# Linear Algebra

Notes by Finley Cooper

22nd October 2025

# Contents

1	Vector Spaces	3
	1.1 Definitions	3
	1.2 Linear maps, isomorphisms, and quotients	
	1.3 Basis	7
	1.4 Direct sums	14
<b>2</b>	Matrices and Linear Maps	16
	2.1 Vector spaces of linear maps	16

### 1 Vector Spaces

#### 1.1 Definitions

For this lecture course,  $\mathbb{F}$  will always be field.

**Definition.** (Vector Space) A  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space (or a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$ ) is an abelian group  $(V, +, \mathbf{0})$  equipped with a function

$$\mathbb{F} \times V \to V$$
$$(\lambda, v) \to v$$

which we call scalar multiplication such that  $\forall v, w \in V, \forall \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{F}$ 

- (i)  $(\lambda + \mu)v = \lambda v + \mu v$
- (ii)  $\lambda(v+w) = \lambda v + \lambda w$
- (iii)  $\lambda(\mu v) = (\lambda \mu)v$
- (iv)  $1 \cdot v = v \cdot 1 = v$

Remember that  $\mathbf{0}$  and 0 are not the same thing. 0 is an element in the field  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $\mathbf{0}$  is the additive identity in V.

For an example consider  $\mathbb{F}^n$  n-dimensional column vectors with entries in  $\mathbb{F}$ . We also have the example of a vector space  $\mathbb{C}^n$  which is a complex vector space, but also a real vector space (taking either  $\mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$  as the underlying scalar field).

We also can see that  $M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$  form a vector space with m rows and n columns.

For any non-empty set X, we denote  $\mathbb{F}^X$  as the space of functions from X to  $\mathbb{F}$  equipped with operations such that:

$$f+g$$
 is given by  $(f+g)(x)=f(x)+g(x)$   
 $\lambda f$  is given by  $(\lambda f)(x)=\lambda f(x)$ 

**Proposition.** For all  $v \in V$  we have that  $0 \cdot v = \mathbf{0}$  and  $(-1) \cdot v = -v$  where -v denotes the additive inverse of v.

Proof. Trivial.

**Definition.** (Subspace) A *subspace* of a  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space V is a subset  $U \subseteq V$  which is a  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space itself under the same operations as V. Equivalently, (U, +) is a subgroup of (V, +) and  $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $\forall u \in U$  we have that  $\lambda u \in U$ .

Remark. Axioms (i)-(iv) are always automatically inherited into all subspaces.

**Proposition.** (Subspace test) Let V be a  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space and  $U \subseteq V$  then U is a subspace of V if and only if,

- (i) U is nonempty.
- (ii)  $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{F}$  and  $\forall u, w \in U$  we have that  $u + \lambda w \in U$ .

*Proof.* If U is a subspace then U satisfies (i) and (ii) since it contains 0 and is closed. Conversely suppose that  $U \subseteq V$  satisfies (i) and (ii). Taking  $\lambda = -1$  so  $\forall u, w \in V, u - w \in U$  hence (U, +) is a subgroup of (V, +) by the subgroup test. Finally taking  $u = \mathbf{0}$  so we have that  $\forall w \in U, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{F}$  we have that  $\lambda w \in U$ . So U is a subspace of V.

We notate U by  $U \leq V$ .

For some examples

(i)

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x + y + z = t \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3,$$

for fixed  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  iff t = 0.

- (ii) Take  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$  as all the functions from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  then the set of continuous functions is a subspace.
- (iii) Also we have that  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ , the set of infintely differentiable functions from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$  and the subspace of continuous functions.
- (iv) A further subspace of all of those subspaces is the set of polynomial functions.

**Lemma.** For  $U, W \leq V$  we have that  $U \cap W \leq V$ .

*Proof.* We'll use the subspace test. Both U,W are subspaces so they contain  $\mathbf 0$  hence  $\mathbf 0 \in U \cap W$  so  $U \cap W$  is nonempty. Secondly take  $x,y \in U \cap W$  with  $\lambda \in \mathbb F$ . Then  $U \leq V$  and  $x,y \in U$  so  $x + \lambda y \in U$ . Similarly with W so  $x + \lambda y \in W$  hence we have that  $x + \lambda y \in U \cap W$  hence  $U \cap W \leq V$ 

*Remark.* This does not apply for subspaces, in fact from IA Groups, we know it doesn't even hold for the underlying abelian group.

**Definition.** (Subspace sum) For  $U, W \leq V$ , the subspace sum of U, W is

$$U+W=\{u+w:u\in U,w\in W\}.$$

**Lemma.** If  $U, W \leq V$  then  $U + W \leq V$ .

*Proof.* Simple application of the subspace test.

