

Reduced MHD Notes

Finny Valorz

June 2024

1 Introduction

Intro test

1.1 MHD Equations of Motion

The magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) equations describe the time evolution of a charged fluid's velocity v , mass density ρ , and magnetic field B in some spatial domain $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. In addition to a solenoidal condition, $\nabla \cdot B = 0$, these consist of a continuity equation (1), momentum conservation (2), and Faraday's law (3):

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot (\rho v) \quad (1)$$

$$\rho \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \mu_0^{-1} (\nabla \times B) \times B - \nabla p - \rho v \cdot \nabla v \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (v \times B) \quad (3)$$

We assume that the pressure $p = p(\rho)$ is a function only of density. These equations can each be rewritten using the material derivative, $D/Dt = \partial_t + v \cdot \nabla$ as follows:

$$\frac{D\rho}{Dt} = -(\nabla \cdot v)\rho \quad (4)$$

$$\rho \frac{Dv}{Dt} = \mu_0^{-1} (\nabla \times B) \times B - \nabla p \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{DB}{Dt} = B \cdot \nabla v - (\nabla \cdot v)B \quad (6)$$

Standard boundary conditions for this system of equations are

$$B \cdot n = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad v \cdot n = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial Q,$$

where n denotes the outward pointing unit normal on the surface ∂Q . In this analysis, we fix $Q = D^2 \times S^1$, the solid 2-torus. We choose poloidal coordinates $x, y \in D^2$ on the cross-sectional discs, and toroidal coordinate $z \in S^1$. The poloidal diameter a and toroidal circumference L provide characteristic length scales for our system's dynamics.

Note: When Q is the 2-torus, we can use “diameter” and “outer circumference,” but when Q is only isomorphic in general, these are coordinate dependent. We can still choose length scales, but physically, they mean “max diameter” and “min circumference” or something to give us $\epsilon = \text{“max aspect ratio.”}$

2 Nondimensionalization

Plasma experiments on such domains usually involve strong, slowly varying toroidal magnetic fields, and weaker poloidal magnetic fields.

The goal of this section is to rewrite the MHD equations in terms of normalized dimensionless fields and coordinates, given by

$$\rho = \rho_0 r, \quad v = v_0 \nu, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 \beta_x \\ B_1 \beta_y \\ B_0 + \tilde{B}_0 \beta_z \end{pmatrix}, \quad p = P_0 P(r), \text{ and}$$

$$x = aX, \quad y = aY, \quad z = \frac{L}{2\pi} Z, \quad t = t_0 \tau.$$

Due to the asymmetry between (x, y) and z , I will often separate toroidal and poloidal quantities in calculations. To do this, I make use of the notations $B = B_\perp + B_z \hat{z}$, $\beta = \beta_\perp + \beta_z \hat{z}$, and $\nabla = \nabla_\perp + \hat{z} \partial_z$. I will also occasionally use the dimensionless gradient, $\tilde{\nabla} = (\partial_X, \partial_Y, \partial_Z) = a \nabla_\perp + \frac{L}{2\pi} \hat{z} \partial_z = \tilde{\nabla}_\perp + \hat{z} \partial_Z$.

These coordinates are normalized in the sense that, for the small quantity $\epsilon = a/L$, each X_i is zeroth order in ϵ , $O(1)$. Similarly (this part is important), we can choose the dimensional constants in front of τ , r , ν , and β_i so that they are all $O(1)$. physical variables usually have certain sizes in experiments. We force nd vars to be $O(1)$ by CHOOSING what order ratios of coeffs appear at. This convention allows us to assign an order to any dimensionless collection of constants. In particular, we assume that the magnetic fields obey

$$\frac{B_1}{B_0} = \frac{\tilde{B}_0}{B_1} = O(\epsilon).$$

This is just a more explicit, but less clear way of saying that we CHOSE coeffs for B. Instead, just start with:

$$B = B_0 \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon \beta_x \\ \epsilon \beta_y \\ 1 + \epsilon^2 \beta_z \end{pmatrix}$$

This formalizes our physical notion that the toroidal fields are strong relative to the poloidal fields, and that variations in the field are small relative to the field strength. Other dimensionless parameters will appear in the MHD equations involving the remaining scaling factors.

One such parameter is the so-called “plasma beta,” not to be confused with the dimensionless magnetic field. Beta is defined as the ratio of pressure and magnetic energy density, $\beta = 2\mu_0 p / |B|^2$, which varies throughout the plasma. The ordering of this parameter as either $O(\epsilon^2)$ or $O(\epsilon)$ characterizes the plasma as either “low- β ” or “high- β ” respectively.

The divergence-free magnetic field condition is a relatively simple example of these conventions.

$$\nabla \cdot B = 0 = \frac{B_1}{a} \tilde{\nabla}_\perp \cdot \beta_\perp + \frac{2\pi \tilde{B}_0}{L} \partial_Z \beta_z = \frac{B_1}{a} \left(\frac{\partial \beta_x}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial \beta_y}{\partial Y} \right) + \frac{2\pi \tilde{B}_0}{L} \left(\frac{\partial \beta_z}{\partial Z} \right).$$

Rearranging, we retrieve a single dimensionless constant, $2\pi \epsilon \frac{\tilde{B}_0}{B_1}$, which we identify as $O(\epsilon^2)$ in our ordering scheme. The quantities in parenthesis are all $O(1)$.

2.1 Continuity Equation

In dimensionless form, equation (1) yields

$$\frac{\partial r}{\partial \tau} = - \left(\frac{t_0 v_0}{a} \right) \tilde{\nabla}_\perp \cdot (r\nu) - \left(\frac{2\pi t_0 v_0}{L} \right) \partial_Z (r\nu) = - \left(\frac{t_0 v_0}{a} \right) \left(\frac{\partial(r\nu)}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial(r\nu)}{\partial Y} \right) - \left(\frac{2\pi t_0 v_0}{L} \right) \left(\frac{\partial(r\nu)}{\partial Z} \right) \quad (7)$$

We have uncovered a new relevant dimensionless constant, $t_0 v_0 / a$. The other constant that appears, $2\pi t_0 v_0 / L$, is related to the first by a factor of $2\pi \epsilon$.

2.2 Momentum Conservation

In equation (2), we have three terms to nondimensionalize on the right side. Each term yields a vector, whose toroidal component can be separated from its poloidal component.

$$\frac{\rho_0 v_0}{t_0} \left(r \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial \tau} \right) = \mu_0^{-1} (\nabla \times B) \times B - \nabla p - \rho v \cdot \nabla v$$

For the first term, we have the identity:

$$(\nabla \times B) \times B = B \cdot \nabla B - \nabla B \cdot B.$$

The components of this expression look like $B_i \partial_i B_j - B_j \partial_i B_j$ for $i, j \in \{x, y, z\}$. Considering the components separately, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} [(\nabla \times B) \times B]_z &= B \cdot \nabla B_z - (\partial_z B) \cdot B \\ &= (B_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp B_z + B_z \partial_z B_z) - (B_\perp \cdot \partial_z B_\perp + B_z \partial_z B_z) \\ &= B_\perp \cdot (\nabla_\perp B_z - \partial_z B_\perp) \\ &= \left(\frac{B_1 \tilde{B}_0}{a} \right) (\beta_\perp \cdot \tilde{\nabla}_\perp \beta_\perp) - \left(\frac{2\pi B_1^2}{L} \right) (\partial_z \beta_\perp) \text{ (wrong)}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [(\nabla \times B) \times B]_\perp &= B \cdot \nabla B_\perp - (\nabla_\perp B) \cdot B \\ &= (B_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp B_\perp + B_z \partial_z B_\perp) - ([\nabla_\perp B_\perp] \cdot B_\perp + B_z \nabla_\perp B_z) \\ &= (\nabla_\perp \times B_\perp) \times B_\perp + B_z (\partial_z B_\perp - \nabla_\perp B_z) \\ &= \left(\frac{B_1^2}{a} \right) (\tilde{\nabla}_\perp \times \beta_\perp) \times \beta_\perp + \left(\frac{2\pi (B_0 + \tilde{B}_0 \beta_z) B_1}{L} \right) (\partial_z \beta_\perp) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{(B_0 + \tilde{B}_0 \beta_z) \tilde{B}_0}{a} \right) (\tilde{\nabla}_\perp \beta_z). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

As we can see, the cross product creates several terms, wherein each component of ∇ is applied to each component of B . The resulting coefficients involve two field constants (from B_1, B_0 , and \tilde{B}_0) divided by either a or $L/2\pi$. Because we know the order of any ratio of field constants, and because $\epsilon = a/L$, we can find the order of any ratio of these coefficients.

The pressure term in (2) gives

$$\nabla p = \nabla_\perp p + \hat{z} \partial_z p = \left(\frac{P_0}{a} \right) (\tilde{\nabla}_\perp P(r)) + \hat{z} \left(\frac{2\pi P_0}{L} \right) (\partial_z P(r)).$$

Finally, the third term nondimensionalizes as

$$v \cdot \nabla v = v_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp v + v_z \partial_z v = \left(\frac{v_0^2}{a} \right) (\nu_\perp \cdot \tilde{\nabla}_\perp \nu_\perp) + \left(\frac{2\pi v_0^2}{L} \right) (\nu_z \partial_z \nu).$$

The ratios between the coefficients in (2) characterize work done (on/by?) the plasma in terms of the plasma's kinetic, magnetic, and internal energies. Specifically, if we multiply (2) by a , we see that $\rho_0 v_0 a / t_0$ is associated with the work required to move the fluid across the poloidal radius. **I'm a little confused by this statement. Why refer to work instead of just energy densities?** -JB This is smaller than the energy density gained from moving along the major axis by a factor of ϵ . $\mu_0^{-1} B_i B_j$ gives the energy density of different components of the magnetic field (as you move in different directions?). P_0 is the pressure associated with the internal energy of the fluid, or the random collisions of plasma particles. Okay why would this one be smaller in one direction than the other?? **Because the relevant force is the pressure gradient, and we've assumed gradients along z are smaller than gradients along x, y .** -JB $\rho_0 v_0^2$ is a characteristic kinetic energy of the fluid motion (which is smaller in the transverse direction than the toroidal direction by a factor of ϵ). **The kinetic energy density only involves components of velocity, which are all assumed to scale the same way. So there are not different parallel and perp kinetic energy densities.** -JB).

2.3 Faraday's Law

To nondimensionalize Faraday's law, we again separate the toroidal and poloidal components, and make use of the identity

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (v \times B) = (B \cdot \nabla) v + (\nabla \cdot B) v - (v \cdot \nabla) B - (\nabla \cdot v) B.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial B_z}{\partial t} &= \frac{\tilde{B}_0}{t_0} \frac{\partial \beta_z}{\partial \tau} = (B_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp + B_z \partial_z) v_z - [(v_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp + v_z \partial_z) + (\nabla_\perp \cdot v_\perp + \partial_z v_z)] B_z \\
&= B_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp v_z - v_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp B_z - v_z \partial_z B_z - \nabla_\perp \cdot v_\perp B_z \\
&= \left(\frac{v_0 B_1}{a} \right) (\beta_\perp \cdot \tilde{\nabla}_\perp \nu_z) - \left(\frac{v_0 \tilde{B}_0}{a} \right) (\nu_\perp \cdot \tilde{\nabla}_\perp \beta_z) \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{2\pi v_0 \tilde{B}_0}{L} \right) (\nu_z \partial_z \beta_z) - \left(\frac{v_0 (B_0 + \tilde{B}_0 \beta_z)}{a} \right) (\tilde{\nabla}_\perp \cdot \nu_\perp)
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial B_\perp}{\partial t} &= \frac{B_1}{t_0} \frac{\partial \beta_\perp}{\partial \tau} = (B_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp + B_z \partial_z) v_\perp - [(v_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp + v_z \partial_z) + (\nabla_\perp \cdot v_\perp + \partial_z v_z)] B_\perp \\
&= \left(\frac{v_0 B_1}{a} \right) (\beta_\perp \cdot \tilde{\nabla}_\perp \nu_\perp) + \left(\frac{2\pi v_0 (B_0 + \tilde{B}_0 \beta_z)}{L} \right) (\partial_z \nu_\perp) - \left(\frac{v_0 B_1}{a} \right) (\nu_\perp \cdot \tilde{\nabla}_\perp \beta_\perp) \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{2\pi v_0 B_1}{L} \right) (\nu_z \partial_z \beta_\perp) - \left(\frac{v_0 B_1}{a} \right) (\tilde{\nabla}_\perp \cdot \nu_\perp \beta_\perp) - \left(\frac{2\pi v_0 B_1}{L} \right) (\beta_\perp \partial_z \nu_z) \\
&= \left(\frac{v_0 B_1}{a} \right) (\beta_\perp \cdot \tilde{\nabla}_\perp \nu_\perp - \nu_\perp \cdot \tilde{\nabla}_\perp \beta_\perp - \tilde{\nabla}_\perp \cdot \nu_\perp \beta_\perp) \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{2\pi v_0 (B_0 + \tilde{B}_0 \beta_z)}{L} \right) (\partial_z \nu_\perp) - \left(\frac{2\pi v_0 B_1}{L} \right) (\nu_z \partial_z \beta_\perp + \beta_\perp \partial_z \nu_z)
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Again, the constants in front of each term can be ordered by taking their ratios and comparing with our field orderings in ϵ . The new constant $t_0 v_0 / a$ is a measure of the distance travelled by our plasma in some observation time. The observed travel distance around the whole torus is greater than this by a factor of ϵ .

3 Improving Strauss' scaling

Express the mass density ρ , fluid velocity \mathbf{v} , and magnetic field \mathbf{B} in terms of dimensionless fields r , $\boldsymbol{\nu}$, β_\parallel , β_\perp , according to

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho &= \rho_0 (1 + \epsilon r) \\
\mathbf{v} &= v_0 \boldsymbol{\nu} \\
\mathbf{B} &= B_0 \left((1 + \epsilon \beta_\parallel) \mathbf{e}_z + \epsilon \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp \right) = B_0 \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon \beta_x \\ \epsilon \beta_y \\ 1 + \epsilon \beta_\parallel \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the non-constant part of the parallel magnetic field is larger than in Strauss' convention by a single power of ϵ . Also note that the decomposition of density entails assuming small density fluctuations. (That is, to get this system from the previous system, we only have to set $\tilde{B}_0 = B_1 = \epsilon B_0$ and take $r \rightarrow (1 + \epsilon r)$. The notation below uses $\nabla_\perp = (\partial_X, \partial_Y, 0)$).

Pressure is now $p = P_0 P(1 + \epsilon r)$, whose expansion about 1 will be helpful:

$$P(1 + \epsilon r) = P(1) + \epsilon r P'(1) + (\epsilon r)^2 P''(1) + \dots$$

Also assume that the scaling constants are related according to

$$\frac{t_0 v_0}{a} = 1, \quad \frac{2\pi a}{L} = \epsilon, \quad \frac{P_0}{\mu_0^{-1} B_0^2} = \beta_0, \quad \frac{\rho_0 v_0^2}{\mu_0^{-1} B_0^2} = M_0^2 \beta_0,$$

where plasma- β , β_0 , and the Mach number, M_0 , will be related to ϵ later. (Note that the first scaling is equivalent to choosing a particular observation timescale).

The dimensionless divergence constraint on \mathbf{B} is now

$$\epsilon \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} + \nabla_{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp} = 0 \quad (12)$$

(We have multiplied through by a and cancelled a factor of ϵB_0).

3.1 Continuity Equation

The dimensionless continuity makes use of $t_0 v_0/a = 1$ as well as the subsequent ordering $2\pi t_0 v_0/L = \epsilon$.

$$\epsilon \partial_{\tau} r = -\nabla_{\perp} \cdot ([1 + \epsilon r] \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\perp}) - \epsilon \partial_Z ([1 + \epsilon r] \nu_{\parallel}). \quad (13)$$

At each order, this gives

$$\epsilon \frac{\partial r}{\partial \tau} = -\nabla_{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\perp} - \epsilon (\nabla_{\perp} \cdot (r \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\perp}) + \partial_Z \nu_{\parallel}) - \epsilon^2 (\partial_Z (r \nu_{\parallel})) \quad (14)$$

(We have multiplied through by t_0 and cancelled a factor of ρ_0).

3.2 Momentum Conservation

We multiply the whole continuity equation by a factor of $a/\mu_0^{-1} B_0^2$ to make use of orderings. The dimensionless parallel momentum equation is

$$\begin{aligned} & M_0^2 \beta_0 (1 + \epsilon r) (\partial_{\tau} \nu_{\parallel} + \epsilon \nu_{\parallel} \partial_Z \nu_{\parallel} + \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\perp} \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \nu_{\parallel}) \\ &= -\epsilon \partial_Z \left(\beta_0 P (1 + \epsilon r) + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 |\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp}|^2 \right) + \epsilon^2 ((1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}) \partial_Z + \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp} \cdot \nabla_{\perp}) \beta_{\parallel}, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$= -\epsilon \beta_0 \partial_Z \pi (1 + \epsilon r) - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^3 \partial_Z |\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp}|^2 + \epsilon^2 \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp} \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel}, \quad (16)$$

where I have used $\epsilon \partial_Z (\epsilon \beta_{\parallel} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel}^2) = \epsilon^2 (1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}) \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel}$ to simplify.

The dimensionless perpendicular momentum equation is

$$\begin{aligned} & M_0^2 \beta_0 (1 + \epsilon r) (\partial_{\tau} \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\perp} + \epsilon \nu_{\parallel} \partial_Z \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\perp} + \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\perp} \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\perp}) \\ &= -\nabla_{\perp} \left(\beta_0 P (1 + \epsilon r) + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 |\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp}|^2 \right) + \epsilon^2 ((1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}) \partial_Z \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp} + \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp} \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp}) \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

$$= \epsilon^2 (\nabla_{\perp} \times \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp}) \times \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp} - \beta_0 \nabla_{\perp} \pi (1 + \epsilon r) + (1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}) (\epsilon^2 \partial_Z \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp} - \epsilon \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel}) \quad (18)$$

where similarly, we have $\nabla_{\perp} (\epsilon \beta_{\parallel} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel}^2) = \epsilon (1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}) \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel}$, as well as $(\nabla_{\perp} \times \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp}) \times \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp} = \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp} \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\perp} |\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp}|^2$.

Pressure can be expanded about $r = 0$ as demonstrated in the appendix: $\pi (1 + \epsilon r) = \pi(1) + \pi'(1) \epsilon r + \dots$. Thus, derivatives of pressure look like $\nabla_{\perp} \pi (1 + \epsilon r) = \epsilon \pi'(1) \nabla_{\perp} r + \dots$ (I'm using π instead of P now).

3.3 Faraday's Law

We cancel a factor of B_0 and multiply by t_0 to use our orderings. The resulting parallel component is

$$\epsilon \partial_{\tau} \beta_{\parallel} = \epsilon (\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp} \cdot \nabla_{\perp}) \nu_{\parallel} - \epsilon (\epsilon \nu_{\parallel} \partial_Z + \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\perp} \cdot \nabla_{\perp}) \beta_{\parallel} - (\nabla_{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\perp}) (1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}), \quad (19)$$

and the dimensionless perpendicular Faraday equation is

$$\partial_{\tau} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp} = \partial_Z \left([1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}] \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\perp} - \epsilon \nu_{\parallel} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp} \right) - \mathbf{e}_z \times \nabla_{\perp} \left(\mathbf{e}_z \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\perp} \times \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp} \right), \quad (20)$$

Note that equation (20) is obtained by splitting the curl into parallel and perpendicular components:

$$-\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = \nabla \times E = \nabla_{\perp} \times E_{\perp} + \nabla_{\perp} \times (e_z E_z) + e_z \times \partial_z E_{\perp} + \cancel{e_z \times e_z \partial_z E_z}. \quad (21)$$

The first term above is parallel to e_z , so it does not appear in the perpendicular Faraday's law. The second term is $\nabla_{\perp} \times (e_z E_z) = -e_z \times \nabla_{\perp} E_z$, where $E_z = e_z \cdot v \times B = e_z \cdot v_{\perp} \times B_{\perp}$. In the third term, $e_z \times \partial_z E_{\perp} = \partial_z (e_z \times E_{\perp}) = \partial_z (B_z v_{\perp} - v_z B_{\perp})$.

4 Fast-Slow Systems: First Attempt

Whereas the ratios between most dimensionless constants above describe intrinsic properties and behaviors of the system, the constant $t_0 v_0 / a$ refers to an observation timescale t_0 which we choose. Many dynamical systems have the property that in a given timescale, some variables evolve quickly, while others do not. The concept of fast-slow systems is meant to formalize this notion.

