Two-Factor Hull-White Model for Interest Rate Derivative Products in Bloomberg

May 1, 2015

Quantitative Analytics Bloomberg L.P

Abstract

This document describes the detailed implementation of the Two-Factor Hull-White model for path-dependent interest rate derivatives

Keywords. Hull-White, Two-Factor, Monte Carlo, Dual Curve.

Contents

1	Two-factor Hull-White model			3
	1.1	Model	dynamics	3
		1.1.1	Risk neutral measure	3
		1.1.2	Terminal forward measure	4
		1.1.3	Spot-Libor measure	5

1 Two-factor Hull-White model

1.1 Model dynamics

1.1.1 Risk neutral measure

Under the risk neutral measure Q, numeraire is given by the money market account, i.e.

$$\mathcal{N}_{rn}(t, \mathcal{F}_t) := \exp\left[\int_0^t r(s)ds\right]. \tag{1}$$

The two-factor Hull-White model for short rate r(t) is

$$r(t) = \theta(t) + \mathbf{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{X}(t), \tag{2}$$

where $\theta(t)$ is a deterministic function to match the initial yield curve. $\boldsymbol{X}(t)$ is a two dimensional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process,

$$dX(t) = -\kappa X(t)dt + \sigma(t)dW^{Q}(t), \qquad X(0) = 0,$$
(3)

$$\left(d\mathbf{W}^{Q}(t)\right)\left(d\mathbf{W}^{Q}(t)\right)^{\top} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & 1 \end{bmatrix} dt := \boldsymbol{\rho} dt. \tag{4}$$

with parameters κ , $\sigma(t)$ and ρ .

$$\kappa = \begin{bmatrix} \kappa_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \kappa_2 \end{bmatrix},\tag{5}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1(t) & 0\\ 0 & \sigma_2(t) \end{bmatrix}. \tag{6}$$

Constants κ_1 and κ_2 are speeds of mean-reversion for the first and second factor, respectively. We assume that the first factor captures long term dynamics, while the second factor captures short term dynamics. Therefore, $0 \le \kappa_1 < \kappa_2$. $\sigma_u(t) \ge 0$ (u = 1, 2) are volatility related parameters obtained by calibrating to the market volatilities. ρ is the correlation between the two factors.

The solution to the SDE specified in Eqs. 3 and Eqs. 4 is

$$\boldsymbol{X}(t) = \boldsymbol{h}(t - t_0)\boldsymbol{x} + \int_{t_0}^{t} \boldsymbol{h}(t - s)\boldsymbol{\sigma}(s)d\boldsymbol{W}^{Q}(s), \qquad \text{for } \boldsymbol{X}(t_0) = \boldsymbol{x}$$
 (7)

$$= \int_0^t \mathbf{h}(t-s)\boldsymbol{\sigma}(s)d\mathbf{W}^Q(s) \qquad \text{for } \mathbf{X}(0) = \mathbf{0},$$
 (8)

where

$$\mathbf{h}(t) := e^{-\kappa t} = \begin{bmatrix} h_1(t) & 0\\ 0 & h_2(t) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$h_u(t) := h(t; \kappa_u) := e^{-\kappa_u t}.$$

$$(9)$$

From Eqs. 7,

$$\left[oldsymbol{X}(t) | oldsymbol{X}(t_0) = oldsymbol{x}
ight] \sim \mathcal{N} \Big(oldsymbol{\mu}^Q(t_0, t; oldsymbol{x}), oldsymbol{\Sigma}(t_0, t) \Big),$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}^{Q}(t_0, t; \boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{h}(t - t_0)\boldsymbol{x} \tag{10}$$

$$\Sigma(t_0, t) = \nu(t) - \mathbf{h}(t - t_0)\nu(t_0)\mathbf{h}(t - t_0). \tag{11}$$

where, $\nu(t)$ is the co-variance of X(t), i.e.

$$\boldsymbol{\nu}(t) := \operatorname{Var} \left[\boldsymbol{X}(t) \boldsymbol{X}^{\top}(t) \right] = \int_0^t \boldsymbol{h}(t-s) \boldsymbol{\sigma}(s) \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\sigma}(s) \boldsymbol{h}(t-s) ds = \begin{bmatrix} \nu_{11}(t) & \nu_{12}(t) \\ \nu_{21}(t) & \nu_{22}(t) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

$$\nu_{uv}(t) = \rho_{uv} \int_0^t \sigma_u(s) \sigma_v(s) e^{-(\kappa_u + \kappa_v)(t-s)} ds.$$
(13)

1.1.2 Terminal forward measure

Under terminal forward measure Q_T , numeraire is zero coupon bond with maturity T, i.e.

$$\mathcal{N}_{Q_T}(t, \mathcal{F}_t) := \mathbb{P}(t, T). \tag{14}$$

Therefore, Eqs. 3 becomes

$$dX(t) = \left(-\kappa X(t) - \sigma(t)\rho\sigma(t)H(T-t)\mathbf{1}\right)dt + \sigma(t)dW^{Q_T}(t), \tag{15}$$

where,

$$\boldsymbol{H}(t) := \int_0^t \boldsymbol{h}(s) ds = \begin{bmatrix} H_1(t) & 0\\ 0 & H_2(t) \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (16)