Remark. U+W is the smallest subgroup of U,W in terms of inclusion, i.e. if K is such that  $U\subseteq K$  and  $W\subseteq K$  then  $U+W\subseteq K$ .

#### 1.2 Linear maps, isomorphisms, and quotients

**Definition.** (Linear map) For V, W F-vector spaces. A linear map from V to W is a group homomorphism,  $\varphi$ , from (V, +) to (W, +) such that  $\forall v \in V$ 

$$\varphi(\lambda v) = \lambda \varphi(v)$$

Equivalently to show any function  $\alpha:V\to W$  is a linear map we just need to show that  $\forall u,w\in V,\,\forall\lambda\in\mathbb{F}$  we have

$$\alpha(u + \lambda w) = \alpha(u) + \lambda \alpha(w).$$

For some examples of linear maps

- (i)  $V = \mathbb{F}^n, W = \mathbb{F}^m \ A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ . Then let  $\alpha : V \to W$  be given by  $\alpha(v) = Av$ . Then  $\alpha$  is linear.
- (ii)  $\alpha: C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \to C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  defined by taking the derivative.
- (iii)  $\alpha: C(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by taking the integral from 0 to 1.
- (iv) X any nonempty set,  $x_0 \in X$ ,

$$\alpha: \mathbb{F}^X \to \mathbb{F}$$
 $f \to f(x_0)$ 

- (v) For any V, W the identity mapping from V to V is linear and so is the zero map from V to W.
- (vi) The composition of two linear maps is linear.
- (vii) For a non-example squaring in  $\mathbb{R}$  is not linear. Similarly adding constants is not linear, since linear maps preserve the zero vector.

**Definition.** (Isomorphism) A linear map  $\alpha: V \to W$  is an *isomorphism* if it is bijective. We say that V and W are isomorphic, if there exists an isomorphism from  $V \to W$  and denote this by  $V \cong W$ .

An example is the vector space  $V = \mathbb{F}^4$  and  $W = M_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{F})$  we can define the map

$$\alpha: V \to W$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

Then  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism.

**Proposition.** If  $\alpha: V \to W$  is an isomorphism then  $\alpha^{-1}: W \to V$  is also an isomorphism.

*Proof.* Clearly  $\alpha^{-1}$  is a bijection. We need to prove that  $\alpha^{-1}$  is linear. Take  $w_1, w_2 \in W$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ . So we can write  $w_i = \alpha(v_i)$  for i = 1, 2. Then

$$\alpha^{-1}(w_1 + \lambda w_2) = \alpha^{-1}(\alpha(v_1) + \lambda \alpha(v_2)) = \alpha^{-1}(\alpha(v_1 + \lambda v_2)) = v_1 + \lambda v_2 = \alpha^{-1}(w_1) + \lambda \alpha^{-1}(w_2)$$

. Hence  $\alpha^{-1}$  is linear, so  $\alpha^{-1}$  is an isomorphism.

**Definition.** (Kernal) Let V, W be  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector spaces. Then the kernal of the linear map  $\alpha: V \to W$  is

$$\ker(\alpha) = \{ v \in V : \alpha(v) = \mathbf{0}_W \} \subseteq V$$

**Definition.** (Image) Let V,W be  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector spaces. Then the image of a linear map  $\alpha:V\to W$  is

$$im(\alpha) = {\alpha(v) : v \in V} \subseteq W$$

**Lemma.** For a linear map  $\alpha: V \to W$  the following hold.

- (i)  $\ker \alpha \leq V$  and  $\operatorname{im} \alpha \leq W$
- (ii)  $\alpha$  is surjective if and only if im  $\alpha = W$
- (iii)  $\alpha$  is injective if and only if  $\ker \alpha = \{\mathbf{0}_V\}$

*Proof.*  $\mathbf{0}_V + \mathbf{0}_V = \mathbf{0}_V$ , so applying  $\alpha$  to both sides any using the fact that  $\alpha$  is linear gives that  $\alpha(\mathbf{0}_V) = \mathbf{0}_W$ . So ker  $\alpha$  is nonempty. The rest of the proof is a simple application of the subspace test.

The second statement is immediate from the definition.

For the final statement suppose  $\alpha$  injective. Suppose  $v \in \ker \alpha$ . Then  $\alpha(v) = \mathbf{0}_W = \alpha(\mathbf{0}_w)$  so  $v = \mathbf{0}_V$  by injectivity. Hence  $\ker \alpha$  is trivial. Conversely suppose that  $\ker \alpha = \{0_V\}$  Let  $u, v \in V$  and suppose that  $\alpha(u) = \alpha(v)$ . The  $\alpha(u - v) = \mathbf{0}_W$ , so  $u - v \in \ker \alpha$ , so u = v.

