

Linear Algebra

Notes by Finley Cooper

10th November 2025

Contents

1	Vector Spaces	3
1.1	Definitions	3
1.2	Linear maps, isomorphisms, and quotients	4
1.3	Basis	7
1.4	Direct sums	14
2	Matrices and Linear Maps	16
2.1	Vector spaces of linear maps	16
2.2	Elementary operations on matrices	21
3	Determinant and Traces	23
3.1	Determinant	23
4	Dual Spaces	26
4.1	The double dual	31
5	Bilinear forms	32
5.1	Polynomials	32
6	Eigenspaces	34

1 Vector Spaces

1.1 Definitions

For this lecture course, \mathbb{F} will always be field.

Definition. (Vector Space) A \mathbb{F} -vector space (or a vector space over \mathbb{F}) is an abelian group $(V, +, \mathbf{0})$ equipped with a function

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{F} \times V &\rightarrow V \\ (\lambda, v) &\rightarrow v\end{aligned}$$

which we call scalar multiplication such that $\forall v, w \in V, \forall \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{F}$

- (i) $(\lambda + \mu)v = \lambda v + \mu v$
- (ii) $\lambda(v + w) = \lambda v + \lambda w$
- (iii) $\lambda(\mu v) = (\lambda\mu)v$
- (iv) $1 \cdot v = v \cdot 1 = v$

Remember that $\mathbf{0}$ and 0 are not the same thing. 0 is an element in the field \mathbb{F} and $\mathbf{0}$ is the additive identity in V .

For an example consider \mathbb{F}^n n-dimensional column vectors with entries in \mathbb{F} . We also have the example of a vector space \mathbb{C}^n which is a complex vector space, but also a real vector space (taking either \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{R} as the underlying scalar field).

We also can see that $M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ form a vector space with m rows and n columns.

For any non-empty set X , we denote \mathbb{F}^X as the space of functions from X to \mathbb{F} equipped with operations such that:

$$\begin{aligned}f + g &\text{ is given by } (f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x) \\ \lambda f &\text{ is given by } (\lambda f)(x) = \lambda f(x)\end{aligned}$$

Proposition. For all $v \in V$ we have that $0 \cdot v = \mathbf{0}$ and $(-1) \cdot v = -v$ where $-v$ denotes the additive inverse of v .

Proof. Trivial.

Definition. (Subspace) A subspace of a \mathbb{F} -vector space V is a subset $U \subseteq V$ which is a \mathbb{F} -vector space itself under the same operations as V . Equivalently, $(U, +)$ is a subgroup of $(V, +)$ and $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{F}, \forall u \in U$ we have that $\lambda u \in U$.

Remark. Axioms (i)-(iv) are always automatically inherited into all subspaces.

Proposition. (Subspace test) Let V be a \mathbb{F} -vector space and $U \subseteq V$ then U is a subspace of V if and only if,

- (i) U is nonempty.
- (ii) $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ and $\forall u, w \in U$ we have that $u + \lambda w \in U$.

Proof. If U is a subspace then U satisfies (i) and (ii) since it contains $\mathbf{0}$ and is closed. Conversely suppose that $U \subseteq V$ satisfies (i) and (ii). Taking $\lambda = -1$ so $\forall u, w \in V, u - w \in U$ hence $(U, +)$ is a subgroup of $(V, +)$ by the subgroup test. Finally taking $u = \mathbf{0}$ so we have that $\forall w \in U, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ we have that $\lambda w \in U$. So U is a subspace of V . \square

We notate U by $U \leq V$.

For some examples

(i)

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x + y + z = t \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3,$$

for fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 iff $t = 0$.

- (ii) Take $\mathbb{R}^\mathbb{R}$ as all the functions from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} then the set of continuous functions is a subspace.
- (iii) Also we have that $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, the set of infinitely differentiable functions from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} is a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^\mathbb{R}$ and the subspace of continuous functions.
- (iv) A further subspace of all of those subspaces is the set of polynomial functions.

Lemma. For $U, W \leq V$ we have that $U \cap W \leq V$.

Proof. We'll use the subspace test. Both U, W are subspaces so they contain $\mathbf{0}$ hence $\mathbf{0} \in U \cap W$ so $U \cap W$ is nonempty. Secondly take $x, y \in U \cap W$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$. Then $U \leq V$ and $x, y \in U$ so $x + \lambda y \in U$. Similarly with W so $x + \lambda y \in W$ hence we have that $x + \lambda y \in U \cap W$ hence $U \cap W \leq V$. \square

Remark. This does not apply for subspaces, in fact from IA Groups, we know it doesn't even hold for the underlying abelian group.

Definition. (Subspace sum) For $U, W \leq V$, the *subspace sum* of U, W is

$$U + W = \{u + w : u \in U, w \in W\}.$$

Lemma. If $U, W \leq V$ then $U + W \leq V$.

Proof. Simple application of the subspace test.

Remark. $U + W$ is the smallest subgroup of U, W in terms of inclusion, i.e. if K is such that $U \subseteq K$ and $W \subseteq K$ then $U + W \subseteq K$.

1.2 Linear maps, isomorphisms, and quotients

Definition. (Linear map) For V, W \mathbb{F} -vector spaces. A *linear map* from V to W is a group homomorphism, φ , from $(V, +)$ to $(W, +)$ such that $\forall v \in V$

$$\varphi(\lambda v) = \lambda \varphi(v)$$

Equivalently to show any function $\alpha : V \rightarrow W$ is a linear map we just need to show that $\forall u, w \in V, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ we have

$$\alpha(u + \lambda w) = \alpha(u) + \lambda\alpha(w).$$

For some examples of linear maps

- (i) $V = \mathbb{F}^n, W = \mathbb{F}^m A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$. Then let $\alpha : V \rightarrow W$ be given by $\alpha(v) = Av$. Then α is linear.
- (ii) $\alpha : C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ defined by taking the derivative.
- (iii) $\alpha : C(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by taking the integral from 0 to 1.
- (iv) X any nonempty set, $x_0 \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha : \mathbb{F}^X &\rightarrow \mathbb{F} \\ f &\mapsto f(x_0)\end{aligned}$$

- (v) For any V, W the identity mapping from V to V is linear and so is the zero map from V to W .
- (vi) The composition of two linear maps is linear.
- (vii) For a non-example squaring in \mathbb{R} is not linear. Similarly adding constants is not linear, since linear maps preserve the zero vector.

Definition. (Isomorphism) A linear map $\alpha : V \rightarrow W$ is an *isomorphism* if it is bijective. We say that V and W are isomorphic, if there exists an isomorphism from $V \rightarrow W$ and denote this by $V \cong W$.

An example is the vector space $V = \mathbb{F}^4$ and $W = M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{F})$ we can define the map

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha : V &\rightarrow W \\ \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix} &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

Then α is an isomorphism.

Proposition. If $\alpha : V \rightarrow W$ is an isomorphism then $\alpha^{-1} : W \rightarrow V$ is also an isomorphism.

Proof. Clearly α^{-1} is a bijection. We need to prove that α^{-1} is linear. Take $w_1, w_2 \in W$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$. So we can write $w_i = \alpha(v_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha^{-1}(w_1 + \lambda w_2) &= \alpha^{-1}(\alpha(v_1) + \lambda\alpha(v_2)) = \alpha^{-1}(\alpha(v_1 + \lambda v_2)) = v_1 + \lambda v_2 = \alpha^{-1}(w_1) + \lambda\alpha^{-1}(w_2) \\ . \text{ Hence } \alpha^{-1} \text{ is linear, so } \alpha^{-1} \text{ is an isomorphism.} &\quad \square\end{aligned}$$

Definition. (Kernel) Let V, W be \mathbb{F} -vector spaces. Then the *kernel* of the linear map $\alpha : V \rightarrow W$ is

$$\ker(\alpha) = \{v \in V : \alpha(v) = \mathbf{0}_W\} \subseteq V$$

Definition. (Image) Let V, W be \mathbb{F} -vector spaces. Then the *image* of a linear map $\alpha : V \rightarrow W$ is

$$\text{im}(\alpha) = \{\alpha(v) : v \in V\} \subseteq W$$

Lemma. For a linear map $\alpha : V \rightarrow W$ the following hold.

- (i) $\ker \alpha \leq V$ and $\text{im} \alpha \leq W$
- (ii) α is surjective if and only if $\text{im} \alpha = W$
- (iii) α is injective if and only if $\ker \alpha = \{\mathbf{0}_V\}$

Proof. $\mathbf{0}_V + \mathbf{0}_V = \mathbf{0}_V$, so applying α to both sides and using the fact that α is linear gives that $\alpha(\mathbf{0}_V) = \mathbf{0}_W$. So $\ker \alpha$ is nonempty. The rest of the proof is a simple application of the subspace test.

The second statement is immediate from the definition.

For the final statement suppose α injective. Suppose $v \in \ker \alpha$. Then $\alpha(v) = \mathbf{0}_W = \alpha(\mathbf{0}_V)$ so $v = \mathbf{0}_V$ by injectivity. Hence $\ker \alpha$ is trivial. Conversely suppose that $\ker \alpha = \{\mathbf{0}_V\}$. Let $u, v \in V$ and suppose that $\alpha(u) = \alpha(v)$. Then $\alpha(u - v) = \mathbf{0}_W$, so $u - v \in \ker \alpha$, so $u = v$. \square

For V a \mathbb{F} -vector space, $W \leq V$ write

$$\frac{V}{W} = \{v + W : v \in V\}$$

as the left cosets of W in V . Recall that two cosets $v + W$ and $u + W$ are the same coset if and only if $v - u \in W$.

Proposition. V/W is an \mathbb{F} -vector space under operations

$$\begin{aligned} (u + W) + (v + W) &= (u + v) + W \\ \lambda(u + W) &= (\lambda u) + W \end{aligned}$$

We call V/W the quotient space of V by W .

Proof. The proof is long and requires a lot of vector space axioms so we'll just sketch out the proof.

