

The Naïve Bayes Algorithm

Maria-Florina Balcan

02/04/2019

Admin

HWK 1 new deadline: Monday Feb 11, 11:59 PM.

Bayes Rule

Bayes Rule:
$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)}$$

$P(A)$ prior

$P(A|B)$ posterior

...by no means merely a curious speculation in the doctrine of chances, but necessary to be solved in order to a sure foundation for all our reasonings concerning past facts, and what is likely to be hereafter.... necessary to be considered by any that would give a clear account of the strength of *analogical* or *inductive reasoning*...



Bayes, Thomas (1763) An essay towards solving a problem in the doctrine of chances. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*, 53:370-418

Applying Bayes Rule

Bayes Rule:

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)}$$

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B|A)P(A) + P(B|\bar{A})P(\bar{A})}$$

A = you got flu B = you just coughed

$P(A) = 0.05$, $P(B|A) = 0.8$, $P(B|\bar{A}) = 0.2$

What is $P(\text{flu} | \text{cough}) = P(A | B)$?

What does this has to do with function approximation?

Instead of learning $F: X \rightarrow Y$, learn $P(Y|X)$.

Can design algorithms that learn functions with uncertain outcomes (e.g., predicting tomorrow's stock price) **and that incorporate prior knowledge to guide learning** (e.g., a bias that tomorrow's stock price is likely to be similar to today's price).

The Joint Distribution

Example: Boolean variables A,B,C

- The key to building probabilistic models is to define a set of random variables, and to consider the joint probability distribution over them.

A	B	C	Prob
0	0	0	0.30
0	0	1	0.05
0	1	0	0.10
0	1	1	0.05
1	0	0	0.05
1	0	1	0.10
1	1	0	0.25
1	1	1	0.10


The Joint Distribution

Example: Boolean variables A,B,C

Recipe for making a joint distribution of M variables:

1. Make a truth table listing all combinations of values (M Boolean variables $\rightarrow 2^M$ rows).
2. For each combination of values, say how probable it is.
3. By the axioms of probability, these probabilities must sum to 1.

A	B	C	Prob
0	0	0	0.30
0	0	1	0.05
0	1	0	0.10
0	1	1	0.05
1	0	0	0.05
1	0	1	0.10
1	1	0	0.25
1	1	1	0.10



Using the Joint Distribution

Once we have the Joint Distribution, can ask for the probability of **any** logical expression involving these variables

College Degree	Hours worked	Wealth	prob
No	40.5-	Medium	0.253122
No	40.5-	Rich	0.0245895
No	40.5+	Medium	0.0421768
No	40.5+	Rich	0.0116293
Yes	40.5-	Medium	0.331313
Yes	40.5-	Rich	0.0971295
Yes	40.5+	Medium	0.134106
Yes	40.5+	Rich	0.105933

$$P(E) = \sum_{\text{rows matching } E} P(\text{row})$$

Using the Joint Distribution

Once we have the Joint Distribution, can ask for the probability of **any** logical expression involving these variables

$$P(\text{College \& Medium}) = 0.4654$$

College Degree	Hours worked	Wealth	prob
No	40.5-	Medium	0.253122
No	40.5-	Rich	0.0245895
No	40.5+	Medium	0.0421768
No	40.5+	Rich	0.0116293
Yes	40.5-	Medium	0.331313
Yes	40.5-	Rich	0.0971295
Yes	40.5+	Medium	0.134106
Yes	40.5+	Rich	0.105933

$$P(E) = \sum_{\text{rows matching } E} P(\text{row})$$

Using the Joint Distribution

Once we have the Joint Distribution, can ask for the probability of **any** logical expression involving these variables

$$P(\text{Medium}) = 0.7604$$

College Degree	Hours worked	Wealth	prob
No	40.5-	Medium	0.253122
No	40.5-	Rich	0.0245895
No	40.5+	Medium	0.0421768
No	40.5+	Rich	0.0116293
Yes	40.5-	Medium	0.331313
Yes	40.5-	Rich	0.0971295
Yes	40.5+	Medium	0.134106
Yes	40.5+	Rich	0.105933