A fast-slow dynamical system (with fast variable $y \in Y$ and slow variable $x \in X$) is one whose equations of motion depend smoothly on ϵ while satisfying the constraint (23).

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{y} &= f_{\epsilon}(x, y) = f_0 + \epsilon f_1 + \epsilon^2 f_2 + \dots \\ \dot{x} &= \epsilon g_{\epsilon}(x, y) = \epsilon (g_0 + \epsilon g_1 + \epsilon^2 g_2 + \dots) \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_y f_0(x, y) : Y \rightarrow Y \text{ is invertible whenever } f_0(x, y) = 0, \text{ where} \\ D_y f_0(x, y)[\delta y] = \left. \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \right|_0 f_0(x, y + \epsilon \delta y). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

By (Lemma 4), only the limit system $\dot{y} = f_0, \dot{x} = 0$ needs to obey constraint (23) in order to be identified as fast-slow.

In Section 9, we will show that the trajectories of fast variables can be written as a function of slow variables according to the expansion, $y_{\epsilon}^*(x) = y_0^* + \epsilon y_1^* + \dots$. In some cases $y_{\epsilon}^*(x)$ is not unique, and there is instead a family of possible fast trajectories for a given state of slow variables. This occurs when $D_y f_0$ is not uniquely invertible, and is instead only surjective. These systems are called “weakly fast-slow.”

Now, in order to consider which variables are fast or slow, we must assign specific orderings in ϵ to M_0 and β_0 . Different orderings define different dynamical regimes.

4.1 High- β Scaling

In the high- β scaling

$$M_0^2 = \epsilon, \quad \beta_0 = \epsilon,$$

the appearance of ϵ in the various dimensionless evolution equations above suggests a fast-slow split where the slow variable x and the fast variable y are given by

$$x = (\nu_{\parallel}, \beta_{\perp}), \quad y = (r, \nu_{\perp}, \beta_{\parallel}).$$

After rescaling time according to $\tau = \epsilon T$, where T denotes the fast time, the limiting ($\epsilon \rightarrow 0$) fast-variable evolution equations become

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_T r &= -\nabla_{\perp} \cdot \nu_{\perp} \\ \partial_T \nu_{\perp} &= -\nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} \\ \partial_T \beta_{\parallel} &= -\nabla_{\perp} \cdot \nu_{\perp}, \end{aligned}$$

while the limiting slow-variable evolution equations are $\partial_T \nu_{\parallel} = 0, \partial_T \beta_{\perp} = 0$. This choice of dependent variables does not comprise a fast-slow split because, for each fixed value of the slow variable x , the fixed

points for the fast variable evolution equations are not isolated. This suggests there are hidden slow variables among these fast variables.

More explicitly, we observe that $D_y f_0(x, y)$ is not invertible, failing to satisfy the constraint (23).

$$\begin{aligned} D_y f_0(x, y)[\delta y] &= \left(\frac{\partial f_0^j}{\partial y^i} [\delta y^i] \right) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} f_0^r & \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_\perp} f_0^r & \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_\parallel} f_0^r \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} f_0^{\nu_\perp} & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} f_0^{\beta_\parallel} & \dots & \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_\parallel} f_0^{\beta_\parallel} \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta r \\ \delta \nu_\perp \\ \delta \beta_\parallel \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\nabla_\perp \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\nabla_\perp \\ 0 & -\nabla_\perp \cdot & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta r \\ \delta \nu_\perp \\ \delta \beta_\parallel \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\nabla_\perp \cdot \delta \nu_\perp \\ -\nabla_\perp \delta \beta_\parallel \\ -\nabla_\perp \cdot \delta \nu_\perp \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Remember that partial derivatives are treated as in (86) as $\partial f_0^j / \partial y^i [\delta y^i] = D_{y^i} f_0^j [\delta y^i]$. Regardless of the non-zero entries, this block-matrix has a column of zeroes, so its determinant is 0 and it is non-invertible. **It is probably best to avoid the notion of determinant of an operator between infinite-dimensional spaces. Invertibility is a dimension-independent notion, but determinant is not. -JB** Intuitively, a fast-slow system ought to change on the fast timescale with a change in one of its fast variables. The system above is degenerate in the fast variable r , which suggests there are hidden slow variables among these fast variables.

4.2 Low-Flow Scaling

In low-flow scaling,

$$M_0^2 = \epsilon^2, \quad \beta_0 = 1,$$

the apparent fast-slow split is still

$$x = (\nu_\parallel, \beta_\perp), \quad y = (r, \nu_\perp, \beta_\parallel).$$

Note that β_0 is actually larger in the low-flow regime than in the high- β regime. Although this suggests a poor naming convention, the “high- β ” terminology has historical significance that we will not ignore. The limiting fast-variable evolution equations are

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_T r &= -\nabla_\perp \cdot \nu_\perp \\ \partial_T \nu_\perp &= -\nabla_\perp (P'(1)r + \beta_\parallel) \\ \partial_T \beta_\parallel &= -\nabla_\perp \cdot \nu_\perp, \end{aligned}$$

while the limiting slow-variable evolution equations are $\partial_T \nu_\parallel = 0$, $\partial_T \beta_\perp = 0$. Again, fixed points for the fast variable dynamics are not isolated. Thus, (x, y) is not a fast-slow split. This time, the fast directional derivative looks like

$$D_y f_0(x, y)[\delta y] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\nabla_\perp \cdot & 0 \\ -P'(1)\nabla_\perp & 0 & -\nabla_\perp \\ 0 & -\nabla_\perp \cdot & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta r \\ \delta \nu_\perp \\ \delta \beta_\parallel \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\nabla_\perp \cdot \delta \nu_\perp \\ -\nabla_\perp (P'(1)\delta r + \delta \beta_\parallel) \\ -\nabla_\perp \cdot \delta \nu_\perp \end{pmatrix} \quad (25)$$

Although this system is not obviously degenerate in a single fast variable, the determinant is still 0, so the operator is non-invertible. **Again, reframe without referring to determinant. -JB**

4.3 Low- β Scaling

In low- β scaling,

$$M_0^2 = 1, \quad \beta_0 = \epsilon^2,$$

the apparent fast-slow split is

$$x = (\nu_\parallel, \beta_\perp), \quad y = (r, \nu_\perp, \beta_\parallel).$$

The limiting fast-variable evolution equations are

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_T r &= -\nabla_\perp \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp \\ \partial_T \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp &= -\nabla_\perp \beta_\parallel \\ \partial_T \beta_\parallel &= -\nabla_\perp \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp,\end{aligned}$$

and the limiting slow-variable evolution equations are $\partial_T \nu_\parallel = 0$, $\partial_T \beta_\perp = 0$. Since these agree with the limit equations in high- β scaling, we again find that (x, y) does not comprise a fast-slow split.

Of the three regimes, high- β , low-flow, and low- β , the most interesting is low-flow since it implies a non-trivial balance between thermal and magnetic pressure at leading order.

In each regime, the fact that the apparent fast-slow split is not correct suggests there is a nicer set of dependent variables to describe ideal MHD that cleanly separates fast and slow dynamics. The following section is devoted to identifying such variables.

4.4 Transversality Test

The transversality test is a necessary condition for a system $\epsilon \dot{z} = U_\epsilon(z)$ to have a fast-slow split. The condition states that wherever $U_0(z) = (0, f_0(z)) = 0$, the subspaces $\text{im } DU_0(z)$ and $\ker DU_0(z)$ must be complimentary. In other words, their intersection can only contain the trivial zero vector. **There is something interesting about the transversality test in infinite dimensions. In finite dimensions, rank-nullity says $\dim \text{im } A + \dim \ker A = \dim V$ for any linear transformation $A : V \rightarrow V$. Thus, the image and kernel will be complementary subspaces if and only if their intersection is trivial. But in infinite dimensions we don't have rank-nullity, only the first isomorphism theorem, which leaves the door open to image and kernel having trivial intersection while not actually being complementary. In other words, the image and kernel can be independent subspaces but fail to span the entire space V . That said, I think it is still true in infinite dimensions that we need trivial intersection of image and kernel. I'm not sure if we need image and kernel complementary though. Haven't thought about it. -JB**

In our case, $f_0(x, y) = -(\nabla_\perp \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp, \nabla_\perp (P'(1)r + \beta_\parallel), \nabla_\perp \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp)$ is only $= 0$ when $\nabla_\perp \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp = \nabla_\perp (P'(1)r + \beta_\parallel) = 0$. Because $f_0(x, y) = f_0(y)$ is only a function of the fast variables, we also have

$$DU_0(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D_y f_0(y) \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } D_y f_0(y) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\nabla_\perp \cdot & 0 \\ -P'(1)\nabla_\perp & 0 & -\nabla_\perp \\ 0 & -\nabla_\perp \cdot & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ D_y f_0[\delta y] \end{pmatrix} \in \text{im } DU_0 \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} \delta x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \ker DU_0,$$

which demonstrates that the image and kernel of DU_0 are complimentary subspaces, and the system satisfies the transversality condition. **Are you sure you've correctly characterized the image and kernel of $DU_0(z)$? You've already argued that $D_y f_0$ is not invertible, so I think you're missing some elements of the kernel. -JB**

-JB We have used the fact that $D_y f_0[\delta y] = 0 \implies \delta y = 0$ because the derivative is linear.

Correction:

5 Nicer dependent variables for MHD

5.1 β_\perp and ν_\perp Decompositions

Consider the three-dimensional vector field \boldsymbol{w} defined on a domain $D \times I$, where D and I are diffeomorphic to the unit disc and unit interval, respectively. If I is parameterized by the coordinate z , then the restriction of \boldsymbol{w} perpendicular to D are given by $\boldsymbol{w}_\perp = e_z \times (\boldsymbol{w} \times e_z) = (e_z \times \boldsymbol{w}) \times e_z \in D$. In particular, if we have the Helmholtz decomposition of some vector field,

$$\boldsymbol{w} = \nabla \Phi + \nabla \times \boldsymbol{A}, \tag{26}$$

then we are able to restrict the decomposition to D by the same methods as in (21):

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{w}_\perp &= \nabla_\perp \Phi + (\nabla \times \mathbf{A})_\perp \\ &= \nabla_\perp \Phi + \mathbf{e}_z \times ((\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) \times \mathbf{e}_z) \\ &= \nabla_\perp \Phi + \mathbf{e}_z \times (\partial_z \mathbf{A}_\perp - \nabla_\perp A_z).\end{aligned}\tag{27}$$

That is, when $\partial_z \mathbf{A}_\perp = 0$, we have the following two-dimensional decomposition (letting $\Phi = \phi$ and $A_z = -\psi$). (This doesn't make any sense. In general, relies on assuming that $\partial_z \mathbf{A}_\perp$ can be decomposed as $\nabla_\perp f + \mathbf{e}_z \times \nabla_\perp g$. But that's what we're trying to show.).

Given any planar vector field \mathbf{w}_\perp on a domain D diffeomorphic to the unit disc with $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{w}_\perp = 0$ on ∂D , there are unique scalar fields $\phi, \psi : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\mathbf{w}_\perp = \nabla_\perp \phi + \mathbf{e}_z \times \nabla_\perp \psi, \quad \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla_\perp \phi = 0 \text{ on } \partial D, \quad \psi = 0 \text{ on } \partial D, \quad \int_D \phi \, dx dy = 0.\tag{28}$$

The scalars are determined from \mathbf{w}_\perp by solving the pair of Poisson equations given by

$$\Delta_\perp \phi = \nabla_\perp \cdot \mathbf{w}_\perp, \quad \Delta_\perp \psi = \mathbf{e}_z \cdot \nabla_\perp \times \mathbf{w}_\perp,$$

subject to the boundary conditions listed in (28).

For each fixed Z , these considerations apply to the vector fields $\boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp$. Thus, there are (Z -dependent) scalar fields ϕ, ψ, Φ, Ψ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp &= \nabla_\perp \phi + \mathbf{e}_z \times \nabla_\perp \psi \\ \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp &= \nabla_\perp \Phi + \mathbf{e}_z \times \nabla_\perp \Psi,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\psi = \Psi = 0 \text{ on } \partial D, \quad \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla_\perp \phi = \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla_\perp \Phi = 0 \text{ on } \partial D, \quad \iint_D \phi \, dx dy = \iint_D \Phi \, dx dy = 0,$$

where D denotes the poloidal cross section of the spatial domain Q . We name the spaces of functions with homogeneous Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions $\psi, \Psi \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\phi, \Phi \in \mathcal{N}$, respectively. We will exchange the dependent variables $\boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp, \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp$ with the four scalars ϕ, Φ, ψ, Ψ .

Note that the divergenceless magnetic field constraint, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = \nabla_\perp \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp + \epsilon \partial_Z \beta_\parallel = \Delta_\perp \Phi + \epsilon \partial_Z \beta_\parallel = 0$ actually lets us ignore one degree of freedom in $\boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp$. Specifically, $\Phi = -\epsilon G_\perp^N \partial_Z \beta_\parallel$ is determined uniquely by β_\parallel using one of the Green's operators defined in the appendix. It is still convenient to write some equations with $\boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp$ and Φ though.

5.2 New r Scaling

Since mass density ρ is advected as a volume form and the magnetic field \mathbf{B} is advected as a 2-form, the ratio \mathbf{B}/ρ is advected as a vector field. This follows from the following manipulations using Cartan calculus. Let $\varrho = \rho \, dx dy dz$ denote the mass density volume form and $\beta = \iota_{\mathbf{B}} dx dy dz$ the magnetic flux 2-form. On the one hand

$$(\partial_t + \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}})(\iota_{\mathbf{B}/\rho} \varrho) = \iota_{(\partial_t + \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}})(\mathbf{B}/\rho)} \varrho,$$

because ϱ is advected by \mathbf{u} . On the other hand

$$(\partial_t + \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}})(\iota_{\mathbf{B}/\rho} \varrho) = (\partial_t + \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}})(\beta) = 0,$$

because β is advected by \mathbf{u} . Combining the two results implies $(\partial_t + \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}})(\mathbf{B}/\rho) = 0$, as claimed. Contracting this vector field advection law with dz implies

$$\partial_t Q + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla Q = Q \frac{\mathbf{B}}{B_z} \cdot \nabla u_z,\tag{29}$$

where we have used the expressions (4) - (6), and where $Q = B_z/\rho$.

We will exchange the dependent variable r with a nondimensional version of Q , defined according to

$$Q = \frac{B_z}{\rho} = \frac{B_0}{\rho_0} \frac{1 + \epsilon\beta_{\parallel}}{1 + \epsilon r} = q_0(1 + \epsilon q), \quad (30)$$

where $q_0 = B_0/\rho_0$. That is, we'll have

$$q = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon\beta_{\parallel}}{1 + \epsilon r} - 1 \right) = \frac{\beta_{\parallel} - r}{1 + \epsilon r}, \quad (31)$$

and similarly,

$$r = \frac{\beta_{\parallel} - q}{1 + \epsilon q} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{\parallel} = q + r + \epsilon q r. \quad (32)$$

5.3 Helpful Brackets

In order to streamline computations, let us introduce the symmetric and antisymmetric brackets $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, [\cdot, \cdot] : C^\infty \times C^\infty \rightarrow C^\infty$ defined by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \nabla_{\perp} f \cdot \nabla_{\perp} g \quad \text{and} \quad [f, g] = \mathbf{e}_z \cdot (\nabla_{\perp} f \times \nabla_{\perp} g). \quad (33)$$

Each bracket is clearly linear, and so is compatible with our ordering scheme. Furthermore, they obey Leibniz rules for ∂_a (but importantly not ∇_{\perp}^2 ; see Appendix). These brackets help to simplify the particularly common quantities

$$\nabla_{\perp} f \cdot \mathbf{w}_{\perp} = \langle f, \phi \rangle - [f, \psi], \quad (34)$$

$$\mathbf{e}_z \cdot (\nabla_{\perp} f \times \mathbf{w}_{\perp}) = \langle f, \psi \rangle + [f, \phi]. \quad (35)$$

6 New Evolution Equations

Now, we have 6 new variables for representing our system: $\phi, \psi, \nu_{\parallel}, \Psi, \beta_{\parallel}, q$.

Previously in this section, I incorrectly used the identity $|\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\perp}|^2 = |\nabla_{\perp} \phi|^2 + |\nabla_{\perp} \psi|^2$, and incorrectly tried splitting $\partial_{\tau} \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\perp}$ into ∇_{\perp} and $\mathbf{e}_z \times \nabla_{\perp}$ components (these do not span all 2D functions).

6.1 ϕ Evolution

Equation (18) gives an expression for the evolution of $\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\perp} = \nabla_{\perp} \phi + \mathbf{e}_z \times \nabla_{\perp} \psi$. Rearranging and replacing r with q , we get.

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\tau} \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\perp} = & -\epsilon \nu_{\parallel} \partial_Z \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\perp} - \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\perp} \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\perp} \\ & - \frac{1}{M_0^2 \beta_0} \frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}} \nabla_{\perp} \left(\beta_0 P(1 + \epsilon r) + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 |\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp}|^2 \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{M_0^2 \beta_0} \frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}} \epsilon^2 \left((1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}) \partial_Z \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp} + \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp} \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

To get a Poisson equation for ϕ , we take the divergence of the above equation. For simplicity, I keep results from each major grouping above separate (ie. the divergence of the first, second, and third lines above give S_0 , S_1 , and S_2 respectively).

$$\nabla_{\perp}^2 \partial_{\tau} \phi = \nabla_{\perp} \cdot \partial_{\tau} \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\perp} = S_0 + S_1 + S_2, \quad \text{with} \quad (37)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_0 &= -\nabla_\perp \cdot (\epsilon \nu_\parallel \partial_Z \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp + \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp) \\
&= -\epsilon (\nu_\parallel \nabla_\perp^2 \partial_Z \phi + \nabla_\perp \nu_\parallel \cdot \partial_Z \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp) - \nabla_\perp \cdot (\boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp) \\
&= -\epsilon \nu_\parallel \nabla_\perp^2 \partial_Z \phi - \epsilon \langle \nu_\parallel, \partial_Z \phi \rangle + \epsilon [\nu_\parallel, \partial_Z \psi] - \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \phi : \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \phi - \langle \nabla_\perp^2 \phi, \phi \rangle \\
&\quad - \nabla_\perp^2 [\psi, \phi] - \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \psi : \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \psi + [\nabla_\perp^2 \psi, \phi] + (\nabla_\perp^2 \psi)^2, \text{CHECKED} \\
M_0^2 \beta_0 S_1 &= -\nabla_\perp \cdot \left(\frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} \nabla_\perp \left(\beta_0 P \left(\frac{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}{1+\epsilon q} \right) + \epsilon \beta_\parallel + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 \beta_\parallel^2 + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 |\boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp|^2 \right) \right) \\
&= -\nabla_\perp \left(\frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} \right) \cdot \nabla_\perp \left(\beta_0 P \left(\frac{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}{1+\epsilon q} \right) + \epsilon \beta_\parallel + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 \beta_\parallel^2 + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 |\boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp|^2 \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} \nabla_\perp^2 \left(\beta_0 P \left(\frac{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}{1+\epsilon q} \right) + \epsilon \beta_\parallel + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 \beta_\parallel^2 + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 |\boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp|^2 \right) \\
&= -\beta_0 P' \left(\frac{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}{1+\epsilon q} \right) \left\langle \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}, \frac{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}{1+\epsilon q} \right\rangle - \epsilon \left\langle \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}, \beta_\parallel \right\rangle \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 \left\langle \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}, \beta_\parallel^2 \right\rangle - \cancel{\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 \left\langle \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}, |\boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp|^2 \right\rangle} \\
&\quad - \beta_0 \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} P' \left(\frac{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}{1+\epsilon q} \right) \nabla_\perp^2 \left(\frac{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}{1+\epsilon q} \right) - \beta_0 \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} P'' \left(\frac{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}{1+\epsilon q} \right) \left| \nabla_\perp \left(\frac{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}{1+\epsilon q} \right) \right|^2 \\
&\quad - \epsilon \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} \nabla_\perp^2 \beta_\parallel - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} \nabla_\perp^2 \beta_\parallel^2 - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} \nabla_\perp^2 |\boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp|^2, \text{CHECKED} \\
M_0^2 \beta_0 S_2 &= \epsilon^2 \nabla_\perp \cdot \left(\frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} ((1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel) \partial_Z \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp + \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp) \right) \\
&= \epsilon^2 \nabla_\perp \left(\frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} \right) \cdot ((1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel) \partial_Z \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp + \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp) \\
&\quad + \epsilon^2 \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} \nabla_\perp \cdot ((1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel) \partial_Z \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp + \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp) \\
&= \epsilon^2 (1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel) \left\langle \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}, \partial_Z \Phi \right\rangle - \epsilon^2 (1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel) \left[\frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}, \partial_Z \Psi \right] \\
&\quad + \cancel{\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 \left\langle \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}, |\boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp|^2 \right\rangle} - \epsilon^2 \nabla_\perp^2 \Psi \left\langle \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}, \Psi \right\rangle - \epsilon^2 \nabla_\perp^2 \Psi \left[\frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}, \Phi \right] \\
&\quad + \epsilon^2 (1+\epsilon q) \nabla_\perp^2 \partial_Z \Phi + \epsilon^3 \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} \langle \beta_\parallel, \partial_Z \Phi \rangle - \epsilon^3 \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} [\beta_\parallel, \partial_Z \Psi] \\
&\quad + \epsilon^2 \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \Phi : \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \Phi + \epsilon^2 \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} \langle \nabla_\perp^2 \Phi, \Phi \rangle + \epsilon^2 \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} \nabla_\perp^2 [\Psi, \Phi] \\
&\quad + \epsilon^2 \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \Psi : \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \Psi - \epsilon^2 \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} [\nabla_\perp^2 \Psi, \Phi] - \epsilon^2 \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} (\nabla_\perp^2 \Psi)^2, \text{CHECKED}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_\perp P \left(\frac{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}{1+\epsilon q} \right) &= P' \left(\frac{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}{1+\epsilon q} \right) \nabla_\perp \left(\frac{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}{1+\epsilon q} \right), \text{CHECKED} \\
\nabla_\perp^2 P \left(\frac{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}{1+\epsilon q} \right) &= P' \left(\frac{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}{1+\epsilon q} \right) \nabla_\perp^2 \left(\frac{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}{1+\epsilon q} \right) + P'' \left(\frac{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}{1+\epsilon q} \right) \left| \nabla_\perp \left(\frac{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}{1+\epsilon q} \right) \right|^2. \text{CHECKED}
\end{aligned}$$

