

# Markov Chains

Notes made by Finley Cooper

19th October 2025

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Markov Chains</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	The Markov property . . . . .	3
<b>2</b>	<b>Powers of the transition matrix</b>	<b>5</b>

# 1 Markov Chains

## 1.1 The Markov property

Throughout all our random variables and random processes will be assumed to be defined on an appropriate underlying probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ .

**Definition.** (Markov chain) A discrete-time Markov chain is a sequence  $\overline{X} = (X_n)_{n \geq 0}$  of random variables taking values in the same discrete countable state space  $I$ , such that:

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{n+1} = x_{n+1} | X_0 = x_0, \dots, X_n = x_n) = \mathbb{P}(X_{n+1} = x_{n+1} | X_n = x_n) \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

If  $\mathbb{P}(X_{n+1} = y | X_n = x)$  is independent of  $n$  for all  $x, y$  then we call  $\overline{X}$  a time-homogeneous Markov chain. For this course all Markov chains are time-homogeneous with a countable state space.

**Definition.** (Transition matrix) We define the transition matrix  $P$  as the matrix

$$P(x, y) = P_{xy} = \mathbb{P}(X_{n+1} = y | X_n = x).$$

Note that  $P$  is a stochastic matrix i.e.  $P_{xy} \geq 0$  for all  $x, y$  and the sum of each row is 1. For example take the simple Markov chain with  $I = \{0, 1\}$  moving from 0 to 1 w.p.  $\alpha$  and moving from 1 to 0 w.p.  $\beta$ , so

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \alpha & \alpha \\ \beta & 1 - \beta \end{pmatrix}$$

We say that  $\overline{X} = (X_n)$  is a Markov chain with transition matrix  $P$  with initial distribution  $\lambda$  if  $\lambda = (\lambda_x)$  is a distribution and  $I$  is such that  $\mathbb{P}(X_0 = x) = \lambda_x$ , for all  $x \in I$ ,  $P$  is the transition matrix of  $\overline{X}$  i.e.

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{n+1} = y | X_n = x, X_{n-1} = i_{n-1}, \dots, X_0 = i_0) = P_{xy}$$

for all  $i_0, \dots, i_{n-1} \in I$ . Then  $\overline{X} \sim \text{Markov}(\lambda, P)$

**Theorem.**  $\overline{X} = (X_n)$  is  $\text{Markov}(\lambda, P)$  on  $I$  if and only if

$$\mathbb{P}(X_0 = x_0, X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n) = \lambda_{x_0} p_{x_0 x_1} \dots p_{x_{n-1} x_n}$$

for all  $n \geq 0$  and all  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \in I$ .

*Proof.* First let's prove the forward direction. Suppose that  $\overline{X}$  is Markov. Then

$$\mathbb{P}(X_0 = x_0, X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n) = \mathbb{P}(X_0 = x_0, \dots, X_{n-1} = x_{n-1}) \mathbb{P}(X_n = x_n | X_{n-1} = x_{n-1}, \dots, X_0 = x_0)$$

which iterating over  $n$  gives that

$$= \mathbb{P}(X_0 = x_0) P_{x_0 x_1} \dots P_{x_{n-1} x_n}$$

proving the forward direction. For the converse

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(X_n = x_n | X_{n-1} = x_{n-1}, \dots, X_0 = x_0) \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{P}(X_0 = x_0, \dots, X_n = x_n)}{\mathbb{P}(X_0 = x_0, \dots, X_{n-1} = x_{n-1})} = \frac{\lambda_{x_0} P_{x_0 x_1} \dots}{\lambda_{x_0} P_{x_0 x_1} \dots} = P_{x_{n-1} x_n} \end{aligned}$$

and with  $n = 0$  we get our  $\mathbb{P}(X_0 = x_0) = \lambda_{x_0}$

**Definition.** For  $i \in I$  the  $\delta_i$ -mass at  $i$  denotes the probability mass function at  $i$

$$\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & j = i \\ 0 & j \neq i \end{cases}$$

Recall that form a finite collection of random variables  $(X_0, \dots, X_n)$  are indepedent if and only if

$$\mathbb{P}(X_0 = x_0, \dots, X_n = x_n) = \prod_{i=0}^n \mathbb{P}(X_i = x_i)$$

for all  $x_0, \dots, x_n \in I$ .