We check that operations are well-defined, so for $u, \bar{u}, v, \bar{v} \in V$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ if

$$u + W = \bar{u} + W, \quad v + W = \bar{v} + W$$

then

$$(u + v) + W = (\bar{u} + \bar{v}) + W$$

and

$$(\lambda u) + W = (\lambda \bar{u}) + W$$

The vector space axioms are inherited from V . \square

Proposition. (Quotient map) The function $\pi_W : V \rightarrow \frac{V}{W}$ called a *quotient map* is given by

$$\pi_W(v) = v + W$$

is a well-defined, surjective, linear map with $\ker \pi_W = W$.

Proof. Surjectivity is clear. For linearity let $u, v \in V$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_W(u + \lambda v) &= (u + \lambda v) + W \\ &= (u + W) + (\lambda v + W) \\ &= (u + W) + \lambda(v + W) \\ &= \pi_W(u) + \lambda\pi_W(v)\end{aligned}$$

For $v \in V$, we have that $v \in \ker \pi_W \iff \pi_W(v) = \mathbf{0}_{V/W}$. So $v + W = \mathbf{0}_V + W$ so finally $v = v - \mathbf{0}_V \in W$. \square

Theorem. (First isomorphism theorem) Let V, W be \mathbb{F} -vector spaces and $\alpha : V \rightarrow W$ linear. Then there is an isomorphism

$$\bar{\alpha} : \frac{V}{\ker \alpha} \rightarrow \text{im } \alpha$$

given by $\bar{\alpha}(v + \ker \alpha) = \alpha(v)$

Proof. For $u, v \in V$,

$$u + K = v + K \iff u - v \in K \iff \alpha(u - v) = \mathbf{0}_W \iff \alpha(u) = \alpha(v) \iff \bar{\alpha}(u + \ker \alpha) = \bar{\alpha}(v + \ker \alpha)$$

The forward direction shows that $\bar{\alpha}$ is well-defined, and the converse shows that $\bar{\alpha}$ is injective. For surjectivity given $w \in \text{im } \alpha$, there exists some $v \in V$ s.t. $w = \alpha(v)$. Then $w = \bar{\alpha}(v + \ker \alpha)$. Finally for linearity given $u, v \in V, \lambda \in \mathbb{F}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\alpha}((u + \ker \alpha) + \lambda(v + \ker \alpha)) &= \bar{\alpha}((u + \lambda v) + \ker \alpha) \\ &= \alpha(u + \lambda v) \\ &= \alpha(u) + \lambda\alpha(v) \\ &= \bar{\alpha}(u + \ker \alpha) + \lambda\bar{\alpha}(v + \ker \alpha)\end{aligned}$$

So $\bar{\alpha}$ is linear hence is an isomorphism \square

1.3 Basis

Definition. (Span) Let V be a \mathbb{F} -vector space. Then the *span* of some subset $S \subseteq V$ is

$$\langle S \rangle = \left\{ \sum_{s \in S} \lambda_s \cdot s : \lambda_s \in \mathbb{F} \right\}$$

where \sum denotes finite sums. An expression the form above is called a *linear combination* of S .

We say that S spans V if $\langle S \rangle = V$

Definition. (Finite-dimensional) For a vector space V we say that it is *finite-dimensional* if there exists a finite spanning set.

We'll give some simple remarks without proof.

- (i) $\langle S \rangle \leq V$ and conversely if $W \leq V$ and $S \subseteq W$ then $\langle S \rangle \leq W$.
- (ii) If $S, T \subseteq W$ and S spans V and $S \subseteq \langle V \rangle$ then T spans V .
- (iii) By convention $\langle \emptyset \rangle = \{\mathbf{0}_V\}$.
- (iv) $\langle S \cup T \rangle = \langle S \rangle + \langle T \rangle$

For an example consider $V = \mathbb{R}^3$ and consider the sets

$$S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

$$T = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

$$\text{Then } \langle S \rangle = \langle T \rangle = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ 2y \end{pmatrix} : x, y \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \leq \mathbb{R}^3.$$

For a second example consider $V = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and set $T = \{\delta_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. This is not a spanning set, since we require infinitely many elements from T to make an element in V . In fact we can write that

$$\langle T \rangle = \{f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} : f(n) = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many terms}\}.$$

Definition. (Linear Independence) A subset $S \subseteq V$ is called *linearly independent* if, for all finite linear combinations

$$\sum_{s \in S} \lambda_s s \quad \text{of } S$$

if the sum is the zero vector in V the $\lambda_s = 0$ for all $s \in S$.

If S is not linearly independent we say that S is linearly dependent.

We'll make some more remarks

- (i) If $\mathbf{0} \in S$ then S is not linearly independent.
- (ii) If we have a finite set, then to show linearly independent, we only need to consider the linear combination of all elements, not all finite linear combinations.
- (iii) However is S is infinite, then we have to consider every possible finite subset of S and show it's linearly independent.
- (iv) Every subset of a linearly independent set is itself linearly independent.

Definition. (Basis) A subset $S \subseteq V$ is a *basis* for V if S is linearly independent and a spanning set.

For an example consider $e_i \in \mathbb{F}^n$ be given by

$$e_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with the 1 in the } i\text{th entry}$$

then the set $\{e_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is the standard basis for \mathbb{F}^n .

For $P(\mathbb{R})$ the set of real polynomial functions and let $p_n \in P(\mathbb{R})$ be given by $p_n(x) = x^n$, then $\{p_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$ is a basis for $P(\mathbb{R})$.

Proposition. If $S \subseteq V$ is a finite spanning set, then there exists a subset $S' \subseteq S$ such that S' is a basis.

Proof. If S is linearly independent then we're done. Otherwise write $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. Then there exists $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ such that $\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n = \mathbf{0}$ wlog suppose that λ_n is nonzero. Then

$$v_n = -\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i v_i$$

so v_n is in the span of the other vectors. Hence $S \setminus \{v_n\}$ is still a spanning set. Repeat which the set is linearly independent, must terminate since the set is finite and the empty set is not a spanning set. \square

Corollary. Every finite-dimensional vector space has a finite basis.

Proof. Trivial application of the proposition \square

Theorem. (Steinitz Exchange Lemma) Let $S, T \subseteq V$ finite with S linearly independent and T a spanning set of V . Then

- (i) $|S| \leq |T|$,
- (ii) and there exists $T' \subseteq T$ which has size $|T'| = |T| - |S|$ and $S \cup T'$ spans V .

Proof. To come later...

Let's look at some consequences of the lemma first.

Corollary. For a finite-dimensional vector space V ,

- (i) Every basis for V is finite.
- (ii) All finite basis have the same size.

Proof. V has a finite basis B , suppose we have some other basis B' infinite. Let $B'' \subseteq B'$ with $|B''| = |B| + 1$ then $|B''|$ is linearly independent, so applying (i) of the Steinitz exchange lemma with $S = B''$ and $T = B$ we get a contradiction.

For the second part, let B_1, B_2 be finite basis for V then apply Steinitz symmetrically since both are spanning set and linearly independent, so we get that $|B_1| \geq |B_2|$ and $|B_1| \leq |B_2|$ so $|B_1| = |B_2|$. \square

Definition. (Dimension) For a vector space V the *dimension* of V is the size of any basis. We write this as $\dim V$.

This definition is well-defined by the previous corollary.

For an example $\dim \mathbb{F}^n = n$ since we've shown the standard basis has size n . As a complex vector space \mathbb{C} is one-dimensional as a complex vector space and two-dimension as a real vector space, with basis $\{1\}$ and $\{1, i\}$ respectively.

Corollary. For a vector space V let $S, T \subseteq V$ finite, with S linearly independent and T a spanning set, then

$$|S| \leq \dim V \leq |T|$$

with equality if and only if S spans or V is linearly independent respectively.

Proof. The inequalities are immediate from Steinitz. If S is a basis then $|S| = \dim V$ from the previous corollary. Conversely if $|S| = \dim V$ and let B be a basis for V so we have that $|B| = |S|$ so B is a spanning set. So we can apply Steinitz (ii) to B so there exists $B' \subseteq B$ with $|B'| = |B| - |S| = 0$ and $S \cup B' = S \cup \emptyset$ spans V . So S is a basis. Similiar we have a very similar proof for equality in V . \square

We will not prove that every vector space has a basis, however some non-finitely dimensional vector spaces have an infinite basis, for example $P(\mathbb{R})$.

Proposition. If V is a finite-dimensional vector space, then if $U \leq V$ then U is finite-dimensional, namely, $\dim U \leq \dim V$ with equality if and only if $U = V$.

Proof. If $U = \{\mathbf{0}\}$, we're done. Otherwise let $\mathbf{0} \neq u_1 \in U$. Then $\{u_1\} \subseteq U$ is linearly indepedent. Repeating, after repeating k times suppose we have $\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$ linearly indepedent with $k \leq \dim(V)$ by the previously corollary. If the set spans U we're done, if not we'll add another vector, u_{k+1} outside of the span of our space. If $\{u_1, \dots, u_{k+1}\}$ is not linearly indepedent, we can write $\mathbf{0}$ non-trivially, so

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \lambda_i u_i = \mathbf{0}$$

with $\lambda_{k+1} \neq 0$ since $\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$ linearly indepedent. Thus we have that

$$u_{k+1} = -\frac{1}{\lambda_{k+1}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i u_i \right)$$

this process must terminate after at most $\dim V$ many steps, by the previous corollary. If $\dim U = \dim V$ apply the previous corollary with S being any basis for U . \square

Proposition. (Extending a basis) Let $U \leq V$. For any basis B_U of U there exists a basis B_V of V such that $B_U \subseteq B_V$.

Proof. Apply the second result from Steinitz with $S = B_U$ and T is any basis for V . We obtain that $T' \subseteq T$ s.t.

$$|T'| = |T| - |S| = \dim V - \dim U$$

and $B_V = B_U \cup T'$ spans V . But we have that

$$|B_V| \leq |B_U| + |T'| = \dim V$$

so by the previous corollary, B_V is a basis for V . \square

Now we'll finally prove the Steinitz exchange lemma.