!!!Here is how I should have written it if I was smart!!! I can jump straight to this from the now-updated

(18), just subbing in the new variables from section 5 and using $\nabla_{\perp} \times \beta_{\perp} = \Delta_{\perp} \Psi \mathbf{e}_Z$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\tau} \nu_{\perp} &= -\epsilon \nu_{\parallel} \partial_Z \nu_{\perp} - \nu_{\perp} \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \nu_{\perp} \\ &+ \frac{1}{M_0^2 \beta_0} \frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}} \left(\epsilon^2 \Delta_{\perp} \Psi (\mathbf{e}_Z \times \beta_{\perp}) - \beta_0 \nabla_{\perp} \pi \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}{1 + \epsilon q} \right) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{M_0^2 \beta_0} (1 + \epsilon q) (\epsilon^2 \partial_Z \beta_{\perp} - \epsilon \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel}). \quad (39)$$

Then, divergence of this is

$$\nabla_{\perp} \cdot \partial_{\tau} \nu_{\perp} = \Delta_{\perp} \partial_{\tau} \phi = S_0 + S_1 + S_2$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_0 &= -\epsilon \nu_{\parallel} \Delta_{\perp} \partial_Z \phi - \epsilon \langle \nu_{\parallel}, \partial_Z \phi \rangle + \epsilon [\nu_{\parallel}, \partial_Z \psi] - \nabla_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp} \phi : \nabla_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp} \phi - \langle \Delta_{\perp} \phi, \phi \rangle \\ &- \Delta_{\perp} [\psi, \phi] - \nabla_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp} \psi : \nabla_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp} \psi + [\Delta_{\perp} \psi, \phi] + (\Delta_{\perp} \psi)^2, \\ M_0^2 \beta_0 S_1 &= \nabla_{\perp} \cdot \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}} \right) \cdot \left(\epsilon^2 \Delta_{\perp} \Psi (\mathbf{e}_Z \times \beta_{\perp}) - \beta_0 \nabla_{\perp} \pi \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}{1 + \epsilon q} \right) \right) \\ &+ \frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}} \nabla_{\perp} \cdot \left(\epsilon^2 \Delta_{\perp} \Psi (\mathbf{e}_Z \times \beta_{\perp}) - \beta_0 \nabla_{\perp} \pi \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}{1 + \epsilon q} \right) \right), \\ &= -\epsilon^2 \Delta_{\perp} \Psi \left\langle \frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}, \Psi \right\rangle - \epsilon^2 \Delta_{\perp} \Psi \left[\frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}, \Phi \right] - \beta_0 \left\langle \frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}, \pi \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}{1 + \epsilon q} \right) \right\rangle \\ &- \epsilon^2 \frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}} \langle \Delta_{\perp} \Psi, \Psi \rangle - \epsilon^2 \frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}} [\Delta_{\perp} \Psi, \Phi] - \epsilon^2 \frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}} (\Delta_{\perp} \Psi)^2 - \beta_0 \frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}} \Delta_{\perp} \pi \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}{1 + \epsilon q} \right), \\ M_0^2 \beta_0 S_2 &= \epsilon^3 \langle q, \partial_Z \Phi \rangle - \epsilon^3 [q, \partial_Z \Psi] - \epsilon^2 \langle q, \beta_{\parallel} \rangle + \epsilon^2 \partial_Z \Delta_{\perp} \Phi + \epsilon^3 q \partial_Z \Delta_{\perp} \Phi - \epsilon \Delta_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} - \epsilon^2 q \Delta_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel}. \end{aligned}$$

6.2 ψ Evolution

As above, $\nu_{\perp} = \nabla_{\perp} \phi + \mathbf{e}_z \times \nabla_{\perp} \psi$ evolves according to (36):

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\tau} \nu_{\perp} &= -\epsilon \nu_{\parallel} \partial_Z \nu_{\perp} - \nu_{\perp} \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \nu_{\perp} \\ &- \frac{1}{M_0^2 \beta_0} \frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}} \nabla_{\perp} \cdot \left(\beta_0 P(1 + \epsilon r) + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 |\beta_{\perp}|^2 \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{M_0^2 \beta_0} \frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}} \epsilon^2 ((1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}) \partial_Z \beta_{\perp} + \beta_{\perp} \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\perp}). \end{aligned}$$

To find a Poisson equation for ψ , we take $\mathbf{e}_z \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \times$ of the each line above, keeping each result separate:

$$\nabla_{\perp}^2 \partial_{\tau} \psi = \mathbf{e}_z \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \times \partial_{\tau} \nu_{\perp} = S_0 + S_1 + S_2, \text{ with} \quad (40)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_0 &= -\mathbf{e}_z \cdot \nabla_\perp \times (\epsilon \nu_\parallel \partial_Z \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp + \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp) \\
&= -\epsilon (\mathbf{e}_z \cdot \nabla_\perp \nu_\parallel \times \partial_Z \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp + \nu_\parallel \mathbf{e}_z \cdot \nabla_\perp \times \partial_Z \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp) - \mathbf{e}_z \cdot \nabla_\perp \times (\boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp) \\
&= -\epsilon \langle \nu_\parallel, \partial_Z \psi \rangle - \epsilon [\nu_\parallel, \partial_Z \phi] - \epsilon \nu_\parallel \nabla_\perp^2 \partial_Z \psi - \langle \nabla_\perp^2 \psi, \phi \rangle + [\nabla_\perp^2 \psi, \psi] - \nabla_\perp^2 \phi \nabla_\perp^2 \psi, \text{ CHECKED} \\
M_0^2 \beta_0 S_1 &= -\mathbf{e}_z \cdot \nabla_\perp \times \left(\frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} \nabla_\perp \left(\beta_0 P \left(\frac{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}{1+\epsilon q} \right) + \epsilon \beta_\parallel + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 \beta_\parallel^2 + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 |\boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp|^2 \right) \right) \\
&= -\mathbf{e}_z \cdot \nabla_\perp \left(\frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} \right) \times \nabla_\perp \left(\beta_0 P \left(\frac{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}{1+\epsilon q} \right) + \epsilon \beta_\parallel + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 \beta_\parallel^2 + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 |\boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp|^2 \right) \\
&= -\beta_0 P' \left(\frac{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}{1+\epsilon q} \right) \left[\frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}, \frac{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}{1+\epsilon q} \right] - \epsilon \left[\frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}, \beta_\parallel \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 \left[\frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}, \beta_\parallel^2 \right] - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 \left[\frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}, |\boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp|^2 \right], \text{ CHECKED} \\
M_0^2 \beta_0 S_2 &= \epsilon^2 \mathbf{e}_z \cdot \nabla_\perp \times \left(\frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} ((1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel) \partial_Z \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp + \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp) \right) \\
&= \epsilon^2 \mathbf{e}_z \cdot \nabla_\perp \left(\frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} \right) \times ((1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel) \partial_Z \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp + \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp) \\
&\quad + \epsilon^2 \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} \mathbf{e}_z \cdot \nabla_\perp \times ((1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel) \partial_Z \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp + \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp) \\
&= \epsilon^2 (1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel) \left\langle \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}, \partial_Z \Psi \right\rangle + \epsilon^2 (1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel) \left[\frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}, \partial_Z \Phi \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 \left[\frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}, |\boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp|^2 \right] + \epsilon^2 \nabla_\perp^2 \Psi \left\langle \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}, \Phi \right\rangle - \epsilon^2 \nabla_\perp^2 \Psi \left[\frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}, \Psi \right] \\
&\quad + \epsilon^3 \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} \langle \beta_\parallel, \partial_Z \Psi \rangle + \epsilon^3 \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} [\beta_\parallel, \partial_Z \Phi] + \epsilon^2 (1+\epsilon q) \nabla_\perp^2 \partial_Z \Psi \\
&\quad + \epsilon^2 \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} \langle \nabla_\perp^2 \Psi, \Phi \rangle - \epsilon^2 \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} [\nabla_\perp^2 \Psi, \Psi] + \epsilon^2 \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} \nabla_\perp^2 \Phi \nabla_\perp^2 \Psi. \text{ CHECKED}
\end{aligned}$$

Better way to do this using (18) (again using $\nabla_\perp \times \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp = \Delta_\perp \Psi \mathbf{e}_z$):

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\tau \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp &= -\epsilon \nu_\parallel \partial_Z \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp - \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{M_0^2 \beta_0} \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} \left(\epsilon^2 \Delta_\perp \Psi (\mathbf{e}_z \times \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp) - \beta_0 \nabla_\perp \pi \left(\frac{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}{1+\epsilon q} \right) \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\quad + \frac{1}{M_0^2 \beta_0} (1+\epsilon q) (\epsilon^2 \partial_Z \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp - \epsilon \nabla_\perp \beta_\parallel).
\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

Take $\mathbf{e}_z \cdot \nabla_\perp \times$ of this:

$$\begin{aligned}
S_0 &= -\epsilon \langle \nu_\parallel, \partial_Z \psi \rangle - \epsilon [\nu_\parallel, \partial_Z \phi] - \epsilon \nu_\parallel \Delta_\perp \partial_Z \psi - \langle \Delta_\perp \psi, \phi \rangle + [\Delta_\perp \psi, \psi] - \Delta_\perp \phi \Delta_\perp \psi, \\
M_0^2 \beta_0 S_1 &= \mathbf{e}_z \cdot \nabla_\perp \times \left(\frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} \right) \times \left(\epsilon^2 \Delta_\perp \Psi (\mathbf{e}_z \times \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp) - \beta_0 \nabla_\perp \pi \left(\frac{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}{1+\epsilon q} \right) \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} \mathbf{e}_z \cdot \nabla_\perp \times \left(\epsilon^2 \Delta_\perp \Psi (\mathbf{e}_z \times \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp) - \beta_0 \nabla_\perp \pi \left(\frac{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}{1+\epsilon q} \right) \right) \\
&= \epsilon^2 \Delta_\perp \Psi \left\langle \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}, \Phi \right\rangle - \epsilon^2 \Delta_\perp \Psi \left[\frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}, \Psi \right] - \beta_0 \left[\frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}, \pi \left(\frac{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}{1+\epsilon q} \right) \right] \\
&\quad + \epsilon^2 \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} \langle \Delta_\perp \Psi, \Phi \rangle - \epsilon^2 \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} [\Delta_\perp \Psi, \Psi] + \epsilon^2 \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} \Delta_\perp \Phi \Delta_\perp \Psi, \\
M_0^2 \beta_0 S_2 &= \epsilon \mathbf{e}_z \cdot \nabla_\perp q \times (\epsilon^2 \partial_Z \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp - \epsilon \nabla_\perp \beta_\parallel) + (1+\epsilon q) \mathbf{e}_z \cdot \nabla_\perp \times (\epsilon^2 \partial_Z \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp - \epsilon \nabla_\perp \beta_\parallel) \\
&= \epsilon^3 \langle q, \partial_Z \Psi \rangle + \epsilon^3 [q, \partial_Z \Phi] - \epsilon^2 [q, \beta_\parallel] + \epsilon^2 \Delta_\perp \partial_Z \Psi + \epsilon^3 q \Delta_\perp \partial_Z \Psi.
\end{aligned}$$

6.3 ν_{\parallel} Evolution

ν_{\parallel} evolves according to (16). Rearranging and replacing r with q ,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\tau}\nu_{\parallel} &= -\epsilon\nu_{\parallel}\partial_Z\nu_{\parallel} - \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\perp} \cdot \nabla_{\perp}\nu_{\parallel} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{M_0^2\beta_0} \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}} \epsilon\partial_Z \left(\beta_0 P \left(\frac{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}}{1+\epsilon q} \right) + \epsilon\beta_{\parallel} + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^2\beta_{\parallel}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^2|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp}|^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{M_0^2\beta_0} \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}} \epsilon^2 \left((1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel})\partial_Z\beta_{\parallel} + \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp} \cdot \nabla_{\perp}\beta_{\parallel} \right).\end{aligned}$$

$$\partial_{\tau}\nu_{\parallel} = S_0 + S_1 + S_2, \text{ with} \quad (43)$$

$$\begin{aligned}S_0 &= -\epsilon\nu_{\parallel}\partial_Z\nu_{\parallel} - \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\perp} \cdot \nabla_{\perp}\nu_{\parallel} = -\epsilon\nu_{\parallel}\partial_Z\nu_{\parallel} - \langle \nu_{\parallel}, \phi \rangle + [\nu_{\parallel}, \psi], \text{ CHECKED} \\ M_0^2\beta_0 S_1 &= -\epsilon \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}} \partial_Z \left(\beta_0 P \left(\frac{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}}{1+\epsilon q} \right) + \epsilon\beta_{\parallel} + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^2\beta_{\parallel}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^2|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp}|^2 \right) \\ &= -\epsilon\beta_0 \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}} P' \left(\frac{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}}{1+\epsilon q} \right) \partial_Z \left(\frac{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}}{1+\epsilon q} \right) - \epsilon^2 \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}} \partial_Z\beta_{\parallel} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^3 \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}} \partial_Z\beta_{\parallel}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^3 \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}} \partial_Z|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp}|^2, \text{ CHECKED} \\ M_0^2\beta_0 S_2 &= \epsilon^2 \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}} \left((1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel})\partial_Z\beta_{\parallel} + \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp} \cdot \nabla_{\perp}\beta_{\parallel} \right) \\ &= \epsilon^2 (1+\epsilon q) \partial_Z\beta_{\parallel} + \epsilon^2 \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}} \langle \beta_{\parallel}, \Phi \rangle - \epsilon^2 \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}} [\beta_{\parallel}, \Psi]. \text{ CHECKED}\end{aligned}$$

!!!Here is how I should have done it!!!

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\tau}\nu_{\parallel} &= -\epsilon\nu_{\parallel}\partial_Z\nu_{\parallel} - \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\perp} \cdot \nabla_{\perp}\nu_{\parallel} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{M_0^2\beta_0} \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}} \left(\beta_0 \epsilon \partial_Z P \left(\frac{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}}{1+\epsilon q} \right) + \epsilon^2 (1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}) \partial_Z\beta_{\parallel} + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^3 \partial_Z|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp}|^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{M_0^2\beta_0} \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}} \epsilon^2 \left((1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel})\partial_Z\beta_{\parallel} + \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp} \cdot \nabla_{\perp}\beta_{\parallel} \right).\end{aligned}$$

6.4 Φ Evolution

Maybe best to just remove this section because we can just write it in terms of β_{\parallel} .

Equation (20) gives an equation for the evolution of $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp} = \nabla_{\perp}\Phi + \mathbf{e}_z \times \nabla_{\perp}\Psi$.

$$\partial_{\tau}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp} = \partial_Z \left((1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}) \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\perp} - \epsilon\nu_{\parallel}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp} \right) - \mathbf{e}_z \times \nabla_{\perp} (\mathbf{e}_z \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\perp} \times \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp}).$$

We use similar techniques to find Φ and Ψ as we did for ϕ and ψ . The divergence of (20) gives an expression for Φ .

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{\perp}^2 \partial_{\tau}\Phi &= \nabla_{\perp} \cdot \partial_{\tau}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp} = \partial_Z (\epsilon \nabla_{\perp}\beta_{\parallel} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\perp} + (1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}) \nabla_{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\perp} - \epsilon \nabla_{\perp}\nu_{\parallel} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp} - \epsilon\nu_{\parallel} \nabla_{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp}) \\ &= \partial_Z (\epsilon \langle \beta_{\parallel}, \phi \rangle - \epsilon [\beta_{\parallel}, \psi] + (1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}) \nabla_{\perp}^2 \phi - \epsilon \langle \nu_{\parallel}, \Phi \rangle + \epsilon [\nu_{\parallel}, \Psi] - \epsilon\nu_{\parallel} \nabla_{\perp}^2 \Phi) \\ &= \epsilon \langle \partial_Z\beta_{\parallel}, \phi \rangle + \epsilon \langle \beta_{\parallel}, \partial_Z\phi \rangle - \epsilon [\partial_Z\beta_{\parallel}, \psi] - \epsilon [\beta_{\parallel}, \partial_Z\psi] \\ &\quad + \epsilon \partial_Z\beta_{\parallel} \nabla_{\perp}^2 \phi + (1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}) \nabla_{\perp}^2 \partial_Z\phi - \epsilon \langle \partial_Z\nu_{\parallel}, \Phi \rangle - \epsilon \langle \nu_{\parallel}, \partial_Z\Phi \rangle \\ &\quad + \epsilon [\partial_Z\nu_{\parallel}, \Psi] + \epsilon [\nu_{\parallel}, \partial_Z\Psi] - \epsilon \partial_Z\nu_{\parallel} \nabla_{\perp}^2 \Phi - \epsilon\nu_{\parallel} \nabla_{\perp}^2 \partial_Z\Phi. \text{ CHECKED}\end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Note the second term in the equation of motion disappears because it involves the divergence of a curl.

This agrees with $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$.

6.5 Ψ Evolution

To find Ψ , we apply $\mathbf{e}_z \cdot \nabla_\perp \times$ to (20):

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_\perp^2 \partial_\tau \Psi &= \mathbf{e}_z \cdot \nabla_\perp \times \partial_\tau \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp \\
&= \mathbf{e}_z \cdot \partial_Z (\epsilon \nabla_\perp \beta_\parallel \times \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp + (1 + \epsilon \beta_\parallel) \nabla_\perp \times \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp - \epsilon \nabla_\perp \nu_\parallel \times \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp - \epsilon \nu_\parallel \nabla_\perp \times \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp) \\
&\quad - \mathbf{e}_z \cdot (\nabla_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp (\mathbf{e}_z \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp \times \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp)) \mathbf{e}_z \\
&= \partial_Z (\epsilon \langle \beta_\parallel, \psi \rangle + \epsilon [\beta_\parallel, \phi] + (1 + \epsilon \beta_\parallel) \nabla_\perp^2 \psi - \epsilon \langle \nu_\parallel, \Psi \rangle - \epsilon [\nu_\parallel, \Phi] - \epsilon \nu_\parallel \nabla_\perp^2 \Psi) \\
&\quad - \nabla_\perp^2 (\langle \phi, \Psi \rangle - \langle \psi, \Phi \rangle + [\phi, \Phi] + [\psi, \Psi]), \text{ CHECKED}
\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp \times \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp &= (\nabla_\perp \phi \times \nabla_\perp \Phi + \nabla_\perp \psi \times \nabla_\perp \Psi) + \mathbf{e}_z (\nabla_\perp \phi \cdot \nabla_\perp \Psi - \nabla_\perp \psi \cdot \nabla_\perp \Phi) \\
\mathbf{e}_z \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp \times \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp &= \langle \phi, \Psi \rangle - \langle \psi, \Phi \rangle + [\phi, \Phi] + [\psi, \Psi]. \text{ CHECKED}
\end{aligned}$$

Correct

6.6 β_\parallel Evolution CHECKED

β_\parallel evolves according to (19), which, in terms of our new fields, is

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon \partial_\tau \beta_\parallel &= \epsilon (\boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp) \nu_\parallel - \epsilon (\epsilon \nu_\parallel \partial_Z + \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp) \beta_\parallel - (\nabla_\perp \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp) (1 + \epsilon \beta_\parallel) \\
&= \epsilon \langle \nu_\parallel, \Phi \rangle - \epsilon [\nu_\parallel, \Psi] - \epsilon^2 \nu_\parallel \partial_Z \beta_\parallel - \epsilon \langle \beta_\parallel, \phi \rangle + \epsilon [\beta_\parallel, \psi] - \nabla_\perp^2 \phi (1 + \epsilon \beta_\parallel).
\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

***This is where we need to write evolution of $\Phi = -\epsilon G_\perp^N \partial_Z \beta_\parallel$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_\perp \partial_\tau \Phi &= \nabla_\perp \cdot \partial_\tau \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp = -\partial_Z (\epsilon \partial_\tau \beta_\parallel) \\
&= \epsilon^2 \partial_Z \langle \nu_\parallel, G_\perp^N \partial_Z \beta_\parallel \rangle + \epsilon \partial_Z [\nu_\parallel, \Psi] + \epsilon^2 \partial_Z (\nu_\parallel \partial_Z \beta_\parallel) + \epsilon \partial_Z \langle \beta_\parallel, \phi \rangle - \epsilon \partial_Z [\beta_\parallel, \psi] + \partial_Z (\Delta_\perp \phi (1 + \epsilon \beta_\parallel))
\end{aligned}$$

Existence of $\partial_\tau \Phi$ requires $\partial_\tau \int_D \partial_Z \beta_\parallel \, dx dy = 0$.