For V a  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space,  $W \leq V$  write

$$\frac{V}{W} = \{v + W : v \in V\}$$

as the left cosets of W in V. Recall that two cosets v + V and u + W are the same coset if and only if  $v - u \in W$ .

**Proposition.** V/W is an  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space under operations

$$(u+W) + (v+W) = (u+v) + W$$
$$\lambda(v+W) = (\lambda v) + W$$

We call V/W the quotient space of V by W.

*Proof.* The proof is long and requires a lot of vector space axioms so we'll just sketch out the proof.

We check that operations are well-defined, so for  $u, \overline{u}, v, \overline{v} \in V$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$  if

$$u + W = \overline{u} + W, \quad v + W = \overline{v} + W$$

then

$$(u+v)+W=(\overline{u}+\overline{w})+W$$

and

$$(\lambda u) + W = (\lambda \overline{u}) + W$$

The vector space axioms are inherited from V.

**Proposition.** (Quotient map) The function  $\pi_W: V \to \frac{V}{W}$  called a *quotient map* is given by

$$\pi_W(v) = v + W$$

is a well-defined, surjective, linear map with ker  $\pi_W = W$ .

*Proof.* Surjectivity is clear. For linearity let  $u, v \in V$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ . Then

$$\pi_W(u + \lambda v) = (u + \lambda v) + W$$

$$= (u + W) + (\lambda v + W)$$

$$= (u + W) + \lambda(v + W)$$

$$= \pi_W(u) + \lambda \pi_W(v)$$

For  $v \in V$ , we have that  $v \in \ker \pi_W \iff \pi_W(v) = \mathbf{0}_{V/W}$ . So  $v + W = \mathbf{0}_V + W$  so finally  $v = v - \mathbf{0}_V \in W$ .

**Theorem.** (First isomorphism theorem) Let V,W be  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector spaces and  $\alpha:V\to W$  linear. Then there is an isomorphism

$$\overline{\alpha}: \frac{V}{\ker \alpha} \to \operatorname{im} \alpha$$

given by  $\overline{\alpha}(v + \ker \alpha) = \alpha(v)$ 

Proof. For  $u, v \in V$ ,

$$u + K = v = K \iff u - v \in K \iff \alpha(u - v) = \mathbf{0}_W \iff \alpha(u) = \alpha(v) \iff \overline{\alpha}(u + \ker \alpha) = \overline{\alpha}(v + \ker \alpha)$$

The forward direction shows that  $\overline{\alpha}$  is well-defined, and the converse shows that  $\overline{\alpha}$  is injective. For surjectivity given  $w \in \operatorname{im} \alpha$ , there exists some  $v \in V$  s.t.  $w = \alpha(v)$ . Then  $w = \overline{\alpha}(v + \ker \alpha)$ . Finally for linearity given  $u, v \in V$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ ,

$$\overline{\alpha}((u + \ker \alpha) + \lambda(v + \ker \alpha)) = \overline{\alpha}((u + \lambda v) + \ker \alpha)$$

$$= \alpha(u + \lambda v)$$

$$= \alpha(u) + \lambda \alpha(v)$$

$$= \overline{\alpha}(u + \ker \alpha) + \lambda \overline{\alpha}(v + \ker \alpha)$$

So  $\overline{\alpha}$  is linear hence is an isomorphism

#### 1.3 Basis

**Definition.** (Span) Let V be a  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space. Then the span of some subset  $S \subseteq V$  is

$$\langle S \rangle = \left\{ \sum_{s \in S} \lambda_s \cdot s : \lambda_s \in \mathbb{F} \right\}$$

where  $\sum$  denotes finite sums. An expression the form above is called a *linear combination* of S.

We say that S spans V if  $\langle S \rangle = V$ 

**Definition.** (Finite-dimensional) For a vector space V we say that it is *finite-dimensional* if there exists a finite spanning set.

We'll give some simple remarks without proof.

- (i)  $\langle S \rangle \leq V$  and conversely if  $W \leq V$  and  $S \subseteq W$  then  $\langle S \rangle \leq W$ .
- (ii) If  $S, T \subseteq W$  and S spans V and  $S \subseteq \langle V \rangle$  then T spans V.
- (iii) By convention  $\langle \emptyset \rangle = \{ \mathbf{0}_V \}$ .
- (iv)  $\langle S \cup T \rangle = \langle S \rangle + \langle T \rangle$

For an example consider  $V = \mathbb{R}^3$  and consider the sets

$$S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$
$$T = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Then 
$$\langle S \rangle = \langle T \rangle = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ 2y \end{pmatrix} : x, y \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \leq \mathbb{R}^3.$$

For a second example consider  $V = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and set  $T = \{\delta_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . This is not a spanning set, since we require infinitely many elements from T to make an element in V. In fact we can write that

$$\langle T \rangle = \{ f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} : f(n) = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many terms} \}.$$

**Definition.** (Linear Independence) A subset  $S \subseteq V$  is called *linearly independent* if, for all finite linear combinations

$$\sum_{s \in S} \lambda_s s \quad \text{of S}$$

if the sum is the zero vector in V the  $\lambda_s = 0$  for all  $s \in S$ .

