,  $s_t$  contains  $t, \alpha_t, P_t, \beta_t, D$
- $\bullet$   $s_t$  will include any market information relevant to trading actions
- For simplicity, we assume  $s_t$  is just the tuple  $(t, \alpha_t, P_t, \beta_t, D)$
- ullet Denote action space at time t as  $\mathcal{A}_t$ , action at time t as  $a_t \in \mathcal{A}_t$
- $\bullet$   $a_t$  represents units of hedges traded (positive for buy, negative for sell)
- ullet Trading restrictions (eg: no short-selling) define  $\mathcal{A}_t$  as a function of  $s_t$
- State transitions  $P_{t+1}|P_t$  available from a *simulator*, whose internals are estimated from real market data and realistic assumptions

# Sequence of events at each time step t = 0, ..., T

- **①** Observe state  $s_t = (t, \alpha_t, P_t, \beta_t, D)$
- **②** Realize cashflows (from holding positions) =  $X_t + \alpha_t \cdot Y_t$
- **3** Perform action (trades)  $a_t$  to produce trading  $PnL = -a_t \cdot P_t$
- **1** Trading transaction costs, example  $= -\gamma P_t \cdot |a_t|$  for some  $\gamma > 0$
- **9** Update  $\alpha_t$  as:  $\alpha_{t+1} = \alpha_t + a_t$  for t = 0, ..., T (force-liquidation at termination means  $a_T = \alpha_T$ )
- **1** Update PnL  $\beta_t$  as:

$$\beta_{t+1} = \beta_t + X_t + \alpha_t \cdot Y_t - a_t \cdot P_t - \gamma P_t \cdot |a_t| \text{ for } t = 0, \dots, T$$

- Reward  $r_t = 0$  for all t = 0, ..., T 1 and  $r_T = U(\beta_{T+1})$  for an appropriate concave Utility function U (based on risk-aversion)
- **8** Simulator evolves hedge prices from  $P_t$  to  $P_{t+1}$



### Pricing and Hedging

- Assume we now want to enter into an incremental position (portfolio) D' in m' derivatives (denote the combined position as  $D \cup D'$ )
- We want to determine the *Price* of the incremental position D', as well as the hedging strategy for D'
- ullet Denote the Optimal Value Function at time t as  $V_t^*:\mathcal{S}_t o\mathbb{R}$
- Pricing of D' is based on the principle that introducing the incremental position of D' together with a calibrated cashflow at t=0 should leave the Optimal Value (at t=0) unchanged
- Precisely, Price of D' is the value x such that

$$V_0^*((0,\alpha_0,P_0,\beta_0-x,D\cup D'))=V_0^*((0,\alpha_0,P_0,\beta_0,D))$$

• The hedging strategy at time t is given by the Optimal Policy  $\pi_t^*: \mathcal{S}_t \to \mathcal{A}_t$ 

# DRL Approach a Breakthrough for Practical Trading?

- The industry practice/tradition has been to start with Complete Market assumption, and then layer ad-hoc/unsatisfactory adjustments
- There is some past work on pricing/hedging in incomplete markets
- But it's theoretical and not usable in real trading (eg: Superhedging)
- My view: This DRL approach is a breakthrough for practical trading
- Key advantages of this DRL approach:
  - Algorithm for pricing/hedging independent of market dynamics
  - Computational cost scales efficiently with size *m* of derivatives portfolio
  - Enables one to faithfully capture practical trading situations/constraints
  - Deep Neural Networks provide great function approximation for RL