

# Review of Probability Distributions ( $Z$ , $t$ , $\chi^2$ , $F$ )

## Solutions - Gujarati Appendix C

### C.1

- (a) The number of independent observations available to compute an estimate, e.g., the sample mean or the sample variance.
- (b) The probability distribution of an estimator.
- (c) The (computable version or estimator of) the standard deviation of an estimator (commonly of the mean).

Note: Compare the standard deviation (sd) and standard error (se) of the mean estimator  $\bar{X}$ :

$$\text{sd}(\bar{X}) = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}, \quad \text{se}(\bar{X}) = \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

Also see **C.19**, **C.26**.

### C.2

- (a) True,  $P(Z > 1) = 0.5000 - 0.3413 = 0.1587 \approx 0.16$ .
- (b) True,  $P(1 \leq Z \leq 1.5) = 0.4332 - 0.3413 = 0.0919 \approx 0.09$ .
- (c) True,  $P(Z > 2.5) = 0.5000 - 0.4938 = 0.0062$ .

### C.3

- (a)  $X \sim N(8, 16/n)$ .
- (b) The variance of  $X$  depends on the sample size.
- (c) Since  $X \sim N(8, 16/25)$ , the probability that  $Z \leq -2.5 = 0.0062$ .

### C.4

Although both are symmetrical, the  $t$  distribution is flatter than the normal distribution. But as the degrees of freedom increase, the  $t$  distribution approximates the normal distribution.

### C.5

- (a) 0.10; (b) 0.10; (c) 0.20; (d) No.

### C.6

True.

### C.8

In large samples, the distribution of the sample mean of a r.v. can be approximated by a normal distribution regardless of the original population (i.e., PDF) from which the sample was drawn.

### C.9

The chi-square ( $\chi^2$ ) distribution can be used to determine the probabilities for the sampling distribution of the sample variance  $S^2$ . In other words, a probability statement about a chi-square variable can be easily expressed into an equivalent probability statement about  $S^2$ . The  $F$  distribution can be used to find out if the variances of two normal populations are the same.

Note: For testing  $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2$ , we use  $t$  test; for testing  $H_0: \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$ , we use  $F$  test.

### C.10

- (a)  $Z = (1 - 1.5)/0.12 = -4.17$ . The probability of obtaining a  $Z$  value equal to or less than  $-4.17$  is extremely small.
- (b)  $Z_1 = (0.8 - 1.5)/0.12 = -5.8333$ ;  $Z_2 = (1.3 - 1.5)/0.12 = -1.6667$ . Therefore,  $P(-5.8333 \leq Z \leq -1.6667)$  is very small. Note that the probability

$$P(Z_i \in (\text{mean} \pm 1.96\sigma)) = 0.95$$

is true for a normally distributed random variable. Given the mean of \$1.5 million and  $\sigma$  of \$0.12 million, the probability that a profit figure will be between 1.26 and 1.74 million is about 95%. Therefore, the probability that the profits will be between \$0.8 and \$1.3 million must be small indeed.

### C.11

Since  $P(Z \geq 1.28)$  is about 0.10, we obtain  $1.28 = (X - 1.5)/0.12$ , which gives  $X = \$1.6536$  million as the required figure.

### C.12

From the preceding exercise, we know that  $P(Z \geq 1.28) = 0.10$ . Therefore,  $1.28 = (80 - 75)/\sigma$ , which gives  $\sigma = 3.9063$ .

### C.13

- (a)  $Z = (6 - 6.5)/0.8 = -0.625 \approx -0.63$ . Therefore,  $P(Z \leq -0.63) = 0.2643$ . Thus, approximately, 264 tubes will contain less than 6 ounces of toothpaste.
- (b) The cost of the refill will be \$52.8 ( $= \$0.20 \times 264$ ).
- (c)  $Z = (7 - 6.5)/0.8 = 0.625 \approx 0.63$ . The probability of  $Z \geq 0.63$  is also  $\approx 0.2643$ . Therefore, the profits lost will be \$13.2 ( $= \$0.05 \times 264$ ).

### C.14

- (a)  $(X + Y) \sim N(25, 11)$ .
- (b)  $(X - Y) \sim N(-5, 11)$ .
- (c)  $3X \sim N(30, 27)$ .
- (d)  $(4X + 5Y) \sim N(115, 248)$ .

### C.15

In answering this question, note that if  $W = aX + bY$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E(W) &= a\mu_X + b\mu_Y, \\ \text{Var}(W) &= a^2\text{Var}(X) + b^2\text{Var}(Y) + 2ab\rho\sigma_X\sigma_Y. \end{aligned}$$

- (a)  $(X + Y) \sim N(25, 16.88)$ . (Note:  $\sigma_X = 1.73$  and  $\sigma_Y = 2.83$ .)
- (b)  $(X - Y) \sim N(-5, 5.12)$ .
- (c)  $3X \sim N(30, 27)$ .
- (d)  $(4X + 5Y) \sim N(115, 365.58)$ , approximately.

### C.16

Let  $W = \frac{1}{2}(X) + \frac{1}{2}(Y)$ . In this example,

$$\begin{aligned} E(W) &= \frac{1}{2}(15) + \frac{1}{2}(8) = 11.5, \\ \text{Var}(W) &= \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)(25) + \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)(4) + 2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)(-0.4)(5)(2) = 5.25. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $W \sim N(11.5, 5.25)$ . The variance, hence the risk, of this portfolio is smaller than that of security  $X$  but greater than that of security  $Y$ . It is true that if you invest in security  $X$ , the expected return is higher than the portfolio return, but so is the risk. On the other hand, if you invest in security  $Y$ , the risk is smaller than that of the portfolio but so is the rate of return. Of course, you do not have to invest equally in the two securities.

