

Pricing American Options with Reinforcement Learning

Ashwin Rao

ICME, Stanford University

November 16, 2018

- 1 Review of Optimal Stopping and its MDP Formulation
- 2 Longstaff-Schwartz Algorithm
- 3 RL Algorithms for American Option Pricing: LSPI and FQI
- 4 Choice of feature functions and Model-based adaptation

Stopping Time

- Stopping time τ is a “random time” (random variable) interpreted as time at which a given stochastic process exhibits certain behavior
- Stopping time often defined by a “stopping policy” to decide whether to continue/stop a process based on present position and past events
- Random variable τ such that $Pr[\tau \leq t]$ is in σ -algebra \mathcal{F}_t , for all t
- Deciding whether $\tau \leq t$ only depends on information up to time t
- Hitting time of a Borel set A for a process X_t is the first time X_t takes a value within the set A
- Hitting time is an example of stopping time. Formally,

$$T_{X,A} = \min\{t \in \mathbb{R} | X_t \in A\}$$

eg: Hitting time of a process to exceed a certain fixed level

Optimal Stopping Problem

- Optimal Stopping problem for Stochastic Process X_t :

$$V(x) = \max_{\tau} \mathbb{E}[G(X_{\tau}) | X_0 = x]$$

where τ is a set of stopping times of X_t , $V(\cdot)$ is called the Value function, and G is the Reward function.

- Note that sometimes we can have several stopping times that maximize $\mathbb{E}[G(X_{\tau})]$ and we say that the optimal stopping time is the smallest stopping time achieving the maximum value.
- Example of Optimal Stopping: Optimal Exercise of American Options
 - X_t is stochastic process for underlying security's price
 - x is underlying security's current price
 - τ is set of exercise times corresponding to various stopping policies
 - $V(\cdot)$ is American option price as function of underlying's current price
 - $G(\cdot)$ is the option payoff function

Optimal Stopping Problems as Markov Decision Processes

- We formulate Stopping Time problems as Markov Decision Processes
- *State* is a suitable function of the history of Stochastic Process X_t
- *Action* is Boolean: Stop or Continue
- *Reward* always 0, except upon Stopping (when it is $= G(X_\tau)$)
- *State*-transitions governed by Underlying Price Stochastic Process

Mainstream approaches to American Option Pricing

- American Option Pricing is Optimal Stopping, and hence an MDP
- So can be tackled with Dynamic Programming or RL algorithms
- But let us first review the mainstream approaches
- For some American options, just price the European, eg: vanilla call
- When payoff is not path-dependent and state dimension is not large, we can do backward induction on a binomial/trinomial tree/grid
- Otherwise, the standard approach is [Longstaff-Schwartz algorithm](#)
- Longstaff-Schwartz algorithm combines 3 ideas:
 - Valuation based on Monte-Carlo simulation
 - Function approximation of continuation value for in-the-money states
 - Backward-recursive determination of early exercise states

Ingredients of Longstaff-Schwartz Algorithm

- m Monte-Carlo paths indexed $i = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$
- $n + 1$ time steps indexed $j = n, n - 1, \dots, 1, 0$ (we move back in time)
- Infinitesimal Risk-free rate at time t_j denoted r_{t_j}
- Simulation paths of prices of underlying as input 2-dim array $SP[i, j]$
- At each time step, $CF[i]$ is PV of current+future cashflow for path i
- $s_{i,j}$ denotes state for $(i, j) := (\text{time } t_j, \text{price history } SP[i, : (j + 1)])$
- $\text{Payoff}(s_{i,j})$ denotes Option Payoff at (i, j)
- $\phi_0(s_{i,j}), \dots, \phi_{r-1}(s_{i,j})$ represent feature functions (of state $s_{i,j}$)
- w_0, \dots, w_{r-1} are the regression weights
- Regression function $f(s_{i,j}) = w \cdot \phi(s_{i,j}) = \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} w_l \cdot \phi_l(s_{i,j})$
- $f(\cdot)$ is estimate of continuation value for in-the-money states

The Longstaff-Schwartz Algorithm

Algorithm 2.1: LONGSTAFFSCHWARTZ($SP[0:m, 0:n+1]$)

comment: $s_{i,j}$ is shorthand for state at $(i,j) := (t_j, SP[i:(j+1)])$

$CF[0:m] \leftarrow [Payoff(s_{i,n}) \text{ for } i \text{ in range}(m)]$

for $j \leftarrow n-1$ **downto** 1

do $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} CF[0:m] \leftarrow CF[0:m] * e^{-r_{t_j}(t_{j+1}-t_j)} \\ X \leftarrow [\phi(s_{i,j}) \text{ for } i \text{ in range}(m) \text{ if } Payoff(s_{i,j}) > 0] \\ Y \leftarrow [CF[i] \text{ for } i \text{ in range}(m) \text{ if } Payoff(s_{i,j}) > 0] \\ w \leftarrow (X^T \cdot X)^{-1} \cdot X^T \cdot Y \\ \textbf{comment:} \text{ Above regression gives estimate of continuation value} \\ \textbf{for } i \leftarrow 0 \textbf{ to } m-1 \\ \quad \textbf{do } CF[i] \leftarrow Payoff(s_{i,j}) \textbf{ if } Payoff(s_{i,j}) > w \cdot \phi(s_{i,j}) \end{array} \right.$

$exercise \leftarrow Payoff(s_{0,0})$

$continue \leftarrow e^{-r_0(t_1-t_0)} \cdot mean(CF[0:m])$

return ($\max(exercise, continue)$)