$$P(E) = \sum_{\text{rows matching } E} P(\text{row})$$

Inference with the Joint Distribution

Once we have the Joint Distribution, can ask for the probability of **any** logical expression involving these variables

$$P(\text{College} \mid \text{Medium}) = \frac{0.4654}{0.7604} = 0.612$$

College Degree	Hours worked	Wealth	prob
No	40.5-	Medium	0.253122
No	40.5-	Rich	0.0245895
No	40.5+	Medium	0.0421768
No	40.5+	Rich	0.0116293
Yes	40.5-	Medium	0.331313
Yes	40.5-	Rich	0.0971295
Yes	40.5+	Medium	0.134106
Yes	40.5+	Rich	0.105933

$$P(E_1 \mid E_2) = \frac{P(E_1 \wedge E_2)}{P(E_2)} = \frac{\sum_{\text{rows matching } E_1 \text{ and } E_2} P(\text{row})}{\sum_{\text{rows matching } E_2} P(\text{row})}$$

Learning and the Joint Distribution

Suppose we want to learn the function $f: \langle C, H \rangle \rightarrow W$

Equivalently, $P(W \mid C, H)$

One solution: learn joint distribution from data, calculate $P(W \mid C, H)$

College Degree	Hours worked	Wealth	prob
No	40.5-	Medium	0.253122
No	40.5-	Rich	0.0245895
No	40.5+	Medium	0.0421768
No	40.5+	Rich	0.0116293
Yes	40.5-	Medium	0.331313
Yes	40.5-	Rich	0.0971295
Yes	40.5+	Medium	0.134106
Yes	40.5+	Rich	0.105933

$$\text{e.g., } P(W = \text{rich} \mid C = \text{no}, H = 40.5 -) = \frac{0.0245895}{0.0245895 + 0.253122}$$

Idea: learn classifiers by learning $P(Y | X)$

Consider $Y = \text{Wealth}$

$X = \langle \text{CollegeDegree}, \text{HoursWorked} \rangle$

College Degree	Hours worked	Wealth	prob
No	40.5-	Medium	0.253122
No	40.5-	Rich	0.0245895
No	40.5+	Medium	0.0421768
No	40.5+	Rich	0.0116293
Yes	40.5-	Medium	0.331313
Yes	40.5-	Rich	0.0971295
Yes	40.5+	Medium	0.134106
Yes	40.5+	Rich	0.105933

College Degree	Hours worked	$P(\text{rich} C,HW)$	$P(\text{medium} C,HW)$
No	< 40.5	.09	.91
No	> 40.5	.21	.79
Yes	< 40.5	.23	.77
Yes	> 40.5	.38	.62

One approach: use this representation to learn $P(Y|X)$.

Are we done?!?

One approach: use this representation to learn $P(Y|X)$.

Main problem: learning $P(Y|X)$ might require more data than we have...

Example:

Consider learning joint distributions with 100 attributes

Number of rows in this table? $2^{100} \sim 100^{10} \sim 10^{30}$

Number of people on Earth? 10^9

Fraction of rows with 0 training examples: 0.9999

What to do?

1. Be smart about how to estimate probabilities
2. Be smart about how to represent joint distributions

Be smart about how to estimate probabilities

Principle 1: Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Choose parameter $\hat{\theta}$ that maximizes likelihood of observed data $P(\text{data}|\hat{\theta})$

$$\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}} = \frac{\alpha_H}{\alpha_T + \alpha_H}$$

Principle 2: Maximum A posteriori Probability

Choose parameter $\hat{\theta}$ that maximizes likelihood the posterior prob $P(\hat{\theta}|\text{data})$

$$\hat{\theta}_{\text{MAP}} = \frac{\alpha_H + \# \text{halucinated_Hs}}{(\alpha_T + \# \text{halucinated_Ts}) + (\alpha_H + \# \text{halucinated_Hs})}$$

Be smart about how to represent joint distributions

Naïve Bayes algorithms assumes that

$$P(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n|Y) = \prod_i P(X_i|Y)$$

i.e., X_i and X_j are conditionally independent given Y , for all $i \neq j$

Conditional Independence

Definition

X is **conditionally independent** of **Y** given **Z** iff

the probability distribution governing X is independent of Y, given the value of Z.

$$(\forall x, y, z): \quad P(X = x | Y = y, Z = z) = P(X = x | Z = z)$$

We often write as $P(X|Y, Z) = P(X|Z)$

E.g., $P(\text{Thunder} | \text{Rain}, \text{Lightening}) = P(\text{Thunder} | \text{Lightening})$

Note: does NOT **mean** that Thunder is independent of Rain.