6.7 q Evolution CHECKED

The evolution equation for q is obtained simply from the evolution equation for Q in (29). That is,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\tau q &= \frac{t_0}{\epsilon q_0} \partial_t Q = \frac{t_0}{\epsilon q_0} \left[Q \frac{\mathbf{B}}{B_z} \cdot \nabla v_z - \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla Q \right] \\
&= \frac{t_0 v_0}{a} \frac{1}{\epsilon q_0} \frac{Q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_\parallel} \epsilon (\boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp \nu_\parallel) + \frac{2\pi t_0 v_0}{L} \frac{1}{\epsilon q_0} \frac{Q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_\parallel} \epsilon (\beta_\parallel \partial_Z \nu_\parallel) - \frac{t_0 v_0}{a} \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp q - \frac{2\pi t_0 v_0}{L} \nu_\parallel \partial_Z q \\
&= \frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_\parallel} (\boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp + \epsilon \beta_\parallel \partial_Z) \nu_\parallel - (\boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp q + \epsilon \nu_\parallel \partial_Z q) \\
&= \frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_\parallel} (\langle \nu_\parallel, \Phi \rangle - [\nu_\parallel, \Psi] + \epsilon \beta_\parallel \partial_Z \nu_\parallel) - (\langle q, \phi \rangle - [q, \psi] + \epsilon \nu_\parallel \partial_Z q),
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

where we have used our τ time ordering, as well as $\nabla Q = \epsilon q_0 \nabla q$.

7 Dynamics at each ϵ order

Running tally of changes made in this section to EoMs in previous section: repeated only last lines (no intermediate work), then I switched $\tau \rightarrow T$, formally set $M_0^2 \beta_0 = \epsilon^2$, removed Φ , expanded $\frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}$ and $\pi \left(\frac{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}{1+\epsilon q} \right)$, removed terms $O(\geq \epsilon^3)$, canceled like terms, fully expanded remaining terms.

Note: Maybe it's tempting to expand things out like $\phi \rightarrow \phi_\epsilon^* = \phi_0^* + \epsilon \phi_\epsilon^* + \dots$, but acutally, the only thing I ever sub into the evolution equations are ϕ_0^* . Technically, ϕ is still $O(1)$, so it is okay to say the evolution

equations are “at $O(\epsilon^k)$ ” before substituting ϕ_ϵ^* for ϕ . It’s just not okay to say “here is the evolution equation for ϕ_k^* ” at some order until I find that in the next section.

Example of above: At $O(1)$, we do have $\dot{\phi}_0^* = f_0(x, y_0^*)$. However at $O(\epsilon)$, $\dot{\phi}_1^* = f_1(x, y_0^*) + D_y f_0(x, y_0^*)[y_1^*]$, so we need contributions from both the $O(\epsilon)$ and $D_y(O(1))$ parts of $\partial_T \phi$ below.

7.1 ϕ Evolution

$$\Delta_\perp \partial_T \phi = \epsilon S_0 + \epsilon S_1 + \epsilon S_2, \text{ with} \quad (48)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon S_0 &= -\epsilon^2 \nu_\parallel \Delta_\perp \partial_Z \phi - \epsilon^2 \langle \nu_\parallel, \partial_Z \phi \rangle + \epsilon^2 [\nu_\parallel, \partial_Z \psi] - \epsilon \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \phi : \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \phi - \epsilon \langle \Delta_\perp \phi, \phi \rangle \\ &\quad - \epsilon \Delta_\perp [\psi, \phi] - \epsilon \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \psi : \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \psi + \epsilon [\Delta_\perp \psi, \phi] + \epsilon (\Delta_\perp \psi)^2, \\ \epsilon S_1 &= -\beta_0 \epsilon^{-1} \left\langle \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}, \pi \left(\frac{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}{1+\epsilon q} \right) \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}, \beta_\parallel \right\rangle \\ &\quad - \epsilon \beta_\parallel \left\langle \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}, \beta_\parallel \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon \left\langle \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}, |\nabla_\perp \Psi|^2 \right\rangle \\ &\quad - \beta_0 \epsilon^{-1} \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} \Delta_\perp \pi \left(\frac{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}{1+\epsilon q} \right) \\ &\quad - (1+\epsilon(q-\beta_\parallel) - \epsilon^2 \beta_\parallel(q-\beta_\parallel)) \Delta_\perp \beta_\parallel - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon (1+\epsilon(q-\beta_\parallel)) \Delta_\perp \beta_\parallel^2 \\ &\quad + \cancel{\epsilon^2 \Delta_\perp [\Psi, G_\perp^N \partial_Z \beta_\parallel]} - \epsilon \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \Psi : \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \Psi - \epsilon \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} \langle \Delta_\perp \Psi, \Psi \rangle + O(\epsilon^3), \\ \epsilon S_2 &= -\epsilon \left[\frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}, \partial_Z \Psi \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon \left\langle \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}, |\nabla_\perp \Psi|^2 \right\rangle - \epsilon \Delta_\perp \Psi \left\langle \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}, \Psi \right\rangle \\ &\quad - \epsilon^2 \partial_Z^2 \beta_\parallel - \epsilon^2 [\beta_\parallel, \partial_Z \Psi] \\ &\quad - \epsilon^2 \Delta_\perp [\Psi, G_\perp^N \partial_Z \beta_\parallel] \\ &\quad + \epsilon \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \Psi : \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \Psi + \epsilon^2 [\Delta_\perp \Psi, G_\perp^N \partial_Z \beta_\parallel] - \epsilon \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} (\Delta_\perp \Psi)^2 + O(\epsilon^3). \end{aligned}$$

Okay, let me retry this now that I have a cleaner equation to begin with:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon S_0 &= -\epsilon^2 \nu_\parallel \Delta_\perp \partial_Z \phi - \epsilon^2 \langle \nu_\parallel, \partial_Z \phi \rangle + \epsilon^2 [\nu_\parallel, \partial_Z \psi] - \epsilon \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \phi : \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \phi - \epsilon \langle \Delta_\perp \phi, \phi \rangle \\ &\quad - \epsilon \Delta_\perp [\psi, \phi] - \epsilon \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \psi : \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \psi + \epsilon [\Delta_\perp \psi, \phi] + \epsilon (\Delta_\perp \psi)^2, \\ \epsilon S_1 &= -\beta_0 \epsilon^{-1} \left\langle \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}, \pi \left(\frac{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}{1+\epsilon q} \right) \right\rangle - \beta_0 \epsilon^{-1} \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel} \Delta_\perp \pi \left(\frac{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}{1+\epsilon q} \right) \\ &\quad - \epsilon^2 \Delta_\perp \Psi \langle q, \Psi \rangle + \epsilon^2 \Delta_\perp \Psi \langle \beta_\parallel, \Psi \rangle - \epsilon \langle \Delta_\perp \Psi, \Psi \rangle - \epsilon^2 q \langle \Delta_\perp \Psi, \Psi \rangle + \epsilon^2 \beta_\parallel \langle \Delta_\perp \Psi, \Psi \rangle \\ &\quad + \epsilon^2 [\Delta_\perp \Psi, G_\perp^N \partial_Z \beta_\parallel] - \epsilon (\Delta_\perp \Psi)^2 + \epsilon^2 q (\Delta_\perp \Psi)^2 - \epsilon^2 \beta_\parallel (\Delta_\perp \Psi)^2 + O(\epsilon^3), \\ \epsilon S_2 &= -\epsilon^2 [q, \partial_Z \Psi] - \epsilon \langle q, \beta_\parallel \rangle - \epsilon^2 \partial_Z^2 \beta_\parallel - \Delta_\perp \beta_\parallel - \epsilon q \Delta_\perp \beta_\parallel + O(\epsilon^3). \end{aligned}$$

Terms depending on β_0 appear at different orders depending on the regime. Those terms are:

$$-\beta_0 \epsilon^{-1} \left\langle \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}, \pi \left(\frac{1+\epsilon \beta_\parallel}{1+\epsilon q} \right) \right\rangle = \cancel{\beta_0 \epsilon \pi'(1) |\nabla_\perp q|^2} + \beta_0 \epsilon \pi'(1) |\nabla_\perp \beta_\parallel|^2 + O(\beta_0 \epsilon^2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
-\beta_0 \epsilon^{-1} \frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}} \Delta_{\perp} \pi \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}{1 + \epsilon q} \right) &= -\beta_0 \epsilon^{-1} \Delta_{\perp} \pi - \beta_0 (q - \beta_{\parallel}) \Delta_{\perp} \pi + O(\beta_0 \epsilon^2) \\
&= -\beta_0 \left(\pi'(1) \Delta_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} - \pi'(1) \Delta_{\perp} q \right. \\
&\quad + \epsilon \pi''(1) \beta_{\parallel} \Delta_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} - \epsilon \pi''(1) \beta_{\parallel} \Delta_{\perp} q - \epsilon \pi''(1) q \Delta_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} + \epsilon \pi''(1) q \Delta_{\perp} q \\
&\quad + \epsilon \pi''(1) |\nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel}|^2 - 2\epsilon \pi''(1) \langle \beta_{\parallel}, q \rangle + \epsilon \pi''(1) |\nabla_{\perp} q|^2 \\
&\quad - \cancel{\epsilon \pi'(1) \beta_{\parallel} \Delta_{\perp} q} + \cancel{2\epsilon \pi'(1) q \Delta_{\perp} q} - \cancel{\epsilon \pi'(1) q \Delta_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel}} \\
&\quad \left. - 2\epsilon \pi'(1) \langle \beta_{\parallel}, q \rangle + 2\epsilon \pi'(1) |\nabla_{\perp} q|^2 \right) + O(\beta_0 \epsilon^2) \\
&\quad - \cancel{\beta_0 \epsilon \pi'(1) q \Delta_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel}} + \beta_0 \epsilon \pi'(1) \beta_{\parallel} \Delta_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} + \cancel{\beta_0 \epsilon \pi'(1) q \Delta_{\perp} q} - \cancel{\beta_0 \epsilon \pi'(1) \beta_{\parallel} \Delta_{\perp} q} + O(\beta_0 \epsilon^2)
\end{aligned}$$

Together, the sum of these terms (with cancellations) is

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\beta_0 \pi'(1) \Delta_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} + \beta_0 \pi'(1) \Delta_{\perp} q \\
&\quad - \beta_0 \epsilon \pi''(1) \beta_{\parallel} \Delta_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} + \beta_0 \epsilon \pi''(1) \beta_{\parallel} \Delta_{\perp} q + \beta_0 \epsilon \pi''(1) q \Delta_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} - \beta_0 \epsilon \pi''(1) q \Delta_{\perp} q \\
&\quad - \beta_0 \epsilon \pi''(1) |\nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel}|^2 + 2\beta_0 \epsilon \pi''(1) \langle \beta_{\parallel}, q \rangle - \beta_0 \epsilon \pi''(1) |\nabla_{\perp} q|^2 \\
&\quad - \beta_0 \epsilon \pi'(1) q \Delta_{\perp} q \\
&\quad + 2\beta_0 \epsilon \pi'(1) \langle \beta_{\parallel}, q \rangle - \beta_0 \epsilon \pi'(1) |\nabla_{\perp} q|^2 + \beta_0 \epsilon \pi'(1) |\nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel}|^2 \\
&\quad + \beta_0 \epsilon \pi'(1) \beta_{\parallel} \Delta_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} + O(\beta_0 \epsilon^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Besides these, the only $O(1)$ contribution is:

$$\epsilon S_1 : -\Delta_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel}$$

At $O(\epsilon)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon S_0 &: -\epsilon \nabla_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp} \phi : \nabla_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp} \phi - \epsilon \langle \Delta_{\perp} \phi, \phi \rangle - \epsilon \Delta_{\perp} [\psi, \phi] \\
&\quad - \epsilon \nabla_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp} \psi : \nabla_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp} \psi + \epsilon [\Delta_{\perp} \psi, \phi] + \epsilon (\Delta_{\perp} \psi)^2, \\
\epsilon S_1 &: -\epsilon \langle \Delta_{\perp} \Psi, \Psi \rangle - \epsilon (\Delta_{\perp} \Psi)^2, \\
\epsilon S_2 &: -\epsilon \langle q, \beta_{\parallel} \rangle - \epsilon q \Delta_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel}.
\end{aligned}$$

At $O(\epsilon^2)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon S_0 &= -\epsilon^2 \nu_{\parallel} \Delta_{\perp} \partial_Z \phi - \epsilon^2 \langle \nu_{\parallel}, \partial_Z \phi \rangle + \epsilon^2 [\nu_{\parallel}, \partial_Z \psi], \\
\epsilon S_1 &= -\epsilon^2 \Delta_{\perp} \Psi \langle q, \Psi \rangle + \epsilon^2 \Delta_{\perp} \Psi \langle \beta_{\parallel}, \Psi \rangle - \epsilon^2 q \langle \Delta_{\perp} \Psi, \Psi \rangle + \epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} \langle \Delta_{\perp} \Psi, \Psi \rangle \\
&\quad + \epsilon^2 [\Delta_{\perp} \Psi, G_{\perp}^N \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel}] + \epsilon^2 q (\Delta_{\perp} \Psi)^2 - \epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} (\Delta_{\perp} \Psi)^2, \\
\epsilon S_2 &= -\epsilon^2 [q, \partial_Z \Psi] - \epsilon^2 \partial_Z^2 \beta_{\parallel}.
\end{aligned}$$

and so on for higher orders.

7.2 ψ Evolution

$$\Delta_{\perp} \partial_T \psi = \epsilon S_0 + \epsilon S_1 + \epsilon S_2 \quad , \text{ with} \tag{49}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon S_0 &= -\epsilon^2 \langle \nu_{\parallel}, \partial_Z \psi \rangle - \epsilon^2 [\nu_{\parallel}, \partial_Z \phi] - \epsilon^2 \nu_{\parallel} \Delta_{\perp} \partial_Z \psi - \epsilon \langle \Delta_{\perp} \psi, \phi \rangle + \epsilon [\Delta_{\perp} \psi, \psi] - \epsilon \Delta_{\perp} \phi \Delta_{\perp} \psi, \\
\epsilon S_1 &= -\beta_0 \epsilon^{-1} \left[\frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}, \pi \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}{1 + \epsilon q} \right) \right] - \left[\frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}, \beta_{\parallel} \right] \\
&\quad - \epsilon \beta_{\parallel} \left[\frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}, \beta_{\parallel} \right] - \cancel{\frac{1}{2} \epsilon \left[\frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}, |\nabla_{\perp} \Psi|^2 \right]} + O(\epsilon^3), \\
\epsilon S_2 &= \epsilon \left\langle \frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}, \partial_Z \Psi \right\rangle \\
&\quad + \cancel{\frac{1}{2} \epsilon \left[\frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}, |\nabla_{\perp} \Psi|^2 \right]} - \epsilon \Delta_{\perp} \Psi \left[\frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}, \Psi \right] \\
&\quad + \epsilon^2 \langle \beta_{\parallel}, \partial_Z \Psi \rangle + \epsilon(1 + \epsilon q) \Delta_{\perp} \partial_Z \Psi \\
&\quad - \epsilon^2 \langle \Delta_{\perp} \Psi, G_{\perp}^N \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} \rangle - \epsilon \frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}} [\Delta_{\perp} \Psi, \Psi] - \epsilon^2 (\partial_Z \beta_{\parallel}) \Delta_{\perp} \Psi + O(\epsilon^3).
\end{aligned}$$

Let me try this again:

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon S_0 &= -\epsilon^2 \langle \nu_{\parallel}, \partial_Z \psi \rangle - \epsilon^2 [\nu_{\parallel}, \partial_Z \phi] - \epsilon^2 \nu_{\parallel} \Delta_{\perp} \partial_Z \psi - \epsilon \langle \Delta_{\perp} \psi, \phi \rangle + \epsilon [\Delta_{\perp} \psi, \psi] - \epsilon \Delta_{\perp} \phi \Delta_{\perp} \psi, \\
\epsilon S_1 &= -\epsilon^2 \Delta_{\perp} \Psi [q, \Psi] + \epsilon^2 \Delta_{\perp} \Psi [\beta_{\parallel}, \Psi] - \beta_0 \epsilon^{-1} \left[\frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}, \pi \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}{1 + \epsilon q} \right) \right] \\
&\quad - \epsilon^2 \langle \Delta_{\perp} \Psi, G_{\perp}^N \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} \rangle - \epsilon \frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}} [\Delta_{\perp} \Psi, \Psi] - \epsilon^2 \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} \Delta_{\perp} \Psi + O(\epsilon^3), \\
\epsilon S_2 &= \epsilon^2 \langle q, \partial_Z \Psi \rangle - \epsilon [q, \beta_{\parallel}] + \epsilon \Delta_{\perp} \partial_Z \Psi + \epsilon^2 q \Delta_{\perp} \partial_Z \Psi + O(\epsilon^3).
\end{aligned}$$

There's just one β_0 -dependent term:

$$-\beta_0 \epsilon^{-1} \left[\frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}, \pi \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}{1 + \epsilon q} \right) \right] =$$

Nothing strictly at $O(1)$. At $O(\epsilon)$,

7.3 ν_{\parallel} Evolution

$$\partial_T \nu_{\parallel} = \epsilon S_0 + \epsilon S_1 + \epsilon S_2, \text{ with} \quad (50)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon S_0 &= -\epsilon^2 \nu_{\parallel} \partial_Z \nu_{\parallel} - \epsilon \langle \nu_{\parallel}, \phi \rangle + \epsilon [\nu_{\parallel}, \psi], \\
\epsilon S_1 &= -\beta_0 \frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}} \partial_Z \pi \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}{1 + \epsilon q} \right) - \cancel{\epsilon \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel}} - \cancel{\epsilon^2 q \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel}} + \cancel{\epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel}} \\
&\quad - \cancel{\epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel}} - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 \partial_Z |\nabla_{\perp} \psi|^2 + O(\epsilon^3), \\
\epsilon S_2 &= \cancel{\epsilon \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel}} + \cancel{\epsilon^2 q \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel}} - \epsilon^2 \langle \beta_{\parallel}, G_{\perp}^N \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} \rangle \\
&\quad - \epsilon [\beta_{\parallel}, \Psi] - \epsilon^2 q [\beta_{\parallel}, \Psi] + \epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} [\beta_{\parallel}, \Psi] + O(\epsilon^3).
\end{aligned}$$

