

Comprehensive Report on Lane Detection Techniques and Datasets

Executive Summary

Lane detection is a critical perception task for autonomous driving systems that involves identifying and tracking lane boundaries on roads from camera images. This comprehensive report analyzes the evolution of lane detection techniques, major datasets, evaluation metrics, and findings from recent research. The field has progressed from traditional computer vision methods to sophisticated deep learning approaches, including segmentation-based, anchor-based, parametric curve-based, and transformer-based methods.

1. Introduction

Lane detection serves as a fundamental component in Advanced Driver Assistance Systems (ADAS) and autonomous driving platforms. The task involves detecting and localizing lane markings from front-facing camera images to enable lane keeping, lane departure warnings, and path planning. The challenge lies in handling diverse road conditions, varying weather, occlusions, worn lane markings, and complex lane geometries.

2. Major Lane Detection Techniques

2.1 Segmentation-Based Methods

2.1.1 Spatial CNN (SCNN)

Core Innovation: Spatial CNN generalizes traditional layer-by-layer convolutions to slice-by-slice convolutions within feature maps, enabling message passing between pixels across rows and columns ^[1].

Key Features:

- Introduces spatial message passing in four directions: top-to-bottom, bottom-to-top, left-to-right, and right-to-left
- Particularly effective for long continuous structures like lane lines
- Enables information propagation across spatial dimensions within the same layer

Performance:

- Achieved 96.53% accuracy on TuSimple dataset
- Won 1st place on TuSimple Benchmark Lane Detection Challenge
- Outperformed RNN-based ReNet and MRF+CNN by 8.7% and 4.6% respectively

Limitations:

- High computational cost due to sequential message passing
- Requires complex post-processing for lane instance separation

2.1.2 RESA (Recurrent Feature-Shift Aggregator)

Approach: Aggregates global information by shifting sliced feature maps recurrently, providing more efficient spatial information propagation than SCNN.

Advantages:

- More computationally efficient than SCNN
- Maintains competitive performance with reduced complexity

2.2 Anchor-Based Methods

2.2.1 LaneATT (Lane Attention)

Core Concept: Uses attention mechanisms with predefined lane anchors to detect lane boundaries.

Key Features:

- Leverages anchor priors for better feature extraction
- Enables larger receptive fields
- Uses attention pooling for improved feature aggregation

Performance Characteristics:

- Achieves good balance between accuracy and speed
- Effective for highway and structured road scenarios

2.2.2 CLNet (Cross Layer Refinement Network)

Innovation: Implements cross-layer refinement with learned anchors that adapt during training.

Advantages:

- Adaptive anchor optimization during training
- Improved performance on complex lane geometries
- Better handling of curved and forked lanes

Limitations:

- Still requires large numbers of anchors for comprehensive coverage
- NMS post-processing needed for redundant prediction removal

2.2.3 Polar R-CNN

Novel Approach: Incorporates polar coordinate systems to reduce anchor requirements and enable NMS-free detection ^[2].

Key Innovations:

- Uses both local and global polar coordinate systems
- Significantly reduces number of required anchors
- Triplet head with heuristic structure for NMS-free paradigm

Performance:

- Competitive results on TuSimple, CULane, LLAMAS, CurveLanes, and DL-Rail
- Lightweight design with straightforward structure

2.3 Parametric Curve-Based Methods

2.3.1 BézierFormer

Architecture: Unified 2D and 3D lane detection using Bézier curve representation with transformer architecture.

Key Components:

- Bézier curve attention mechanism for comprehensive feature extraction
- Chamfer IoU-based loss for control point regression
- Unified framework for both 2D and 3D scenarios

Performance:

- 90.72% F1 score on CurveLanes dataset
- 58.1% F1 score on OpenLane dataset
- State-of-the-art performance on both 2D and 3D benchmarks

2.3.2 PolyLaneNet

Approach: Direct polynomial regression for lane representation without post-processing requirements ^[3].

Advantages:

- High efficiency at 115 FPS
- No post-processing required
- Direct polynomial output representation

Performance:

- Competitive results with existing methods

- Excellent real-time performance characteristics

2.4 Transformer-Based Methods

2.4.1 PriorLane

Innovation: Enhances segmentation performance by incorporating low-cost local prior knowledge with transformer architecture.