Proof. Let $S = \{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$, $T = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ with $|T| = m$ and $|S| = n$. If S is empty then we're done. Otherwise there exists $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{F}$ such that

$$u_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i$$

so by renumbering we can say that $\lambda_1 \neq 0$. Then

$$v_1 = \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \left(u_1 - \sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i v_i \right)$$

So $\{u_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ spans V . After repeating k times with $k < m$ suppose $\{u_1, \dots, u_k, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n\}$ spans V , then there exists $\lambda_i, \mu_j \in \mathbb{F}$ such that

$$u_{k+1} = \sum_{j=1}^k \mu_j u_j + \sum_{i=k+1}^n \lambda_i v_i$$

If for all $\lambda_i = 0$ then

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \mu_j u_j \right) - u_{k+1} = \mathbf{0}$$

which is a contradiction since S is linearly independent. So by relabeling we have that $\lambda_{k+1} \neq 0$ such that

$$v_{k+1} = \frac{1}{\lambda_{k+1}} \left(u_{k+1} - \sum_{j=1}^k \mu_j u_j - \sum_{i=k+1}^n \lambda_i v_i \right)$$

so $(u_1, \dots, u_{k+1}, v_{k+2}, \dots, v_n)$ spans V . So we can conclude that $m \neq n$ and $\{u_1, \dots, u_m, v_{m+1}, \dots, v_n\}$ spans V hence the set $T' = \{v_{m+1}, \dots, v_n\}$ exists as claimed. \square

Definition. (Nullity) For a linear map $\alpha : V \rightarrow W$ we define the *nullity* of α as

$$n(\alpha) = \dim \ker \alpha.$$

Definition. (Rank) For a linear map $\alpha : V \rightarrow W$ we define the *rank* of α as

$$\text{rk}(\alpha) = \dim \text{im } \alpha.$$

Theorem. (Rank-nullity theorem) If V is a finite dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector space and W is a \mathbb{F} -vector space. Then if $\alpha : V \rightarrow W$ is linear then $\text{im } \alpha$ is finite dimensional and

$$\dim V = \text{n}(\alpha) + \text{rk}(\alpha).$$

Proof. Recall the first isomorphism theorem so

$$\frac{V}{\ker \alpha} \cong \text{im } \alpha$$

It is sufficient to prove the lemma

Lemma. For $U \leq V$,

$$\dim(V/U) = \dim V - \dim U$$

Proof. Let $B_U = \{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$ be a basis of U . Extend to a basis $B_V = \{u_1, \dots, u_m, v_{m+1}, \dots, v_n\}$ of V where $m = \dim U$ and $n = \dim V$.

Set $B_{V/U} = \{v_i + U : m+1 \leq i \leq n\}$. We claim that $B_{V/U}$ is a basis for V/U of size $n-m$. To show spanning, for $v \in V$ write

$$v = \sum_i \lambda_i v_i + \sum_j \mu_j v_j$$

Then $v + U = \sum_i \lambda_i(v_i + U) \in \langle B_{V/U} \rangle$. For linear independence, suppose

$$\sum_i \lambda_i(v_i + U) = \mathbf{0} + U$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left(\sum_i \lambda_i v_i \right) + U \\ &\quad \sum_i \lambda_i v_i \in U \\ &\quad \sum_i \lambda_i v_i = \sum_j \mu_j u_j \end{aligned}$$

since B_V is linearly independent, we have that all λ_i and μ_j are zero. Similarly if $v_i + U = v_j + U$ with $i \neq j$ then we can write $v_i - v_j = \sum_j \mu_j u_j$ which is a contradiction. \square

Remark. We can make a direct proof without quotient spaces by rearranging some of the arguments of the proof.

Corollary. (Linear Pigeonhole principle) If $\dim V = \dim W = n$ and $\alpha : V \rightarrow W$ then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) α is injective,
- (ii) α is surjective,
- (iii) α is an isomorphism.

Proof. If α injective then $n(\alpha) = 0$ so by rank nullity we have that $\text{rk}(\alpha) = n$ so α is surjective. If α is surjective then $\text{rk}(\alpha) = n$ so by rank nullity, the dimension of the kernel is 0 hence the kernel is trivial, so α injective, hence α is an isomorphism. If α is an isomorphism, clearly it's injective, so all equivalent. \square

Proposition. Suppose V is a vector space with a basis B . For any vector space W and any function $f : B \rightarrow W$ there is a unique linear map $F : V \rightarrow W$ such that $F(B) = W$.

Proof. First we'll show existance. For $v \in V$ write $v = \sum_b \lambda_b b$ for a finite sum. Then define

$$F(v) = \sum_b \lambda_b f(b).$$

This is well-defined, since B is a basis the λ_b are uniquely determined by v . For $u, v \in V$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ we write

$$u = \sum_b \mu_b b, \quad v = \sum_b \lambda_b b.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} F(u + \lambda v) &= F\left(\sum_b (\mu_b + \lambda \lambda_b) f(b)\right) \\ &= \sum_b \mu_b f(b) + \lambda \sum_b \lambda_b f(b) \\ &= F(u) + \lambda F(v). \end{aligned}$$

So F is linear. To show uniqueness $\bar{F} : V \rightarrow W$ is another linear map extending f then,

$$\bar{F}\left(\sum_b \lambda_b b\right) = \sum_b \lambda_b \bar{F}(b)$$

which is the same as our definition for F hence they are the same function.

Corollary. For a vector space, V , with $\dim V = n$ with a basis $B = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ for V then there is a unique isomorphism

$$F_B : V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof. Let $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be the standard basis for \mathbb{F}^n . Define

$$\begin{aligned} f : B &\rightarrow W \\ v_i &\mapsto e_i \end{aligned}$$

and let F_B be the unique linear extension of f to V . We see that f defines a bijection from $B \rightarrow E$. Let \bar{F}_B be the unique linear extension of $f^{-1} : E \rightarrow B$. Then $\bar{F}_B \cdot F_B$ is the composition of two linear maps, hence it's linear, moreover it is id_B . But also id_V is also a linear extension of id_B , by the proposition, they are the same map so $\bar{F}_B \cdot F_B = F_B \cdot \bar{F}_B = \text{id}_B$. Hence F_B is bijective, so it is an isomorphism. \square

Corollary. If V, W are finite dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector spaces. Then

$$V \cong W \iff \dim V = \dim W$$

Proof. Trivial from the corollary using the transitivity of the isomorphism relation. \square

Definition. (Coordinate vector) $F_B(v) = [v]_B$ is the *coordinate vector* of v with respect to the basis B

For an example if $V \cong \mathbb{F}^n$ and $U \leq V$ with $U \cong \mathbb{F}^m$ then $\dim(V/U) = n - m$, so $\frac{V}{U} \cong \mathbb{F}^{n-m}$.

1.4 Direct sums

Definition. (External direct sum) For \mathbb{F} -vector spaces, V and W , we denote the *external direct sum* of V and W as $V \oplus W$ with underlying set $V \times W$ with addition and scalar multiplication given in the obvious sense.

We can similarly define

$$V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_i.$$

Lemma. For V, W finite dimensional vector spaces,

$$\dim(V \oplus W) = \dim V + \dim W$$

Proof.

(First Proof) Let B, C be basis for V, W respectively. Set

$$D = (B \times \{\mathbf{0}_W\}) \cup (\{\mathbf{0}_V\} \times C)$$

it is straightforward to check that D is basis of $V \oplus W$ of the size $\dim V + \dim W$. \square

(Second Proof) Suppose $V \cong \mathbb{F}^n$ and $W \cong \mathbb{F}^m$ construct an isomorphism $V \oplus W \cong \mathbb{F}^{n+m}$. \square

Proposition. Let V be a vector space with $U, W \leq V$. There is a surjective linear map

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi : U \oplus W &\rightarrow U + W \\ (u, w) &\mapsto u + w\end{aligned}$$

with $\ker \varphi \cong U \cap W$.

Proof. Surjectivity and linearity are clear. Note for $(u, w) \in U \oplus W$ then $(u, w) \in \ker \varphi$ if and only if $w = -u$. Hence

$$\ker \varphi = \{(x, -x) : x \in U \cap W\}$$

the map $\psi : U \cap W \rightarrow \ker \varphi$ sending $x \rightarrow (x, -x)$ is an isomorphism.

Corollary. (Sum-Intersection Formula) If V is finite dimensional and $U, W \leq V$ then

$$\dim(U + W) = \dim U + \dim V - \dim(U \cap W)$$

Applying the rank-nullity theorem to the linear map φ in the proposition we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\dim U + \dim W &= \dim(U \oplus V) \\ &= \dim(\ker \varphi) + \dim(\text{im } \varphi) \\ &= \dim(U + W) + \dim(U \cap W) \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

We can also give an explicit basis. Given a basis B for $U \cap W$, extend B to a basis B_U for U , and a basis B_W for W . Then $B_U \cup B_W$ spans $U + W$ and

$$|B_U \cup B_W| \leq |B_U| + |B_W| - |B| = \dim(U + V)$$

hence $B_U \cup B_W$ is linearly independent so it's a basis for $U + W$.

Remark. We could also check directly that $B_U \cup B_W$ is linearly independent of the size $\dim(U + V)$ without assuming the sum-intersection formula, so this also serves as an alternative proof of the sum-intersection formula.

Definition. (Internal direct sum) Suppose $U, W \leq V$ satisfy

- (i) $U + W = V$,
- (ii) $U \cap W = \{\mathbf{0}_V\}$.

Then

$$\varphi : U \oplus W \rightarrow V$$

is an isomorphism, and we say that V is the *internal direct sum* of U and W , and we write that $V = U \oplus W$.

Alternatively, every element $v \in V$ can be written *uniquely* as $v = u + w$ for $u \in U, w \in W$.

Definition. (Direct complement) For $U \leq V$ a *direct complement* to U in V is a subspace $W \leq V$ satisfying $V = U \oplus W$.

Proposition. If V is finite dimensional then every subspace has a direct complement.

Proof. Let $U \leq V$ and let B_U be a basis for U . Extend to a basis B_V for V . Set $W =_V \langle B_U \rangle$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \langle B_V \rangle = \langle B_U \cup (B_V \setminus B_U) \rangle \\ &= \langle B_U \rangle + \langle B_V \setminus B_U \rangle \\ &= U + W. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover using the sum-intersection formula

$$\dim(U \cap W) = |B_V| + |B_U| - |B_V \setminus B_U| = 0.$$

Hence $U \oplus W = V$. \square

More generally for $U_1, \dots, U_n \leq V$ we say that V is the direct sum of the U_i and write that

$$V = U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_i$$

if the map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_n &\rightarrow V \\ (u_1, \dots, u_n) &\mapsto u_1, \dots, u_n \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism. Equivalently every $v \in V$ can be uniquely written as $v = u_1 + \cdots + u_n$ for $u_i \in U_i$.

