

Statistics

Notes by Finley Cooper

29th January 2026

Contents

1	Parametric Estimation	3
1.1	Review of IA Probability	3
1.1.1	Starting axioms	3
1.1.2	Joint random variables	4
1.1.3	Limit theorems	5
1.2	Estimators	5
1.2.1	Bias-variance decomposition	6
1.3	Sufficient statistics	7
1.4	Minimal sufficiency	8

1 Parametric Estimation

1.1 Review of IA Probability

1.1.1 Starting axioms

We observe some data X_1, \dots, X_n iid random variables taking values in a sample space \mathcal{X} . Let $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$. We assume that X_1 belongs to a *statistical model* $\{p(x; \theta) : \theta \in \Theta\}$ with θ unknown. For example $p(x; \theta)$ could be a pdf.

Let's see some examples

- (i) Suppose that $X_1 \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ where $\theta = \lambda \in \Theta = (0, \infty)$.
- (ii) Suppose that $X_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$, where $\theta = (\mu, \sigma^2) \in \Theta = \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)$.

We have some common questions about these statistical models.

- (i) We want to give an estimate $\hat{\theta} : \mathcal{X}^n \rightarrow \Theta$ of the true value of θ .
- (ii) We also want to give an interval estimator $(\hat{\theta}_1(X), \hat{\theta}_2(X))$ of θ .
- (iii) Further we want to test of hypothesis about θ . For example we might make the hypothesis that $H_0 : \theta = 0$.

Let's do a quick review of IA Probability. Let $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a random variable defined on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. So Ω is the sample space, \mathcal{F} is the set of events, and $\mathbb{P} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is the probability measure.

The cumulative distribution function (cdf) of X is $F_X(s) = \mathbb{P}(X \leq x)$. A discrete random variable takes values in a countable set \mathcal{X} and has probability mass function (pmf) given by $p_X(x) = \mathbb{P}(X = x)$. A continuous random variable has probability density function (pdf) f_X satisfying $P(X \in A) = \int_A f_X(x) dx$ (for measurable sets A). We say that X_1, \dots, X_n are independent if $\mathbb{P}(X_1 \leq x_1, \dots, X_n \leq x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(X_i \leq x_i)$ for all choices x_1, \dots, x_n . If X_1, \dots, X_n have pdfs (or pmfs) f_{X_1}, \dots, f_{X_n} , then this is equivalent to $f_X(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i}(x_i)$ for all x_i . The expectation of X is,

$$\mathbb{E}(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} x p_X(x) & \text{if } X \text{ is discrete} \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_X(x) & \text{if } X \text{ is continuous} \end{cases}.$$

The variance of X is $\text{Var}(X) = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}(X))^2]$. The moment generating function of X is $M(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}]$ and can be used to generate the momentum of a random variable by taking derivatives. If two random variables have the same moment generating functions, then they have the same distribution.

The expectation operator is linear and

$$\text{Var}(a_1 X_1 + \dots + a_n X_n) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_i a_j \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j),$$

where $\text{Cov}(X_i, X_j) = \mathbb{E}[(X_i - \mathbb{E}(X_i))(X_j - \mathbb{E}(X_j))]$. In vector notation writing X as the column vector of X_i and a as the column vector for a_i we get that

$$\mathbb{E}[a^T X] = a^T \mathbb{E}[X].$$

Similar for the variance we get that

$$\text{Var}(a^T X) = a^T \text{Var}(X) a$$

where $\text{Var}(X)$ is the covariance matrix for X with entries $\text{Cov}(X_i, X_j)$.

1.1.2 Joint random variables

If X is a discrete random variable with pmf $P_{X,Y}(x, y) = \mathbb{P}(X = x, Y = y)$ and marginal pmf $P_Y(y) = \sum_{x \in X} P_{X,Y}(x, y)$, then the conditional pmf is

$$P_{X|Y}(x | y) = \mathbb{P}(X = x | Y = y) = \frac{P_{X,Y}(x, y)}{P_Y(y)}.$$

If X, Y are continuous then the join pdf $f_{X,Y}$ satisfies

$$\mathbb{P}(X = x, Y = y) = \int_{-\infty}^x \int_{-\infty}^y f_{X,Y} dx dy$$

and the marginal pdf of Y is

$$f_Y(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x, y) dx.$$

The *conditional pdf* of X given Y is $f_{X|Y}(x | y) = \frac{f_{X,Y}(x, y)}{f_Y(y)}$.

The conditional expectation of X given Y is

$$E(X | Y) = \begin{cases} \sum_{x \in X} x \mathbb{P}_{X|Y}(x | Y) & \text{if } X \text{ is discrete} \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_{X|Y}(x | Y) dy & \text{if } Y \text{ is continuous} \end{cases}.$$

Remark. $\mathbb{E}(X | Y)$ is a function of Y so $\mathbb{E}(X | Y)$ is a random variable.