### C.17

If it is assumed that the SAT scores are normally distributed with mean and variance given in Example C.12, it can be shown that:

$$(n - 1) \frac{S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2_{(n-1)}.$$

In the present example, we have:  $\chi^2 = 9(142/102.07) = 12.521$ , which is a chi-square variable with 9 d.f. From the  $\chi^2$  table, the probability of obtaining a chi-square of as much as 12.521 or greater is somewhere between 25% and 10%; the exact  $p$ -value being 18.55% (from a software package).

### C.18

(a) We want  $P[(S^2/\sigma^2) > X] = 0.10$ . That is,

$$P \left[ (n-1) \frac{S^2}{\sigma^2} > (n-1)X \right] = 0.10.$$

From the  $\chi^2$  table, we find that for 9 d.f.,  $9X = 14.6837$  or  $X = 1.6315$ . That is, the probability is 10 percent that  $S^2$  will be more than 63% of the population variance.

(b) Following the same logic, it can be seen that:

$$P \left[ (n-1)X \leq (n-1) \frac{S^2}{\sigma^2} \leq (n-1)Y \right] = 0.95.$$

Using the  $\chi^2$  table, we find the  $X$  and  $Y$  values as 0.3000 and 2.1136, respectively.

Note: For 9 d.f.,  $P(\chi^2 > 2.70039) = 0.975$  and  $P(\chi^2 > 19.0228) = 0.025$ .

### C.19

(a) Sample mean  $\bar{X} = 15.9880$  ounces; sample variance  $S^2 = 0.0158$  (ounces squared), sample standard deviation  $S = 0.1257$ .

(b) Calculate

$$t = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{S/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{15.988 - 16}{0.1257/\sqrt{10}} = -0.3019.$$

For 9 d.f., the probability of obtaining a  $t$  value of -0.3019 or smaller is greater than 0.25 (one-tailed), the  $p$ -value being 0.3848. The  $t$  distribution is used here because the true variance ( $\sigma^2$ ) is unknown.

Note: If  $\sigma^2$  is known, then  $Z$  (standard normal) distribution should be used.

### C.20

Use the  $F$  distribution. Assuming both samples are independent and come from the normal populations and that the two population variances are the same, it can be shown that:

$$F = \frac{S_1^2}{S_2^2} \sim F_{(m-1, n-1)}.$$

In this example,  $F = 9/7.2 = 1.25$ . The probability of obtaining an  $F$  value of 1.25 or greater is 0.2371.

### C.24.

Recall that the following relationship between the  $F$  and the  $\chi^2$  distribution holds as the degrees of freedom in the denominator increases indefinitely ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ):

$$m \cdot F_{(m, n)} = \chi_{(m)}^2$$

where  $m$  are numerator d.f. From the statistical tables, we find that, at the 5% level,  $\chi^2_{(10)} = 18.3070$ . Now at the 5% level, the  $F$  values for  $F_{(10,10)}$ ,  $F_{(10,20)}$ , and  $F_{(10,60)}$  are, 2.98, 2.35, and 1.99, respectively. If we multiply the preceding values by 10, we obtain, 29.8, 23.5, and 19.9, which shows that as the denominator d.f. increase, the approximation becomes more accurate.

### C.25.

Let  $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Since  $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ , therefore

$$E(\bar{X}) = \frac{1}{n}[E(X_1) + \cdots + E(X_n)] = \frac{1}{n}[\mu + \cdots + \mu] = \frac{1}{n}[n\mu] = \mu,$$

$$\text{Var}(\bar{X}) = \frac{1}{n^2}[\text{Var}(X_1) + \cdots + \text{Var}(X_n)] = \frac{1}{n^2}[\sigma^2 + \cdots + \sigma^2] = \frac{1}{n^2}[n\sigma^2] = \frac{\sigma^2}{n},$$

because  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are *i.i.d.*

### C.26

We have

$$E(Z) = E\left[\frac{X - \mu}{\sigma}\right] = \frac{1}{\sigma}E(X - \mu) = 0,$$

because  $\sigma$  is a constant and the fact that  $E(X - \mu) = E(X) - E(\mu) = \mu - \mu = 0$ .

Moreover,

$$\text{Var}(Z) = E[Z - E(Z)]^2 = E(Z^2)$$

since  $E(Z) = 0$ . Now:

$$E(Z^2) = E\left[\frac{X - \mu}{\sigma}\right]^2 = \frac{1}{\sigma^2}E[(X - \mu)^2] = \frac{1}{\sigma^2}\sigma^2 = 1.$$

**Note:** We usually standardize a r.v. by defining

$$\text{standardized r.v.} = \frac{\text{r.v.} - \text{mean}}{\text{sd}}$$

which has zero mean and unit variance. For a normally distributed r.v., the standardized r.v. follows  $N(0, 1)$ . Applying this to the sample mean  $\bar{X}$  of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  in **C.25**, we have

$$\text{standardized r.v.} = \frac{\text{r.v.} - \text{mean}}{\text{sd}} = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}.$$

But when sd ( $= \sigma/\sqrt{n}$ ) is unavailable and we have to use se ( $= S/\sqrt{n}$ ) instead, then this is known as a  $t$  statistic which follows a  $t$  distribution:

$$t = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{S/\sqrt{n}} \sim t_{(n-1)},$$

as seen in **C.19**.