2 Matrices and Linear Maps

2.1 Vector spaces of linear maps

Definition. For V, W \mathbb{F} -vector spaces we define

$$\mathcal{L}(V, W) = \{\alpha : V \rightarrow W : \alpha \text{ is linear}\}$$

which forms a \mathbb{F} -vector space under pointwise addition and obvious scalar multiplication.

Recall that $M_{m \times n}$ is the space of matrices over \mathbb{F} with m rows and n columns. For $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ we write $A = (a_{ij})$ where $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}$ is the entry in the i th row and the j th column.

Let $B = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}, C = \{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$ are *ordered* basis for V, W .

Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. We can write

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(v_1) &= a_{11}w_1 + a_{21}w_2 + \cdots + a_{m1}w_m \\ \alpha(v_2) &= a_{12}w_1 + a_{22}w_2 + \cdots + a_{m2}w_m \\ &\vdots \\ \alpha(v_n) &= a_{1n}w_1 + a_{2n}w_2 + \cdots + a_{mn}w_m \end{aligned}$$

Definition. (Matrix) The *matrix* of α with respect to the ordered basis B, C is

$$[\alpha]_C^B = (a_{ij}) \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$$

Recall we have a linear isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_B : V &\rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n \\ v = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i &\rightarrow (\lambda_i)_i = [v]_B \end{aligned}$$

where $[v]_B$ is the coordinate vector of v with respect to B .

Proof. Let $v \in V$ write $v = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j v_j$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(v) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \alpha(v_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} w_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j a_{ij} \right) w_i. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha(v)]_C &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \lambda_j \right)_i \\ &= (a_{ij}) \cdot (\lambda_j) \\ &= [\alpha]_C^B [v]_B. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (i) is proved. For (ii), take $1 \leq j \leq n$, so $[v_j]_B = e_j$. Hence for $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$, $A[v_j]_B$ is the j th column of A . But if $A[v_j]_B = [\alpha(v_j)]_C = [\alpha]_C^B [v_j]_B = [\alpha]_C^B e_j$, then $A[v_j]_B$ is also the j th column of $[\alpha]_C^B$. Since this holds for all j in our range, they are the same matrix.

Now for part (iii), let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha + \lambda\beta]_C^B [v]_B &= [(\alpha + \lambda\beta)(v)]_C \\ &= [\alpha(v) + \lambda\beta(v)]_C \\ &= [\alpha(v)]_C + \lambda[\beta(v)]_C \\ &= ([\alpha]_C^B + \lambda[\beta]_C^B) [v]_B \end{aligned}$$

for all $v \in V$. Hence by (ii) we get that $[\alpha + \lambda\beta]_C^B = [\alpha]_C^B + \lambda[\beta]_C^B$ so the map is linear. Let $\alpha \in \ker(\varepsilon_C^B)$ so that $[\alpha]_C^B = 0 \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$. Then by (i) we have that $[\alpha(v)]_C = 0$ for all $v \in V$. But $\varepsilon : w \rightarrow [w]_C$ is an isomorphism so $\alpha(v) = 0$ for all $v \in V$ hence $\alpha = 0$ and α is injective. For surjectivity let $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ and define $f : B \rightarrow W$ by $f(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} w_i$ and extend f to a linear map $F : V \rightarrow W$. Then $[F]_C^B = A$. So ε_C^B is an isomorphism. \square

Proposition. Let V, W, X be finite-dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector spaces with basis B, C, D and $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{L}(W, X)$. Then

$$[\beta \circ \alpha]_D^B = [\beta]_D^C [\alpha]_C^B.$$

Proof. By the theorem $[\beta \circ \alpha]_D^B$ is the unique matrix A satisfying

$$A[v]_B = [\beta(\alpha(v))]_D, \quad \forall v \in V.$$

But $[\beta]_D^C [\alpha]_C^B [v]_B = [\beta]_D^C [\alpha(v)]_C = [\beta(\alpha(v))]_D$. So by (ii) of theorem they are equal. \square

Remark. For any basis B of V ,

$$[\text{id}_V]_B^B = I_{\dim V}.$$

Definition. (Change of basis matrix) Let B, B' be basis for V and $\dim V = n$. The *change of basis matrix* from B to B' is given by

$$P = [\text{id}_V]_{B'}^B \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$$

Equivalently letting $B = \{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $B' = \{v'_i\}_{i=1}^n$, then

$$P = (p_{ij}) \quad \text{where} \quad v_j = \sum_{i=1}^n p_{ij} v'_i$$

so the j th column of P is $[v_j]_{B'}$.

Proposition. For V, W finite-dimensional vector spaces,

- (i) $[\text{id}_V]_{B'}^B \in GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ with inverse $[\text{id}_V]_B^{B'}$.
- (ii) If $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ and B, B' basis for V and C, C' basis for W , then

$$[\alpha]_{C'}^{B'} = [\text{id}_W]_{C'}^C [\alpha]_C^B [\text{id}_V]_B^{B'}.$$

Proof. By the remark,

$$I_n = [\text{id}_V]_B^B = [\text{id}_V]_{B'}^{B'} [\text{id}_V]_B^B$$

and symmetrically swapping B and B' . For the second part the result is immediate from the proposition.

Definition. (Equivalent matrices) Let $A, A' \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$. We say that A and A' are *equivalent* if $\exists P \in GL_m(\mathbb{F}), Q \in GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ such that $A' = PAQ$.

Remark. Certainly A is equivalent to itself by $P = I_m$ and $Q = I_n$.

If $A' = PAQ$ then $A = P^{-1}A'Q^{-1}$.

If $A'' = RA'S$ too, then $A'' = (RP)A(QS)$, so the equivalence of matrices is an equivalence relation on $M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$.

Theorem. Let V, W be finite-dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector spaces. Let $\dim V = n$, $\dim W = m$ and let $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Let $r = \text{rk}(\alpha)$. Then,

- (i) There exists basis B, C for V, W respectively such that

$$[\alpha]_C^B = \begin{pmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$$

where I_r is the identity matrix of size r , and the zeros are block zero matrices.

- (ii) If

$$[\alpha]_{C'}^{B'} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{r'} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$$

for some basis B', C' of V, W respectively, then $r' = r$

Proof. By rank-nullity $n(\alpha) = n - r$. Let $\{v_{r+1}, \dots, v_n\}$ be a basis for $\ker \alpha$. Extend to a basis $B = \{v_1, \dots, v_r, v_{r+1}, \dots, v_n\}$. Then $\{\alpha(v_1), \dots, \alpha(v_r)\}$ spans the image, and has size at most $\dim(\text{im}(\alpha))$, so it's linearly independent, hence we can extend it to form a basis of W .

$$C = \{w_1 = \alpha(v_1), \dots, w_r = \alpha(v_r), w_{r+1}, \dots, w_m\}$$

Then

$$\alpha(v_j) = \begin{cases} w_j & 1 \leq j \leq r \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

hence we have that $[\alpha]_C^B = \begin{pmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

For the second part, if $[\alpha]_{C'}^{B'} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{r'} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ then

$$\alpha(v'_j) = \begin{cases} w'_j & 1 \leq j \leq r' \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Hence $w'_1, \dots, w'_{r'}$ span $\text{im}(\alpha)$ and are linearly independent. Hence $\text{rk}(\alpha) = r'$. □

Definition. (Column-space) For $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ the *column-space* $\text{Col}(A)$ is the subspace of \mathbb{F}^m spanned by the columns of A . The dimension of the column-space is called the *column-rank* of A .

Definition. (Row-space) For $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ the *row-space* $\text{Row}(A)$ is the subspace of \mathbb{F}^m spanned by the rows of A (when transposed as column vectors). The dimension of the row-space is called the *row-rank* of A .

Remark.

$$\text{Row}(A) = \text{Col}(A^T)$$

hence the row-rank of A is the same as the column-rank of A^T .

Remark. Given a matrix $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ we can define a linear map $\alpha : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$ by $\alpha(v) = Av$. Then $\text{im}(\alpha) = \text{Col}(A)$, so the rank of α is the same as the column-rank of A . Moreover, $A = [\alpha]_{E_m}^{E_n}$ where E_k are the standard basis for \mathbb{F}^k .

We may write $\text{im } A, \ker A, \text{rk}(A), \text{n}(A)$ to refer to the corresponding concepts for α .

Theorem. Let $A, A' \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$, then

(i) A is equivalent to

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ where } r \text{ is the column-rank of } A$$

(ii) A and A' are equivalent if and only if they have the same column-rank.

Proof. We'll first prove a lemma.

Lemma. For $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ and $B \in M_{n \times p}(\mathbb{F})$ then $\text{rk}(A \cdot B) \leq \min(\text{rk}(A), \text{rk}(B))$.

Proof. We have that $\text{im}(AB) \leq \text{im}(A)$ so $\text{rk}(AB) \leq \text{rk}(A)$. If $Bv = \mathbf{0}$ for $v \in \mathbb{F}^p$, then $ABv = \mathbf{0}$, so $\text{n}(B) \geq \text{n}(AB)$, so applying rank-nullity, we get that

$$p - \text{rk}(B) \leq p - \text{rk}(AB) \implies \text{rk}(AB) \leq \text{rk}(B) \quad \square$$

Now we'll prove the first part of the theorem. Let α the natural linear map corresponding to A , so $A = [\alpha]_{E_m}^{E_n}$. By the previous theorem, there exists matrices B, C of $\mathbb{F}^n, \mathbb{F}^m$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = [\alpha]_C^B = [\text{id}_{\mathbb{F}^m}]_C^{E_m} [\alpha]_{E_m}^{E_n} [\text{id}_{\mathbb{F}^n}]_B^B = PAQ$$

where $r = \text{rk}(\alpha)$ which we know is equal to the column-rank of A .