Conditional Independence

X is conditionally independent of Y given Z iff

the probability distribution governing X is independent of Y, given the value of Z.

$$(\forall x, y, z): \quad P(X = x | Y = y, Z = z) = P(X = x | Z = z)$$

E.g., 3 Boolean random variables to describe the weather: Thunder, Rain, Lightning.

$$P(\text{Thunder} | \text{Rain}, \text{Lightning}) = P(\text{Thunder} | \text{Lightning})$$

Thunder is independent of Rain given Lightning. Lightning causes Thunder, once we know whether or not there is Lightning, no additional information about Thunder is provided by the value of Rain.

It does NOT mean that Thunder is independent of Rain.

Clear dependence of Thunder on Rain in general, but there is no conditional dependence once we know the value of Lightning.

Conditional Independence

Definition

X is **conditionally independent** of **Y** given **Z** iff

the probability distribution governing X is independent of Y, given the value of Z.

$$(\forall x, y, z): \quad P(X = x | Y = y, Z = z) = P(X = x | Z = z)$$

We often write as $P(X|Y, Z) = P(X|Z)$

Equivalent to $P(X, Y|Z) = P(X|Z)P(Y|Z)$

Conditional Independence

Claim

X is conditionally independent of Y given Z iff $P(X|Y, Z) = P(X|Z)$

Equivalent to $P(X, Y|Z) = P(X|Z)P(Y|Z)$

$$\begin{aligned} P(X, Y|Z) &= P(X|Y, Z)P(Y|Z) \\ &= P(X|Z)P(Y|Z) \end{aligned}$$

Conditional Independence

Claim

If X_i and X_j are conditionally independent given Y , for all $i \neq j$

$$P(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n | Y) = \prod_i P(X_i | Y)$$

If X_1, \dots, X_n, Y are all Boolean, how many parameters do we need to describe $P(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n | Y)$ and $P(Y)$?

- Without the conditional independence assumption: $2(2^n - 1) + 1$
- With conditional independence assumption: $2n + 1$

Naïve Bayes in a Nutshell

Bayes Rule: $P(Y = y_k | X_1, \dots, X_n) = \frac{P(Y = y_k) P(X_1, \dots, X_n | Y = y_k)}{P(X)}$

If X_i and X_j are conditionally independent given Y , for all $i \neq j$

$$P(Y = y_k | X_1, \dots, X_n) = \frac{P(Y = y_k) \prod_i P(X_i | Y = y_k)}{P(X)}$$

So, to pick the most probably Y for $X^{\text{new}} = (X_1^{\text{new}}, X_2^{\text{new}}, \dots, X_n^{\text{new}})$

$$Y^{\text{new}} = \operatorname{argmax}_{y_k} P(Y = y_k) \prod_i P(X_i^{\text{new}} | Y = y_k)$$

Naïve Bayes: discrete X_i

Training phase (input: training examples)

- For each value y_k , estimate $\pi_k = P(Y = y_k)$; get $\widehat{\pi}_k$
- For each value x_{ij} of attribute X_i estimate $\theta_{i,j,k} = P(X_i = x_{ij} | Y = y_k)$; get $\widehat{\theta}_{i,j,k}$

Testing phase:

- Classify $X^{\text{new}} = (X_1^{\text{new}}, X_2^{\text{new}}, \dots, X_n^{\text{new}})$

$$Y^{\text{new}} = \operatorname{argmax}_{y_k} \widehat{\pi}_k \prod_i \widehat{\theta}_{i,\text{new},k}$$