Key Components:

- Mixed Transformer (MiT) blocks for hierarchical feature extraction
- Knowledge Embedding Alignment (KEA) module
- Fusion Transformer for combining image features with prior knowledge

Performance:

- 73.78% mIoU (2.82% improvement over SOTA)
- 96.58% accuracy on TuSimple dataset
- 76.27% F1 score on CULane dataset

2.4.2 LDTR (Lane Detection Transformer)

Approach: End-to-end transformer-based model using anchor-chain representation [\[4\]](#).

Key Features:

- Novel anchor-chain representation for complete lane modeling
- Multi-referenced deformable attention module
- Gaussian heatmap auxiliary branch for enhanced representation

Advantages:

- Handles special lane instances inherently
- No complex post-processing required
- State-of-the-art performance on multiple datasets

2.4.3 O2SFormer (One-to-Several Transformer)

Innovation: Addresses label assignment issues in DETR-based approaches using one-to-several assignment strategy [\[5\]](#).

Key Features:

- Combines one-to-many and one-to-one label assignment
- Layer-wise soft label for dynamic positive weight adjustment
- Dynamic anchor-based positional query

Performance:

- 77.83% F1 score on CULane with ResNet50 backbone
- 12.5x faster convergence than DETR with ResNet18

2.5 Hybrid Methods

2.5.1 CNN-LSTM Approaches

Concept: Combines spatial feature extraction from CNN with temporal modeling using LSTM networks.

Applications:

- Lane position prediction using historical information [\[6\]](#)
- Handling occlusion and temporary lane loss scenarios
- Improved robustness in challenging conditions

Performance:

- 85.45% mAP in real-world scenarios
- Superior performance under occlusion and adverse weather

3. Major Lane Detection Datasets

3.1 TuSimple Dataset

Characteristics:

- 6,408 images (3,626 training, 2,782 testing)
- Resolution: 1280×720 pixels
- Highway scenarios in the United States
- Daytime, clear weather conditions
- 2-4 lanes per image

Evaluation Metric: Accuracy-based evaluation with 20-pixel tolerance threshold

Limitations:

- Limited to highway scenarios
- No adverse weather conditions
- Relatively small dataset size

3.2 CULane Dataset

Characteristics:

- 133,235 images (88,880 training, 9,675 validation, 34,680 testing)
- Resolution: 1640×590 pixels
- Urban and highway scenes in Beijing, China
- 9 challenging scenarios: normal, crowded, dazzle light, shadow, no line, arrow, curve, night, crossroad

Evaluation Metric: F1-score with IoU-based matching (30-pixel width lanes)

Advantages:

- Large-scale dataset with diverse conditions
- Challenging scenarios for robust evaluation
- Includes occluded and worn lane markings

3.3 CurveLanes Dataset

Characteristics:

- 150,000 images with 680,000 lane labels
- Focus on curved lane scenarios (>90% images contain curves)
- Resolution: 2650×1440 pixels
- Various road types: urban, highway, complex intersections

Innovation: Addresses the limitation of existing datasets where only 2-30% of images contain curved lanes

Evaluation: F1-score based evaluation similar to CULane

3.4 OpenLane Dataset

Characteristics:

- 200,000 frames with 880,000 annotated lanes
- Resolution: 1920×1280 pixels
- 2D and 3D lane annotations
- Up to 24 lanes per frame with 14 lane categories
- Real-world Waymo dataset base

Scenarios: Day/night, dusk/dawn, motorway/urban/suburban, clear/cloudy/rainy/foggy conditions

3.5 LLAMAS Dataset

Characteristics:

- 100,000 images from German highways
- Resolution: 1276×717 pixels
- High-quality polyline annotations
- Daytime conditions with good weather

Focus: Highway lane detection with precise annotations

3.6 VIL-100 Dataset

Characteristics:

- 12,000 video clips with 100 frames each
- Resolution: 1920×1080 pixels
- Urban scenarios in China
- Video-based evaluation for temporal consistency

Innovation: Enables evaluation of temporal consistency in lane detection

4. Evaluation Metrics and Challenges

4.1 Standard Evaluation Metrics

4.1.1 Accuracy (TuSimple)

Formula: $\text{Accuracy} = \frac{\sum(\text{correct_predictions})}{\text{total_predictions}}$

- Threshold: 20 pixels tolerance
- Point-based evaluation at predefined y-coordinates

4.1.2 F1-Score (CULane, CurveLanes)

Components:

- $\text{Precision} = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$
- $\text{Recall} = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$
- $F1 = 2 \times \frac{(\text{Precision} \times \text{Recall})}{(\text{Precision} + \text{Recall})}$

IoU Threshold: 0.5 with 30-pixel lane width

4.1.3 Mean Intersection over Union (mIoU)

Used for pixel-level segmentation evaluation, averaging IoU across all classes.