We also have the law of total expectation,

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X | Y]].$$

This is a consequence of the law of total probability which is

$$p_X(x) = \sum_y p_{X|Y}(x | y) p_Y(y).$$

Now we have a new (but less useful) theorem similar to the tower property of expectation.

Theorem. (Law of total variance)

$$\text{Var}(X) = \mathbb{E}[\text{Var}(X | Y)] + \text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[X | Y]).$$

Proof. Write $\text{Var}(X) = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - (\mathbb{E}[X])^2$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(X) &= \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(X^2 | Y) - (\mathbb{E}(X | Y))^2) \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}(X^2 | Y) - (\mathbb{E}(X | Y))^2] + \mathbb{E}((\mathbb{E}(X | Y))^2) - (\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(X | Y)))^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\text{Var}(X | Y)] + \text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[X | Y]). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

We also have the change of variables formula. If we have a mapping $(x, y) \rightarrow (u, v)$, a bijection from $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, then

$$f_{U,V}(u, v) = f_{X,Y}(x(u, v), y(u, v)) |\det J|,$$

where J is the Jacobian matrix.

1.1.3 Limit theorems

Suppose X_1, \dots, X_n are iid random variables with mean μ and variance σ^2 . Define the sum $S = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ and the sample mean $\bar{X}_n = \frac{S_n}{n}$. We have the following theorems.

Theorem. (Weak Law of Large Numbers)

$$\bar{X}_n \rightarrow \mu$$

where \rightarrow means that $\mathbb{P}(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| > \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

Theorem. (Strong Law of Large Numbers)

$$\bar{X}_n \rightarrow \mu$$

almost surely. So $\mathbb{P}(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{X}_n = \mu) = 1$.

Theorem. (Central Limit Theorem) The random variables

$$Z_n = \frac{S_n - n\mu}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}$$

is approximately $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ for large n . Or we can write this as

$$S_n \approx \mathcal{N}(n\mu, n\sigma^2).$$

Formally this means that $\mathbb{P}(Z_n \leq z) \rightarrow \Phi(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{R}$ where $\Phi(z)$ is the cdf of $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.

1.2 Estimators

Suppose that X_1, \dots, X_n are iid with pdf $f_X(x | \theta)$ and parameter θ unknown.

Definition. (Estimator) A function of the data $T(X) \rightarrow \hat{\theta}$ which is used to approximate the true parameter θ is called an *estimator* (or sometimes a *statistic*). The distribution of $T(X)$ is the *sampling distribution*.

For an example suppose that $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, 1)$ and let $\hat{\mu} = T(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. The sampling distribution of $\hat{\mu}$ is $T(X) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \frac{1}{n})$.

Definition. (Bias) The *bias* of a random variable $\hat{\theta} = T(X)$ is

$$\text{bias}(\hat{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_\theta(\hat{\theta}) - \theta,$$

where the expectation is taken over the model $X_1 \sim f_X(\cdot | \theta)$.

Remark. In general the bias might be a function of θ which is not explicit in the notation.

Definition. (Unbiased estimator) We say that an estimator is *unbiased* if $\text{bias}(\hat{\theta}) = 0$ for all $\theta \in \Theta$.

So for our estimator from before, $\hat{\mu}$, is unbiased since

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu}(\hat{\mu}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(X_i) = \mu.$$

1.2.1 Bias-variance decomposition

Definition. (Mean squared error) The *mean squared error* of an estimator $\hat{\theta}$ is

$$\text{mse}(\hat{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2].$$

Remark. Note that the MSE is generally a function of θ like the bias. Again this is not clear from the notation.

Proposition. (Bias-variance decomposition) For an estimator $\hat{\theta}$ of a parameter θ , we have that

$$\text{mse}(\hat{\theta}) = \left(\text{bias}(\hat{\theta})\right)^2 + \text{Var}_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mse}(\hat{\theta}) &= \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[\left(\hat{\theta} - \mathbb{E}_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}) + \mathbb{E}_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}) - \theta \right)^2 \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[(\hat{\theta} - \mathbb{E}_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}))^2] + (\mathbb{E}_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}) - \theta)^2 + 2(\mathbb{E}_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}) - \theta) \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[\hat{\theta} - \mathbb{E}_{\theta}(\hat{\theta})] \\ &= \left(\text{bias}(\hat{\theta})\right)^2 + \text{Var}_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Let's see an example. Suppose that $X \sim \text{Binomial}(n, \theta)$ where n is known and we want to estimate $\theta \in [0, 1]$. Let $T_u = \frac{X}{n}$ be an estimator, so $\mathbb{E}_{\theta}(T_u) = \frac{\mathbb{E}(X)}{n} = \frac{n\theta}{n} = \theta$, hence this estimator is unbiased. And $\text{mse}(T_u) = \text{Var}(T_u) + \text{bias}(T_u) = \frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{n}$.