Only one term changes in different regimes, namely

$$\begin{aligned}
-\beta_0 \frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}} \partial_Z \pi \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}{1 + \epsilon q} \right) &= -\beta_0 \epsilon \pi'(1) \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} + \beta_0 \epsilon \pi'(1) \partial_Z q \\
&\quad - \beta_0 \epsilon^2 \pi'(1) (q - \beta_{\parallel}) \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} + \cancel{\beta_0 \epsilon^2 \pi'(1) (q - \beta_{\parallel}) \partial_Z q} \\
&\quad - \beta_0 \epsilon^2 \pi''(1) \beta_{\parallel} \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} + \beta_0 \epsilon^2 \pi''(1) \beta_{\parallel} \partial_Z q + \beta_0 \epsilon^2 \pi''(1) q \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} - \beta_0 \epsilon^2 \pi''(1) q \partial_Z q \\
&\quad + \cancel{\beta_0 \epsilon^2 \pi'(1) \beta_{\parallel} \partial_Z q} - \cancel{2} \beta_0 \epsilon^2 \pi'(1) q \partial_Z q + \cancel{\beta_0 \epsilon^2 \pi'(1) q \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel}} + O(\epsilon^3).
\end{aligned}$$

There are no explicit $O(1)$ contributions. Considering cancellations between different S terms, the only $O(\epsilon)$ contributions are

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon S_0 &: -\epsilon \langle \nu_{\parallel}, \phi \rangle + \epsilon [\nu_{\parallel}, \psi] \\ \epsilon S_2 &: -\epsilon [\beta_{\parallel}, \Psi].\end{aligned}$$

At $O(\epsilon^2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon S_0 &: -\epsilon^2 \nu_{\parallel} \partial_Z \nu_{\parallel} \\ \epsilon S_1 &: -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 \partial_Z |\nabla_{\perp} \psi|^2 = -\epsilon^2 \nabla_{\perp} \psi \cdot \partial_Z \nabla_{\perp} \psi = -\epsilon^2 \langle \psi, \partial_Z \psi \rangle \\ \epsilon S_2 &: -\epsilon^2 \langle \beta_{\parallel}, G_{\perp}^N \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} \rangle - \epsilon^2 q [\beta_{\parallel}, \Psi] + \epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} [\beta_{\parallel}, \Psi]\end{aligned}$$

7.4 Ψ Evolution

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_T \Psi &= \epsilon G_{\perp}^D \partial_Z (\epsilon \langle \beta_{\parallel}, \psi \rangle + \epsilon [\beta_{\parallel}, \phi] + (1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}) \nabla_{\perp}^2 \psi - \epsilon \langle \nu_{\parallel}, \Psi \rangle - \epsilon [\nu_{\parallel}, \Phi] - \epsilon \nu_{\parallel} \nabla_{\perp}^2 \Psi) \\ &\quad - \epsilon G_{\perp}^D \Delta_{\perp} (\langle \phi, \Psi \rangle - \langle \psi, \Phi \rangle + [\phi, \Phi] + [\psi, \Psi]), \\ &= \epsilon^2 G_{\perp}^D \partial_Z \langle \beta_{\parallel}, \psi \rangle + \epsilon^2 G_{\perp}^D \partial_Z [\beta_{\parallel}, \phi] + \epsilon \partial_Z \psi + \epsilon^2 G_{\perp}^D (\partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} \Delta_{\perp} \psi) + \epsilon^2 G_{\perp}^D (\beta_{\parallel} \Delta_{\perp} \partial_Z \psi) \\ &\quad - \epsilon^2 G_{\perp}^D \partial_Z \langle \nu_{\parallel}, \Psi \rangle + \epsilon^3 G_{\perp}^D \partial_Z [\nu_{\parallel}, G_{\perp}^N \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel}] - \epsilon^2 G_{\perp}^D \partial_Z \nu_{\parallel} \nabla_{\perp}^2 \Psi \\ &\quad - \epsilon G_{\perp}^D \Delta_{\perp} \langle \phi, \Psi \rangle - \epsilon^2 G_{\perp}^D \Delta_{\perp} \langle \psi, G_{\perp}^N \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} \rangle + \epsilon^2 [\phi, G_{\perp}^N \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel}] - \epsilon G_{\perp}^D \Delta_{\perp} [\psi, \Psi].\end{aligned}$$

Nothing at $O(1)$. At $O(\epsilon)$,

$$\epsilon \partial_Z \psi - \epsilon G_{\perp}^D \Delta_{\perp} \langle \phi, \Psi \rangle - \epsilon G_{\perp}^D \Delta_{\perp} [\psi, \Psi].$$

At $O(\epsilon^2)$:

$$\begin{aligned}&\epsilon^2 G_{\perp}^D \partial_Z \langle \beta_{\parallel}, \psi \rangle + \epsilon^2 G_{\perp}^D \partial_Z [\beta_{\parallel}, \phi] + \epsilon^2 G_{\perp}^D (\partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} \Delta_{\perp} \psi) + \epsilon^2 G_{\perp}^D (\beta_{\parallel} \Delta_{\perp} \partial_Z \psi) \\ &- \epsilon^2 G_{\perp}^D \partial_Z \langle \nu_{\parallel}, \Psi \rangle - \epsilon^2 G_{\perp}^D \partial_Z \nu_{\parallel} \nabla_{\perp}^2 \Psi - \epsilon^2 G_{\perp}^D \Delta_{\perp} \langle \psi, G_{\perp}^N \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} \rangle + \epsilon^2 [\phi, G_{\perp}^N \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel}].\end{aligned}$$

At $O(\epsilon^3)$:

$$\epsilon^3 G_{\perp}^D \partial_Z [\nu_{\parallel}, G_{\perp}^N \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel}].$$

Nothing at higher orders.

7.5 β_{\parallel} Evolution

$$\partial_T \beta_{\parallel} = -\epsilon^2 \langle \nu_{\parallel}, G_{\perp}^N \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} \rangle - \epsilon [\nu_{\parallel}, \Psi] - \epsilon^2 \nu_{\parallel} \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} - \epsilon \langle \beta_{\parallel}, \phi \rangle + \epsilon [\beta_{\parallel}, \psi] - \Delta_{\perp} \phi - \epsilon \beta_{\parallel} \Delta_{\perp} \phi.$$

At $O(1)$, just $-\Delta_{\perp} \phi$. At $O(\epsilon)$,

$$-\epsilon [\nu_{\parallel}, \Psi] - \epsilon \langle \beta_{\parallel}, \phi \rangle + \epsilon [\beta_{\parallel}, \psi] - \epsilon \beta_{\parallel} \Delta_{\perp} \phi$$

At $O(\epsilon^2)$,

$$-\epsilon^2 \langle \nu_{\parallel}, G_{\perp}^N \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} \rangle - \epsilon^2 \nu_{\parallel} \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel}.$$

Nothing at higher order. If we want to recover evolution for $\Phi = -\epsilon G_{\perp}^N \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_T \Phi &= \epsilon^3 G_{\perp}^N \partial_Z \langle \nu_{\parallel}, G_{\perp}^N \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} \rangle + \epsilon^2 G_{\perp}^N \partial_Z [\nu_{\parallel}, \Psi] + \epsilon^3 G_{\perp}^N \partial_Z (\nu_{\parallel} \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel}) \\ &\quad + \epsilon^2 G_{\perp}^N \partial_Z \langle \beta_{\parallel}, \phi \rangle - \epsilon^2 G_{\perp}^N \partial_Z [\beta_{\parallel}, \psi] + \epsilon \partial_Z \phi + \epsilon^2 G_{\perp}^N \partial_Z (\beta_{\parallel} \Delta_{\perp} \phi).\end{aligned}$$

Existence of $\partial_T \Phi$ requires $\partial_T \iint_D \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} \, dx dy = 0$.

7.6 q Evolution

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_T q &= \epsilon \frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}} (\langle \nu_{\parallel}, \Phi \rangle - [\nu_{\parallel}, \Psi] + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel} \partial_Z \nu_{\parallel}) - \epsilon (\langle q, \phi \rangle - [q, \psi] + \epsilon \nu_{\parallel} \partial_Z q) \\
&= -\epsilon^2 \langle \nu_{\parallel}, G_{\perp}^N \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} \rangle - \epsilon [\nu_{\parallel}, \Psi] - \epsilon^2 q [\nu_{\parallel}, \Psi] + \epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} [\nu_{\parallel}, \Psi] \\
&\quad + \epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} \partial_Z \nu_{\parallel} - \epsilon \langle q, \phi \rangle + \epsilon [q, \psi] - \epsilon^2 \nu_{\parallel} \partial_Z q + O(\epsilon^3).
\end{aligned}$$

Nothing at $O(1)$. At $O(\epsilon)$,

$$-\epsilon [\nu_{\parallel}, \Psi] - \epsilon \langle q, \phi \rangle + \epsilon [q, \psi].$$

At $O(\epsilon^2)$,

$$-\epsilon^2 \langle \nu_{\parallel}, G_{\perp}^N \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} \rangle - \epsilon^2 q [\nu_{\parallel}, \Psi] + \epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} [\nu_{\parallel}, \Psi] + \epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} \partial_Z \nu_{\parallel} - \epsilon^2 \nu_{\parallel} \partial_Z q.$$

Higher order contributions come from $\frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}$ terms.

8 New Fast-Slow System

Now that we fully rewritten the equations for our dynamics, we can find the limit systems by setting $\tau = \epsilon T$, and letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

8.1 High- β Scaling

In the high- β scaling ($M_0^2 = \beta_0 = \epsilon$),

$$\Delta_{\perp} \partial_T \phi = -\Delta_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} \quad (51)$$

$$\Delta_{\perp} \partial_T \psi = 0 \quad (52)$$

$$\partial_T \nu_{\parallel} = 0 \quad (53)$$

$$\Delta_{\perp} \partial_T \Phi = 0 \quad (54)$$

$$\Delta_{\perp} \partial_T \Psi = 0 \quad (55)$$

$$\partial_T \beta_{\parallel} = -\Delta_{\perp} \phi \quad (56)$$

$$\partial_T q = 0. \quad (57)$$

Using the green's operators G_{\perp}^D and G_{\perp}^N outlined in the Appendix, we can solve the Poisson equations above and write down f_0 . To do this, we note that because $\psi, \Psi \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\phi, \Phi \in \mathcal{N}$ for all time, we also have that their derivatives $\partial_T \psi, \partial_T \Psi \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\partial_T \phi, \partial_T \Phi \in \mathcal{N}$. The remaining variables, $\nu_{\parallel}, \beta_{\parallel}$ and q are not required to satisfy boundary conditions.

Doing so, we find a fast-slow split with $x = (\psi, \nu_{\parallel}, \Phi, \Psi, q)$ and $y = (\phi, \beta_{\parallel})$. The slow variables satisfy $\dot{x} = 0$ in the limit system, and the fast variables have

$$\partial_T \phi = G_{\perp}^N \Delta_{\perp} \partial_T \phi = -G_{\perp}^N \Delta_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_T \beta_{\parallel} = -\Delta_{\perp} \phi.$$

The derivative of the limit system with respect to the fast variables is

$$D_y f_0[\delta y] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -G_{\perp}^N \Delta_{\perp} \\ -\Delta_{\perp} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta \phi \\ \delta \beta_{\parallel} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -G_{\perp}^N \Delta_{\perp} \delta \beta_{\parallel} \\ -\Delta_{\perp} \delta \phi \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \delta \bar{\phi} \\ \delta \bar{\beta}_{\parallel} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (58)$$

where $\delta \phi \in \mathcal{N}$. We are interested in whether the derivative is invertible, or only in/surjective. A linear map is injective if and only if its kernel is $\{0\}$. The kernel of this operator consists of all $\delta y \in Y$ for whom $D_y f_0(x, y)[\delta y] = 0$, or

$$\begin{bmatrix} -G_{\perp}^N \Delta_{\perp} \delta \beta_{\parallel} \\ -\Delta_{\perp} \delta \phi \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} H_{\delta \beta_{\parallel}}^N - \delta \beta_{\parallel} \\ -\Delta_{\perp} \delta \phi \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (59)$$

Because $\delta\phi \in \mathcal{N}$, we do have that $\delta\phi = G_{\perp}^N \Delta_{\perp} \delta\phi = 0$. However any harmonic function $\delta\beta_{\parallel}$ satisfies $\delta\beta_{\parallel} = H_{\delta\beta_{\parallel}}^N$, so $\ker D_y f_0 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \delta\beta_{\parallel} \end{bmatrix} \mid \Delta_{\perp} \delta\beta_{\parallel} = 0 \right\} \neq \{0\}$. Therefore, the map $D_y f_0$ is not injective.

However, it is surjective. Given any $\delta\bar{y} \in Y$, there exists a $\delta y \in Y$ such that $D_y f_0[\delta y] = \delta\bar{y}$. For example, we find one particular solution by choosing $\delta\beta_{\parallel} \in \mathcal{N}$, so that

$$\begin{bmatrix} H_{\delta\beta_{\parallel}}^N - \delta\beta_{\parallel} \\ -\Delta_{\perp} \delta\phi \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\delta\beta_{\parallel} \\ -\Delta_{\perp} \delta\phi \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \delta\bar{\phi} \\ \delta\bar{\beta}_{\parallel} \end{bmatrix} \quad (60)$$

$$\implies \begin{bmatrix} \delta\phi \\ \delta\beta_{\parallel} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -G_{\perp}^N \delta\bar{\beta}_{\parallel} \\ -\delta\bar{\phi} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (61)$$

Thus, the map $D_y f_0$ is a surjection, meaning our system is weakly fast slow.

8.2 Low-Flow Scaling

In the low-flow scaling ($M_0^2 = \epsilon^2$, $\beta_0 = 1$),

$$\Delta_{\perp} \partial_T \phi = -\Delta_{\perp} ([p'(1) + 1]\beta_{\parallel} - p'(1)q) \quad (62)$$

$$\Delta_{\perp} \partial_T \psi = 0 \quad (63)$$

$$\partial_T \nu_{\parallel} = 0 \quad (64)$$

$$\Delta_{\perp} \partial_T \Phi = 0 \quad (65)$$

$$\Delta_{\perp} \partial_T \Psi = 0 \quad (66)$$

$$\partial_T \beta_{\parallel} = -\Delta_{\perp} \phi \quad (67)$$

$$\partial_T q = 0. \quad (68)$$

Applying the green's operators as before, we again have $x = (\psi, \nu_{\parallel}, \Phi, \Psi, q)$ and $y = (\phi, \beta_{\parallel})$. This time,

$$\partial_T \phi = -[p'(1) + 1]G_{\perp}^N \Delta_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} + p'(1)G_{\perp}^N \Delta_{\perp} q. \quad (69)$$

The q term is annihilated when we take $D_y f_0(x, y)$ and we get

$$D_y f_0(x, y)[\delta y] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -[p'(1) + 1]G_{\perp}^N \Delta_{\perp} \\ -\Delta_{\perp} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta\phi \\ \delta\beta_{\parallel} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -[p'(1) + 1](\delta\beta_{\parallel} - H_{\delta\beta_{\parallel}}) \\ -\Delta_{\perp} \delta\phi \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \delta\bar{\phi} \\ \delta\bar{\beta}_{\parallel} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (70)$$

This has the same non-trivial kernel as before, so it is not injective. However, we can still find solutions for any $\delta\bar{y}$, so it is surjective, and our system is weakly fast slow. For example, when $\delta\beta_{\parallel} \in \mathcal{N}$, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \delta\phi \\ \delta\beta_{\parallel} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -G_{\perp}^N \delta\bar{\beta}_{\parallel} \\ -\delta\bar{\phi}/[p'(1) + 1] \end{bmatrix}. \quad (71)$$

8.3 Low- β Scaling

In low- β scaling ($M_0^2 = 1$, $\beta_0 = \epsilon^2$), the limit system is identical to that in high- β scaling, and we find that the split $x = (\psi, \nu_{\parallel}, \Phi, \Psi, q)$ and $y = (\phi, \beta_{\parallel})$ is weakly fast-slow in exactly the same way.

9 Asymptotic Corrections

Our solution for the trajectory of the fast variables in the limit system describes dynamics on the slow manifold, S_0 . We will now assume that the full solution depends smoothly on ϵ so that we can gradually deform S_0 into S_{ϵ} . The corresponding dynamics on S_{ϵ} can be written as $y_{\epsilon}^*(x) = y_0^*(x) + \epsilon y_1^*(x) + \epsilon^2 y_2^*(x) + \dots$, and should satisfy the invariance equation:

$$\dot{y}_{\epsilon}^*(x) = \epsilon D y_{\epsilon}^*(x)[g_{\epsilon}(x, y_{\epsilon}^*(x))] = f_{\epsilon}(x, y_{\epsilon}^*(x)). \quad (72)$$

Note that we can Taylor expand each f_k in $f_\epsilon = f_0 + \epsilon f_1 + \epsilon^2 f_2 + \dots$ around y_0^* to get

$$\begin{aligned} f_k(x, y_\epsilon^*) &= f_k(x, y_0^*) + D_y f_k(x, y_0^*)[y_\epsilon^* - y_0^*] + \frac{1}{2} D^2 f_k(x, y_0^*)[y_\epsilon^* - y_0^*]^2 + \dots \\ &= f_k(x, y_0^*) + \epsilon D_y f_k(x, y_0^*)[y_1^* + \epsilon y_2^* + \dots] + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 D^2 f_k(x, y_0^*)[y_1^* + \epsilon y_2^* + \dots]^2 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

The same applies to $g_\epsilon = g_0 + \epsilon g_1 + \epsilon^2 g_2 + \dots$.

At $O(1)$, the invariance equation is just $0 = f_0(x, y_0^*(x))$, which lets us solve for y_0^* . At $O(\epsilon)$,

$$\begin{aligned} D y_0^*[g_0(x, y_0^*)] &= f_1(x, y_0^*) + D_y f_0(x, y_0^*)[y_1^*], \quad \text{or} \\ y_1^*(x) &= [D_y f_0(x, y_0^*)]^{-1} (D y_0^*[g_0(x, y_0^*)] - f_1(x, y_0^*)). \end{aligned}$$

We will now apply this to the fast-slow split between our dependent variables $x = (\psi, \nu_\parallel, \Phi, \Psi, q)$ and $y = (\phi, \beta_\parallel)$.