A process  $(X_n)$  consistant of indepedent RVS ifand only if for any collection of indices  $\{t_1, \dots, t_k\}$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  we have that

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{t_1} = x_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_k} = x_{t_k}) = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{P}(X_{t_i} = x_{t_i})$$

The process  $(X_i)$  is indepedent from the process  $(Y_i)$  iff for any  $\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k\}$  and  $\{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$  for any  $k, m \geq \mathbb{N}$  we have that

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{t_1} = x_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_k} = x_{t_k}, Y_{s_1} = y_{s_1}, \dots, Y_{s_m} = y_{s_m}) = \mathbb{P}(X_{t_1} = x_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_k} = x_{t_k}) \mathbb{P}(Y_{s_1} = y_{s_1}, \dots, Y_{s_m} = y_{s_m})$$

Note that for a Markov chain  $\overline{X}$  it is always the case that  $X_{n+1}$  is conditional independent of  $X_{n-1}$  given  $X_n$ . But typically  $X_{n+1}$  is not indepedent of  $X_{n-1}$ . Let's see an example of this.

If  $(X_n)$  are IID then  $\overline{X} = (X_n)$  is a Markov chain. What is  $\lambda$  and  $P$ .

**Theorem.** (Markov property) If  $\overline{X} \sim \text{Markov}(\lambda, P)$ . Then for any  $m \geq 1$  and  $i \in I$  conditional on  $X_m = i$  the process  $(X_{m+n})$  is Markov( $\delta_i, P$ ) and it is indepedent of  $X_0, \dots, X_m$ .

*Proof.* Clearly,  $\mathbb{P}(X_m = j | X_m = i) = \delta_{ij}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(X_{m+n} = x_{m+n} | X_m = x_m, \dots, X_{m+n-1} = x_{m+n-1}) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(X_{m+n} = x_{m+n} | X_{m+n-1} = x_{m+n-1}) = P_{x_{m+n-1} x_{m+n}} \end{aligned}$$

so we have that  $(X_{m+n})$  is Markov( $\delta_i, P$ ).

Now to show independence, is just an application of the law of total probability and is a lot and lot of indices.  $\square$

## 2 Powers of the transition matrix

Suppose that  $\overline{X} \sim \text{Markov}(\lambda, P)$ . Where is  $\mathbb{P}(X_n = x_n)$  for large  $n$ ?

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(X_n = x) &= \sum_{x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}} \mathbb{P}(X_0 = x_0, \dots, X_n = x_n) \\ &= \sum_{x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}} \lambda_{x_0} P_{x_0 x_1} \dots P_{x_{n-1} x_n} \\ &= (\lambda P^n)_{x_n}\end{aligned}$$

So to understand the long time distribution of  $\overline{X}$  it suffices understand the behaviour of  $P^n$  for stochastic matrices. Recall that  $P$  is stochastic if  $P_{xy} \geq 0$  and each row is a PMF.

**Theorem.** Suppose that  $\overline{X} \sim \text{Markov}(\lambda, P)$ . Then

- (i)  $\mathbb{P}(X_n = x) = (\lambda P^n)_x$  for all  $x \in I, n \geq 1$ .
- (ii)  $\mathbb{P}(X_{n+m} = y | X_m = x) = (\delta_x P^n)_y = (P^n)_{xy}$ .

*Proof.* We've proved the first part, let's prove the second statement. Let  $(X_{n+m})$  be Markov with initial distribution  $\delta_m$  conditional on  $X_m = x$ . So by the first statement

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{m+n} = y | X_n = x) = (\delta_x P^n)_y = (P^n)_{xy}$$

□

We will use the notation that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}_x(\dots) &= \mathbb{P}(\dots | X_0 = x) \\ \mathbb{E}_x[\dots] &= \mathbb{E}[\dots | X_0 = x]\end{aligned}$$

Let's look how to calculate  $P_{ij}(n)$ . Suppose that  $I$  is finite, say that  $I = \{1, \dots, k\}$ . How do we compute  $P_{11}(n)$ ? If the matrix  $P$  has  $k$  distinct real eigenvalues, then it is diagonalisable. So we can write

$$P = U \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) U^{-1}$$

using a change of basis matrix  $U$ . Then

$$P^n = U \text{diag}(\lambda_1^n, \dots, \lambda_k^n) U^{-1}$$

. So  $P_{11}(x) = (P^n)_{11} = a_1 \lambda_1^n + \dots + a_k \lambda_k^n$ . Then we can find  $P_{11}(n)$  for small values of  $n$ , substitute them to find  $a_1, \dots, a_k$ .

