

Analysis II - Example Sheet 2

Solutions by Finley Cooper

21st November 2025

Question 1

Part (a)

Suppose the norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|'$ are Lipschitz equivalent. Then there exists real numbers A, B positive such that

$$A\|x\| \leq \|x\|' \leq B\|x\| \quad \text{for all } x \in V. \quad (\dagger)$$

Let $r = \frac{1}{A}$ and $R = \frac{1}{B}$. Suppose that $x \in B'_1$. Hence we have that $\|x\|' < 1$, so by (\dagger) we get $A\|x\| < 1$. Hence it follows that $\|x\| < r$ so $x \in B_r$. This gives $B_r \subseteq B'_1$. Now take some $x \in B_R$. Hence $\|x\| < R = \frac{1}{B}$. So again by (\dagger) $\|x\|' < 1$ so $x \in B'_1$. So $B_r \subseteq B'_1 \subseteq B_R$.

Conversely suppose that there exists real numbers r, R such that

$$B_r \subseteq B'_1 \subseteq B_R.$$

Fix a $x \in V$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{x}{\|x\|'} \in B'_1 &\implies \frac{x}{\|x\|'} \in B_r \\ &\implies \left\| \frac{x}{\|x\|'} \right\| < r \\ &\implies \frac{1}{r} \|x\| < \|x\|'. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{Rx}{\|x\|} \in B_R &\implies \frac{Rx}{\|x\|} \in B'_1 \\ &\implies \left\| \frac{Rx}{\|x\|} \right\|' < 1 \\ &\implies \|x\|' < \frac{1}{R} \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|'$ are Lipschitz equivalent.

Part (b)

Suppose the norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|'$ are Lipschitz equivalent.

$$A\|x\| \leq \|x\|' \leq B\|x\| \quad \text{for all } x \in V.$$

Suppose now (x_n) is a sequence in V converging to x with respect to $\|\cdot\|$. Then we have that

$$\|x_n - x\|' \leq B\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow B \cdot 0 = 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Symmetrically we have the converse statement.

Now suppose that $x_n \rightarrow x$ with respect to $\|\cdot\| \iff x_n \rightarrow x$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|'$. Take α as the identity map from $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ to $(V, \|\cdot\|')$. By the hypothesis it is continuous, hence there is some $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\|x\| < \delta \implies \|x\|' < 1$$

and taking the inverse map there is some ε such that

$$\|x\|' < 1 \implies \|x\| < \varepsilon.$$

Hence by part (a) the norms are Lipschitz equivalent.

Part (c)

Let's show that $\|\cdot\| + |\varphi(\cdot)|$ defines a norm on V . Firstly,

$$\|0\| + |\varphi(0)| = 0 + 0 = 0$$

since φ is linear. The norm is clearly positive definite since $\|x\| = 0 \iff x = 0$. φ is linear, so the norm has linearity in scalar multiplication. We're just left to prove the triangle inequality.

$$\begin{aligned}\|x + y\| + |\varphi(x + y)| &\leq \|x\| + \|y\| + |\varphi(x) + \varphi(y)| \\ &\leq \|x\| + |\varphi(x)| + \|y\| + |\varphi(y)|.\end{aligned}$$

So this does define a norm on V .

Suppose that φ is not continuous. So there exists a point $x \in V$ and a sequence such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $\varphi(x_n) \rightarrow y$ with $y \neq \varphi(x)$ (with the first limit being taken with respect to the $\|\cdot\|$ norm). We'll show the norms are not Lipschitz equivalent using (c). Call our newly define norm $\|\cdot\|'$. Then

$$\|x_n - x\|' = \|x_n - x\| + |\varphi(x_n - x)| = \|x_n - x\| + |\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(x)|$$

But as $n \rightarrow \infty$ the first term vanishes and the second term doesn't go to zero since $\varphi(x) \neq \varphi(y)$ as limits are unique. Hence x_n doesn't converge to x in this new norm, so by (c) the norms are not Lipschitz equivalent.

Part (d)

For this part we'll assume the Axiom of Choice so we can construct a basis for any vector space through Zorn's lemma. Let V be an infinite dimensional vector space. Let B be a basis for V , take (e_n) to be some countable subset of the basis vectors and define a $\varphi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on this vector space by

$$\varphi(e_n) = n, \quad \varphi = 0 \text{ on other basis}$$

so we can then extend φ to make it linear. φ is not bounded on the unit ball so not continuous. Then by (c) we have at least two non-Lipschitz equivalent norms, hence if we have a vector space with exactly one norm up to Lipschitz equivalence, V must be finite-dimensional.

Question 2

Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow X'$ is continuous. Let V be some open set in X' and let's show that $X \setminus f^{-1}(V)$ is closed instead. Take some sequence (x_n) in $X \setminus f^{-1}(V)$ with $x_n \rightarrow x$. So it is sufficient to show that $x \notin f^{-1}(V)$.

$x_n \notin f^{-1}(V)$, so $f(x_n) \in X' \setminus V$. Since f is continuous, $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$ and since V is open, $X' \setminus V$ is closed, therefore $f(x) \in X' \setminus V$. So $f(x) \notin V$ so $x \notin f^{-1}(V)$, hence $f^{-1}(V)$ is open.

Question 3

Since we're working over the vector space \mathbb{R}^n it is enough to show that X is closed and bounded. If we let f be the function describing the Euclidean metric (which is continuous), then it has a bounded image, so X is bounded. Now we're left to show X is closed. Suppose not. Then there

is a point x which is a limit point of X but not in X , so we can make $\|y - x\|_2$ as small as we like for $y \in X$. Hence the function

$$f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$y \mapsto \frac{1}{\|y - x\|_2}$$

is clearly continuous but unbounded. So X must be closed, so it is compact.

For a general metric space, (X, d) .

Question 4

Part (a)

Let (x_k) be a sequence in X , then since the open balls cover X and there are finitely many of them, there must be a ball centred at x_i that contains infinitely many terms of the sequence.

Question 5

- (i) Not topological. We can relate the open sets $(0, 1)$ and $(1, \infty)$ by a the transformation $\frac{1}{x}$, which preserves the topology, but not the boundedness.
- (ii) Topological. A set is closed \iff its complement is open, so the closed-ness of a set is completely determined by not being in the collection of open sets. Cons
- (iii)