[Ideal rule: $Y^{\text{new}} = \operatorname{argmax}_{y_k} P(Y = y_k) \prod_i P(X_i^{\text{new}} | Y = y_k)$]

Estimating parameters Y, X_i discrete

Maximum Likelihood Estimation

- For each value y_k , get $\hat{\pi}_k = \hat{P}(Y = y_k) = \frac{\#D(Y=y_k)}{|D|}$
- For each value x_{ij} of attribute X_i estimate $\theta_{i,j,k} = P(X_i = x_{ij} | Y = y_k)$;

$$\text{get } \hat{\theta}_{i,j,k} = \hat{P}(X_i = x_{ij} | Y = y_k) = \frac{\#D(X_i=x_{ij} \wedge Y=y_k)}{\#D(Y=y_k)}$$



Number of items in
dataset D for which $Y=y_k$

Subtlety 1: Violation of the Naïve Bayes Assumption

- Usually features are not conditionally independent given the label

$$P(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n|Y) \neq \prod_i P(X_i|Y)$$

- Nonetheless, NB is widely used:
 - NB often performs well, even when assumption is violated
 - [Domingos & Pazzani '96] discuss some conditions for good performance

Subtlety 2: Need to use MAP

$$Y^{\text{new}} = \operatorname{argmax}_{y_k} \hat{P}(Y = y_k | X_1, \dots, X_n) = \operatorname{argmax}_{y_k} \hat{P}(Y = y_k) \prod_i \hat{P}(X_i^{\text{new}} | Y = y_k)$$

Note: If we never see a certain combination $X_i = a$ and $Y = b$ in our training data, then on any new example with $X_i = a$ we will predict a zero probability of $Y = b$

E.g., if we never see a training instance where $X_1 = a$ and $Y = b$?

$$\text{e.g., } Y = \text{SpamEmail}, X = \text{"Earn"} \quad \hat{P}(X_1 = a | Y = b) = 0$$

- Thus no matter what the values $X_2^{\text{new}}, \dots, X_n^{\text{new}}$ take, we get

$$\hat{P}(Y = b | X_1^{\text{new}} = a, X_2^{\text{new}}, \dots, X_n^{\text{new}}) = 0$$

- Solution: use MAP estimate!!!!

Estimating parameters Y, X_i discrete

Maximum A Posteriori Estimation

- For each value y_k , get $\hat{\pi}_k = \hat{P}(Y = y_k) = \frac{\#D(Y=y_k)+1}{|D|+1K}$
- For each value x_{ij} of attribute X_i estimate $\theta_{i,j,k} = P(X_i = x_{ij} | Y = y_k)$;

$$\text{get } \hat{\theta}_{i,j,k} = \hat{P}(X_i = x_{ij} | Y = y_k) = \frac{\#D(X_i=x_{ij} \wedge Y=y_k)+1}{\#D(Y=y_k)+1J}$$

J - number of distinct values that feature i can take; 1 determines the strength of this smoothing; assume the hallucinated examples are spread evenly over the possible values of X_i ; so, number of hallucinated examples is $1J$.

K - number of distinct values label can take; 1 determines the strength of this smoothing; assume the hallucinated examples are spread evenly over the possible values of Y ; so, number of hallucinated examples is $1K$.

Bag of Words Approach

the world of

TOTAL



all about the company

Our energy exploration, production, and distribution operations span the globe, with activities in more than 100 countries.

At TOTAL, we draw our greatest strength from our fast-growing oil and gas reserves. Our strategic emphasis on natural gas provides a strong position in a rapidly expanding market.

Our expanding refining and marketing operations in Asia and the Mediterranean Rim complement already solid positions in Europe, Africa, and the U.S.

Our growing specialty chemicals sector adds balance and profit to the core energy business.