The second order correction y_2^* is given by the second order contributions to the invariance equation:

$$\begin{aligned} D y_1^*[g_0(x, y_0^*)] + D y_0^*[g_1(x, y_0^*) + D_y g_0(x, y_0^*)[y_1^*]] &= f_2(x, y_0^*) + D_y f_1(x, y_0^*)[y_1^*] + D_y f_0(x, y_0^*)[y_2^*] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} D^2 f_0(x, y_0^*)[y_1^*]^2, \quad \text{or} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} y_2^*(x) &= [D_y f_0(x, y_0^*)]^{-1} \left(D y_1^*[g_0(x, y_0^*)] + D y_0^*[g_1(x, y_0^*) + D_y g_0(x, y_0^*)[y_1^*]] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - f_2(x, y_0^*) - D_y f_1(x, y_0^*)[y_1^*] - \frac{1}{2} D^2 f_0(x, y_0^*)[y_1^*]^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

9.1 High- β Scaling

The high- β ($M_0^2 = \beta_0 = \epsilon$) limit system in section (8.1) is used to solve the zeroth order condition.

$$f_0(x, y_0^*(x)) = \begin{bmatrix} -G_\perp^N \Delta_\perp \beta_{\parallel 0}^*(x) \\ -\Delta_\perp \phi_0^*(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} H_{\beta_{\parallel 0}^*} - \beta_{\parallel 0}^* \\ -\Delta_\perp \phi_0^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Because $\phi_0^* \in \mathcal{N}$, we have $\phi_0^* = G_\perp^N \Delta_\perp \phi_0^* = 0$. Any harmonic function satisfies $\beta_{\parallel 0}^* = H_{\beta_{\parallel 0}^*}$, so we have

$$y_0^*(x) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_{\parallel 0}^*(x) \end{bmatrix} \mid \Delta_\perp \beta_{\parallel 0}^* = 0 \right\}, \quad (73)$$

and its derivatives,

$$D y_0^*(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \partial_\psi \beta_{\parallel 0}^* & \partial_{\nu_\parallel} \beta_{\parallel 0}^* & \partial_\Phi \beta_{\parallel 0}^* & \partial_\Psi \beta_{\parallel 0}^* & \partial_q \beta_{\parallel 0}^* \end{bmatrix}.$$

$f_1(x, y_0)$ has the $O(\epsilon)$ contributions

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\perp \partial_T \phi &= -\nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \phi : \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \phi - \langle \Delta_\perp \phi, \phi \rangle - \Delta_\perp [\psi, \phi] - \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \psi : \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \psi + [\Delta_\perp \psi, \phi] + (\Delta_\perp \psi)^2 \\ &\quad + |\nabla_\perp \beta_\parallel|^2 - \langle q, \beta_\parallel \rangle + P' \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon \beta_\parallel}{1 + \epsilon q} \right) \Delta_\perp \beta_\parallel - P' \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon \beta_\parallel}{1 + \epsilon q} \right) \Delta_\perp q \\ &\quad + \beta_\parallel \Delta_\perp \beta_\parallel - q \Delta_\perp \beta_\parallel - \frac{1}{2} \Delta_\perp \beta_\parallel^2 - \frac{1}{2} \Delta_\perp |\beta_\perp|^2 \\ &\quad + \Delta_\perp \partial_Z \Phi + \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \Phi : \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \Phi + \langle \Delta_\perp \Phi, \Phi \rangle + \Delta_\perp [\Psi, \Phi] \\ &\quad + \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \Psi : \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \Psi - [\Delta_\perp \Psi, \Phi] - (\Delta_\perp \Psi)^2, \quad \text{and} \\ \partial_T \beta_\parallel &= \langle \nu_\parallel, \Phi \rangle - [\nu_\parallel, \Psi] - \langle \beta_\parallel, \phi \rangle + [\beta_\parallel, \psi] - \beta_\parallel \Delta_\perp \phi. \end{aligned}$$

$f_1(x, y_0^*)$ is given by inserting (73) into the above expression. That is, by setting $\phi_0^* = \Delta_\perp \beta_{\parallel 0}^* = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_\perp \partial_T \phi_0^* &= -\nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \psi : \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \psi + (\Delta_\perp \psi)^2 \\
&\quad + |\nabla_\perp \beta_{\parallel 0}^*|^2 - \langle q, \beta_{\parallel 0}^* \rangle - P' \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}{1 + \epsilon q} \right) \Delta_\perp q \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \Delta_\perp \beta_{\parallel 0}^{*2} - \frac{1}{2} \Delta_\perp |\beta_\perp|^2 \\
&\quad + \Delta_\perp \partial_Z \Phi + \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \Phi : \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \Phi + \langle \Delta_\perp \Phi, \Phi \rangle + \Delta_\perp [\Psi, \Phi] \\
&\quad + \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \Psi : \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \Psi - [\Delta_\perp \Psi, \Phi] - (\Delta_\perp \Psi)^2, \quad \text{and} \\
\partial_T \beta_{\parallel 0}^* &= \langle \nu_{\parallel}, \Phi \rangle - [\nu_{\parallel}, \Psi] + [\beta_{\parallel 0}^*, \psi].
\end{aligned}$$

$\partial_T \phi_0^* \in \mathcal{N}$ still has homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions, so

$O(\epsilon)$ contributions to $g_0(x, y)$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_\perp \partial_T \psi &= -\langle \Delta_\perp \psi, \phi \rangle + [\Delta_\perp \psi, \psi] - \Delta_\perp \phi \Delta_\perp \psi - [q, \beta_{\parallel}] + \Delta_\perp \partial_Z \Psi \\
&\quad + \langle \Delta_\perp \Psi, \Phi \rangle - [\Delta_\perp \Psi, \Psi] + \Delta_\perp \Phi \Delta_\perp \Psi, \\
\partial_T \nu_{\parallel} &= -\langle \nu_{\parallel}, \phi \rangle + [\nu_{\parallel}, \psi] - \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} + \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} + \langle \beta_{\parallel}, \Phi \rangle - [\beta_{\parallel}, \Psi], \\
\Delta_\perp \partial_T \Phi &= \Delta_\perp \partial_Z \phi, \\
\Delta_\perp \partial_T \Psi &= -\Delta_\perp (\langle \phi, \Psi \rangle - \langle \psi, \Phi \rangle + [\phi, \Phi] + [\psi, \Psi] - \partial_Z \psi), \quad \text{and} \\
\partial_T q &= \langle \nu_{\parallel}, \Phi \rangle - [\nu_{\parallel}, \Psi] - \langle q, \phi \rangle + [q, \psi], \text{good}
\end{aligned}$$

which greatly simplify for $g_0(x, y_0^*(x))$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \partial_T \psi \\ \partial_T \nu_{\parallel} \\ \partial_T \Phi \\ \partial_T \Psi \\ \partial_T q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_Z \Psi + G_\perp^D \left([\Delta_\perp \psi, \psi] - [q, \beta_{\parallel 0}^*] + \langle \Delta_\perp \Psi, \Phi \rangle - [\Delta_\perp \Psi, \Psi] + \Delta_\perp \Phi \Delta_\perp \Psi \right) \\ [\nu_{\parallel}, \psi] + \langle \beta_{\parallel 0}^*, \Phi \rangle - [\beta_{\parallel 0}^*, \Psi] \\ 0 \\ \partial_Z \psi + G_\perp^D \Delta_\perp (\langle \psi, \Phi \rangle - [\psi, \Psi]) \\ \langle \nu_{\parallel}, \Phi \rangle - [\nu_{\parallel}, \Psi] + [q, \psi] \end{bmatrix}, \text{good}$$

***These can be simplified with the $\langle \rangle \pm []$ identities I have.

Hey, notice $[\phi, \Phi] \in \mathcal{D}$, so that $G_\perp^D \Delta_\perp [\phi, \Phi] = [\phi, \Phi]$. Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\phi, \Phi] \mathbf{n} &= \mathbf{e}_Z \times [\mathbf{n} \times (\nabla_\perp \phi \times \nabla_\perp \Phi)] + (\mathbf{e}_Z \times \mathbf{n}) (\nabla_\perp \phi \times \nabla_\perp \Phi) \\
&= \mathbf{e}_Z \times [(\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla_\perp \Phi) \nabla_\perp \phi - (\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla_\perp \phi) \nabla_\perp \Phi] = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial D.
\end{aligned}$$

I still can't figure out whether something similar works for $\langle \phi, \Phi \rangle$, or for different entries.

9.2 Low-Flow Scaling

9.3 Low- β Scaling

10 Conclusion

Also note that our limit system admits wave solutions, reproducing the phenomenon of compressional Alfvén waves (***). Taking second time derivative of both sides,

$$\ddot{\phi} = -G_\perp^N \Delta_\perp \dot{\beta}_{\parallel} = -G_\perp^N \Delta_\perp (-\Delta_\perp \phi) \quad (74)$$

$$= G_\perp^N \Delta_\perp \Delta_\perp \phi = \Delta_\perp \phi - H_{\Delta_\perp \phi} \quad (75)$$

$$\ddot{\beta}_{\parallel} = -\Delta_\perp \dot{\phi} = -\Delta_\perp (-G_\perp^N \Delta_\perp \beta_{\parallel}) \quad (76)$$

$$= \Delta_\perp^2 \beta_{\parallel}. \quad (77)$$

Go find the weird conserved quantity in Morrison's paper, in terms of variables x and y , and substitute $y = y_\epsilon^*(x)$ to identify contributions to the quantity at different orders.

11 Appendix

11.1 How to Solve Poisson Equations

Lemma 1. $\Delta_{\perp} f = s$ has a solution $(\exists f) \iff \int_{\partial D} \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla_{\perp} f \, dl = \iint_D s \, dxdy$.

Proof. Forward direction is just Divergence theorem. Backwards direction has difficult proof on stack exchange. \square

Lemma 2. If two functions f and g have the same BCs, then $f - g$ has h BCs of the same type.

Proof. If f, g have the same D BCs, then $f = g = a$ on ∂D for some function a , so that $f - g = 0$ on ∂D . If f, g have the same N BCs and average value, then $\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla_{\perp} f = \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla_{\perp} g = b$ on ∂D for some function b , and $\iint_D f \, dA = \iint_D g \, dA = c$ for some constant c . Thus, $\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla_{\perp} (f - g) = 0$ on ∂D , and $\iint_D (f - g) \, dA = 0$. \square

Lemma 3. The unique harmonic function with h BCs is $f = 0$.

Proof. General form for harmonic function on disc is

$$f(r, \theta) = \frac{A_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n r^n \cos n\theta + B_n r^n \sin n\theta). \quad (78)$$

If f has hD BCs, $A_n = B_n = 0$ to eliminate dependence on θ , and $A_0 = 0$ to set function value to zero. If f has hN BCs, then

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla_{\perp} f(r, \theta) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial r}(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n A_n r^{n-1} \cos n\theta + n B_n r^{n-1} \sin n\theta) = 0 \quad (79)$$

requires $A_n = B_n = 0$. Then, $\iint_D f \, dxdy = \frac{A_0}{2} xy = 0$ requires $A_0 = 0$. Thus $f(r, \theta) = 0$ everywhere. \square

Proof. MUCH easier to use principle that harmonic functions take max/min on boundary. If boundary is 0, then $f = 0$. If deriv on boundary is 0, then the function must be constant (or else the function would achieve a max or min somewhere else than the boundary). Only constant with average value zero is $f = 0$. \square

Theorem 1. The solution to $\Delta_{\perp} f = s$ with either D BCs or N BCs + average value is unique.

Proof. Let $\Delta_{\perp} f = \Delta_{\perp} g = s$, and $f = g = b$. Then $f - g$ has homogeneous BCs by (Lemma 2), and $\Delta_{\perp}(f - g) = 0$. By (Lemma 3), $f - g = 0$, and $f = g$. \square

Proof. Wikipedia gives a more direct way of accomplishing this (Uniqueness Theorem for Poisson's Equation) which I can probably just reference without the previous lemmas. \square

This shows that $\Delta_{\perp} : L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ is an injection on functions whose D or N BCs are specified. Thus, if we desire solutions of $\Delta_{\perp} f = s$ with specific BCs, we are able to invert Δ_{\perp} . The following accomplishes this for hD BCs.

Definition 1. Define the operator $G_{\perp}^D : L^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, which maps a function $s \in L^2$ to the unique function $f \in \mathcal{D}$ for which $\Delta_{\perp} f = s$. That is, $G_{\perp}^D s = G_{\perp}^D \Delta_{\perp} f = f \in \mathcal{D}$.

This will have $G_{\perp}^D \Delta_{\perp} g = g - H_g^D$ for $g \notin \mathcal{D}$.

The following operator inverts Laplacians, returning functions with hN BCs:

Definition 2. Define the operator $G_{\perp}^N : L^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$, which maps a function $s \in L^2$ to the unique function $f \in \mathcal{N}$ for which $\Delta_{\perp} f = s$. That is, $G_{\perp}^N s = G_{\perp}^N \Delta_{\perp} f = f \in \mathcal{N}$.

$G_{\perp}^N \Delta_{\perp}$ acts like the identity when restricted to \mathcal{N} , while $\Delta_{\perp} G_{\perp}^N s = \Delta_{\perp} f = s$ acts like the identity for any $s \in L^2$. We would like to compute $G_{\perp}^N s$ for several specific cases in our paper.

We will now define a useful functional for computing $G_{\perp}^N \Delta_{\perp}$ when $f \notin \mathcal{N}$, and will then use this to calculate $G_{\perp}^N s$ for any $s \in L^2$.

G_{\perp}^N is linear: It is the inverse of a linear operator (Restriction of Δ_{\perp} to \mathcal{N}).

**Show G_{\perp}^N is a derivation (linear and Leibniz). Then it has product rule.

G_{\perp}^D and G_{\perp}^N commute with ∂_Z . Proof: $G_{\perp}^D \partial_Z s = G_{\perp}^D \partial_Z \Delta_{\perp} f = G_{\perp}^D \Delta_{\perp} \partial_Z f = \partial_Z f = \partial_Z G_{\perp}^D s$. Note, this is only okay to say, because in order for $f \in \mathcal{D}$, we have to have $f = 0$ on ∂D for every disc, or more generally, $f = 0$ on ∂Q . This means changing from one disc to another does not change f : $\partial_Z f = 0$ on ∂Q .

Definition 3. Define H_g^D and H_g^N as the unique harmonic functions with the same Dirichlet and Neumann boundary data as g respectively. That is, $\Delta_{\perp} H_g^D \Delta_{\perp} H_g^N = 0$,

$$H_g^D = g \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla_{\perp} H_g^N = \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla_{\perp} g \quad \text{on} \quad \partial D, \quad \text{and} \quad \iint_D H_g^N \, dxdy = \iint_D g \, dxdy. \quad (80)$$

Existence of H_g requires that $\int_{\partial D} \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla_{\perp} H_g \, dl = \int_{\partial D} \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla_{\perp} g \, dl = 0$ by (Lemma 1). This can be rewritten as $\int_{\partial D} \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla_{\perp} g \, dl = \iint_D s \, dxdy = 0$ for $s = \Delta_{\perp} g$. By (Lemma 1), H_g is unique because it is harmonic with boundary conditions. Because $H_{ag_1 + bg_2}$ is unique, and because $\Delta_{\perp}(aH_{g_1} + bH_{g_2}) = a\Delta_{\perp} H_{g_1} + b\Delta_{\perp} H_{g_2} = 0$ (assuming H_{g_1} and H_{g_2} both exist for a given g_1 and g_2), $H_{ag_1 + bg_2} = aH_{g_1} + bH_{g_2}$ is linear.

This definition is helpful because for any g , (Lemma 2) shows $g - H_g \in \mathcal{N}$. For example, in the case where $g \in \mathcal{N}$ already, $H_g = 0$, and so $g - H_g \in \mathcal{N}$. In the case where $\Delta_{\perp} h = 0$, $H_h = h$, so $h - H_h = 0 \in \mathcal{N}$. Importantly though, linearity shows that $(g + h) - H_{(g+h)} = g + h - H_g - H_h = g - H_g$ for any harmonic function h .

The above facts help us find $f = G_{\perp}^N s \in \mathcal{N}$ if we are also given $s = \Delta_{\perp} g$. Rewriting $g = H_g + (g - H_g)$, this is $s = \Delta_{\perp}(g - H_g)$. Because $g - H_g \in \mathcal{N}$, and $f \in \mathcal{N}$ is unique, $f = g - H_g$. In general,

$$\Delta_{\perp} G_{\perp}^N = \text{id} \quad \text{and} \quad G_{\perp}^N \Delta_{\perp} = \text{id} - H.$$

If instead we want to solve $\Delta_{\perp} f = s = (\Delta_{\perp} g)^2$,

Note: Can't use Green's function $G(\rho, \rho') = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln |\rho - \rho'|$. Only satisfies appropriate BCs for the infinite plane, not the disc. Correction to this must also have $\Delta_{\perp} \tilde{G} = \delta$ (or $\Delta_{\perp} \tilde{G} = 0$?), and obey correct BCs. Too complicated to write down generally without considering symmetry of specific s sources.

11.2 Green's Functions

For a linear operator L of Sturm-Liouville type, solutions of $Lf = s$ with sufficient BCs on $\partial\Omega$ are unique, and are given by

$$f(x) = \int_{\Omega} (G(x, x') + \Gamma(x, x')) s(x') \, dx',$$

where $G(x, x')$ is the so-called fundamental solution to $LG = \delta(x - x')$ for L , and Γ is a correction so that $G + \Gamma$ has the same BCs as f . In our case, $L = \Delta_{\perp}$ is the 2D Laplace operator, whose fundamental solution is

$$G(R, R') = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln |R - R'|,$$

$R = \sqrt{X^2 + Y^2}$, and $\Omega = D$ is the poloidal disc. In some cases, the fundamental solution might already satisfy the desired boundary conditions so that $\Gamma = 0$ (for example if we choose $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$ and $f = 0 = \Gamma$ at infinity). However, in our case, $G \notin \mathcal{D}$ and $G \notin \mathcal{N}$, so $\Gamma \neq 0$. Instead, we introduce $R^* := R'/|R'|^2$ and have

$$G(R, R') = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln |R - R'| + \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln |R'| |R - R^*|.$$

This lets us define the operator $G_{\perp}^D : s(R) \mapsto \int G(R, R') s(R') \, dR'$ explicitly.

G doesn't actually need to be symmetric, it's just that a lot of them are (in order to pick a unique fundamental solution). All that is really required of a Green's function is that $LG = \delta$ and that it satisfies the right boundary conditions. <https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/2538616/2d-greens-function-on-a-disk-with-radius-a> <https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/2571025/property-of-the-greens-function?rq=1>

11.3 Boundary Conditions for β_{\parallel}

Divergence theorem argument: Consider a flux tube going from z_1 to z_2 . Because $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$,

$$\iiint_V (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}) dV = \iint_{\partial V} (\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{n}) dA = 0.$$

However, we specifically have the boundary condition that $\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$ on ∂Q , so we only need to consider contributions from the poloidal discs. Then the resulting integral becomes

$$\iint_D B_z(x, y, z_2) dx dy = \iint_D B_z(x, y, z_1) dx dy.$$

Thus, we are free to set $B_z(x, y, z) = B_z(x, y) = B_0 (1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}(x, y))$, where only B_0 contributes to the total flux. That is,

$$\iint_D B_z dx dy = B_0 A + \epsilon B_0 \iint_D \beta_{\parallel}(x, y) dx dy = B_0 A.$$

This shows we can choose $\beta_{\parallel}(x, y)$ to have boundary conditions where $\iint_D \beta_{\parallel} dx dy = 0$.

Definition of current is $\mathbf{J} = \sum_{\sigma} q_{\sigma} n_{\sigma} \mathbf{v}_{\sigma}$. This makes it look like $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$ on ∂Q might imply that $\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$. However, \mathbf{v} is the mass-weighted center of mass velocity $\mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{\sigma} m_{\sigma} n_{\sigma} \mathbf{v}_{\sigma}$ which mostly aligns with the ion velocity. If we do assume that $\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$, then Ampere's law (low-freq version for MHD excludes $\partial_t \mathbf{E}$ term) is $\mu_0 \mathbf{J} = \nabla \times \mathbf{B}$. Refer to section 3.3 on Faraday's Law to expand the following.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \mu_0 \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{n} &= \mu_0 \mathbf{J}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\perp} = (\nabla \times \mathbf{B})_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\perp} \\ &= (\mathbf{e}_z \times \partial_z \mathbf{B}_{\perp}) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\perp} - (\mathbf{e}_z \times \nabla_{\perp} B_z) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\perp} \\ &= \epsilon B_0 (\mathbf{e}_z \times \partial_z \beta_{\perp}) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\perp} - (\mathbf{e}_z \times \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel}) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\perp}. \end{aligned}$$

Dotting with \mathbf{n}_{\perp} and crossing with \mathbf{e}_z can both be undone, to get just $\partial_z \beta_{\perp} = \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel}$. We already have that $\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$, which we can use to find that $\partial_z \beta_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$, or that $\nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$, according to above. This gives us a Neumann boundary condition on β_{\parallel} .

11.4 Fréchet Derivatives

Need to determine what is useful from Finn's definitions and my examples. *Given that we will work primarily on infinite dimensional space, we introduce a generalisation of the Jacobian infinite dimensional space.*

Definition 4. *Let U and E be Banach spaces, and $f : U \rightarrow E$ a map between F and E . We say that f is Fréchet differentiable if there exists a bounded linear operator $A : U \rightarrow E$ such that*

$$\lim_{\|h\|_U \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f(x+h) - f(x) - A(h)\|_E}{\|h\|_U} = 0 \quad (81)$$

In such a case, we call A the Fréchet derivative of f .

In other words, the Fréchet derivative of a function f is the best linear approximation of f at a given point $x \in U$. Thus we can view the Fréchet derivative as a map

$$Df(x) : U \rightarrow \text{Hom}(U, E) \quad (82)$$

which returns a linear operator at each point. Furthermore, if a function is Fréchet differentiable, we may compute it using the gateaux or directional derivative;

$$Df(u)[h] = \left. \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \right|_0 f(u + \epsilon h). \quad (83)$$

Where $h \in U$ can be viewed as a displacement vector. In the case that the domain is the Cartesian product of Banach spaces, we introduce the notation for taking the Fréchet derivative with respect to one component.

Definition 5. Let $f : X \times Y \rightarrow V$ be a Fréchet differentiable function between Banach spaces. Then the Fréchet derivative with respect to (for instance) X is written

$$D_x f(x, y)[\delta x] = \left. \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \right|_0 f(x + \epsilon \delta x, y) \quad (84)$$

For some function $f : U \rightarrow E$ the total derivative at a point u is written $Df(u) : U \rightarrow E$. The action of the derivative on some displacement h from u is called the directional derivative,

$$Df(u)[h] = \left. \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \right|_0 f(u + \epsilon h). \quad (85)$$

Notice that when f is linear, we just have $Df(u)[h] = \left. \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \right|_0 (f(u) + \epsilon f(h)) = f(h)$.