If S is not linearly indepedent we say that S is linearly dependent.

We'll make some more remarks

- (i) If  $\mathbf{0} \in S$  then S is not linearly independent.
- (ii) If we have a finite set, then to show linearly independent, we only need to consider the linear combination of all elements, not all finite lienar combinations.
- (iii) However is S is infinite, then we have to consider every possible finite subset of S and show it's linearly independent.
- (iv) Every subset of a linearly independent set is itself linearly indepedent.

**Definition.** (Basis) A subset  $S \subseteq V$  is a *basis* for V if S is linearly independent and a spanning set.

For an example consider  $e_i \in \mathbb{F}^n$  be given by

$$e_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with the 1 in the $i$th entry}$$

then the set  $\{e_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  is the standard basis for  $\mathbb{F}^n$ .

For  $P(\mathbb{R})$  the set of real polynomial functions and let  $p_n \in P(\mathbb{R})$  be given by  $p_n(x) = x^n$ , then  $\{p_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$  is a basis for  $P(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Proposition.** If  $S \subseteq V$  is a finite spanning set, then there exists a subset  $S' \subseteq S$  such that S' is a basis.

*Proof.* If S is linearly independent then we're done. Otherwise write  $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ . Then there exists  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  such that  $\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n = \mathbf{0}$  wlog suppose that  $\lambda_n$  is nonzero. Then

$$v_n = -\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i v_i$$

so  $v_n$  is in the span of the other vectors. Hence  $S \setminus \{v_n\}$  is still a spanning set. Repeat which the set is linearly independent, must terminate since the set is finite and the empty set is not a spanning set.

Corollary. Every finite-dimensional vector space has a finite basis.

*Proof.* Trivial application of the proposition

**Theorem.** (Steinitz Exchange Lemma) Let  $S, T \subseteq V$  finite with S linearly independent and T a spanning set of V. Then

- (i)  $|S| \le |T|$ ,
- (ii) and there exists  $T' \subseteq T$  which has size |T'| = |T| |S| and  $S \cup T'$  spans V.

Proof. To come later...

Let's look at some consequences of the lemma first.

Corollary. For a finite-dimensional vector space V,

- (i) Every basis for V is finite.
- (ii) All finite basis have the same size.

*Proof.* V has a finite basis B, suppose we have some other basis B' infinite. Let  $B'' \subseteq B'$  with |B''| = |B| + 1 then |B''| is linearly independent, so applying (i) of the Steinitz exchange lemma with S = B'' and T = B we get a contradiction.

For the second part, let  $B_1, B_2$  be finite basis for V then apply Steinitz symmetrically since both are spanning set and linearly independent, so we get that  $|B_1| \ge |B_2|$  and  $|B_1| \ge |B_2|$  so  $|B_1| = |B_2|$ .

**Definition.** (Dimension) For a vector space V the dimension of V is the size of any basis. We write this as dim V.

This definition is well-defined by the previous corollary.

For an example dim  $\mathbb{F}^n = n$  since we've shown the standard basis has size n. As a complex vector space  $\mathbb{C}$  is one-dimensional as a complex vector space and two-dimension as a real vector space, with basis  $\{1\}$  and  $\{1,i\}$  repectively.

**Corollary.** For a vector space V let  $S, T \subseteq V$  finite, with S linearly independent and T a spanning set, then

$$|S| \le \dim V \le |T|$$

with equality if and only if S spans or V is linearly independent respectively.

*Proof.* The inequalities are immediate from Steinitz. If S is a basis then  $|S| = \dim V$  from the previous corollary. Conversely if  $|S| = \dim V$  and let B be a basis for V so we have that |B| = |S| so B is a spanning set. So we can apply Steinitz (ii) to B so there exists  $B' \subseteq B$  with |B'| = |B| - |S| = 0 and  $S \cup B' = S \cup \emptyset$  spans V. So S is a basis. Similar we have a very similar proof for equality in V.

We will not prove that every vector space has a basis, however some non-finitely dimensional vector spaces have an infinite basis, for example  $P(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Proposition.** If V is a finite-dimensional vector space, then if  $U \leq V$  then U is finite-dimensional, namely,  $\dim U \leq \dim V$  with equality if and only if U = V.