4.2 Evaluation Challenges

4.2.1 Metric Limitations

Research by Sato et al. [\[7\]](#) demonstrates that conventional accuracy and F1-score metrics don't adequately represent performance in downstream autonomous driving applications.

Issues:

- Arbitrary threshold selection (20 pixels, 0.85 accuracy)
- Limited correlation with driving safety requirements
- Poor representation of lane centering capability

4.2.2 Proposed Driving-Oriented Metrics

End-to-End Lateral Deviation (E2E-LD): Directly measures lane centering performance in autonomous driving contexts

Per-frame Simulated Lateral Deviation (PSLD): Lightweight surrogate metric for E2E-LD evaluation

5. Technical Challenges and Solutions

5.1 Major Challenges

5.1.1 Occlusion and Poor Visibility

- Vehicle occlusion blocking lane markings
- Worn or faded lane markings
- Poor lighting conditions (night, shadows, glare)
- Weather effects (rain, fog, snow)

5.1.2 Complex Lane Geometries

- Curved lanes and S-curves
- Forked and merged lanes
- Lane departures and intersections
- Horizontal lanes and complex topologies

5.1.3 Real-time Performance Requirements

- Processing speed for real-time applications
- Memory and computational constraints
- Edge device deployment limitations

5.2 Solution Strategies

5.2.1 Spatial Information Utilization

SCNN Approach: Message passing between pixels to capture spatial relationships
Benefits: Improved connectivity for long, thin structures

5.2.2 Attention Mechanisms

Transformer-based Methods: Global attention for long-range dependencies
Deformable Attention: Adaptive attention focus on relevant regions

5.2.3 Multi-scale Feature Fusion

Hierarchical Features: Combining low-level detail with high-level semantics
Feature Pyramid Networks: Multi-resolution feature processing

5.2.4 Temporal Consistency

LSTM Integration: Temporal modeling for prediction and tracking
Video-based Approaches: Leveraging sequential frame information

6. Performance Comparison and Findings

6.1 Benchmark Performance

Method	Dataset	Metric	Performance	FPS
SCNN	TuSimple	Accuracy	96.53%	-
SCNN	CULane	F1-Score	71.6%	-
LaneATT	CULane	F1-Score	77.02%	-
CLRNet	CULane	F1-Score	80.13%	74
BézierFormer	CurveLanes	F1-Score	90.72%	84
PriorLane	TuSimple	Accuracy	96.58%	-
PolyLaneNet	-	-	-	115
O2SFormer	CULane	F1-Score	77.83%	-

6.2 Key Findings

6.2.1 Architecture Trade-offs

- **Segmentation methods:** High accuracy but computationally expensive
- **Anchor-based methods:** Good balance of accuracy and speed
- **Parametric methods:** Excellent efficiency with competitive accuracy
- **Transformer methods:** State-of-the-art accuracy with moderate complexity

6.2.2 Dataset-Specific Performance

- **Highway scenarios (TuSimple):** Most methods achieve >95% accuracy
- **Complex urban scenarios (CULane):** Performance drops to 70-80% F1-score
- **Curved lanes (CurveLanes):** Significant challenge for traditional methods

7. Best Practices and Implementation Tips

7.1 Method Selection Guidelines

7.1.1 For Real-time Applications

- **Recommended:** Anchor-based methods (LaneATT, CLRNet) or parametric methods (PolyLaneNet)
- **Considerations:** Balance between accuracy and computational efficiency

7.1.2 For High Accuracy Requirements

- **Recommended:** Transformer-based methods (BézierFormer, PriorLane) or hybrid approaches
- **Considerations:** Higher computational cost acceptable for accuracy gains

7.1.3 For Curved Lane Scenarios

- **Recommended:** CurveLane-NAS, BézierFormer, or parametric curve methods
- **Considerations:** Superior handling of complex geometries

7.2 Training Optimization Tips

7.2.1 Data Augmentation

- Brightness variation for lighting robustness
- Geometric transformations for viewpoint invariance
- Weather simulation for adverse condition handling

7.2.2 Loss Function Design

- **Chamfer IoU Loss:** Better for curve fitting (BézierFormer)
- **Focal Loss:** Addresses class imbalance issues
- **Line IoU Loss:** Improved convergence for lane-specific geometries

7.2.3 Post-processing Optimization

- **NMS alternatives:** Explore NMS-free approaches for efficiency
- **Clustering techniques:** HDBSCAN for robust lane grouping
- **Temporal smoothing:** Kalman filters for trajectory prediction