When the domain of f is factored, as in $f : U \times V \rightarrow E$, the partial derivative with respect to one factor, for instance U , is $D_u f(u, v) : U \rightarrow E$.

$$D_u f(u, v)[\delta u] = Df(u, v)[\delta u, 0] = \left. \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \right|_0 f(u + \epsilon \delta u, v) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(u, v)[\delta u]. \quad (86)$$

Directional derivatives can also be written using this partial derivative notation: $Df(u)[h] = \hat{h} \cdot \nabla f(u)$. Note that the directional derivative along the coordinate velocity, $h = \dot{u} = \delta u / \delta t$ acts as a time derivative, if we permit the substitution $\epsilon = t / \delta t$:

$$Df(u)[\dot{u}] = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 f(u + t\dot{u}) = \frac{1}{\delta t} \left. \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \right|_0 f(u + \epsilon \delta u) = \frac{\dot{u}}{\delta t} \cdot \nabla f(u) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(u).$$

Just as the directional derivative acts on displacements in the domain of f by matrix multiplication, the time derivative acts on changes in time by multiplication to give corresponding changes in the function's output.

11.5 Identities Used in Section 7

In this section, I also made heavy use of several vector calculus identities, which are helpful to derive and list here. f stands for any scalar function, for instance q or β_{\parallel} .

$$\nabla_{\perp}^2 \langle f, g \rangle = \nabla_{\perp} f \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp}^2 g - \nabla_{\perp} g \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp}^2 f + 2 \nabla_{\perp} \cdot (\nabla_{\perp} g \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp} f) \quad (87)$$

$$= \langle f, \nabla_{\perp}^2 g \rangle - \langle \nabla_{\perp}^2 f, g \rangle + 2 \partial_j (\partial_i g \partial_i \partial_j f) \quad (88)$$

$$= \langle f, \nabla_{\perp}^2 g \rangle - \langle \nabla_{\perp}^2 f, g \rangle + 2 (\partial_i \partial_j g \partial_i \partial_j f + \partial_i g \partial_i \partial_j \partial_j f) \quad (89)$$

$$= \langle \nabla_{\perp}^2 f, g \rangle + \langle f, \nabla_{\perp}^2 g \rangle + 2 \nabla_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp} f : \nabla_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp} g, \text{ CHECKED} \quad (90)$$

$$\nabla_{\perp}^2 [f, g] = \mathbf{e}_z \cdot \nabla_{\perp}^2 (\nabla_{\perp} f \times \nabla_{\perp} g) = \delta_{k3} \partial_a \partial_a (\epsilon_{ijk} \partial_i f \partial_j g) \quad (91)$$

$$= \delta_{k3} \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_a (\partial_i \partial_a f \partial_j g + \partial_i f \partial_j \partial_a g) \quad (92)$$

$$= \delta_{k3} \epsilon_{ijk} (\partial_i \partial_a \partial_a f \partial_j g + 2 \partial_i \partial_a f \partial_j \partial_a g + \partial_i f \partial_j \partial_a \partial_a g) \quad (93)$$

$$= \mathbf{e}_z \cdot (\nabla_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp}^2 f \times \nabla_{\perp} g + 2 \nabla_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp} f \times \nabla_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp} g + \nabla_{\perp} f) \quad (94)$$

$$= [\nabla_{\perp}^2 f, g] + [f, \nabla_{\perp}^2 g] + 2 \mathbf{e}_z \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp} f \times \nabla_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp} g, \text{ CHECKED} \quad (95)$$

Clearly, the brackets do not satisfy a Leibniz rule for Laplacian operators. They do however both satisfy

$$\partial_a \langle f, g \rangle = \partial_a (\partial_i f \partial_i g) = \langle \partial_a f, g \rangle + \langle f, \partial_a g \rangle \quad (96)$$

$$\partial_a [f, g] = \delta_{k3} \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_a (\partial_i f \partial_j g) = [\partial_a f, g] + [f, \partial_a g]. \quad (97)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathbf{w}_\perp|^2 &= |\nabla_\perp \phi|^2 + |\nabla_\perp \psi|^2 + 2[\psi, \phi] \\
\frac{1}{2} \nabla_\perp |\nabla_\perp \phi|^2 &= \nabla_\perp \phi \cdot \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \phi \\
\frac{1}{2} \langle f, |\nabla_\perp \phi|^2 \rangle &= \nabla_\perp f \cdot \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \phi \cdot \nabla_\perp \phi \quad (\text{similar with } [,] \text{ and with } \psi) \text{CHECKED} \\
\frac{1}{2} \langle f, |\mathbf{w}_\perp|^2 \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \langle f, |\nabla_\perp \phi|^2 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle f, |\nabla_\perp \psi|^2 \rangle + \langle f, [\psi, \phi] \rangle \\
&= \nabla_\perp f \cdot \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \phi \cdot \nabla_\perp \phi + \nabla_\perp f \cdot \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \psi \cdot \nabla_\perp \psi + \langle f, [\psi, \phi] \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Dyads like $\nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \phi$ are symmetric, so I am being sloppy with keeping track of which side things are placed.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta_\perp |\nabla_\perp \phi|^2 &= \nabla_\perp \cdot (\nabla_\perp \phi \cdot \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \phi) \\
&= \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \phi : \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \phi + \nabla_\perp \phi \cdot \nabla_\perp \Delta_\perp \phi \\
&= \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \phi : \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \phi + \langle \Delta_\perp \phi, \phi \rangle \text{CHECKED} \\
\frac{1}{2} \Delta_\perp |\mathbf{w}_\perp|^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \Delta_\perp |\nabla_\perp \phi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \Delta_\perp |\nabla_\perp \psi|^2 + \Delta_\perp [\psi, \phi] \\
&= \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \phi : \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \phi + \langle \Delta_\perp \phi, \phi \rangle + \Delta_\perp [\psi, \phi] \\
&\quad + \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \psi : \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \psi + \langle \Delta_\perp \psi, \psi \rangle \text{CHECKED.}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{w}_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp \mathbf{w}_\perp = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_\perp |\mathbf{w}_\perp|^2 + (\nabla_\perp \times \mathbf{w}_\perp) \times \mathbf{w}_\perp \quad (98)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \nabla_\perp |\mathbf{w}_\perp|^2 + \nabla_\perp^2 \psi \mathbf{e}_z \times \mathbf{w}_\perp. \text{CHECKED} \quad (99)$$

$$\nabla_\perp \cdot (\Delta_\perp \psi \mathbf{e}_z \times \mathbf{w}_\perp) = (\nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp^2 \psi \times \mathbf{e}_z) \cdot \mathbf{w}_\perp - (\nabla_\perp \times \mathbf{w}_\perp) \cdot \nabla_\perp^2 \psi \mathbf{e}_z \quad (100)$$

$$= -\langle \nabla_\perp^2 \psi, \psi \rangle - [\nabla_\perp^2 \psi, \phi] - (\nabla_\perp^2 \psi)^2 \quad (101)$$

$$\nabla_\perp \cdot (\mathbf{w}_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp \mathbf{w}_\perp) = \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \phi : \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \phi + \langle \nabla_\perp^2 \phi, \phi \rangle + \nabla_\perp^2 [\psi, \phi] \quad (102)$$

$$+ \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \psi : \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \psi - [\nabla_\perp^2 \psi, \phi] - (\nabla_\perp^2 \psi)^2. \text{CHECKED} \quad (103)$$

$$\mathbf{e}_z \cdot \nabla_\perp \times (\mathbf{w}_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp \mathbf{w}_\perp) = -\mathbf{e}_z \cdot \nabla_\perp \times (\nabla_\perp^2 \psi \mathbf{w}_\perp \times \mathbf{e}_z) = \mathbf{e}_z \cdot (\nabla_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp^2 \psi \mathbf{w}_\perp) \mathbf{e}_z \quad (104)$$

$$= \nabla_\perp (\nabla_\perp^2 \psi) \cdot \mathbf{w}_\perp + \nabla_\perp^2 \phi \nabla_\perp^2 \psi \quad (105)$$

$$= \langle \nabla_\perp^2 \psi, \phi \rangle - [\nabla_\perp^2 \psi, \psi] + \nabla_\perp^2 \phi \nabla_\perp^2 \psi. \text{CHECKED} \quad (106)$$

$$\nabla_\perp f \cdot (\mathbf{w}_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp \mathbf{w}_\perp) = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_\perp f \cdot \nabla_\perp |\mathbf{w}_\perp|^2 + \nabla_\perp^2 \psi \nabla_\perp f \cdot (\mathbf{e}_z \times \mathbf{w}_\perp) \quad (107)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \langle f, |\mathbf{w}_\perp|^2 \rangle - \nabla_\perp^2 \psi (\langle f, \psi \rangle + [f, \phi]). \text{CHECKED} \quad (108)$$

$$\mathbf{e}_z \cdot \nabla_\perp f \times (\mathbf{w}_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp \mathbf{w}_\perp) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{e}_z \cdot \nabla_\perp f \times \nabla_\perp |\mathbf{w}_\perp|^2 + \nabla_\perp^2 \psi \mathbf{e}_z \cdot \nabla_\perp f \times (\mathbf{e}_z \times \mathbf{w}_\perp) \quad (109)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} [f, |\mathbf{w}_\perp|^2] + \nabla_\perp^2 \psi (\langle f, \phi \rangle - [f, \psi]). \text{CHECKED} \quad (110)$$

The above calculations can also be performed using dyadic notation, where we define $\boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp = \langle \phi, \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp \rangle + [\psi, \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp] := \nabla_\perp \phi \cdot \nabla_\perp \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp + (\mathbf{e}_z \times \nabla_\perp \psi) \cdot \nabla_\perp \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp$. For example, in index notation, we get

$$\nabla_\perp \cdot (\boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp) = \partial_i \partial_j \phi \partial_i \nu_j + \partial_i \phi \partial_i \partial_j \nu_j + \partial_l (\epsilon_{ijk} e_i \partial_j \psi) \partial_k \nu_l + (\epsilon_{ijk} e_i \partial_j \psi) \partial_k \partial_l \nu_l \quad (111)$$

$$:= \nabla_\perp \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp : (\nabla_\perp \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp)^\top + \nabla_\perp \phi \cdot \nabla_\perp (\nabla_\perp^2 \phi) + (\mathbf{e}_z \times \nabla_\perp \psi) \cdot \nabla_\perp (\nabla_\perp^2 \phi) \quad (112)$$

$$= \nabla_\perp \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp : (\nabla_\perp \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp)^\top + \langle \phi, \nabla_\perp^2 \phi \rangle + [\psi, \nabla_\perp^2 \phi]. \quad (113)$$

(This ^ ^ is still incomplete). Note that $\text{tr} [\nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \phi] = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \nabla_\perp^2 \phi$, and $\det [\nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \phi] = \lambda_1 \lambda_2$, so that

$$\nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \phi : \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \phi = \text{tr} [\nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \phi \cdot \nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \phi] = \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2 - 2\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \quad (114)$$

$$= (\nabla_\perp^2 \phi)^2 - 2 \det [\nabla_\perp \nabla_\perp \phi]. \quad (115)$$

Several terms in my results involve brackets containing the fraction $\frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}}$ or its inverse in place of $1+\epsilon r$. This quantity has the following expansion in ϵ :

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}} &= (1+\epsilon q) \frac{1}{1-(-\epsilon\beta_{\parallel})} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-\epsilon\beta_{\parallel})^n + \epsilon q \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\epsilon\beta_{\parallel})^{n-1} \\ &= 1 + \left(1 - \frac{q}{\beta_{\parallel}}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\epsilon\beta_{\parallel})^n \\ &= 1 + \epsilon (q - \beta_{\parallel}) - \epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} (q - \beta_{\parallel}) + O(\epsilon^3).\end{aligned}$$

Derivatives of this quantity and its inverse are listed below. Notice that while $\frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}} = O(1)$, its derivatives are order $O(\epsilon)$. ***Note, need to actually compute some of these to various orders for different calculations.

$$\partial_Z \left(\frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}} \right) = \left(1 - \frac{q}{\beta_{\parallel}}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n (-\epsilon\beta_{\parallel})^n \left(\frac{\partial_Z \beta_{\parallel}}{\beta_{\parallel}} \right) - \partial_Z \left(\frac{q}{\beta_{\parallel}} \right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\epsilon\beta_{\parallel})^n \quad (116)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\epsilon\beta_{\parallel})^n \frac{1}{\beta_{\parallel}} \left[\left(n - \frac{nq}{\beta_{\parallel}} + \frac{q}{\beta_{\parallel}} \right) \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} - \partial_Z q \right] \quad (117)$$

$$= \epsilon (\partial_Z q - \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel}) - \epsilon^2 [\beta_{\parallel} \partial_Z q - (2\beta_{\parallel} - q) \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel}] + O(\epsilon^3) \quad (118)$$

$$= \epsilon \partial_Z q - \epsilon \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} - \epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} \partial_Z q + 2\epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} - \epsilon^2 q \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} + O(\epsilon^3) \quad (119)$$

$$\nabla_{\perp} \left(\frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}} \right) = \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}} \nabla_{\perp} q - \epsilon \frac{1+\epsilon q}{(1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel})^2} \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel}, \text{CHECKED} \quad (120)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\epsilon\beta_{\parallel})^n \frac{1}{\beta_{\parallel}} \left[\left(n - \frac{nq}{\beta_{\parallel}} + \frac{q}{\beta_{\parallel}} \right) \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} - \nabla_{\perp} q \right] \quad (121)$$

$$= \epsilon (\nabla_{\perp} q - \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel}) - \epsilon^2 [\beta_{\parallel} \nabla_{\perp} q - (2\beta_{\parallel} - q) \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel}] + O(\epsilon^3) \quad (122)$$

$$= \epsilon \nabla_{\perp} q - \epsilon \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} - \epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} \nabla_{\perp} q + 2\epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} - \epsilon^2 q \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} + O(\epsilon^3) \quad (123)$$

$$\Delta_{\perp} \left(\frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}} \right) = \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}} \nabla_{\perp}^2 q - \epsilon \frac{1+\epsilon q}{(1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel})^2} \nabla_{\perp}^2 \beta_{\parallel} \quad (124)$$

$$- \frac{2\epsilon^2}{(1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel})^2} \langle \beta_{\parallel}, q \rangle + \frac{2\epsilon^2(1+\epsilon q)}{(1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel})^3} |\nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel}|^2, \text{CHECKED} \quad (125)$$

$$= \epsilon (\Delta_{\perp} q - \Delta_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel}) - \epsilon^2 [\beta_{\parallel} \Delta_{\perp} q - (2\beta_{\parallel} - q) \Delta_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel}] \quad (126)$$

$$- 2\epsilon^2 [\langle \beta_{\parallel}, q \rangle + |\nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel}|^2] + O(\epsilon^3). \quad (127)$$

$$\Delta_{\perp} \left(\frac{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}}{1+\epsilon q} \right) = \epsilon (\Delta_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} - \Delta_{\perp} q) - \epsilon^2 [q \Delta_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} - (2q - \beta_{\parallel}) \Delta_{\perp} q] \quad (128)$$

$$- 2\epsilon^2 [\langle q, \beta_{\parallel} \rangle + |\nabla_{\perp} q|^2] + O(\epsilon^3). \quad (129)$$

$$= \epsilon \Delta_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} - \epsilon \Delta_{\perp} q - \epsilon^2 q \Delta_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} + 2\epsilon^2 q \Delta_{\perp} q - \epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} \Delta_{\perp} q \quad (130)$$

$$- 2\epsilon^2 \langle q, \beta_{\parallel} \rangle - 2\epsilon^2 |\nabla_{\perp} q|^2 + O(\epsilon^3) \quad (131)$$

$$\left\langle \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}}, f \right\rangle = \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}} \langle q, f \rangle - \epsilon \frac{1+\epsilon q}{(1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel})^2} \langle \beta_{\parallel}, f \rangle, \text{CHECKED} \quad (132)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\epsilon\beta_{\parallel})^n \frac{1}{\beta_{\parallel}} \left[\left(n - \frac{nq}{\beta_{\parallel}} + \frac{q}{\beta_{\parallel}} \right) \langle \beta_{\parallel}, f \rangle - \langle q, f \rangle \right] \quad (133)$$

$$= \epsilon (\langle q, f \rangle - \langle \beta_{\parallel}, f \rangle) - \epsilon^2 [\beta_{\parallel} \langle q, f \rangle - (2\beta_{\parallel} - q) \langle \beta_{\parallel}, f \rangle] + O(\epsilon^3) \quad (134)$$

$$= \epsilon \langle q, f \rangle - \epsilon \langle \beta_{\parallel}, f \rangle - \epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} \langle q, f \rangle + 2\epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} \langle \beta_{\parallel}, f \rangle - \epsilon^2 q \langle \beta_{\parallel}, f \rangle + O(\epsilon^3) \quad (135)$$

$$\left\langle \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}}, f^2 \right\rangle = 2f \left\langle \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}}, f \right\rangle, \text{CHECKED} \quad (136)$$

$$\left\langle \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}}, \frac{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}}{1+\epsilon q} \right\rangle = - \left(\frac{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}}{1+\epsilon q} \right)^2 \left| \nabla_{\perp} \left(\frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}} \right) \right|^2. \text{CHECKED} \quad (137)$$

$$= -\epsilon^2 |\nabla_{\perp} q|^2 + 2\epsilon^2 \langle q, \beta_{\parallel} \rangle - \epsilon^2 |\nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel}|^2 + O(\epsilon^3). \quad (138)$$

$$\left\langle \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}}, |\mathbf{w}_{\perp}|^2 \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}}, |\nabla_{\perp} \phi|^2 \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}}, |\nabla_{\perp} \psi|^2 \right\rangle + 2 \left\langle \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}}, [\psi, \phi] \right\rangle \quad (139)$$

$$= \epsilon \langle q, |\nabla_{\perp} \phi|^2 \rangle - \epsilon \langle \beta_{\parallel}, |\nabla_{\perp} \phi|^2 \rangle - \epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} \langle q, |\nabla_{\perp} \phi|^2 \rangle + 2\epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} \langle \beta_{\parallel}, |\nabla_{\perp} \phi|^2 \rangle - \epsilon^2 q \langle \beta_{\parallel}, |\nabla_{\perp} \phi|^2 \rangle \quad (140)$$

$$+ \epsilon \langle q, |\nabla_{\perp} \psi|^2 \rangle - \epsilon \langle \beta_{\parallel}, |\nabla_{\perp} \psi|^2 \rangle - \epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} \langle q, |\nabla_{\perp} \psi|^2 \rangle + 2\epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} \langle \beta_{\parallel}, |\nabla_{\perp} \psi|^2 \rangle - \epsilon^2 q \langle \beta_{\parallel}, |\nabla_{\perp} \psi|^2 \rangle \quad (141)$$

$$+ 2\epsilon \langle q, [\psi, \phi] \rangle - 2\epsilon \langle \beta_{\parallel}, [\psi, \phi] \rangle - 2\epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} \langle q, [\psi, \phi] \rangle + 4\epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} \langle \beta_{\parallel}, [\psi, \phi] \rangle - 2\epsilon^2 q \langle \beta_{\parallel}, [\psi, \phi] \rangle + O(\epsilon^3) \quad (142)$$

$$\text{or } \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon\beta_{\parallel}}, |\mathbf{w}_{\perp}|^2 \right\rangle = \epsilon |\nabla_{\perp} \phi| \langle q, |\nabla_{\perp} \phi| \rangle - \epsilon |\nabla_{\perp} \phi| \langle \beta_{\parallel}, |\nabla_{\perp} \phi| \rangle \quad (143)$$

$$- \epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} |\nabla_{\perp} \phi| \langle q, |\nabla_{\perp} \phi| \rangle + 2\epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} |\nabla_{\perp} \phi| \langle \beta_{\parallel}, |\nabla_{\perp} \phi| \rangle - \epsilon^2 q |\nabla_{\perp} \phi| \langle \beta_{\parallel}, |\nabla_{\perp} \phi| \rangle \quad (144)$$

$$+ \epsilon |\nabla_{\perp} \psi| \langle q, |\nabla_{\perp} \psi| \rangle - \epsilon |\nabla_{\perp} \psi| \langle \beta_{\parallel}, |\nabla_{\perp} \psi| \rangle \quad (145)$$

$$- \epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} |\nabla_{\perp} \psi| \langle q, |\nabla_{\perp} \psi| \rangle + 2\epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} |\nabla_{\perp} \psi| \langle \beta_{\parallel}, |\nabla_{\perp} \psi| \rangle - \epsilon^2 q |\nabla_{\perp} \psi| \langle \beta_{\parallel}, |\nabla_{\perp} \psi| \rangle \quad (146)$$

$$+ \epsilon \langle q, [\psi, \phi] \rangle - \epsilon \langle \beta_{\parallel}, [\psi, \phi] \rangle - \epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} \langle q, [\psi, \phi] \rangle + 2\epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} \langle \beta_{\parallel}, [\psi, \phi] \rangle - \epsilon^2 q \langle \beta_{\parallel}, [\psi, \phi] \rangle + O(\epsilon^3) \quad (147)$$

$$= \epsilon \nabla_{\perp} q \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp} \phi \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \phi + \epsilon \nabla_{\perp} q \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp} \psi \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \psi + \epsilon \langle q, [\psi, \phi] \rangle \quad (148)$$

$$- \epsilon \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp} \phi \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \phi - \epsilon \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp} \psi \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \psi - \epsilon \langle \beta_{\parallel}, [\psi, \phi] \rangle \quad (149)$$

$$- \epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} \nabla_{\perp} q \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp} \phi \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \phi - \epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} \nabla_{\perp} q \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp} \psi \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \psi - \epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} \langle q, [\psi, \phi] \rangle \quad (150)$$

$$+ 2\epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp} \phi \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \phi + 2\epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp} \psi \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \psi + 2\epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} \langle \beta_{\parallel}, [\psi, \phi] \rangle \quad (151)$$

$$- \epsilon^2 q \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp} \phi \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \phi - \epsilon^2 q \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp} \psi \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \psi - \epsilon^2 q \langle \beta_{\parallel}, [\psi, \phi] \rangle + O(\epsilon^3) \quad (152)$$

$$\left[\frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}, f \right] = \frac{\epsilon}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}} [q, f] - \epsilon \frac{1 + \epsilon q}{(1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel})^2} [\beta_{\parallel}, f], \text{ CHECKED} \quad (153)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\epsilon \beta_{\parallel})^n \frac{1}{\beta_{\parallel}} \left[\left(n - \frac{nq}{\beta_{\parallel}} + \frac{q}{\beta_{\parallel}} \right) [\beta_{\parallel}, f] - [q, f] \right] \quad (154)$$

$$= \epsilon [q, f] - \epsilon [\beta_{\parallel}, f] - \epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} [q, f] + 2\epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} [\beta_{\parallel}, f] - \epsilon^2 q [\beta_{\parallel}, f] + O(\epsilon^3). \quad (155)$$

$$\left[\frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}, f^2 \right] = 2f \left[\frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}, f \right], \quad (156)$$

$$\left[\frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}, |\mathbf{w}_{\perp}|^2 \right] = \quad (157)$$

$$\left[\frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}, \frac{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}{1 + \epsilon q} \right] = \quad (158)$$