► All About The Company

- Global Activities
- Corporate Structure
- TOTAL's Story
- Upstream Strategy
- Downstream Strategy
- Chemicals Strategy
- TOTAL Foundation
- Homepage

aardvark	0
about	2
all	2
Africa	1
apple	0
anxious	0
...	
gas	1
...	
oil	1
...	
Zaire	0

Case Study: Text Classification

- Classify e-mails
 - $Y = \{\text{Spam}, \text{NotSpam}\}$
- Classify news articles
 - $Y = \text{what is the topic of the article?}$
- Classify webpages
 - $Y = \{\text{student}, \text{professor}, \text{project}, \dots\}$
- What about the features X ?
 - The text!

Features X are entire document - X_i for i th word in article

Article from rec.sport.hockey

Path: cantaloupe.srv.cs.cmu.edu!das-news.harvard.e
From: xxx@yyy.zzz.edu (John Doe)
Subject: Re: This year's biggest and worst (opinion)
Date: 5 Apr 93 09:53:39 GMT

I can only comment on the Kings, but the most obvious candidate for pleasant surprise is Alex Zhitnik. He came highly touted as a defensive defenseman, but he's clearly much more than that. Great skater and hard shot (though wish he were more accurate). In fact, he pretty much allowed the Kings to trade away that huge defensive liability Paul Coffey. Kelly Hrudey is only the biggest disappointment if you thought he was any good to begin with. But, at best, he's only a mediocre goaltender. A better choice would be Tomas Sandstrom, though not through any fault of his own, but because some thugs in Toronto decided

Naïve Bayes for Text Classification

- What are the features: X_i represents i th word in document.
 - the domain of X_i is entire vocabulary, e.g., Webster Dictionary, 10,000 words
- E.g., if article has 1000 words, $X = \{X_1, \dots, X_{1000}\}$, then domain of X has size 10000^{1000} .
- $P(X|Y)$ is huge!
- **Naïve Bayes assumption helps a lot!**
 - Meaning of naïve Bayes assumption: the word in position i is independent of all the other words in the document given the label y

Naïve Bayes for Text Classification

- **Naïve Bayes assumption helps a lot!**
 - $P(X_i = x_i | Y = y)$ is just the probability of observing word x_i at the i th position in a document on topic y .
 - Assume X_i is independent of all other words in document given the label y :
 $P(X_i = x_i | Y = y, X_{-i}) = P(X_i = x_i | Y = y)$.

$$h_{\text{NB}}(\mathbf{x}) = \arg \max_y P(y) \prod_{i=1}^{\text{lengthDoc}} P(X_i = x_i | y)$$

- For each label y , have 1000 distributions of size 10000 to estimate.
- This is 10000×1000 items, which is big but much less than 10000^{1000} ...

Bag of Words Model

- Typical additional assumption – **Position in document doesn't matter:**

$$P(X_i = x_i \mid Y = y) = P(X_k = x_i \mid Y = y)$$

the probability distributions of words are the same at each position: $P_i = P_j$ for all i, j .

- “**Bag of Words**” model – order of words in the document is ignored
- Now, only 10000 quantities $P(x_i|y)$ to estimate for each label y (the 10000 possible values that x_i can be) plus the prior.

$$h_{NB}(x) = \arg \max_y P(y) \prod_{i=1}^{1000} P(x_i|y)$$

Bag of Words model

- Typical additional assumption – **Position in document doesn't matter:**

$$P(X_i = x_i \mid Y = y) = P(X_k = x_i \mid Y = y)$$

- “**Bag of Words**” model – order of words on the page ignored
- Sounds silly but often works very well

A piece of text like “When the lecture is over, remember to take your bag” would look to this algorithm the same as if we just sorted the words alphabetically “*bag is lecture over remember take the to When your*”

Bag of Words model

- Typical additional assumption – **Position in document doesn't matter:**

$$P(X_i = x_i \mid Y = y) = P(X_k = x_i \mid Y = y)$$

- “**Bag of Words**” model – order of words on the page ignored

Can simplify further:

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\text{lengthDoc}} P(x_i|y) = \prod_{w=1}^W P(w|y)^{\text{count}(w)}$$

Bag of Words representation

- Since we are assuming the order of words doesn't matter, an alternative representation of document is as vector of counts:
 - $x^{(j)}$ = number of occurrences of word j in document x .
 - Typical document: $[0\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 3\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 2\ 0\ 0\ \dots]$
 - Called “bag of words” or “term vector” or “vector space model” representation