If A' has column-rank r then both matrices are equivalent to $\begin{pmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, so by transitivity, A and A' are equivalent. Conversely suppose that A and A' are equivalent, so $A' = PAQ$. By the lemma $\text{rk}(A') \geq \text{rk}(AQ) \geq \text{rk}(A)$ and symmetrically we get that $\text{rk}(A) \geq \text{rk}(A')$, hence $\text{rk}(A') = \text{rk}(A)$. \square

Theorem. For any $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$, the row-rank of A is equal to the column-rank of A .

Proof. Note that if P is invertible, then so is its transpose with inverse $(P^{-1})^T$. Let r be the column-rank of A . So there exists matrices $P \in GL_m(\mathbb{F})$ and $Q \in GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ such that $PAQ = \begin{pmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$. Then A^T is equivalent to $Q^T A^T P^T = (PAQ)^T = \begin{pmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{n \times m}(\mathbb{F})$.

By the previous theorem, the column-rank of A^T is r which is also the row-rank of A . \square

Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space and B, B' be bases for V . Now let $\alpha \in \text{End}(V) = \mathcal{L}(V, V)$. Then

$$[\alpha]_{B'}^{B'} = [\text{id}_V]_{B'}^B [\alpha]_B^B [\text{id}_V]_B^{B'}$$

Definition. (Similarity) For matrices $A, A' \in M_{n \times m}(\mathbb{F})$ are *similar* if there exists $P \in GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ such that $A' = P^{-1}AP$.

Remark. We have some remarks showing the similarity and equivalence are not the same thing.

- (i) Similarity is an equivalence relation on $M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$.
- (ii) Similar matrices are equivalent but equivalent matrices need not be similar.

For example every matrix in $GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ is equivalent to I_n but I_n forms its only single element equivalence class, when we think about similarity.

2.2 Elementary operations on matrices

Definition. (Elementary row operations) Let r_1, \dots, r_m be the rows of A . We have three types of *elementary row operations* on A

- (i) Swap r_i and r_j with $i \neq j$.
- (ii) Replace r_i with λr_i with $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{F}$.
- (iii) Replace r_i with $r_i + \lambda r_j$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ and $i \neq j$.

Similarly there are three types of elementary column operations.

Remark. These are all reversable.

Each elementary operation has a corresponding matrix representation representation. All corresponding matrices are invertiable.

Lemma. If E is a matrix of type (i)-(iii) then EA is obtained from A by applying the corresponding ERO to A .

Proof. Direct matrix computation.

Remark. Similarly AE is obtained by applying the corresponding ECO

Remark. EROs preserve $\text{Row}(A)$ (and ECOs preserve $\text{Col}(A)$).

So both EROs and ECOs preserve the row-rank of a matrix, and therefore also the rank of the linear map corresponding to the matrix.

Definition. (Row reduced echelon form) A matrix $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ is said to be in *row reduced echelon form* (RRE) if

- (i) All non-zero rows of A appear above all zero rows.
- (ii) The leftmost non-zero element of a non-zero row is 1 (called the *pivot entry*).
- (iii) If row r_i, r_j are non-zero rows with $i < j$ then the index of the pivot entry of i is less than the index of the pivot entry of j .
- (iv) In a column containing a pivot entry, every other entry is zero.

For an example consider

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & 0 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & d \end{pmatrix}$$

which is in row reduced echelon form. Similarly we have column reduced echelon form, which have the exact same rules but transposed.

Lemma. If A is in row reduced echelon form then the row rank of A is the number of non-zero rows of A .

Proof. Let r_1, \dots, r_k be the non-zero rows of A let $j_i = P(v_i)$ be the pivot entry. Certainly r_1, \dots, r_k span $\text{Row}(A)$. Suppose that

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i r_i = 0 \quad (\lambda_i \in \mathbb{F}).$$

Then $(v)_{j_i} = \lambda_i = 0$ so the non-zero rows are linearly independent so we're done. \square

Proposition. Every matrix $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ can be put into row reduced echelon form with elementary row operations.

Proof. Proceed by induction on n . Write that $A = [c_1 \mid \dots \mid c_n]$. If $c_1 = 0$ apply induction to $[c_2 \mid \dots \mid c_n]$, so suppose that $c_1 \neq 0$, suppose that element in $(i, 1)$ is non-zero. Applying row operations (i) we can move it to $(1, 1)$. Apply row operation (ii) to rescale it to be 1. Now we can clear the rest of the column by (iii). By induction we can use elementary row operation on rows $2-m$ to reduce further. This is decreasing the dimension to the process terminates, hence the matrix can be put into row reduced echelon form. \square

Remark. Putting a matrix into RRE form preserves the row-space and the RRE of any matrix is unique. Also if A is a square matrix then A either has a zero row or is the identity.

Theorem. For $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ the following are equivalent:

- (i) $\text{rk}(A) = n$.
- (ii) A is a product of elementary matrices.
- (iii) A is invertiable.

Proof. Let's prove that (i) \implies (ii). By the proposition there exists elementary matrices E_i such that $E_1 \dots E_\ell A$ is in RRE form. By the remark this is I_n hence $A = E_\ell^{-1} \dots E_1^{-1}$ which are also elementary. For (ii) \implies (iii) elementary matrix lie in $GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ which is a group, hence closed. Finially for (iii) \implies (i) suppose there exists $B \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ such that $AB = I_n$. Then for $v \in \mathbb{F}^n$ we have that $v = (AB)v = A(Bv)$, so $v \in \text{im } A$.

3 Determinant and Traces

3.1 Determinant

Theorem. There exists a unique function $F : M_{m \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ satisfying

- (i) (Alternating) If $c_i = c_j$ for some $i \neq j$ then $F(A) = 0$.
- (ii) (Multilinear in columns) For all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $v_j \in \mathbb{F}^n$ the function

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{F}^n &\rightarrow \mathbb{F} \\ v &\mapsto F(v_1 \mid \cdots \mid v_{j-1} \mid v \mid v_{j+1} \mid \cdots \mid v_n) \end{aligned}$$

is linear.

- (iii) $F(I_n) = 1$.

Definition. (Determinant) We shall define the F in the previous theorem as the n -dimensional determinant, written as $F(A) = \det(A)$. A function satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) of the theorem is called an n -dimensional column form.

Lemma. If F is an n -dimensional column form, $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$,

- (i) If A has a zero column then $F(A) = 0$,
- (ii) $F(AT_{ij}) = -F(A)$,
- (iii) $F(AM_{i,\lambda}) = \lambda F(A)$,
- (iv) $F(AC_{i,j,\lambda}) = F(A)$.

Proof. Let $f_i : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ be given by $v \mapsto F(c_1 \mid \cdots \mid c_{i-1} \mid v \mid c_{i+1} \mid \cdots \mid c_n)$. So that f_i is linear. Then $f_i(c_j) = \delta_{ij}F(A)$. If $c_i = 0$ then $F(A) = f_i(c) = f_i(0) = 0$. For (ii), let \bar{A} be the matrix obtained from A by replacing both i th and j th columns of A by $c_i + c_j$. Then $0 = F(\bar{A}) = F(A) + f_i(c_j) + f_i(c_i) + F(AT_{ij})$. For (iii), $F(AM_{i,\lambda}) = f_i(\lambda c_i) = \lambda f_i(c_i) = \lambda F(A)$. Now for (iv), $F(AC_{i,j,\lambda}) = f_j(c_j + \lambda c_i) = f_i(c_j) + \lambda f_j(c_i) = F(A)$. \square

Now we're ready to prove the theorem.

Proof. First we'll prove uniqueness. Let F be an n -dimensional column form with $F(I_n) = 1$. By the lemma, $F(T_{i,j}) = -1$, $F(M_{i,\lambda}) = \lambda$, $F(C_{i,j,\lambda}) = 1$, $F(AE) = F(A)F(E)$ for E elementary. Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$, so there exists elementary matrices E_1, \dots, E_ℓ such that $A' = AE_1 \cdots E_\ell$ with A' in CRE form. Then $F(A) = F(A')F(E_1)^{-1} \cdots F(E_\ell)^{-1}$, so either $A' = I_n$ so $F(A) = (F(E_1)^{-1} \cdots F(E_\ell)^{-1})$ or A' has a zero column so by the lemma, $F(A) = F(A') = 0$.

This also proves the corollary.

Corollary. $\det A \neq 0$ if and only if A is invertible. In this case, $A = E_1 \cdots E_\ell$ then $\det A = \det(E_1) \cdots \det(E_\ell)$.

Recall that from IA Groups that $\text{sgn} : S_n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ is the unique homomorphism satisfying

$\text{sgn}(\tau) = -1$ for all transpositions. Now we can define the determinant.

$$\det : M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$$

$$a \rightarrow \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^n a_{\sigma(i)i}$$

Clearly we have that $\det I_n = 1$. Each product $\prod_{i=1}^n a_{\sigma(i)i}$ is multilinear in columns. Hence so is $\det A$. Suppose that $c_k = c_\ell$ for $k \neq \ell$. Set $\tau = (k \ \ell)$ so that $a_{ij} = a_{i\tau(j)}$ for all i, j . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \det A &= \sum_{\sigma \in A_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^n a_{\sigma(i)i} + \sum_{\sigma \in A_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma\tau) \prod_{i=1}^n a_{\sigma\tau(i)i} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in A_n} \prod_{i=1}^n a_{\sigma(i)i} - \sum_{\sigma \in A_n} \prod_{i=1}^n a_{\sigma\tau(i)i} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma} \prod_i a_{\sigma(i)i} - \sum_{\sigma} \prod_i a_{\sigma\tau(i)\tau(i)} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma} \prod_i a_{\sigma(i)i} - \sum_{\sigma} \prod_j a_{\sigma(j)j} \end{aligned}$$

□

Now we will observe some properties of the determinant.

Lemma. For $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$, we have that $\det(A^T) = \det(A)$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A^T) &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i\sigma(i)} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_j a_{\sigma(j)^{-1}j} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma^{-1}) \prod_j a_{\sigma(j)^{-1}j} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proposition. For all $A, B \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ we have that $\det(AB) = \det(A) \det(B)$.