7.3 Deployment Considerations

7.3.1 Hardware Optimization

- Model quantization for edge devices
- TensorRT optimization for NVIDIA platforms
- ONNX conversion for cross-platform deployment

7.3.2 Real-world Robustness

- Multi-dataset training for generalization
- Domain adaptation techniques for new environments
- Failure detection and fallback mechanisms

8. Future Research Directions

8.1 Emerging Trends

8.1.1 3D Lane Detection

- Integration with LiDAR and stereo vision
- Direct 3D lane representation without view transformation
- Applications in high-definition mapping

8.1.2 Multi-modal Fusion

- Camera + LiDAR sensor fusion
- IMU integration for motion compensation
- GPS integration for global context

8.1.3 Self-supervised Learning

- Reduced dependency on manual annotations
- Temporal consistency as supervision signal
- Cross-modal supervision strategies

8.2 Technical Innovations

8.2.1 Efficient Architectures

- Neural Architecture Search for lane detection
- MobileNet-based efficient backbones
- Pruning and compression techniques

8.2.2 Robust Representations

- Implicit neural representations
- Graph-based lane modeling
- Continuous curve parameterizations

9. Conclusion

Lane detection has evolved significantly from traditional computer vision approaches to sophisticated deep learning methods. Key findings from this comprehensive analysis include:

1. **Method Diversity:** Multiple paradigms (segmentation, anchor-based, parametric, transformer) each offer distinct advantages for different scenarios
2. **Performance Trade-offs:** Clear trade-offs exist between accuracy, speed, and computational requirements, requiring careful method selection based on application needs
3. **Dataset Importance:** Benchmark diversity (TuSimple, CULane, CurveLanes, OpenLane) drives method development, with curved lane scenarios remaining particularly challenging
4. **Evaluation Challenges:** Traditional metrics may not adequately capture real-world autonomous driving requirements, necessitating driving-oriented evaluation approaches
5. **Robustness Requirements:** Real-world deployment demands robust handling of occlusion, adverse weather, and complex geometries
6. **Future Opportunities:** Emerging directions in 3D detection, multi-modal fusion, and efficient architectures promise continued advancement

The field continues to advance rapidly, with transformer-based approaches showing particular promise for achieving state-of-the-art accuracy while maintaining reasonable computational efficiency. Success in lane detection requires careful consideration of the specific application requirements, available computational resources, and deployment constraints.

References

- [6] Yang, W., et al. "Lane Position Detection Based on Long Short-Term Memory and RNN." PMC, 2020.
- [2] Wang, S., et al. "Polar R-CNN: End-to-End Lane Detection with Fewer Anchors." arXiv, 2024.
- [5] End-to-End Lane detection with One-to-Severals Transformer. arXiv, 2023.
- [4] Yang, Z., et al. "LDTR: Transformer-based Lane Detection with Anchor-chain Representation." arXiv, 2024.
- [7] Sato, T., Chen, Q.A. "Towards Driving-Oriented Metric for Lane Detection Models." CVPR, 2022.
- [1] Pan, X., et al. "Spatial As Deep: Spatial CNN for Traffic Scene Understanding." AAAI, 2018.
- [3] Tabelini, L., et al. "PolyLaneNet: Lane Estimation via Deep Polynomial Regression." ICPR, 2021.
- [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23] [24] [25] [26] [27] [28] [29] [30] [31] [32] [33] [34] [35] [36] [37] [38] [39] [40] [41] [42] [43] [44] [45] [46] [47] [48] [49] [50] [51] [52] [53] [54] [55] [56] [57] [58] [59] [60] [61] [62] [63] [64] [65] [66] [67] [68] [69] [70] [71] [72] [73] [74] [75] [76] [77] [78] [79] [80] [81] [82] [83] [84] [85] [86] [87] [88] [89] [90] [91] [92] [93] [94] [95] [96] [97] [98] [99] [100] [101] [102] [103] [104] [105] [106] [107] [108] [109] [110] [111] [112] [113] [114] [115] [116] [117] [118] [119] [120] [121] [122] [123] [124] [125] [126] [127] [128] [129] [130] [131] [132] [133] [134] [135] [136] [137] [138] [139] [140] [141] [142] [143] [144] [145] [146] [147]