*Proof.* If  $U = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , we're done. Otherwise let  $\mathbf{0} \neq u_1 \in U$ . Then  $\{u_1\} \subseteq U$  is linearly indepedent. Repeating, after repeating k times suppose we have  $\{u_1, \ldots, u_k\}$  linearly indepedent with  $k \leq \dim(V)$  by the previously corollary. If the set spans U we're done, if not we'll add another vector,  $u_{k+1}$  outside of the span of our space. If  $\{u_1, \ldots, u_{k+1}\}$  is not linearly indepedent, we can write  $\mathbf{0}$  non-trivially, so

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \lambda_i u_i = \mathbf{0}$$

with  $\lambda_{k+1} \neq 0$  since  $\{u_1, \ldots, u_k\}$  linearly independent. Thus we have that

$$u_{k+1} = -\frac{1}{\lambda_{k+1}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i u_i \right)$$

this process must terminate after at most dim V many steps, by the previous corollary. If dim  $U = \dim V$  apply the previous corollary with S being any basis for U.

**Proposition.** (Extending a basis) Let  $U \leq V$ . For any basis  $B_U$  of U there exists a basis  $B_V$  of V such that  $B_U \subseteq B_V$ .

*Proof.* Apply the second result from Steinitz with  $S = B_U$  and T is any basis for V. We obtain that  $T' \subseteq T$  s.t.

$$|T'| = |T| - |S| = \dim V - \dim U$$

and  $B_V = B_U \cup T'$  spans V. But we have that

$$|B_V| \le |B_U| + |T'| = \dim V$$

so by the previous corollary,  $B_V$  is a basis for V.

Now we'll finally prove the Steinitz exchange lemma.

*Proof.* Let  $S = \{u_1, \ldots, u_m\}$ ,  $T = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  with |T| = m and |T| = n. If S is empty then we're done. Otherwise there exists  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{F}$  such that

$$u_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i v_i$$

so by renumbering we can say that  $\lambda_1 \neq 0$ . Then

$$v_1 = \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \left( u_1 - \sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i v_i \right)$$

So  $\{u_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  spans V. After repeating k times with k < m suppose  $\{u_1, \dots, u_k, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n\}$  spans V, then there exists  $\lambda_i, \mu_j \in \mathbb{F}$  such that

$$u_{k+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \mu_j u_j + \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \lambda_i v_i$$

If for all  $\lambda_i = 0$  then

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \mu_j u_j\right) - u_{k+1} = \mathbf{0}$$

which is a contradiction since S is linearly independent. So by relabeling we have that  $\lambda_{k+1} \neq 0$  such that

$$v_{k+1} = \frac{1}{\lambda_{k+1}} \left( u_{k+1} - \sum_{j=1}^{k} \mu_j u_j - \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \lambda_i v_i \right)$$

so  $(u_1, \ldots, u_{k+1}, v_{k+2}, \ldots, v_n)$  spans V. So we can conclude that  $m \neq n$  and  $\{u_1, \ldots, u_m, v_{m+1}, \ldots, v_n\}$  spans V hence the set  $T' = \{v_{m+1}, \ldots, v_n\}$  exists as claimed.

**Definition.** (Nullity) For a linear map  $\alpha: V \to W$  we define the *nullity* of  $\alpha$  as  $n(\alpha) = \dim \ker \alpha$ .

**Definition.** (Rank) For a linear map  $\alpha: V \to W$  we define the rank of  $\alpha$  as

$$rk(\alpha) = \dim \operatorname{im} \alpha.$$

**Theorem.** (Rank-nullity theorem) If V is a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space and W is a  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space. Then if  $\alpha:V\to W$  is linear then im  $\alpha$  is finite dimensional and

$$\dim V = \mathbf{n}(\alpha) + \mathbf{rk}(\alpha).$$

*Proof.* Recall the first isomorphism theorem so

$$\frac{V}{\ker \alpha} \cong \operatorname{im} \alpha$$

It is sufficient to prove the lemma

**Lemma.** For  $U \leq V$ ,

$$\dim(V/U) = \dim V - \dim U$$

*Proof.* Let  $B_U = \{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$  be a basis of U. Extend to a basis  $B_V = \{u_1, \dots, u_m, v_{m+1}, \dots, v_n\}$  of V where  $m = \dim U$  and  $n = \dim V$ .