Proof. Recall that $\text{rk}(AB) \leq \min(\text{rk}(A), \text{rk}(B))$, so if either A or B has rank less than n then so does AB so by the corollary above $\det(AB) = 0 = \det(A) \det(B)$. If not, A and B are invertible and can be written as a product of elementary matrices, so $A = E_1 \cdots E_\ell, B = E'_1 \cdots E'_k$. So $AB = E_1 \cdots E_\ell E'_1 \cdots E'_k$, hence $\det(AB) = \det(E_1) \cdots \det(E_\ell) \det(E'_1) \cdots \det(E'_k) = \det(A) \det(B)$.

□

Missed a lecture - 31.11.25

Definition. (Trace) For a $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ the *trace* of A is given by

$$\text{tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{i,i}$$

Remark. $\text{tr} \in \mathcal{L}(M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}), \mathbb{F})$

Lemma. For all $A, B \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ we have that

$$\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(AB) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (AB)_{i,i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} b_{ji} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n b_{ji} a_{ij} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n (BA)_{j,j} = \text{tr}(BA) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

But in general we don't have that $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(A) \text{tr}(B)$.

Corollary. Similar matrices have the same trace.

Proof. For $P \in GL_n(\mathbb{F})$,

$$\text{tr}(PAP^{-1}) = \text{tr}(P^{-1}(PA)) = \text{tr}(A)$$

Definition. For V a finite dimensional vector space and $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(V, V)$ define the *trace* of α by

$$\text{tr}(\alpha) = \text{tr}([\alpha]_B^B)$$

for B a basis of V .

Proposition. This is independent of the basis B .

Proof. If B' is another basis of the vector space V then, $[\alpha]_{B'}^{B'}$ and $[\alpha]_B^B$ are similar matrices, so the result follows from the corollary.

4 Dual Spaces

Definition. (Dual space) If V is a \mathbb{F} -vector space, then the *dual space* of V is

$$V^* = \mathcal{L}(V, \mathbb{F}) = \{\theta : V \rightarrow \mathbb{F} : \theta \text{ is linear}\}$$

For example we have that $\theta : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\theta \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = x - 2y + 3z$$

is an element in the dual space of \mathbb{R}^3 .

$\text{tr} \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})^*$

If $V = C[0, 1]$ then $\theta : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $\theta(f) = \int_0^1 f(t)e^{-t}dt$ is in the dual space of V^* .

An element $\theta \in V^*$ is called a *linear functional* on V .

Suppose that B is a basis for V . For $b \in B$ define $b^* \in V^*$ by

$$b^* \left(\sum_{c \in B} \lambda_c c \right) = \lambda_b$$

i.e. $b^*(c) = \delta_{bc}$. If we let $B^* = \{b^* : b \in B\}$ then:

Proposition. For B^* defined above,

- (i) B^* is linearly independent;
- (ii) If V is finite dimensional then B^* is a basis for V^* .

Definition. (Dual basis) If V is finite dimensional, call B^* the *dual basis* to B .

Proof. Suppose that

$$\sum_{b \in B} \lambda_b b^* = 0 \quad \text{in } V^*.$$

Then for $c \in B$,

$$0 = \left(\sum_{b \in B} \lambda_b b^* \right) (c) = \sum_{b \in B} \lambda_b b^*(c) = \lambda_c$$

so $\lambda_c = 0$ hence all coefficients are zero, so the set B^* is linearly independent.

For V, W finite dimensional we know that $\dim(\mathcal{L}(V, W)) = \dim(V) \dim(W)$ so for $\dim(V^*) = \dim(\mathcal{L}(V, \mathbb{F})) = \dim V$. We know that B^* is a linearly independent subset of V of size $\dim V$ hence it is a basis of V^* . \square

We can also offer a constructive proof of (ii)

Given $\theta \in V^*$ and $b \in B$ set $\lambda_b = \theta(b) \in \mathbb{F}$ and let $\bar{\theta} = \sum_{b \in B} \lambda_b b^* \in V^*$. Then $\bar{\theta} \in \langle B^* \rangle$ and for $c \in B$ we have that

$$\bar{\theta}(c) = \lambda_c = \theta(c)$$

so $\theta = \bar{\theta}$ as they agree on a basis and hence we have that $\theta \in \langle B^* \rangle$ so B^* spans V^* .

However there are vector spaces, not finite dimensional such as $P(\mathbb{R})^* = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Corollary. For V finite dimensional $V \cong V^*$

Proof. Same dimension hence isomorphic. Note that if V is finite dimensional, $B = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is a basis of V , for $v \in V$ and $\theta \in V^*$ we can write,

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i, \quad \theta = \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j v_j^*.$$

Then $\theta(v) = \sum_{i,j} \lambda_i \mu_j v_j^*(v_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \mu_i = ([\theta]_{B^*})^T \cdot [v]_B$

Definition. (Annihilator) For V a finite dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector space and $S \subseteq V$, the *annihilator* of S is

$$S^0 = \{\theta \in V^* : \forall s \in S, \theta(s) = 0\} \subseteq V^*$$

Lemma. For and $S, T \subseteq V$,

- (i) $S^0 \leq V^*$;
- (ii) If $S \subseteq T$ then $T^0 \leq S^0$;
- (iii) $S^0 = \langle S \rangle^0$;
- (iv) $V^0 = \{\mathbf{0}_{V^*}\}$ and $\{\mathbf{0}_V\}^0 = V^*$.

Proof. A simple application of the subspace test proves (i). For (ii) it suffices to check that $T^0 \subseteq S^0$. For $\theta \in T^0, s \in S$ so $s \in T$ hence $\theta(s) = 0$. For (iii), $S \subseteq \langle S \rangle$ so by (ii)

$$\langle S \rangle^0 \leq S^0.$$

For the converse let $\theta \in S^0$ and $v \in \langle S \rangle$. So we can write $v = \sum_{s \in S} \lambda_s \cdot s$, so $\theta(v) = \sum_{s \in S} \lambda_s \theta(s) = 0$, hence $\theta \in \langle S \rangle^0$ so $S^0 = \langle S \rangle^0$. If $\theta \in V^*$ and $\forall v \in V$ we have that $\theta(v) = 0$ then θ must be the zero function, so $V^0 = \{\mathbf{0}_{V^*}\}$. Secondly for $\theta \in V^*$ we have that $\theta(\mathbf{0}_V) = 0$ so $\{\mathbf{0}_V\}^0 = V^*$. \square

Proposition. For V finite dimensional with $U \leq V$, we have that

$$\dim V = \dim U + \dim U^0$$

Proof. Suppose that $\dim V = n$ and $\dim U = k$ and let $B_U = \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ be a basis for U and extend to a basis $B_V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ for V . Then $B_v^* = \{v_1^*, \dots, v_n^*\}$ is a basis for V^* . Suffices to prove the following claim.

Claim. $\{v_{k+1}^*, \dots, v_n^*\}$ forms a basis for U^0

First we show that it's a subset of U^0 . For $i \leq k$ and $j \geq k+1$,

$$v_j^*(v_i) = 0$$

so

$$v_j^* \in (B_U)^0 = \langle B_u \rangle^0 = U^0.$$

Linear independence is obvious since it's a subspace of B_V^* . Let's check it's spanning. Let $\theta \in U^0$, so write $\theta = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j v_j^*$. Then for $i \leq k$, $v_i \in U$, so $0 = \theta(v_i) = \sum_j \lambda_j v_j^*(v_i) = \lambda_i$. Hence $\theta = \sum_{j=k+1}^n \lambda_j v_j^* \in \langle v_{k+1}^*, \dots, v_n^* \rangle$. \square

Remark. If $U, W \leq V$ which are such that $V = U \oplus W$ hence $U^0 \cong W^*$ is really what's going on behind the scenes.

Proposition. If V is a \mathbb{F} -vector space and $U, W \leq V$ then

- (i) $U^0 \cap W^0 = (U + W)^0$;
- (ii) $U^0 + W^0 \leq (U \cap W)^0$;
- (iii) If V is finite dimensional then we have equality in (ii).

Proof. First we prove (i). For $\theta \in V^*$ we have that $\theta \in (U + W)^0 \iff \forall u \in U, w \in W \text{ we have that } \theta(u + w) = 0$. This is equivalent to $\forall u, \forall w, \theta(u) = \theta(w) = 0$ so $\theta \in U^0 \cap W^0$. So we have equality.

Now for (ii) we have that

$$U \cap W \leq U, W$$

so by the previous lemma we have that

$$\begin{aligned} U^0, W^0 &\leq (U \cap W)^0 \\ U^0 + W^0 &\leq (U \cap W)^0 \end{aligned}$$

Now for the final part if we let $n = \dim V$ then we have that

$$\dim(U^0 + W^0) = \dim(U^0) + \dim(W^0) - \dim(U^0 \cap W^0)$$

which using the fact that $\dim(U^0 \cap W^0) = \dim((U + W)^0)$ we get that

$$\begin{aligned} &= (n - \dim U) + (n - \dim W) - (n - \dim(U + W)) \\ &= n - \dim(U \cap W) \quad (\text{by the Sum-Intersection formula}) \\ &= \dim((U \cap W)^0) \quad (\text{by the proposition}) \end{aligned}$$

Definition. (Dual map) If $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ then the *dual map* of α is $\alpha^* : W^* \rightarrow V^*$ given by

$$\alpha^*(\theta) = \theta \circ \alpha$$

Lemma. If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}(U, V)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ then:

- (i) α^* is linear;
- (ii) $(\alpha + \lambda\beta)^* = \alpha^* + \lambda\beta^*$;
- (iii) $(\alpha \circ \gamma)^* = \gamma^* \alpha^*$;
- (iv) If β is an isomorphism then so is β^* and $(\beta^*)^{-1} = (\beta^{-1})^*$.