11.6 Everything Pressure

Given $p = p(\rho)$, we change to $p = P_0 P(1 + \epsilon r)$, but in the final paper, I think it will be clearer to use $p = p_0 \pi(1 + \epsilon r)$. Either way, pressure contributions at different ϵ orders are given by expanding about 1:

$$\pi(1 + \epsilon r) = \pi(1) + \pi'(1)\epsilon r + \frac{1}{2}\pi''(1)(\epsilon r)^2 + \dots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\pi^{(n)}(1)}{n!} (\epsilon r)^n.$$

Switching to $1 + \epsilon r = \frac{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}{1 + \epsilon q}$, or $r = \frac{\beta_{\parallel} - q}{1 + \epsilon q}$, we can use the binomial formula with negative coefficients (<https://probabilityandstats.wordpress.com/2011/07/29/the-negative-binomial-distribution/>),

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \epsilon q)^{-n} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-n}{k} (\epsilon q)^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{k + n - 1}{k} (-\epsilon q)^k, \end{aligned}$$

to expand pressure as a nested sum:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}{1 + \epsilon q} \right) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\pi^{(n)}(1)}{n!} \left(\epsilon \frac{\beta_{\parallel} - q}{1 + \epsilon q} \right)^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\pi^{(n)}(1)}{n!} (\beta_{\parallel} - q)^n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^{k+n} (-q)^k \binom{k + n - 1}{k} \\ &= \pi(1) + \epsilon (\beta_{\parallel} - q) \pi'(1) + \epsilon^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} (\beta_{\parallel} - q)^2 \pi''(1) - q (\beta_{\parallel} - q) \pi'(1) \right] + \dots \end{aligned}$$

This expression is rather difficult to work with, especially when we want derivatives of pressure, but it is relatively simple to extract out the first few terms by expanding $\frac{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}{1 + \epsilon q}$ before expanding pressure.

$$\begin{aligned} \pi \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}{1 + \epsilon q} \right) &= \pi \left(1 + \left(1 - \frac{\beta_{\parallel}}{q} \right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\epsilon q)^n \right) \\ &= \pi(1) + \pi'(1) \left[\left(1 - \frac{\beta_{\parallel}}{q} \right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\epsilon q)^n \right] + \frac{1}{2} \pi''(1) \left[\left(1 - \frac{\beta_{\parallel}}{q} \right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\epsilon q)^n \right]^2 + \dots \\ &= \pi(1) + \epsilon (\beta_{\parallel} - q) \pi'(1) + \epsilon^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} (\beta_{\parallel} - q)^2 \pi''(1) - q (\beta_{\parallel} - q) \pi'(1) \right] + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Then, the first few terms for derivatives of pressure are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_Z \pi \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}{1 + \epsilon q} \right) &= \epsilon (\partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} - \partial_Z q) \pi'(1) + \epsilon^2 \left[(\beta_{\parallel} - q) (\partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} - \partial_Z q) \pi''(1) - \partial_Z q (\beta_{\parallel} - q) \pi'(1) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - q (\partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} - \partial_Z q) \pi'(1) \right] + O(\epsilon^3), \\
&= \epsilon \pi'(1) \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} - \epsilon \pi'(1) \partial_Z q \\
&\quad + \epsilon^2 \pi''(1) \beta_{\parallel} \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} - \epsilon^2 \pi''(1) \beta_{\parallel} \partial_Z q - \epsilon^2 \pi''(1) q \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} + \epsilon^2 \pi''(1) q \partial_Z q \\
&\quad - \epsilon^2 \pi'(1) \beta_{\parallel} \partial_Z q + 2 \epsilon^2 \pi'(1) q \partial_Z q - \epsilon^2 \pi'(1) q \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} + O(\epsilon^3), \\
\nabla_{\perp} \pi \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}{1 + \epsilon q} \right) &= \epsilon (\nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} - \nabla_{\perp} q) \pi'(1) + \epsilon^2 \left[(\beta_{\parallel} - q) (\nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} - \nabla_{\perp} q) \pi''(1) - \nabla_{\perp} q (\beta_{\parallel} - q) \pi'(1) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - q (\nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} - \nabla_{\perp} q) \pi'(1) \right] + O(\epsilon^3), \\
&= \epsilon \pi'(1) \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} - \epsilon \pi'(1) \nabla_{\perp} q \\
&\quad + \epsilon^2 \pi''(1) \beta_{\parallel} \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} - \epsilon^2 \pi''(1) \beta_{\parallel} \nabla_{\perp} q - \epsilon^2 \pi''(1) q \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} + \epsilon^2 \pi''(1) q \nabla_{\perp} q \\
&\quad - \epsilon^2 \pi'(1) \beta_{\parallel} \nabla_{\perp} q + 2 \epsilon^2 \pi'(1) q \nabla_{\perp} q - \epsilon^2 \pi'(1) q \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} + O(\epsilon^3), \\
\Delta_{\perp} \pi \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}{1 + \epsilon q} \right) &= \epsilon (\Delta_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} - \Delta_{\perp} q) \pi'(1) + \epsilon^2 \left[(\beta_{\parallel} - q) (\Delta_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} - \Delta_{\perp} q) \pi''(1) - \Delta_{\perp} q (\beta_{\parallel} - q) \pi'(1) \right. \\
&\quad - q (\Delta_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} - \Delta_{\perp} q) \pi'(1) \\
&\quad + (\nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} - \nabla_{\perp} q) \cdot (\nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} - \nabla_{\perp} q) \pi''(1) - \nabla_{\perp} q \cdot (\nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} - \nabla_{\perp} q) \pi'(1) \\
&\quad \left. - \nabla_{\perp} q \cdot (\nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} - \nabla_{\perp} q) \pi'(1) \right] + O(\epsilon^3) \\
&= \epsilon \pi'(1) \Delta_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} - \epsilon \pi'(1) \Delta_{\perp} q \\
&\quad + \epsilon^2 \pi''(1) \beta_{\parallel} \Delta_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} - \epsilon^2 \pi''(1) \beta_{\parallel} \Delta_{\perp} q - \epsilon^2 \pi''(1) q \Delta_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} + \epsilon^2 \pi''(1) q \Delta_{\perp} q \\
&\quad + \epsilon^2 \pi''(1) |\nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel}|^2 - 2 \epsilon^2 \pi''(1) \langle \beta_{\parallel}, q \rangle + \epsilon^2 \pi''(1) |\nabla_{\perp} q|^2 \\
&\quad - \epsilon^2 \pi'(1) \beta_{\parallel} \Delta_{\perp} q + 2 \epsilon^2 \pi'(1) q \Delta_{\perp} q - \epsilon^2 \pi'(1) q \Delta_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} \\
&\quad - 2 \epsilon^2 \pi'(1) \langle \beta_{\parallel}, q \rangle + 2 \epsilon^2 \pi'(1) |\nabla_{\perp} q|^2 + O(\epsilon^3),
\end{aligned}$$

I need these to find things like

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}, \pi \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}{1 + \epsilon q} \right) \right\rangle &= (\epsilon \nabla_{\perp} q - \epsilon \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} - \epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} \nabla_{\perp} q + 2 \epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} - \epsilon^2 q \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} + O(\epsilon^3)) \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\epsilon \pi'(1) \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} - \epsilon \pi'(1) \nabla_{\perp} q \right. \\
&\quad + \epsilon^2 \pi''(1) \beta_{\parallel} \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} - \epsilon^2 \pi''(1) \beta_{\parallel} \nabla_{\perp} q - \epsilon^2 \pi''(1) q \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} + \epsilon^2 \pi''(1) q \nabla_{\perp} q \\
&\quad \left. - \epsilon^2 \pi'(1) \beta_{\parallel} \nabla_{\perp} q + 2 \epsilon^2 \pi'(1) q \nabla_{\perp} q - \epsilon^2 \pi'(1) q \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} + O(\epsilon^3) \right), \\
&= (\epsilon \nabla_{\perp} q - \epsilon \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel}) \cdot \left(\epsilon \pi'(1) \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} - \epsilon \pi'(1) \nabla_{\perp} q \right. \\
&\quad + \epsilon^2 \pi''(1) \beta_{\parallel} \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} - \epsilon^2 \pi''(1) \beta_{\parallel} \nabla_{\perp} q - \epsilon^2 \pi''(1) q \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} + \epsilon^2 \pi''(1) q \nabla_{\perp} q \\
&\quad \left. - \epsilon^2 \pi'(1) \beta_{\parallel} \nabla_{\perp} q + 2 \epsilon^2 \pi'(1) q \nabla_{\perp} q - \epsilon^2 \pi'(1) q \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} \right) \\
&\quad + (-\epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} \nabla_{\perp} q + 2 \epsilon^2 \beta_{\parallel} \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} - \epsilon^2 q \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel}) \cdot (\epsilon \pi'(1) \nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel} - \epsilon \pi'(1) \nabla_{\perp} q) + O(\epsilon^4) \\
&= -\epsilon^2 \pi'(1) |\nabla_{\perp} q|^2 - \epsilon^2 \pi'(1) |\nabla_{\perp} \beta_{\parallel}|^2 + O(\epsilon^3) + O(\epsilon^4).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\left[\frac{1 + \epsilon q}{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}, \pi \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}{1 + \epsilon q} \right) \right] =$$

Alternatively, I could just continue using

The closed form expressions look something like this

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_Z \pi \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}{1 + \epsilon q} \right) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\pi^{(n)}(1)}{n!} n (\beta_{\parallel} - q)^{n-1} (\partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} - \partial_Z q) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^{k+n} (-q)^k \binom{k+n-1}{k} \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\pi^{(n)}(1)}{n!} (\beta_{\parallel} - q)^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^{k+n} (-q)^{k-1} (-k \partial_Z q) \binom{k+n-1}{k}. \\ \partial_Z \pi \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}{1 + \epsilon q} \right) &= \pi' \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}{1 + \epsilon q} \right) \partial_Z \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}{1 + \epsilon q} \right) = \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial(1 + \epsilon r)} \frac{\partial(1 + \epsilon r)}{\partial Z} \\ &= \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\pi^{(n+1)}(1)}{n!} \left(\epsilon \frac{\beta_{\parallel} - q}{1 + \epsilon q} \right)^n \right] \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-\epsilon q)^k \frac{1}{q} \left[\left(k - \frac{k \beta_{\parallel}}{q} + \frac{\beta_{\parallel}}{q} \right) \partial_Z q - \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} \right] \right] \\ &= \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\pi^{(n+1)}(1)}{n!} (\beta_{\parallel} - q)^n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^{k+n} (-q)^k \binom{k+n-1}{k} \right] \\ &\quad \times \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-\epsilon q)^k \frac{1}{q} \left[\left(k - \frac{k \beta_{\parallel}}{q} + \frac{\beta_{\parallel}}{q} \right) \partial_Z q - \partial_Z \beta_{\parallel} \right] \right] \\ \nabla_{\perp} \pi \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}{1 + \epsilon q} \right) &= P' \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}{1 + \epsilon q} \right) \nabla_{\perp} \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}{1 + \epsilon q} \right) \\ \nabla_{\perp}^2 \pi \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}{1 + \epsilon q} \right) &= \pi' \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}{1 + \epsilon q} \right) \nabla_{\perp}^2 \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}{1 + \epsilon q} \right) + \pi'' \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}{1 + \epsilon q} \right) \left| \nabla_{\perp} \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon \beta_{\parallel}}{1 + \epsilon q} \right) \right|^2 \end{aligned}$$

11.7 Section 8

Lemma 4. *The system $\dot{z} = U_{\epsilon}(z)$ admits a fast-slow split if and only if the limit system $\dot{z} = U_0(z)$ admits a fast-slow split.*

Proof. Suppose that $\dot{z} = U_{\epsilon}(z)$ admits a fast-slow split. Then there are coordinates (x, y) on z -space such that the ODE $\dot{z} = U_{\epsilon}(z)$ becomes $\dot{x} = \epsilon g_{\epsilon}(x, y)$, $\dot{y} = f_{\epsilon}(x, y)$, with $D_y f_0(x, y)$ invertible whenever $f_0(x, y) = 0$. \square

Notes

Only way for $\nabla_{\perp} \phi$ to be orthogonal to $e_z \times \nabla_{\perp} \psi$ is for $\nabla_{\perp} \psi = c \nabla_{\perp} \phi$. But then,

$$\nabla_{\perp}^2 \psi = e_z \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \times w_{\perp} = \nabla \cdot (w_{\perp} \times e_z) = c \nabla_{\perp}^2 \phi = c \nabla_{\perp} \cdot w_{\perp}.$$

$w_{\perp} \times e_z$ cannot equal $c w_{\perp}$ unless $w_{\perp} = 0$. So for non-vanishing w_{\perp} , the decomposition is never orthogonal. However, they are always independent.

Proof decomposition is function-space independent: $\nabla_{\perp} \cdot [\psi(e_z \times \nabla_{\perp} \phi)] = \psi \nabla_{\perp} \cdot (e_z \times \nabla_{\perp} \phi) + \nabla_{\perp} \psi \cdot (e_z \times \nabla_{\perp} \phi)$. First term is 0. Integrate over area D , and use $\iint_D \nabla_{\perp} \cdot [\psi(e_z \times \nabla_{\perp} \phi)] dA = \int \psi(e_z \times \nabla_{\perp} \phi) \cdot dr = 0$ because $\psi = 0$ on boundary. Meaning inner product of two functions is zero, so they are independent.

Proof Two: $a \nabla_{\perp} \phi + b \nabla_{\perp} \psi = 0$ gives $a \nabla_{\perp}^2 \phi = 0$. $\nabla_{\perp} \times \nabla_{\perp} \phi = 0$ and $\nabla_{\perp} \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \phi = 0$, so $\nabla_{\perp} \phi$ is constant. But $n \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \phi = 0$ on ∂D implies either $n = 0$ or $\nabla_{\perp} \phi = 0$, neither of which can be true. So $a = 0$. Also have $\nabla_{\perp}^2 \psi = 0$. Again, $\nabla_{\perp} \psi$ is constant. But then, if $\psi = 0$ on ∂D , $\psi = 0$ on all of D , meaning $\nabla_{\perp} \psi = 0$. This cannot be, so $b = 0$. Therefore, only way to make 0 is when both coefficients are 0.

Why can I not say that Proof Two works point-wise, treating each term like vectors at a point? If this were the case, I'd be able to use my old calculation. If not, terms may not separate in evolution equation.

Do coordinates x, y, z appear on fast-slow manifold? We could write something like $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}^3 \times X \times Y$, but we don't gain much insight from this. Instead, simpler to consider just $X \times Y$, with operations like ∇_{\perp}

and ∂_Z living in function spaces on X and Y . Hamiltonian formalism will eventually only treat fast-slow variable fields as canonical coordinates, and bracket with their momenta.

Reduction scheme in Fitzpatrick book looks like just assuming $\nabla \cdot v = 0$ (incompressible). This describes cell boundary current sheets.

Michael suggested there is a chain/co-chain complex in my system. ν_\perp depends only on ϕ and ψ , which can be expressed as $d\Omega$ and $d^*\Omega$ of a single two-form, $\Omega(\phi, \psi, \nu_\parallel)$ = something.

Should I do $p = p_0\pi(r)$? This conflicts with $\epsilon = 2\pi a/L$. Should use e_Z instead of e_z once I've nondimensionalized. Should also replace ∇_\perp^2 with Δ .

Proposal: What do we need the 2π for when defining Z ? Why don't we either 1) get rid of it, so that the nd coords all go 0 to 1 (before repeating for Z), or 2) call it θ instead of Z to make it clear that it is the 2π -periodic angle.

Motivation for nd, ordering, scalings: Identifying a fast-slow system REQUIRES a physical system to be made dimensionless and ordered. Choosing a scaling is how we implement this physically/so that model continues to agree with experiment. When we mathematically assume that nd vars are order 1, we have to re-correct our model by including eps. (For us, this means our scaling should make a perp/para separation explicit).

Question: How to motivate scaling for B ? Changing ep doesn't directly affect velocity. v just follows B fields and pressure gradients. When ep small, we see small variations in B . When ep=1 (geometric limit), we get wild inconsistencies. Why does B depend on shape of tokamak? Do fields near the outside have to keep up with fields near the center?

(Note, choice of B_0 comes from divergence theorem argument above).

Should I define fss earlier in paper or later? This changes whether I write 3 with condensed or expanded equations. Do I want reader to know "I'm showing that MHD is wfss," or that "MHD has this cool dimensional reduction, which I achieve using wfss."

Can I just split the pressures like $P'(\frac{1+\epsilon q}{1+\epsilon\beta_\parallel}) = P'(1) + \dots$

Assume solutions are all smooth C^∞ in time/"regular." Then eg. deriv of $v \cdot n = v_\perp \cdot n = 0$ is $\partial_t v \cdot n = 0$ which is technically another boundary condition (we have an expression for $\partial_t v$, but no reason to believe a priori that it is $= 0$, so this is assumed). This is required when I try to pull Δ_\perp off of $\Delta_\perp \partial_t \phi = \nabla_\perp \cdot \partial_t v_\perp$. Lemma 1 says existence of solutions to this Poisson equation $\Delta_\perp f = s$ require $\iint_D s \, dx dy = 0 = \oint_{\partial D} n \cdot \nabla_\perp f \, dl = 0$. In this case, solutions require $\iint_D \nabla_\perp \cdot \partial_t v_\perp \, dx dy = \oint_{\partial D} (\partial_t v_\perp \cdot n) \, dl = 0$, which holds if $v \in C^\infty$ so that we can take $\partial_t(v_\perp \cdot n) = 0$.

Wait but if $v_\perp = \nabla_\perp \phi + e_z \times \nabla_\perp \psi$, we actually have $\oint (\partial_t v_\perp - e_z \times \nabla_\perp \psi) \cdot n \, dl = 0$. First bit is already implied, so this means we need $\oint_{\partial D} (e_z \times \nabla_\perp \psi) \cdot n \, dl = e_z \cdot \oint_{\partial D} (\nabla_\perp \psi \times n) \, dl = 0$.

Lemma 1 should have \oint .

To complete wfss proof, just show that we can take a particular $\delta\beta_\parallel^p \in \mathcal{N}$ and generalize the solution by adding on other bits which kick $\delta\beta_\parallel$ out of \mathcal{N} .

Oh shit why is $|\nu_\perp|^2 = |\nabla_\perp \phi|^2 + |\nabla_\perp \psi|^2$? I should get $+2[\psi, \phi]$

I need to just write out the limit systems in their entirety. Probably need to just include pressure expansions.