# 6.857 Final Project: Milestone 2

Laura Jarin-Lipschitz, Sebastiani Aguirre Navarro and Rachel Holladay

October 11, 2017

## 1 Algorithms and Evaluation

(To be written more formally and filled in)

- Our goal is to predict grasp success given a grasp and image We will use the dataset provided by big bird[1] that gives point clouds of objects we will use software released by authors of [2] to generate grasps and we will implement the labeling scheme provided in the paper to create the data set the data set is quite large so maybe we will only use a portion? (like maybe only a subset of the objects?)
- in the paper they implement four-layer CNN that is the same structure as LeNet [3]. "two convolutional/pooling layers followed by one inner product layer with a rectified linear unit at the output and one more inner product layer with a softmax on the output. " Input is representation of object surfaces as seen by depth sensor and the grasp candidate. The output is prediction of whether or not the grasp candidate is a grasp. Use stochastic gradient descent with specified learning rate They explored the grasping representation, whether the model was trained with CAD models and level of information about object
- however lenet was originally developed for handwritten character recognition, where they define the MNIST data set on that paper. while this problem is still looking for patterns, it is a different application therefore in contrast we want to change the CNN structure and learning design choices to see their effect on performance. we will treat what is in the paper as the baseline and compare it against model alterations More specifically we will change RH: these edits to the CNN need to fill some different things we could try
- in the paper they use several metrics: they compare accuracy as a function of number of training iterations. RH: We will also use this? they also run a series of real robot experiments. as mentioned in milestone 1, we will not be doing this RH: We will use what other metrics?

### 2 Risk Management

(To be more formally written out later)

- We are provided the data set and grasping generation algorithm. We have to implement the labeling algorithm from the paper, which means there is a risk that we make a mistake in our labeling implementation. This would lead to a biased data set, which could break everything. we plan to stress test our labeling procedure and visually inspect (?) the labeling
- we hope to treat the network in the paper as the baseline and, by exploring other architectures, outperform the network. however there is a chance that we cannot. however even if we cant do better we hope to explore the effects of different architectures to better understand.
- we are going to be testing everything in simulation. This is due to time and resource limitations. However, robotics is inherently about a real robot interacting with the physical world. Therefore, there is a part (from the robotics side, not the ML side) that is incomplete

### 3 Division of Labor

RH: I'm really not sure what to say about this

#### References

- [1] A. Singh, J. Sha, K. S. Narayan, T. Achim, and P. Abbeel, "Bigbird: A large-scale 3d database of object instances," in *ICRA*, pp. 509–516, IEEE, 2014.
- [2] A. t. Pas, M. Gualtieri, K. Saenko, and R. Platt, "Grasp pose detection in point clouds," arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.09911, 2017.
- [3] Y. LeCun, L. Bottou, Y. Bengio, and P. Haffner, "Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 86, no. 11, pp. 2278–2324, 1998.