Proof. Let $\beta, \eta \in W^*$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{F}$. Then for $v \in V$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha^*(\theta + \mu\eta(v)) &= (\theta + \mu\eta)(\alpha(v)) \\ &= \theta(\alpha(v)) + \mu\eta(\alpha(v)) \\ &= \alpha^*(\theta)(v) + \mu\alpha^*(\eta)(v) \\ &= (\alpha^*(\theta) + \mu\alpha^*(\eta))(v)\end{aligned}$$

Which holds for all v so we must have that

$$\alpha^*(\theta + \mu\eta) = \alpha^*(\theta) + \mu\alpha^*(\eta)$$

For (ii) take $\theta \in W^*$ and $v \in V$ and consider,

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha + \lambda\beta)^*(\theta)(v) &= \theta((\alpha + \lambda\beta)(v)) \\ &= \theta(\alpha(v) + \lambda\beta(v)) \\ &= \theta(\alpha(v)) + \lambda\theta(\beta(v)) \\ &= \alpha^*(\theta)(v) + \lambda\beta^*(\theta)(v) \\ &= (\alpha^*(\theta) + \lambda\beta^*(\theta))(v).\end{aligned}$$

This is true for all v so we have that

$$(\alpha + \lambda\beta)^*(\theta) = \alpha^*(\theta) + \lambda\beta^*(\theta) = (\alpha^* + \lambda\beta^*)(\theta).$$

Which now is true for θ so that

$$(\alpha + \lambda\beta)^* = \alpha^* + \lambda\beta^*.$$

For (iii) take $\theta \in W^*$, so

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha \circ \gamma)^*(\theta) &= \theta \circ (\alpha \circ \gamma) \\ &= \alpha^*(\theta) \circ \gamma \\ &= \gamma^*(\alpha^*(\theta)) \\ &= (\gamma^* \circ \alpha^*)(\theta).\end{aligned}$$

And again these maps both agree at all values of θ , so they are the same map.

$$(\alpha \circ \gamma)^* = \gamma^* \circ \alpha^*$$

Lastly for (iv), note that for all $\theta \in V^*$ we have,

$$(\text{id}_V)^*(\theta) = \theta \circ \text{id}_V = \theta,$$

so $(\text{id}_V)^* = \text{id}_{V^*}$. Thus $\text{id}_{V^*} = (\beta^{-1} \circ \beta)^* = \beta^* \circ (\beta^{-1})^*$ and symmetrically we have that $\text{id}_{W^*} = (\beta^{-1})^* \circ \beta^*$. Hence β^* is an isomorphism with our required inverse. \square

Proposition. Let V, W be finite dimensional vector spaces and $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Let B, C be basis for V, W Then

$$[\alpha^*]_{B^*}^{C^*} = ([\alpha]_C^B)^T.$$

Proof. Let $n = \dim V$ and $m = \dim W$. Let $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ and $C = \{c_1, \dots, c_m\}$. Let $A = [\alpha]_C^B$ so

$$\alpha(b_i) = \sum_{k=1}^m a_{ki} c_k.$$

Now let $A' = [\alpha^*]_{B^*}^{C^*}$, so

$$\alpha^*(c_j^*) = \sum_{\ell=1}^n a'_{\ell i} b_\ell^*.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} a'_{i,j} &= \alpha^*(c_j^*)(b_i) \\ &= c_j^*(\alpha(b_i)) \\ &= c_j^* \left(\sum_k a_{kc} c_k \right) = a_{j,i}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

For an example let B, C be basis of V finite dimensional. The setting $P[\text{id}_V]_C^B$ and $[\text{id}_{V^*}]_{B^*}^{C^*} = P^T$ so we have that

$$[\text{id}_{V^*}]_{C^*}^{B^*} = (P^T)^{-1}$$

Corollary. Let V, W and α be as in the previous proposition. Then

$$\text{rk}(\alpha^*) = \text{rk}(\alpha)$$

Proof. Set $A = [\alpha]_C^B$, and recall that the column rank of a matrix is the same as the rank of the corresponding linear transformation. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{c-rk}(A) &= \text{r-rk}(A) \\ &= \text{c-rk}(A^T) \\ &= \text{rk}(\alpha^*) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proposition. Let V, W be \mathbb{F} -vector spaces. Then if $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$,

- (i) $\ker(\alpha^*) = \text{im}(\alpha)^0$;
- (ii) $\text{im}(\alpha^*) \leq (\ker(\alpha))^0$;
- (iii) If V, W are finite dimensional, then we have equality in (ii).

Proof. For $\theta \in W^*$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \theta \in \ker(\alpha^*) &\iff \theta \circ \alpha = \mathbf{0} \text{ in } V^* \\ &\iff \forall v \in V, \theta(\alpha(v)) = 0 \\ &\iff \theta \in \text{im}(\alpha)^0. \end{aligned}$$

And for (ii), let $\eta \in V^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta \in \text{im}(\alpha^*) &\implies \exists \theta \in W^* \text{ s.t. } \eta = \theta \circ \alpha \\ &\implies \forall v \in \ker(\alpha), \eta(v) = \theta(\alpha(v)) = \theta(\mathbf{0}) = 0 \\ &\implies \eta \in (\ker(\alpha))^0. \end{aligned}$$

Now if we assume that V, W are finite dimensional, by the corollary we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\text{rk}(\alpha^*) &= \text{rk}(\alpha) = \dim V - \dim(\ker \alpha) \\ &= \dim(\ker(\alpha)^0) \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

4.1 The double dual

We denote the *double dual* as $V^{**} = (V^*)^*$. If V is finite dimensional then $V^{**} \cong V$ so they have the same dimension. But we can construct a much nicer isomorphism.

Theorem. If V is a \mathbb{F} -vector space then there is a linear map $\mathcal{E} : V \rightarrow V^{**}$ given by

$$\mathcal{E}(v)(\theta) = \theta(v) \quad \text{for } v \in V, \theta \in V^*$$

where if V is finite dimensional then \mathcal{E} is an isomorphism.

Proof. First we prove linearity. Take $v, w \in V; \lambda \in \mathbb{F}; \theta \in V^*$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}(v + \lambda w)(\theta) &= \theta(v + \lambda w) = \theta(v) + \lambda\theta(w) \\ &= \mathcal{E}(v)(\theta) + \lambda\mathcal{E}(w)(\theta) \\ &= (\mathcal{E}(v) + \lambda\mathcal{E}(w))(\theta)\end{aligned}$$

This is true for all θ so $\mathcal{E}(v + \lambda w) = \mathcal{E}(v) + \lambda\mathcal{E}(w)$.

Now suppose that V is finite dimensional. Now we prove injectivity. Take $\mathbf{0} \neq v \in V$ with $\mathcal{E}(v) = \mathbf{0}$, i.e. $\forall \theta \in V^*, \mathcal{E}(v)(\theta) = 0$. Extend to a basis $\{v_1 = v, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ for V . Let $B^* = \{v_1^*, \dots, v_n^*\}$ be a dual basis. Set $\theta = v_1^*$, then $0 = \theta(v) = v_1^*(v_1) = 1$, which is a contradiction. Surjectivity follows from the linear pigeonhole principle.

Definition. We call $\mathcal{E} : V \rightarrow V^{**}$ the *evaluation map* or the *natural isomorphism*.

Remark. For V finite dimensional we also have that $V \cong V^*$, but any isomorphism requires a change of basis.

Note that if we let V, W be finite dimensional with basis B, C respectively, and let $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$.

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha^*(\theta)(v) &= ([\alpha^*(\theta)]_{B^*})^* \cdot [v]_B \\ &= (A^T[\theta]_{C^*})^T \cdot [v]_B \quad \text{where } A = [\alpha]_C^B \\ &= ([\theta]_{C^*})^T(A[v]_B) \\ &= ([\theta]_{C^*})^T[\alpha(v)]_C\end{aligned}$$

For the rest of the chapter we assume that V is a finite dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector space

Proposition. Every basis C for V^* is the dual basis to some basis of V .

Proof. Let $C = \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n\}$ and let $C^* = \{\theta_1^*, \dots, \theta_n^*\} \subseteq V^{**}$ be the dual basis. Let $\mathcal{E} : V \rightarrow V^{**}$ be the natural isomorphism. Set $v_i = \mathcal{E}^{-1}(\theta_i^*) \in V$. Then $B = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is a basis of V since

the image of the basis C^* is a basis under an isomorphism. Then for all i, j

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_i(v_j) &= \mathcal{E}(v_j)(\theta_i) = \theta_j^*(\theta_i) \\ &= \delta_{ij}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence C is the dual basis to B . \square

Proposition. For $U \leq V$,

$$\mathcal{E}(U) = (U^0)^0 \leq V^{**}$$

Proof. For $u \in U$ and $\theta \in U^0$

$$\mathcal{E}(u)(\theta) = \theta(u) = 0$$

True for all such θ , hence $\mathcal{E}(U) \leq (U^0)^0$. But $\dim((U^0)^0) = \dim V^* - \dim U^0 = \dim(V) - (\dim V - \dim U) = \dim(\mathcal{E}(U))$ hence we have that $\mathcal{E}(U) = (U^0)^0$. \square

Remark. It is common to identify V with V^{**} under \mathcal{E} . Under this identification for $U \leq V$, $U = U^{00}$.

For $X \subset V^*$, $X^0 \leq V^{**}$ is identified with

$$X_0 = \{v \in V : \theta(v) = 0 \ \forall \theta \in X\} = \bigcap_{\theta \in X} \ker \theta \leq V$$

Then $\dim(X_0) = n - \dim(\langle X \rangle)$ and every $U \leq V$ is X_0 for some $X \leq V^*$, namely $X = U^0$.

5 Bilinear forms

5.1 Polynomials

Let \mathbb{F} be a field.

Definition. (Polynomial) A *polynomial* f over \mathbb{F} is a formal expression:

$$f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i t^i \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \ a_i \in \mathbb{F}$$

Then we say that $\mathbb{F}[t]$ is the \mathbb{F} vector space of all polynomials, with a basis $\{1, t, t^2, \dots\}$.

Definition. (Degree) The *degree* of f , written, $\deg f$ is the largest i such that $a_i \neq 0$. We also say that $\deg 0 = -\infty$.

We say that a_i is the *leading coefficient* of the polynomial and if $a_i = 1$, we say that the polynomial is monic.

We can perform addition and multiplication of polynomials in the usual sense. We have a multiplicative and additive identity (1 and 0 respectively) and additive inverses. We can also distribute over these operations, which means that $\mathbb{F}[t]$ forms a *ring* (See IB Groups, Rings and Modules).