RL as an alternative to Longstaff-Schwartz

- RL is straightforward if we clearly define the MDP
- *State* is [Current Time, History of Underlying Security Prices]
- *Action* is Boolean: Exercise (i.e., Stop) or Continue
- *Reward* always 0, except upon Exercise (= Payoff)
- *State*-transitions governed by Underlying Price's Stochastic Process
- Key is function approximation of state-conditioned continuation value
- Continuation Value \Rightarrow Optimal Stopping \Rightarrow Option Price
- We outline two RL Algorithms:
 - Least Squares Policy Iteration (LSPI)
 - Fitted Q-Iteration (FQI)
- Both Algorithms are batch methods solving a linear system
- More details in [Li, Szepesvari, Schuurmans paper](#)

Least Squares Policy Iteration for Continuation Value

Algorithm 3.1: LSPI-AMERICANPRICING($SP[0:m, 0:n+1]$)

comment: $s_{i,j}$ is shorthand for state at $(i,j) := (t_j, SP[i:(j+1)])$

comment: A is an $r \times r$ matrix, b and w are r -length vectors

comment: $A_{i,j} \leftarrow \phi(s_{i,j}) \cdot (\phi(s_{i,j}) - \gamma \mathbb{I}_{w \cdot \phi(s_{i,j+1}) \geq \text{Payoff}(s_{i,j+1})} * \phi(s_{i,j+1}))^T$

comment: $b_{i,j} \leftarrow \gamma \mathbb{I}_{w \cdot \phi(s_{i,j+1}) < \text{Payoff}(s_{i,j+1})} * \text{Payoff}(s_{i,j+1}) * \phi(s_{i,j})$

$A \leftarrow 0, B \leftarrow 0, w \leftarrow 0$

for $i \leftarrow 0$ **to** $m-1$

do { **for** $j \leftarrow 0$ **to** $n-1$

do { $Q \leftarrow \text{Payoff}(s_{i,j+1})$

$P \leftarrow \phi(s_{i,j+1})$ **if** $j < n-1$ **and** $Q \leq w \cdot \phi(s_{i,j+1})$ **else** 0

$R \leftarrow Q$ **if** $Q > w \cdot P$ **else** 0

$A \leftarrow A + \phi(s_{i,j}) \cdot (\phi(s_{i,j}) - e^{-r t_j(t_{j+1}-t_j)} * P)^T$

$B \leftarrow B + e^{-r t_j(t_{j+1}-t_j)} * R * \phi(s_{i,j})$

$w \leftarrow A^{-1} \cdot b, A \leftarrow 0, b \leftarrow 0$ **if** $(i+1) \% \text{BatchSize} == 0$

Fitted Q-Iteration for Continuation Value

Algorithm 3.2: FQI-AMERICANPRICING($SP[0:m, 0:n+1]$)

comment: $s_{i,j}$ is shorthand for state at $(i,j) := (t_j, SP[i,:(j+1)])$

comment: A is an $r \times r$ matrix, b and w are r -length vectors

comment: $A_{i,j} \leftarrow \phi(s_{i,j}) \cdot \phi(s_{i,j})^T$

comment: $b_{i,j} \leftarrow \gamma \max(\text{Payoff}(s_{i,j+1}), w \cdot \phi(s_{i,j+1})) * \phi(s_{i,j})$

$A \leftarrow 0, B \leftarrow 0, w \leftarrow 0$

for $i \leftarrow 0$ **to** $m-1$

do $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for } j \leftarrow 0 \text{ to } n-1 \\ \quad \text{do } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} Q \leftarrow \text{Payoff}(s_{i,j+1}) \\ P \leftarrow \phi(s_{i,j+1}) \text{ if } j < n-1 \text{ else } 0 \\ A \leftarrow A + \phi(s_{i,j}) \cdot \phi(s_{i,j})^T \\ B \leftarrow B + e^{-r t_j} (t_{j+1} - t_j) * \max(\text{Payoff}(s_{i,j+1}), w \cdot P) * \phi(s_{i,j}) \\ w \leftarrow A^{-1} \cdot b, A \leftarrow 0, b \leftarrow 0 \text{ if } (i+1) \% \text{BatchSize} == 0 \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right.$

Feature functions

- Li, Szepesvari, Schuurmans recommend Laguerre polynomials (first 3)
- Over $S' = S_t/K$ where S_t is underlying price and K is strike
- $\phi_0(S_t) = 1, \phi_1(S_t) = e^{-\frac{S'}{2}}, \phi_2(S_t) = e^{-\frac{S'}{2}} \cdot (1 - S'), \phi_3(S_t) = e^{-\frac{S'}{2}} \cdot (1 - 2S' + S'^2/2)$
- They used these for Longstaff-Schwartz as well as for LSPI and FQI
- For LSPI and FQI, we also need feature functions for time
- They recommend
 $\phi_0^t(t) = \sin(\frac{\pi(T-t)}{2T}), \phi_1^t(t) = \log(T - t), \phi_2^t(t) = (\frac{t}{T})^2$
- They claim LSPI and FQI perform better than Longstaff-Schwartz with this choice of features functions
- We will code up these algorithms to validate this claim ☺

Extending LSPI and FQI to model-based settings

- Li, Szepesvari, Schuurmans have shown a model-free solution
- But the same algorithms can be extended to model-based settings
- Wherein we have explicit knowledge of the transition probabilities
- We simply change the TD target to a probability-weighted target
- This should help with speed of convergence
- Discussion: What other RL techniques could be used here?