Set  $B_{V/U} = \{v_i + U : m + 1 \le i \le n \}$ . The we claim that  $B_{V/U}$  is a basis for V/U of size n - m. To show spanning, for  $v \in V$  write

$$v = \sum_{i} \lambda_i v_i + \sum_{j} \mu_j v_j$$

Then  $v + U = \sum_{i} \lambda_i(v_i + U) \in \langle B_{V/U} \rangle$ . For linear independence, suppose

$$\sum_{i} \lambda_i(v_i + U) = \mathbf{0} + U$$

hence

$$= \left(\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} v_{i}\right) + U$$

$$\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} v_{i} \in U$$

$$\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} v_{i} = \sum_{j} \mu_{j} u_{j}$$

since  $B_V$  is linearly independent, we have that all  $\lambda_i$  and  $\mu_j$  are zero. Similarly if  $v_i + U = v_j + U$  with  $i \neq j$  then we can write  $v_i - v_j = \sum_j \mu_j u_j$  which is a contradiction.

*Remark.* We can make a direct proof without quotient spaces by rearranging some of the arguments of the proof.

**Corollary.** (Linear Pigeonhole principle) If dim  $V = \dim W = n$  and  $\alpha : V \to W$  then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i)  $\alpha$  is injective,
- (ii)  $\alpha$  is surjective,
- (iii)  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* If  $\alpha$  injective then  $n(\alpha) = 0$  so by rank nullity we have that  $rk(\alpha) = n$  so  $\alpha$  is surjective. If  $\alpha$  is surjective then  $rk(\alpha) = n$  so by rank nullity, the dimension of the kernal is 0 hence the kernal is trivial, so  $\alpha$  injective, hence  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism. If  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism, clearly it's injective, so all equivalent.

**Proposition.** Suppose V is a vector space with a basis B. For any vector space W and any function  $f: B \to W$  there is a unique linear map  $F: V \to W$  such that F(B) = W.

*Proof.* First we'll show existence. For  $v \in V$  write  $v = \sum_b \lambda_b b$  for a finite sum. Then define

$$F(v) = \sum_{b} \lambda_b f(b).$$

This is well-defined, since B is a basis the  $\lambda_b$  are uniquely determined by v. For  $u, v \in V$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$  we write

$$u = \sum_{b} \mu_b b, \quad \sum_{b} \lambda_b b.$$

Then

$$F(u + \lambda v) = F(\sum_{b} (\mu_b + \lambda \lambda_b) f(b)$$
$$= \sum_{b} \mu_b f(b) + \lambda \sum_{b} \lambda_b f(b)$$
$$= F(u) + \lambda F(v).$$

So F is linear. To show uniqueness  $\overline{F}: V \to W$  is another linear map extending f then,

$$\overline{F}\left(\sum_{b}\lambda bb\right) = \sum_{b}\lambda_{b}\overline{F}(b)$$

which is the same as our definition for F hence they are the same function.

**Corollary.** For a vector space, V, with dim V = n with a basis  $B = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  for V then there is a unique isomorphism

$$F_B: V \to \mathbb{F}^n$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i \to \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$

*Proof.* Let  $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  be the standard basis for  $\mathbb{F}^n$ . Define

$$f: B \to W$$
$$v_i \to e_i$$

and let  $F_B$  be the unique linear extension of f to V. We see that f defines a bijection from  $B \to E$ . Let  $\bar{F}_B$  be the unique linear extension of  $f^{-1}: E \to B$ . Then  $\bar{F}_B \cdot F_B$  is the composition of two linear maps, hence it's linear, moreover it is  $\mathrm{id}_B$ . But also  $\mathrm{id}_V$  is also a linear extension of  $\mathrm{id}_B$ , by the proposition, they are the same map so  $\bar{F}_B \cdot F_B = F_B \cdot \bar{F}_B = \mathrm{id}_B$ . Hence  $F_B$  is bijective, so it is an isomorphism.

Corollary. If V, W are finite dimensional  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector spaces. Then

$$V \cong W \iff \dim V = \dim W$$

*Proof.* Trivial from the corollary using the transitivity of the isomorphism relation.  $\Box$ 

**Definition.** (Coordinate vector)  $F_B(v) = [v]_B$  is the *coordinate vector* of v with respect to the basis B

For an example if  $V \cong \mathbb{F}^n$  and  $U \leq V$  with  $U \cong \mathbb{F}^m$  then  $\dim(V/U) = n - m$ , so  $\frac{V}{U} \cong \mathbb{F}^{n-m}$ .

#### 1.4 Direct sums

**Definition.** (External direct sum) For  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector spaces, V and W, we dnote the *external direct sum* of V and W as  $V \oplus W$  with underlying set  $V \times W$  with addition and scalar multiplication given in the obvious sense.

We can similarly define

$$V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_i.$$

**Lemma.** For V, W finite dimensional vector spaces,

$$\dim(V \oplus W) = \dim V + \dim W$$

Proof.

(First Proof) Let B, C be basis for V, W respectively. Set

$$D = (B \times \{\mathbf{0}_W\}) \cup (\{\mathbf{0}_V\} \times C)$$

it is straightfoward to check that D is basis of  $V \oplus W$  of the size  $\dim V + \dim W$ .