✱

1. <https://indjst.org/articles/detecting-and-tracking-of-road-boundary-lines-using-machine-learning-approach>
2. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0950705121010807>
3. <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10246317/>
4. <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3704657.3704667>
5. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1110016824004009>
6. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7308825/>
7. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12074271/>
8. <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10726091/>
9. <https://www.mdpi.com/2079-9292/12/1/232>
10. <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10405438/>
11. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.05155>
12. <https://ojs.aaai.org/index.php/AAAI/article/view/29080>
13. <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9641992/>
14. <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10298758/>
15. <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10416122/>
16. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/67793762ef2345493a6c4d6b73630dc1c3d16561>
17. <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10549812/>
18. <https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/20/11/3115/pdf>
19. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2103.12040.pdf>
20. <https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/22/19/7682/pdf?version=1665468670>
21. <https://www.mdpi.com/2624-6120/5/2/20/pdf?version=1717463758>

22. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9100057/>
23. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5990285/>
24. <https://downloads.hindawi.com/journals/jat/2022/5134437.pdf>
25. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9571289/>
26. https://www.itm-conferences.org/articles/itmconf/pdf/2017/04/itmconf_ita2017_05006.pdf
27. <https://www.mdpi.com/2072-4292/12/6/971/pdf>
28. <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/7/3/2662/pdf?version=1425464819>
29. <https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/24/14/4618>
30. <https://ceur-ws.org/Vol-2691/paper56.pdf>
31. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.06080>
32. https://openaccess.thecvf.com/content/CVPR2022/papers/Feng_Rethinking_Efficient_Lane_Detection_via_Curve_Modeling_CVPR_2022_paper.pdf
33. <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-024-66913-1>
34. <https://github.com/XingangPan/SCNN>
35. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2206.04592.pdf>
36. <https://arxiv.org/html/2411.16316v2>
37. http://cs230.stanford.edu/projects_winter_2021/reports/70649396.pdf
38. <https://www.scribd.com/document/870434260/2004-10924v2>
39. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Spatial-As-Deep:-Spatial-CNN-for-Traffic-Scene-Pan-Shi/5383ee00a5c0c3372081fccfeceb812b7854c9ea>
40. <https://downloads.hindawi.com/journals/jat/2021/9512501.pdf>
41. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2004.10924.pdf>
42. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2667325823003576>
43. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/PolyLaneNet:-Lane-Estimation-via-Deep-Polynomial-Tabelini-Berriel/101baf17a9eeb89fc48391d6f8a6c273ecfb7fd4>
44. <https://ietresearch.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1049/itr2.12277>
45. <https://github.com/matlab-deep-learning/pretrained-spatial-CNN>
46. <https://ijrpr.com/uploads/V4ISSUE4/IJRPR11356.pdf>
47. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S003132032030426X>
48. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/mice.12829>
49. <https://www.scribd.com/document/870434260/2004-10924v2>
50. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Spatial-As-Deep:-Spatial-CNN-for-Traffic-Scene-Pan-Shi/5383ee00a5c0c3372081fccfeceb812b7854c9ea>
51. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1903.02193.pdf>
52. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2004.10924.pdf>
53. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2667325823003576>
54. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/PolyLaneNet:-Lane-Estimation-via-Deep-Polynomial-Tabelini-Berriel/101baf17a9eeb89fc48391d6f8a6c273ecfb7fd4>
55. <https://ietresearch.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1049/itr2.12277>
56. <https://github.com/matlab-deep-learning/pretrained-spatial-CNN>

57. <https://ijrpr.com/uploads/V4ISSUE4/IJRPR11356.pdf>
58. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S003132032030426X>
59. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/mice.12829>
60. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1808.04450.pdf>
61. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7308825/>
62. <https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/25/7/2268>
63. <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/download/article-file/1148923>
64. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1906.02859.pdf>
65. <https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s11554-021-01114-x>
66. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/23311916.2021.2010510?needAccess=true>
67. <https://arxiv.org/html/2504.08540v1>
68. <https://arxiv.org/html/2411.01499v1>
69. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1903.02193.pdf>
70. <https://itc.ktu.lt/index.php/ITC/article/view/32841/15942>
71. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10575396/>
72. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0925231225014675>
73. https://openaccess.thecvf.com/content/ICCV2023/papers/Xiao_ADNet_Lane_Shape_Prediction_via_Anchor_Decomposition_ICCV_2023_paper.pdf
74. <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9304611/>
75. <https://dpi-journals.com/index.php/dteees/article/view/31781>
76. <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3686592.3686605>
77. <https://www.thinkautonomous.ai/blog/lane-detection/>
78. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1110016824004009>
79. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S156984322400493X>
80. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2667241323000186>
81. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Lane-Detection-Using-CNN-LSTM-with-Curve-Fitting-Wang-Zhang/c1620e76694b7964091cf2e143fce512067de4bf>
82. <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/153230232.pdf>
83. <https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/conference-proceedings-of-spie/13575/135751X/Research-on-anchor-based-lane-line-detection-algorithm/10.1117/12.3062531.full?webSyncID=d8e8abee-aed6-ae05-c117-aac5a9199362>
84. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2667325823003576>
85. <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0950705121010807>
86. <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3704657.3704667>
87. https://openaccess.thecvf.com/content/ICCV2023/papers/Xiao_ADNet_Lane_Shape_Prediction_via_Anchor_Decomposition_ICCV_2023_paper.pdf
88. <https://dpi-journals.com/index.php/dteees/article/view/31781>
89. <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3686592.3686605>
90. <https://www.thinkautonomous.ai/blog/lane-detection/>
91. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S156984322400493X>

92. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2667241323000186>
93. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Lane-Detection-Using-CNN-LSTM-with-Curve-Fitting-Wang-Zhang/c1620e76694b7964091cf2e143fce512067de4bf>
94. <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/153230232.pdf>
95. <https://iieta.org/journals/ts/paper/10.18280/ts.410629>
96. <https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/conference-proceedings-of-spie/13575/135751X/Research-on-anchor-based-lane-line-detection-algorithm/10.1117/12.3062531.full?webSyncID=d8e8abee-aed6-ae05-c117-aac5a9199362>
97. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2667325823003576>
98. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.14354>
99. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.00675>
100. <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10247094/>
101. <https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/25/9/2876>
102. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.07734>
103. <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10161508/>
104. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/121ea801f2a31ffbe7d91a596253f3faecee518d>
105. <https://www.mdpi.com/2079-9292/13/9/1662>
106. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.05687>
107. <https://arxiv.org/html/2403.14354v1>
108. <http://arxiv.org/pdf/2203.11089.pdf>
109. <http://arxiv.org/abs/2407.05687>
110. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.04233>
111. <http://arxiv.org/pdf/2502.17843.pdf>
112. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2011.09315.pdf>
113. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2306.04927.pdf>
114. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2203.09830.pdf>
115. <https://jodac.org/deepfake-video-detection-a-comprehensive-survey-of-techniques-challenges-and-a-proposed-cnn-lstm-based-framework/>
116. <https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/24/7/2116/pdf?version=1711449649>
117. <http://arxiv.org/pdf/2312.04398.pdf>
118. <https://arxiv.org/html/2404.07821v1>
119. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12074271/>
120. https://openaccess.thecvf.com/content/CVPR2022/papers/Sato_Towards_Driving-Oriented_Metric_for_Lane_Detection_Models_CVPR_2022_paper.pdf
121. <https://www.mechanicaljournals.com/ijae/article/51/6-1-9-305.pdf>
122. <https://arxiv.org/html/2403.14354v1>
123. <https://arxiv.org/html/2407.07740v1>
124. <https://neurips.cc/virtual/2024/poster/96059>
125. <https://arxiv.org/html/2406.00934v4>
126. <https://www.mdpi.com/2073-8994/14/11/2308>

127. <https://ojs.istp-press.com/jait/article/view/695/622>
128. https://openaccess.thecvf.com/content/ICCV2023/papers/Luo_LATR_3D_Lane_Detection_from_Monocular_Images_with_Transformer_ICCV_2023_paper.pdf
129. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0960077921010766>
130. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1110866525000180>
131. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11333608/>
132. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10223488/>
133. <https://iccv.org/2024/papers/s7p2-439-cvmj.pdf>
134. <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-025-01167-z>
135. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/17298814211008752>
136. <https://github.com/zkyseu/O2SFormer>
137. https://semarakilmu.com.my/journals/index.php/applied_sciences_eng_tech/article/view/6110
138. <https://indjst.org/articles/detecting-and-tracking-of-road-boundary-lines-using-machine-learning-approach>
139. https://openaccess.thecvf.com/content/ICCV2023/papers/Luo_LATR_3D_Lane_Detection_from_Monocular_Images_with_Transformer_ICCV_2023_paper.pdf
140. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0960077921010766>
141. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1110866525000180>
142. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11333608/>
143. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10223488/>
144. <https://iccv.org/2024/papers/s7p2-439-cvmj.pdf>
145. <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-025-01167-z>
146. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/17298814211008752>
147. <https://github.com/zkyseu/O2SFormer>