Naïve Bayes with Bag of Words for text classification

- Learning phase
 - Class Prior $P(Y)$
 - $P(X_i|Y)$
- Test phase:
 - For each document
 - Use naïve Bayes decision rule

$$h_{\text{NB}}(x) = \arg \max_y P(y) \prod_{i=1}^{1000} P(x_i|y)$$


Twenty news groups results

- Given 1000 training documents from each group, learn to classify new documents according to which newsgroup it came from

comp.graphics, comp.os.ms-windows.misc, comp.sys.ibm.pc.hardware, comp.sys.max.hardware, comp.windows.x,
misc.forsale, rec.autos, rec.motorcycles, rec.sport.baseball, rec.sport.hockey
alt.atheism, soc.religion.christian, talk.religion.misc, talk.politics.mideast, talk.politics.misc, talk.politics.guns,
sci.space, sci.crypt, sci.electronics, sci.med

- Naïve Bayes: 89% classification accuracy

Learning curve for twenty news groups





Accuracy vs Training set size (1/3 withheld for test)

What if features are continuous?

- E.g., character recognition: X_i is intensity at i th pixel
- Gaussian Naïve Bayes (GNB):



$$P(X_i = x|Y = y_k) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{ik}\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu_{ik})^2}{2\sigma_{ik}^2}}$$

distribution of feature X_i is Gaussian with a mean and variance that can depend on the label y_k and which feature X_i it is



What if features are continuous?

- E.g., character recognition: X_i is intensity at i th pixel



- Gaussian Naïve Bayes (GNB):

$$P(X_i = x|Y = y_k) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{ik}\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu_{ik})^2}{2\sigma_{ik}^2}}$$

- Different mean and variance for each class k and each pixel i .
- Sometimes assume variance:
 - Is independent of Y (i.e., just have σ_i)
 - Or independent of X (i.e., just have σ_k)
 - Or both (i.e., just have σ)

Estimating parameters: Y discrete, X_i continuous

- Maximum likelihood estimates:

$$\hat{\mu}_{\text{MLE}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j$$

$$\hat{\mu}_{ik} = \frac{1}{\sum_j \delta(Y^j = y_k)} \sum_j x_i^j \delta(Y^j = y_k)$$

x_i^j : i th pixel in j th training image
 Y^j : j th training image
 y_k : k th class

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\text{unbiased}}^2 = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{j=1}^N (x_j - \hat{\mu})^2$$


$$\hat{\sigma}_{ik}^2 = \frac{1}{\sum_j \delta(Y^j = y_k) - 1} \sum_j (x_i^j - \hat{\mu}_{ik})^2 \delta(Y^j = y_k)$$

Example: GNB for classifying mental states

[Mitchell et al.]



- Classify a person's cognitive state, based on brain image
 - reading a sentence or viewing a picture?
 - reading the word describing a "Tool" or "Building"?
 - reading the word describing a "Person" or an "Animal"?
- Training: Patients were shown words of different categories and then a measurement was done to see what parts of the brain responded.



Example: GNB for classifying mental states

[Mitchell et al.]




~1mm resolution

~2 images per sec.


15,000 voxels/image

Non-invasive, safe

Measures Blood Oxygen Level
Dependent response (BOLD)



Gaussian Naïve Bayes: Learned $\mu_{\text{voxel}, \text{word}}$



[Mitchell et al.]

15,000 voxels
or features

10 training
examples or
subjects per
class


Learned Naïve Bayes Models – Means for $P(\text{BrainActivity} \mid \text{WordCategory})$

Pairwise classification accuracy: 85% [Mitchell et al.]

People words



Animal words



What you should know

- Naïve Bayes classifier
 - What's the assumption
 - Why we use it
 - How do we learn it
 - Why is Bayesian estimation important
- Text classification
 - Bag of words model
- Gaussian NB
 - Features are still conditionally independent
 - Each feature has a Gaussian distribution given class