(Second Proof) Suppose  $V \cong \mathbb{F}^n$  and  $W \cong \mathbb{F}^m$  construct an isomorphism  $V \oplus W \cong \mathbb{F}^{n+m}$ .  $\square$ 

**Proposition.** Let V be a vector space with  $U, W \leq V$ . There is a surjective linear map

$$\varphi: U \oplus W \to U + W$$
$$(u, w) \to u + w$$

with  $\ker \varphi \cong U \cap W$ .

*Proof.* Surjectively and linearity are clear. Note for  $(u,w) \in U \oplus W$  then  $(u,w) \in \ker \varphi$  if and only if w = -u. Hence

$$\ker \varphi = \{(x, -x) : x \in U \cap W\}$$

the map  $\psi: U \cap W \to \ker \varphi$  sending  $x \to (x, -x)$  is an isomorphism.

Corollary. (Sum-Intersection Formula) If V is finite dimensional and  $U, W \leq V$  then

$$\dim(U+W) = \dim U + \dim V - \dim(U \cup V)$$

Applying the rank-nullity theorem to the linear map  $\varphi$  in the proposition we get that

$$\dim U + \dim W = \dim(U \oplus V)$$

$$= \dim(\ker \varphi) + \dim(\operatorname{im} \varphi)$$

$$= \dim(U + W) + \dim(U \cap W) \quad \Box$$

We can also give an explicit basis. Given a basis B for  $U \cap W$ , extend B to a basis  $B_U$  for U, and a basis  $B_W$  for W. Then  $B_U \cap B_W$  spans U + W and

$$|B_U \cup B_W| \le |B_U| + |B_W| - |B| = \dim(U + V)$$

hence  $B_U \cup B_W$  is linearly independent so it's a basis for U + W.

Remark. We could also check directly that  $B_U \cup B_W$  is linearly independent of the size  $\dim(U+V)$  without assuming the sum-intersection formula, so this also servers as an alternative proof of the sum-intersection formula.

**Definition.** (Internal direct sum) Suppose  $U, W \leq V$  satisfy

- (i) U + W = V,
- (ii)  $U \cap W = \{ \mathbf{0}_V \}.$

Then

$$\varphi:U\oplus W\to V$$

is an isomorphism, and we say that V is the *internal direct sum* of U and W, and we write that  $V = U \oplus W$ .

Alternatively, every element  $v \in V$  can be written uniquely as v = u + w for  $u \in U, w \in W$ .

**Definition.** (Direct complement) For  $U \leq V$  a direct complement to U in V is a subspace  $W \leq V$  satisfying  $V = U \oplus W$ .

**Proposition.** If V is finite dimensional then every subspace has a direct complement.

*Proof.* Let  $U \leq V$  and let  $B_U$  be a basis for U. Extend to a basis  $B_V$  for V. Set  $W =_V \backslash B_U \rangle$ . Then

$$V = \langle B_V \rangle = \langle B_U \cup (B_V \setminus B_U) \rangle$$
$$= \langle B_U \rangle + \langle B_V \setminus B_U \rangle$$
$$= U + W.$$

Moreover using the sum-intersection formula

$$\dim(U \cap W) = |B_V| + |B_U| - |B_V \setminus B_U| = 0.$$

Hence  $U \oplus W = V$ .

More generally for  $U_1, \ldots, U_n \leq V$  we say that V is the direct sum of the  $U_i$  and write that

$$V = U_1 \oplus + \dots + \oplus V_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_i$$

if the map

$$\varphi: U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_n \to V$$
  
 $(u_1, \dots, u_n) \to u_1, \dots, u_n$ 

is an isomorphism. Equivalently every  $v \in V$  can be uniquely written as  $v = u_1 + \cdots + u_n$  for  $u_i \in U_i$ .

## 2 Matrices and Linear Maps

#### 2.1 Vector spaces of linear maps

**Definition.** For V, W  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector spaces we define

$$\mathcal{L}(V, W) = \{\alpha : V \to W : \alpha \text{ is linear}\}\$$

which forms a F-vector space under pointwise addition and obvious scalar multiplication.

Recall that  $M_{m \times n}$  is the space of matrices over  $\mathbb{F}$  with m rows and n columns. For  $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$  we write  $A = (a_{ij})$  where  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}$  is the entry in the ith row and the jth column.

Let  $B = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}, C = \{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$  are ordered basis for V, W.