Instead if we used the estimator $T_b = \frac{X+1}{n+2} = \omega \frac{X}{n} + (1-\omega) \frac{1}{2}$ where $\omega = \frac{n}{n+2}$. We get that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{bias}(T_b) &= (1-\omega)\left(\frac{1}{2} - \theta\right) \\ \text{Var}(T_b) &= \omega^2 \frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Giving that

$$\text{mse}(T_b) = \omega^2 \theta(1-\theta)n + (1-\omega)^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} - \theta\right)^2$$

1.3 Sufficient statistics

Suppose X_1, \dots, X_n are iid random variables taking values in \mathcal{X} with pdf $f_{X_1}(\cdot | \theta)$. Consider θ as fixed. Denote $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$.

Definition. (Sufficient statistics) A statistics T is *sufficient* for θ if the conditional distribution of X given $T(X)$ does not depend on θ .

Remark. The parameter θ may be a vector, and $T(X)$ may be a vector.

Suppose $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Binomial}(1, \theta)$ iid for some $\theta \in [0, 1]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_X(x | \theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \theta^{x_i} (1 - \theta)^{1-x_i} \\ &= \theta^{\sum x_i} (1 - \theta)^{n - \sum x_i} \end{aligned}$$

Define $T(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} f_{X|T=t}(x | T(x) = t) &= \frac{\mathbb{P}_\theta(X = x, T(X) = t)}{\mathbb{P}_\theta(T(X) = t)} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{P}_\theta(X = x)}{\mathbb{P}_\theta(T(X) = t)} = \frac{\theta^{\sum x_i} (1 - \theta)^{n - \sum x_i}}{\binom{n}{t} \theta^t (1 - \theta)^{n-t}} = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{t}}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem. (Factorisation criterion) The statistics T is sufficient for θ if and only if $f_X(x | \theta) = g(T(x), \theta)h(x)$ for some suitable g and h .

Proof. Suppose that $f_X(x | \theta) = g(T(x), \theta)h(x)$. We can compute

$$\begin{aligned} f_{X|T=t}(x | T = t) &= \frac{\mathbb{P}_\theta(X = x, T(x) = t)}{\mathbb{P}_\theta(T(x) = t)} \\ &= \frac{g(T(x), \theta)h(x)}{\sum_{x': T(x')=t} g(t, \theta)h(x')} \\ &= \frac{h(x)}{\sum_{x': T(x')=t} h(x')} \end{aligned}$$

which doesn't depend on θ , so $T(X)$ is sufficient.

Conversely, suppose $T(X)$ is sufficient. We can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_\theta(X = x) &= \mathbb{P}_\theta(X = x, T(X) = T(x)) \\ &= \mathbb{P}_\theta(X = x | T(X) = T(x)) \mathbb{P}_\theta(T(X) = T(x)) \\ &= h(x)g(T(X), \theta). \end{aligned}$$

So we're done. □

Remark. For our example before we can define $T(x) = \sum x_i$ and $g(t, \theta) = \theta^t (1 - \theta)^{n-t}$ and $h(x) = 1$.

Let's see another example. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid uniform on $[0, \theta]$ for some $\theta \in (0, \infty)$. So

$$\begin{aligned} f_X(x = \theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\theta} \mathbf{1}\{x_i \in [0, \infty]\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta^n} \mathbf{1}\{\max x_i \leq \theta\} \mathbf{1}\{\min x_i \geq 0\} \\ &= g(T(x), \theta) h(x). \end{aligned}$$

1.4 Minimal sufficiency

Definition. (Minimal sufficient) A sufficient statistics $T(X)$ is *minimal sufficient* if it is a function of every other sufficient statistic. So if $T'(X)$ is also sufficient, then $T'(x) = T'(y) \implies T(x) = T(y)$ for all $x, y \in \chi$.

Remark. Minimal sufficient statistics are unique up to bijection.

Theorem. Suppose $T(X)$ is a statistics such that $\frac{f_X(x|\theta)}{f_X(y|\theta)}$ is constant a function of θ if and only if $T(x) = T(y)$. Then T is minimal statistics.

Let's see an example before we prove this. Suppose that $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f_X(x | \mu, \sigma^2)}{f_X(y | \mu, \sigma^2)} &= \frac{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum (x_i - \mu)^2\right)}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum (y_i - \mu)^2\right)} \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left(\sum_i x_i^2 - \sum_i y_i^2\right) + \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2} \left(\sum_i x_i - \sum_i y_i\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

This is constant in (μ, σ^2) if and only if $\sum_i x_i = \sum_i y_i$ and $\sum_i x_i^2 = \sum_i y_i^2$ therefore $T(X) = (\sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2)$ is minimal sufficient. *Proof.* Need to show that such a statistics is sufficient and minimal. First we'll show sufficiency. For each t pick a x_t such that $T(x_t) = t$. Now let $x \in \chi_N$ and let $T(x) = t$. So $T(x) = T(x_t)$, so by the hypothesis $\frac{f_X(x, \theta)}{f_X(x_t, \theta)}$ does not depend on θ . Let this be $h(x)$ and let $g(t, \theta) = f_X(x_t, \theta)$ then we have that $f_X(x, \theta) = g(t, \theta)h(x)$ so sufficient.