Note that $\deg(f + g) \leq \max(\deg(f), \deg(g))$ and $\deg(fg) = \deg f + \deg g$. We can write $f \mid g$ if $\exists h \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ such that $g = fh$.

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ write $f(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \lambda^i \in \mathbb{F}$. We can see that evaluation respects addition and multiplication. We distinguish between $\mathbb{F}[t]$ and the space of polynomial maps $\mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ since if \mathbb{F} is finite, then $\mathbb{F}[t]$ is not finite dimensional, but the space of polynomial maps is a subspace of $\mathbb{F}^\mathbb{F}$ which is a finite dimensional vector space, so they're not even isomorphic spaces. For example if we're in the field \mathbb{F}^4 we can construct the polynomial

$$t(t-1)(t-2)(t-3) \in \mathbb{F}[t]$$

which is not zero in $\mathbb{F}[t]$, but when viewed as a function from $\mathbb{F}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^4$, induces the zero map.

Proposition. (Euclidean algorithm for polynomials in X) Let K be a field and $f, g \in K[X]$. Then there exists polynomials $r, q \in K[X]$ such that $f = gq + r$ with $\deg(r) < \deg(g)$.

(From IB Groups, Rings and Modules)

Proof. Let n be the degree of f . So $f = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i X^i$ with $a_i \in K, a_n \neq 0$. Similarly $g = \sum_{i=0}^m b_i X^i$ with $b_i \in K$ and $b_m \neq 0$.

If $n < m$ set $q = 0$ and $r = f$ so we're finished.

If instead $n \geq m$, proceed by induction on the degree. Let $f_1 = f - a_n b_m^{-1} X^{n-m} g$. Observe that $\deg(f_1) < n$. If $n = m$ then $\deg(f_1) < n = m$. So write $f_1 = (a_{b_m^{-1}} X^{n-m})g + f_1$, so we're done. Otherwise if $n > m$, then because $\deg(f_1) < n$, by induction we can write $f_1 = gq_1 + r_1$ where $\deg(r_1) < \deg(g) = m$. Then $f = (a_n b_m^{-1})X^{n-m}g + q_1g + r_1 = (a_n b_m^{-1} X^{n-m} + q_1)g + r_1$ \square

Corollary. (Bezout's Lemma) If $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ have no common divisor of degree ≥ 1 (i.e the gcd is a unit) then $\exists g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f_i g_i = 1 \quad \in \mathbb{F}[t].$$

Proof. Same as in \mathbb{Z} .

Lemma. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$,

$$f(\lambda) = 0 \iff (t - \lambda) \mid f(t).$$

Proof. Apply the Euclidian algorithm to $f(t)$ and $g(t) = t - \lambda$.

Definition. (Root) $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ is a *root* of $f \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ of *multiplicity* greater than e if

$$(t - \lambda)^e \mid f(t).$$

Corollary. If $\deg f = n \geq 0$, then f has at most n roots counted with multiplicity.

Corollary. If $\deg f, \deg g < n$, and there exists $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{F}$ distinct such that $f(\lambda_i) = g(\lambda_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, then $f = g$.

Theorem. (Fundamental Theorem of Algebra) Every $f \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ of $\deg f \geq 1$ has exactly n roots counting multiplicity. i.e. f is a product of polynomials of degree 1.

Proof. IB Complex Analysis

6 Eigenspaces

Definition. (Diagonalisable) Let V be a finite dimensional vector space, and $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(V, V)$. Then α is *diagonalisable* if there exists a basis B of V such that $[\alpha]_B^B$ is a diagonal matrix.

Definition. (Triangularisable) Let V be a finite dimensional vector space, and $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(V, V)$. Then α is *triangularisable* if there exists a basis B of V such that $[\alpha]_B^B$ is an upper-triangular matrix.

A matrix $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ is diagonalisable or triangularisable if A is similar to a diagonal or upper triangular matrix respectively.

Remark. By change of basis, α is diagonalisable or triangularisable if and only if for any basis B of V , $[\alpha]_B^B$ is a diagonalisable or respectively triangularisable matrix.

- (i) A triangularisable matrix has a very easy to compute determinate; we can just take the product of the entries in the leading diagonal.
- (ii) A diagonalisable matrix makes it easier to understand its similarity class.

Remark. If $B = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ is such that

$$[\alpha]_B^B = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$

then $\alpha(v_i) = \lambda_i v_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Definition. (Eigenvalues, Eigenvectors, and Eigensapces) Let V be a \mathbb{F} -vector space and $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(V, V)$. An element $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ is an *eigenvalue* of α if there exists some $v \in V$ non-zero such that $\alpha(v) = \lambda v$. Such a vector is a λ -*eigenvector* of α .

$$V_\lambda = \{v \in V : \alpha(v) = \lambda(v)\}$$

is the λ -*eigenspace* of α .

Remark. We can make some remarks from this definition.

- (i) $V_\lambda = \ker(\alpha - \lambda \text{id}_V) \leq V$;

(ii) For V finite dimensional, α is diagonalisable if and only if V has a basis of eigenvectors of α .

(iii) If $v \in V_\mu$ for some $\lambda \neq \mu$,

$$(\alpha - \lambda \text{id}_V)(v) = (\mu - \lambda)(v).$$

Thus $(\alpha - \lambda \text{id}_V)$ preserves V_μ and $(\alpha - \lambda \text{id}_V)|_{V_\mu}$ is invertible with inverse $v \rightarrow (\mu - \lambda)^{-1}v$.

Lemma. If $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{F}$ are distinct and $\mathbf{0} \neq v_i \in V_{\lambda_i}$ then $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ is linearly independent.

Proof. Suppose that $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ is linearly dependent. Let

$$\sum_{i=0}^k \mu_i v_i = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{in } V$$

and *wlog* we have that $\mu_1 \neq 0$. Let

$$\beta = \prod_{i=2}^k (\alpha - \mu_i \text{id}_V).$$

Then by remark (iii) we have that

$$\beta(v_j) = \left(\prod_{i=2}^k (\lambda_j - \lambda_i) \right) \cdot v_j \neq \mathbf{0}$$

if and only if $j = 1$. Thus,

$$\mathbf{0} = \beta \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i v_i \right) = \left(\mu_1 \prod_{i=2}^k (\lambda_1 - \lambda_i) \right) v_1$$

so $\mu_1 = 0$, which is a contradiction. \square

Corollary. If V is a finite dimensional vector space, then every $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(V, V)$ has only finitely many eigenvalues.

Proposition. Let V be a finite dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector space, and let $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(V, V)$. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{F}$ be all the eigenvalues of α . Then,

- (i) $\langle V_{\lambda_1} \cup \dots \cup V_{\lambda_k} \rangle = V_{\lambda_1} \oplus \dots \oplus V_{\lambda_k}$;
- (ii) α is diagonalisable if and only if $V = V_{\lambda_1} \oplus \dots \oplus V_{\lambda_k}$.

Proof.

(i) If not, then $\exists v_j \in V_{\lambda_j}$ not all zero such that

$$\sum_j v_j = \mathbf{0}$$

which is a contradiction from the lemma.

- (ii) Suppose that α is diagonalisable. By the remark V has a basis of eigenvectors, so the V_{λ_i} spans V . Conversely, suppose that $V = V_{\lambda_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{\lambda_k}$. Let B_i be a basis of V_{λ_i} . Then by Example Sheet 1 we have that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^k B_i = B$$

is a basis for V , hence V has a basis of eigenvectors, so α is diagonalisable by the remark. \square

Recall that if V is a finite dimensional vector space, then any $\beta \in \mathcal{L}(V, V)$ we have that

$$\det(B) = 0 \iff \ker(B) \neq \{\mathbf{0}_V\}.$$

Thus $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ is an eigenvalue of $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(v, v)$ if and only if

$$\det(\alpha - \lambda \text{id}_V) = 0.$$

Definition. (Characteristic polynomial) For $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$, the *characteristic polynomial* of A is

$$\chi_A(t) = \det(tI_n - A) \in \mathbb{F}[t].$$

Similarly for $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(V, V)$ with V finite dimensional we can define

$$\chi_\alpha(t) = \det(t \cdot \text{id}_V - \alpha)$$

as the characteristic polynomial of α .

Lemma. For V a finite dimensional vector space, and $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(V, V)$, we have that the set of roots of $\chi_\alpha(t)$ are exactly the set eigenvalues of α .

Remark. We can make some remarks about the characteristic polynomial.

- (i) χ_α is monic of degree $\dim V$.
- (ii) Similar matrices have the same characteristic polynomial.
- (iii) By the Leibniz formula, if $\chi_\alpha(t) = t^n + C_{n-1}t^{n-1} + \cdots + C_1t + C_0$ we have that
 - (a) $C_{n-1} = \text{tr}(\alpha)$, $C_0 = (-1)^n \det \alpha = \chi_\alpha(0)$.

Proposition. If α is triangularisable then $\chi_\alpha(t)$ can be written as a product of linear factors.

Proof. Let B be a basis for V such that

$$A = [a]_B^B = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\chi_\alpha(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n (t - a_i).$$

For example the matrix,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\chi_A(t) = t^2 - (2 \cos \theta)t + 1$ is irreducible for $\theta \in (0, \pi)$, hence A is not triangularisable in $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$.

Theorem. Every $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ is triangularisable

Proof. By the fundamental theorem of algebra, $\chi_A(t)$ has a root $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, so there exists a v_1 , non-zero in \mathbb{C}^n such that $Av_1 = \lambda v_1$. Extend to a basis $B = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ of \mathbb{C}^n . So up to a change of basis, we can assume that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & C & \\ 0 & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

where C is a $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix. By induction there exists a $\bar{P} \in GL_{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\bar{P}C\bar{P}^{-1}$ is upper triangular. So set

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & \bar{P} & \\ 0 & & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then PAP^{-1} is upper-triangular.

Corollary. Let V be a finite dimensional \mathbb{C} -vector space. Then every $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(V, V)$ is triangularisable.

Remark. The same proof yields the result for any field \mathbb{F} , $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ is triangularisable if and only if $\chi_A(t)$ is a product of linear factors.