If  $P$  has some complex eigenvalues, since  $P$  is a real-valued matrix, they necessarily come in complex conjugate pairs. So if  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k-2}$  are real and distinct, then  $\lambda_{k-1} = re^{i\theta}$  and  $\lambda_k = re^{-i\theta}$ . In this case since all  $P_{ij}(n)$  are real

$$P_{11}(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} a_i \lambda_i^n + a_{k-1} r^n \cos(n\theta) + a_k r^n \sin(n\theta).$$

If there are repeated eigenvalues, e.g. if  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k-2}$  are distinct and  $\lambda_{k-1} = \lambda_k$  then we can use the Jordan normal form of  $P$  to get that the same expansion holds for  $P_{11}(n)$  except that we need to include a term of the form  $(a + bn)\lambda_{k-1}^n$ .

**Definition.** We say that state  $i$  *leads to*  $j$ , denoted as  $i \rightarrow j$  if

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n = j \text{ for some } n \geq 0 \mid X_0 = i)$$

and we say that  $i$  and  $j$  *communicate* if  $i \rightarrow j$  and  $j \rightarrow i$  we denote this as  $i \longleftrightarrow j$ .

**Theorem.** The following statements are equivalent.

- (i)  $i \rightarrow j$ .
- (ii) There is a path  $x_0 = i, x_1, \dots, x_n = j$  such that  $p_{x_0 x_1}, \dots, p_{x_{n-1} x_n}$  are all positive.
- (iii)  $P_{ij}(n) > 0$  for some  $n$ .

*Proof.* We have equality in the events

$$\{x_0 = j \text{ for some } n \geq 0\} = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \{X_n = j\}$$

hence (i)  $\iff$  (iii)

Also

$$\begin{aligned} P_{ij}(n) &= \mathbb{P}_i(X_n = j) \\ &= \sum_{\text{all } x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}} P_{ix_1} P_{x_1 x_2} \cdots P_{x_{n-1} j} \end{aligned}$$

hence we have that (ii)  $\iff$  (iii). □

**Corollary.** Communication defines an equivalence relation on the state space.

*Proof.* By definition  $x \longleftrightarrow x$  and  $x \longleftrightarrow y \iff y \longleftrightarrow x$  are obvious. Suppose that  $x \longleftrightarrow y$  and  $y \longleftrightarrow z$ . Then by (ii) in the theorem we have a path from  $x$  to  $y$  to  $z$  so  $x \longleftrightarrow z$ . □

**Definition.** (Communicating class) The induced equivalence classes are called *communicating classes*. A communicating class  $C \subseteq I$  is *closed* if  $x \rightarrow y$  for some  $x \in C$  and  $y \in I$  then we have that  $y \in C$ .

**Definition.** (Absorbing) A state  $x$  is absorbing if  $\{x\}$  is closed.

This is equivalent to  $P_{xx} = 1$ .

**Definition.** (Irreducible) A transition matrix  $P$  is called *irreducible* if  $I$  is a communicating class. i.e.  $x \longleftrightarrow y$  for all  $x, y \in I$ .

**Definition.** (First hitting time) Let  $A \subseteq I$ . Then the *first hitting time*  $T_A$  for  $A$  is

$$T_A = \inf\{x \geq 0 : X_n \in A\}$$

which can be infinite if the set empty. The *hitting probability* of  $A$  is the function

$$h^A : I \rightarrow [0, 1]$$

defined by

$$h_i^A = \mathbb{P}_i(T_A \leq \infty)$$

and the mean hitting time is the function

$$k^A : I \rightarrow (0, \infty]$$

is

$$k_i^A = \mathbb{E}_i(T_A) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n \mathbb{P}_i(T_A = n) + \infty \cdot \mathbb{P}(T_A = \infty).$$