Let  $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ . We can write

$$\alpha(v_1) = a_{11}w_1 + a_{21}w_2 + \dots + a_{m1}w_m$$

$$\alpha(v_2) = a_{12}w_1 + a_{22}w_2 + \dots + a_{m1}w_m$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\alpha(v_n) = a_{1n}w_1 + a_{2n}w_2 + \dots + a_{mn}w_m$$

**Definition.** (Matrix) The matrix of  $\alpha$  with respect to the ordered basis B, C is

$$[\alpha]_C^B = (a_{ij}) \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$$

Recall we have a linear isomorphism

$$\varepsilon_B: V \to \mathbb{F}^n$$

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i \to (\lambda_i)_i = [v]_B$$

where  $[v]_B$  is the coordinate vector of v with respect to B.

*Proof.* Let  $v \in V$  write  $v = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j v_j$ . Then

$$\alpha(v) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j \alpha(v_j)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{ij} w_i$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j a_{ij} \right) w_i.$$

So

$$[\alpha(v)]_C = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}\lambda_j\right)_i$$
$$= (a_{ij}) \cdot (\lambda_j)$$
$$= [\alpha]_C^B[v]_B.$$

Hence (i) is proved. For (ii), take  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , so  $[v_j]_B = e_j$ . Hence for  $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ ,  $A[v_j]_B$  is the jth column of A. But if  $A[v_j]_B = [\alpha(v_j)]_C = [\alpha]_C^B[v_j]_B = [\alpha]_C^Be_j$ , then  $A[v_j]_B$  is also the jth column of  $[\alpha]_C^B$ . Since this holds for all j in our range, they are the same matrix.

Now for part (iii), let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ . Then

$$[\alpha + \lambda \beta]_C^B[v]_B = [(\alpha + \lambda \beta)(v)]_C$$

$$= [\alpha(v) + \lambda \beta(v)]_C$$

$$= [\alpha(v)]_C + \lambda [\beta(v)]_C$$

$$= ([\alpha]_C^B + \lambda [\beta]_C^B)[v]_B$$

for all  $v \in V$ . Hence by (ii) we get that  $[\alpha + \lambda \beta]_c^b = [\alpha]_C^B + \lambda [\beta]_C^B$  so the map is linear. Let  $\alpha \in \ker(\varepsilon_C^B)$  so that  $[\alpha]_C^B = 0 \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ . Then by (i) we have that  $[\alpha(v)]_C = 0$  for all  $v \in V$ . But  $\varepsilon : w \to [w]_C$  is an isomorphism so  $\alpha(v) = 0$  for all  $v \in V$  hence  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\alpha$  is injective. For surjectivity let  $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$  and define  $f : B \to W$  by  $f(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} w_I$  and extend f to a linear map  $F : V \to W$ . Then  $[F]_C^B = A$ . So  $\varepsilon_C^B$  is an isomorphism.

**Proposition.** Let V, W, X be finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector spaces with basis B, C, D and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  and  $\beta \in \mathcal{L}(W, X)$ . Then

$$[\beta \circ \alpha]_D^B = [\beta]_D^C [\alpha]_C^B.$$

*Proof.* By the theorem  $[\beta \circ \alpha]_D^B$  is the unique matrix A satisfying

$$A[v]_B = [\beta(\alpha(v))]_D, \quad \forall v \in V.$$

But  $[\beta]_D^C[\alpha]_C^B[v]_B = [\beta]_D^C[\alpha(v)]_C = [\beta(\alpha(v))]_D$ . So by (ii) of theorem they are equal. 

Remark. For any basis B of V,

$$[\mathrm{id}_V]_B^B = I_{\dim V}.$$

**Definition.** (Change of basis matrix) Let B, B' be basis for V and  $\dim V = n$ . The change of basis matrix from B to B' is given by

$$P = [\mathrm{id}_V]_{B'}^B \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$$

Equivalently letting  $B = \{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$  and  $B' = \{v_i'\}_{i=1}^n$ , then

$$P = (p_{ij})$$
 where  $v_j = \sum_{i=1}^n p_{ij} v_i'$ 

so the jth column of P is  $[v_j]_{B'}$ .

**Proposition.** For V, W finite-dimensional vector spaces,

- (i)  $[\mathrm{id}_V]_{B'}^B \in GL_n(\mathbb{F})$  with inverse  $[\mathrm{id}_V]_B^{B'}$ . (ii) If  $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(V,W)$  and B,B' basis for V and C,C' basis for W, then

$$[\alpha]_{C'}^{B'} = [\mathrm{id}_W]_{C'}^C [\alpha]_C^B [\mathrm{id}_V]_B^{B'}.$$

*Proof.* By the remark,

$$I_n = [\mathrm{id}_V]_B^B = [\mathrm{id}_V]_B^{B'} [\mathrm{id}_V]_{B'}^B$$

and symmetrically swapping B and B'. For the second part the result is immediate from the proposition.