The solution is,

$$\boldsymbol{X}(t) = -\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{Q_T}(t_0, t) + \boldsymbol{h}(t - t_0)\boldsymbol{x} + \int_{t_0}^t \boldsymbol{h}(t - s)\boldsymbol{\sigma}(s)d\boldsymbol{W}^{Q_T}(s), \quad \text{for } \boldsymbol{X}(t_0) = \boldsymbol{x}$$
 (17)

$$= -\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{Q_T}(0,t) + \int_0^t \boldsymbol{h}(t-s)\boldsymbol{\sigma}(s)d\boldsymbol{W}^{Q_T}(s) \qquad \text{for } \boldsymbol{X}(0) = \boldsymbol{0}$$
 (18)

where,

$$\gamma^{Q_T}(t_0, t) := \left[\int_{t_0}^t \boldsymbol{h}(t - s) \boldsymbol{\sigma}(s) \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\sigma}(s) \boldsymbol{H}(T - s) \, \mathrm{d}s \right] \boldsymbol{1}. \tag{19}$$

The drift $\gamma^{Q_T}(t_0,t)$ can be computed as

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{Q_T}(0,t) = \left[\boldsymbol{\nu}^h(t) + \boldsymbol{\nu}(t)\boldsymbol{H}(T-t)\right]\mathbf{1} \qquad \text{for } 0 \le t \le T$$
 (20)

$$\gamma^{Q_T}(t_0, t) = \gamma^{Q_T}(0, t) - h(t - t_0)\gamma^{Q_T}(0, t_0) \qquad \text{for } 0 \le t_0 \le t \le T.$$
 (21)

From Eqs. 17,

$$\left[oldsymbol{X}(t)|oldsymbol{X}(t_0)=oldsymbol{x}
ight]\sim\mathcal{N}\Big(oldsymbol{\mu}^{Q_T}(t_0,t;oldsymbol{x}),oldsymbol{\Sigma}(t_0,t)\Big),$$

where

$$\mu^{Q_T}(t_0, t; x) = -\gamma^{Q_T}(t_0, t) + h(t - t_0)x$$
(22)

and $\Sigma(t_0,t)$ is the same as that in risk neutral measure in Eqs. 11.

1.1.3 Spot-Libor measure

Under spot-Libor measure Q_{β} , numeraire is given by the discretely rebalanced bank account (see [Brigo & Mercurio], Section 6.3)

$$\mathcal{N}_{\beta}(t, \mathcal{F}_t) := \frac{\mathbb{P}\left(t, T_{\beta(t)}\right)}{\prod_{j=1}^{\beta(t)} \mathbb{P}\left(T_{j-1}, T_j\right)}$$
(23)

where $0 = T_0 < T_1 < \cdots$ are fixed as our discrete tenor structure, $\beta(t)$ is the smallest index such that $t \leq T_{\beta(t)}$. From Eqs. 14 and Eqs. 23, note that the stochastic part of \mathcal{N}_{β} is the same as that in $\mathcal{N}_{Q_{\beta(t)}}$. Therefore, under the spot-Libor measure, the dynamics changes to

$$d\mathbf{X}(t) = \left(-\kappa \mathbf{X}(t) - \boldsymbol{\sigma}(t)\boldsymbol{\rho}\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t)\mathbf{H}(T_{\beta(t)} - t)\mathbf{1}\right)dt + \boldsymbol{\sigma}(t)d\mathbf{W}^{Q_{\beta}}(t).$$
(24)

Integrating Eqs. 24, we obtain

$$\boldsymbol{X}(t) = -\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{Q_{\beta}}(t_0, t) + \boldsymbol{h}(t - t_0)\boldsymbol{x} + \int_{t_0}^{t} \boldsymbol{h}(t - s)\boldsymbol{\sigma}(s)d\boldsymbol{W}^{Q_{\beta}}(s), \quad \text{for } \boldsymbol{X}(t_0) = \boldsymbol{x}$$
 (25)

$$= -\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{Q_{\beta}}(0,t) + \int_{0}^{t} \boldsymbol{h}(t-s)\boldsymbol{\sigma}(s)d\boldsymbol{W}^{Q_{\beta}}(s) \qquad \text{for } \boldsymbol{X}(0) = \boldsymbol{0}$$
 (26)

where $\gamma^{Q_{\beta}}(t_0, t)$ can be calculated stepwise. When $\beta(s) = \beta(t)$ for $t_0 < s < t$, we have $\gamma^{Q_{\beta}}(t_0, t) = \gamma^{Q_{T_{\beta(t)}}}(t_0, t)$, which is defined in Eqs. 19.

Therefore, the conditional distribution is

$$\left[oldsymbol{X}(t) | oldsymbol{X}(t_0) = oldsymbol{x}
ight] \sim \mathcal{N} \Big(oldsymbol{\mu}^{Q_eta}(t_0, t; oldsymbol{x}), oldsymbol{\Sigma}(t_0, t) \Big),$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}^{Q_{\beta}}(t_0, t; \boldsymbol{x}) = -\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{Q_{\beta}}(t_0, t) + \boldsymbol{h}(t - t_0)\boldsymbol{x}. \tag{27}$$

References

[Brigo & Mercurio] D. Brigo and F. Mercurio, Interest Rate Models: Theory and Practice, Springer, 2001.

[Glasserman2004] Paul Glasserman, Monte Carlo Methods in Financial Engineering, Springer, 2004.

[Henrard2007] M. Henrard, The Irony in the Derivatives Discounting, Wilmott Magazine, July 2007, 92-98.